

## PERTURBATIONS OF NEST ALGEBRAS

THOMAS FALL, WILLIAM ARVESON and PAUL MUHLY

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $\{P_n: n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of finite dimensional projections on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $P_n \uparrow 1$ . An operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be *triangular* (resp. *quasi-triangular*) relative to  $\{P_n\}$  if  $P_n^\perp T P_n = 0$  for each  $n \geq 1$  (resp.  $\|P_n^\perp T P_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Let  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{QI}$  denote the corresponding two algebras of operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{QI}$  was introduced in [1], where it was shown that every operator in  $\mathcal{QI}$  is a compact perturbation of an operator in  $\mathcal{I}$ . One deduces easily from this that  $\mathcal{QI} = \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{K}$ , which can be regarded as a characterization of the compact perturbations of operators in  $\mathcal{I}$  (here  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes the algebra of all compact operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ ).

It is natural to ask the extent to which a characterization like this is valid for other nest algebras in place of  $\mathcal{I}$ . Specifically, consider the triangular algebra  $\mathcal{I}[0, 1]$  of the unit interval, defined as the set of all operators on  $L^2[0, 1]$  which leave invariant each subspace  $L^2[0, t]$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . What we seek is a characterization of operators in  $\mathcal{I}[0, 1] + \mathcal{K}$  in terms of the projections  $\{P_t: 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ , where  $P_t$  denotes the projection onto  $L^2[0, t]$ . This is accomplished in section 2 (Corollary of 2.3), following a rather general discussion in section 1 which implies that  $\mathcal{I}[0, 1] + \mathcal{K}$  is norm-closed. In fact, we present a characterization of compact perturbations of arbitrary nest algebras which covers both cases  $\mathcal{I}[0, 1]$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  at once.

### 1. CLOSURE PROPERTIES OF PERTURBED ALGEBRAS

It is easy to see that for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of operators on a Hilbert space, the algebra  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{K}$  of all compact perturbations of operators in  $\mathcal{A}$  is norm-closed. Indeed,

$$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{K} = \pi^{-1}(\pi(\mathcal{A}))$$

where  $\pi$  is the Calkin map and  $\pi(\mathcal{A})$  is closed since  $\pi$  is a  $C^*$ -homomorphism ([5], 1.8.3). This is false for general norm closed non-self-adjoint operator algebras [3].

In this section we obtain a result which contains the required information about nest algebras, as well as a much broader class of operator algebras. In view of the negative result of [3], this appears to be the best state of affairs one could hope for.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a complex-linear space of operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $\mathcal{S}^*$  denote the space of all ultraweakly continuous linear functionals on  $\mathcal{S}$ .  $\mathcal{S}$  is called *local* if there are enough compact operators in  $\mathcal{S}$  to separate points in  $\mathcal{S}^*$ ; equivalently,  $\mathcal{S}$  is local if, and only if, the ultraweak closure of  $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{K}$  contains  $\mathcal{S}$ . Thus, the most general local linear space of operators is obtained by starting with a linear space  $\mathcal{S}_0$  of compact operators and taking for  $\mathcal{S}$  any linear space lying between  $\mathcal{S}_0$  and the ultraweak closure of  $\mathcal{S}_0$ .

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a norm-closed local linear space of operators. Then  $\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{K}$  is norm-closed, and the canonical isomorphism between the Banach space quotients  $\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{K} / \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{K}$  is an isometry.*

*Proof.* Fix a compact operator  $K$ . We claim:

$$\inf_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \|K + S\| = \inf_{S \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{K}} \|K + S\|.$$

We prove only the nontrivial inequality  $\geq$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there is a linear functional  $f$  in  $\mathcal{K}'$  satisfying  $\|f\| = 1$ ,  $f = 0$  on  $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{K}$ , and

$$|f(K)| = \inf_{S \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{K}} \|K + S\|.$$

If we express  $f$  in the form  $f(X) = \text{trace}(XT)$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ , where  $T$  is a trace class operator satisfying  $\text{trace}|T| = 1$ , then we may consider  $f$  as extended to a linear functional  $\tilde{f}$  on all bounded operators  $X$  by the same formula.  $\tilde{f}$  is ultraweakly continuous of norm 1, it vanishes on  $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{K}$ , and so it vanishes on the ultraweak closure of  $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{K}$ . Since the latter contains  $\mathcal{S}$  by hypothesis, we conclude that  $\tilde{f}(\mathcal{S}) = 0$ . So for each  $S$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ ,

$$|f(K)| = |\tilde{f}(K + S)| \leq \|K + S\|.$$

The desired inequality follows by taking the infimum over all  $S$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Now form the Banach quotient space  $\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{K}$  and the normed linear space  $\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{K} / \mathcal{S}$  (the latter should be regarded as a subspace of the quotient  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) / \mathcal{S}$ ). Define a linear map

$$\alpha: \mathcal{K} / \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{K} / \mathcal{S}$$

by  $\alpha(K + \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{K}) = K + \mathcal{S}$ . The preceding paragraph shows that  $\alpha$  is an isometry. Since  $\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{K}$  is a Banach space,  $\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{K} / \mathcal{S}$  is complete and therefore closed as a

linear subset of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{I}$ . Letting  $\pi: \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{I}$  be the canonical projection, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{K} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{I})$$

is norm-closed as a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be norm-closed local algebra of operators. Then  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{K}$  is a norm-closed algebra and the natural homomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{K}$  on  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{K}$  is an isomorphism of Banach algebras.*

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{K}$  is an algebra because  $\mathcal{K}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , and the preceding theorem implies that it is norm-closed. Thus we may form the two indicated Banach algebra quotients, and the natural map  $\alpha: \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{K}$ , defined by

$$\alpha: A + \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{K} \mapsto A + \mathcal{K},$$

is a surjective homomorphism satisfying  $\|\alpha\| \leq 1$ . Since  $\alpha$  has trivial kernel, the closed graph theorem implies that  $\alpha^{-1}$  is bounded, and the assertion follows.  $\square$

Notice that, unlike the situation of Theorem 1.1, one cannot assert that the isomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{K}$  on  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{K}$  is an isometry, but merely a contradiction with bounded inverse.

By a *nest* we mean a linearly ordered family of projections on a Hilbert space which contains 0 and 1 and is closed in the strong operator topology. Every nest  $\mathcal{P}$  has an associated *nest algebra*, defined as the algebra of all operators which leave each element of  $\mathcal{P}$  invariant. It is shown in the appendix (see Corollary 2 of Proposition A) that a nest algebra is the ultraweakly closed linear span of its rank one operators. Thus, every nest algebra is local, and we have

**COROLLARY 2.** *For every nest algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{K}$  is norm-closed.*

## 2. COMPACT PERTURBATIONS OF NEST ALGEBRAS

Consider the algebra  $\mathcal{I}_{[0, 1]} + \mathcal{K}$  of all compact perturbations of operators in the nest algebra  $\mathcal{I}_{[0, 1]}$  of the unit interval. There is an obvious condition that every operator  $A$  in this algebra must satisfy, namely  $P_t^\perp A P_t$  should be compact for every  $t$  in the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ , where  $P_t$  is the projection on  $L^2[0, t]$ . Indeed, if  $A = T + K$  with  $K$  compact and  $T$  in  $\mathcal{I}_{[0, 1]}$ , then

$$P_t^\perp A P_t = P_t^\perp K P_t \in \mathcal{K}.$$

This necessary condition for membership in  $\mathcal{I}_{[0, 1]} + \mathcal{K}$  is not sufficient, as the following example (adapted from pp. 18—19 of [7]) shows. Let  $P_1, P_2, \dots$  be any strictly increasing sequence of nonzero projections in  $\{P_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  such

that  $P_n \uparrow 1$ , and for each  $n \geq 1$  put  $E_n = P_n - P_{n-1}$ , where  $P_0$  is taken as zero. Choose a unit vector  $e_n$  in the range of  $E_n$ , and define an operator  $T$  on  $L^2[0, 1]$  by

$$T\zeta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\zeta, e_n) e_{n+1}.$$

$T$  is clearly a partial isometry with infinite rank which satisfies  $TE_n = E_{n+1}T$  and  $\|TE_n\| = 1$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $P_n = E_1 + \dots + E_n$  and since  $P_1T = 0$ , the preceding identity implies that  $TP_n = (P_{n+1} - P_1)T = P_{n+1}T$ , for each  $n \geq 0$ .

Note first that  $P^\perp TP$  has finite rank for each  $P$  in  $\{P_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ . Indeed, if  $P \neq 1$  then we may choose  $n \geq 1$  so that  $P_{n-1} \leq P < P_n$ , hence

$$TP = T(P - P_{n-1}) + TP_{n-1} = T(P - P_{n-1}) + P_nT,$$

$$PTP = PT(P - P_{n-1}) + PT,$$

and so

$$TP - PTP = P^\perp T(P - P_{n-1}) + (P_n - P)T.$$

But each term on the right is at most of rank one, since  $P^\perp T(P - P_{n-1}) = P^\perp TE_n(P - P_{n-1})$ ,  $(P_n - P)T = (P_n - P)E_nT$ , and both operators  $TE_n$  and  $E_nT$  have rank one. Thus  $TP - PTP$  has rank at most two.

Second, we claim that there is no compact operator  $K$  such that  $T - K$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_{[0, 1]}$ . Indeed, if  $K$  is any operator for which  $T - K$  leaves each  $P_n$  invariant, then notice that  $TE_n = E_{n+1}KE_n$ . For

$$TE_n = E_{n+1}TE_n = E_{n+1}(T - K)E_n + E_{n+1}KE_n = E_{n+1}KE_n,$$

because

$$E_{n+1}(T - K)E_n = E_{n+1}P_n^\perp(T - K)P_nE_n = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\|KE_n\| \geq \|E_{n+1}KE_n\| = \|TE_n\| = 1$$

for each  $n$ . This inequality implies that  $K$  cannot be compact because the  $E_n$ 's are mutually orthogonal.

What we need for a second condition is an appropriate generalization of the notion of quasitriangularity described in the introduction which applies to arbitrary nests.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a nest of projections on  $\mathcal{H}$ . An operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be quasitriangular relative to  $\mathcal{P}$  if*

- (i)  $P^\perp TP$  is compact for each  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ , and
- (ii) the function  $P \in \mathcal{P} \mapsto P^\perp TP \in \mathcal{K}$  is continuous.

Here,  $\mathcal{H}$  is topologized by its *norm* topology and  $\mathcal{P}$  has the strong operator topology. To see that this does generalize the preceding definition of quasitriangularity, let  $P_n \uparrow 1$  be as in the introduction and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the nest  $\{P_n: n \geq 1\} \cup \{0, 1\}$ . Notice that the projection 1 is the only accumulation point of  $\mathcal{P}$ ; since  $P^\perp TP = 0$  for  $P = 1$ , we see that 2.1 (ii) reduces to the assertion

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n^\perp TP_n\| = 0.$$

It is of some interest that definition 2.1 can be given in an alternate form which involves only the *range* of the mapping  $P \rightarrow P^\perp TP$ , and we digress momentarily to present this. Throughout this section,  $\mathcal{H}$  will denote a complex Hilbert space.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a nest of projections on  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $T$  be an operator such that  $P^\perp TP$  is compact for every  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ .*

*Then  $T$  is quasitriangular relative to  $\mathcal{P}$  if, and only if  $\{P^\perp TP: P \in \mathcal{P}\}$  is a norm-compact set of operators.*

*Proof.* The “only if” assertion follows from the elementary fact that the continuous image of a compact space is compact.

For the converse, assume that the set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{P^\perp TP: P \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

is norm-compact. Note that the relative norm and strong operator topologies on  $\mathcal{S}$  must coincide; for the identity map of  $(\mathcal{S}, \text{norm})$  onto  $(\mathcal{S}, \text{strong})$  is a continuous injective map of a compact space onto a Hausdorff space, and is therefore a homeomorphism.

Since operator multiplication is (jointly) strongly continuous on the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , we see that the function  $P \mapsto P^\perp TP$  is continuous, considered as a map of  $\mathcal{P}$  into  $(\mathcal{S}, \text{strong})$ . By the preceding paragraph, we deduce the required property 2.1 (ii).  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a nest of projections on  $\mathcal{H}$ , let  $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{P}$  be its associated nest algebra, and let  $\mathcal{QI}_\mathcal{P}$  be the algebra of operators which are quasitriangular relative to  $\mathcal{P}$ . In the proof of Theorem 2.3, we will make use of the distance formula from [1]:

$$\inf_{T \in \mathcal{I}_\mathcal{P}} \|A - T\| = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \|P^\perp AP\|,$$

for every operator  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.** *For any nest  $\mathcal{P}$ ,*

$$\mathcal{QI}_\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{I}_\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{K}.$$

*Proof.* We first prove the inclusion  $\supseteq$ . If  $T$  belongs to  $\mathcal{QI}_\mathcal{P}$  [and  $K$  is any compact operator, then as we have already observed  $P^\perp(T + K)P = P^\perp KP \in \mathcal{K}$ , for

each  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ . So it suffices to show that, for fixed  $K$ ,  $P \mapsto P^\perp K P$  is a continuous function from  $\mathcal{P}$  to  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Assume first that  $K$  has rank one, that is  $K\xi = (\xi, e)f$ , where  $e$  and  $f$  are vectors in the underlying Hilbert space. For any two projections  $P$  and  $Q$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} P^\perp K P \xi - Q^\perp K Q \xi &= (P\xi, e) P^\perp f - (Q\xi, e) Q^\perp f \\ &= (\xi, Pe - Qe) P^\perp f + (\xi, Qe) (P^\perp f - Q^\perp f). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|P^\perp K P - Q^\perp K Q\| \leq \|Pe - Qe\| \cdot \|f\| + \|Pf - Qf\| \cdot \|e\|,$$

and hence the left side goes to zero as  $P$  converges strongly to  $Q$ .

By taking finite linear combinations, it follows that  $P \mapsto P^\perp K P$  is continuous for any finite rank  $K$ . Finally, if  $K$  is an arbitrary compact operator, choose finite rank operators  $K_1, K_2, \dots$  so that  $\|K - K_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then since

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \|P^\perp K_n P - P^\perp K P\| \leq \|K_n - K\|$$

tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the function  $P \mapsto P^\perp K P$  appears as a uniform limit of continuous functions from  $\mathcal{P}$  to  $\mathcal{K}$ . Hence,  $P \mapsto P^\perp K P$  is continuous.

For the opposite inclusion  $\subseteq$ , let  $A$  belong to  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{I}_\varphi$  and choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We will find a compact operator  $K = K_\varepsilon$  such that the distance from  $A - K$  to  $\mathcal{I}_\varphi$  is at most  $\varepsilon$ . Because  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, this implies that  $A$  belongs to the norm closure of  $\mathcal{I}_\varphi + \mathcal{K}$ , and the theorem will then follow from the results of the previous section.

To obtain  $K$ , we make use of the fact that the set  $\{P^\perp A P : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ , being compact in the norm topology, contains an  $\varepsilon$ -net  $\{P_j^\perp A P_j : 0 \leq j \leq n\}$ . Thus, for every  $P$  in there is a  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n$ , so that

$$\|P^\perp A P - P_j^\perp A P_j\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

There is clearly no loss if we assume that

$$0 = P_0 < P_1 < \dots < P_n = 1.$$

Putting  $E_j = P_j - P_{j-1}$ , define

$$K = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j^\perp A E_j.$$

By property 2.1(i), each operator  $P_j^\perp A E_j = P_j^\perp A P_j E_j$  is compact, and hence  $K$  is compact.

By the remark preceding this theorem, to prove that the distance from  $A - K$  to  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is at most  $\varepsilon$  it suffices to show that  $\|Q^\perp(A - K)Q\| \leq \varepsilon$  for each  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

For that, fix  $Q$ . Then there is a  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n$ , so that  $P_{j-1} \leq Q \leq P_j$ , and we claim first that

$$Q^\perp(A - K)Q = E_j Q^\perp A Q E_j.$$

Indeed,

$$A - K = \sum_{k=1}^n A E_k - \sum_{k=1}^n P_k^\perp A E_k = \sum_{k=1}^n P_k A E_k.$$

But since  $E_k Q = 0$  if  $k > j$  and  $Q^\perp P_k = 0$  if  $k < j$ , we have  $Q^\perp P_k A E_k Q = 0$  for all  $k \neq j$ , and hence

$$Q^\perp(A - K)Q = Q^\perp P_j A E_j Q = E_j Q^\perp A Q E_j.$$

Now choose  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , so that

$$\|Q^\perp A Q - P_k^\perp A P_k\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then  $E_j Q^\perp A Q E_j$  is within  $\varepsilon$  of  $E_j P_k^\perp A P_k E_j$ , and so

$$\|Q^\perp(A - K)Q\| = \|E_j Q^\perp A Q E_j\| \leq \|E_j P_k^\perp A P_k E_j\| + \varepsilon.$$

But since  $P_k E_j = 0$  for  $k < j$  and  $E_j P_k^\perp = 0$  for  $k \geq j$ , it follows that  $E_j P_k^\perp A P_k E_j$  vanishes for all  $k$ , and we have the desired conclusion that  $\|Q^\perp(A - K)Q\| \leq \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

Let  $\{P_n\}$  be a sequence of finite dimensional projections such that  $P_n \uparrow 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the nest algebra  $\text{alg } \{P_n\}$ . It is clear that theorem 2.3 implies the characterization given in [1] of compact perturbations of operators in  $\mathcal{I}$ , namely that  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{K}$  if and only if  $\|P_n^\perp A P_n\|$  tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, it is a simple matter to deduce the following characterization of compact perturbations of operators in the triangular algebra  $\mathcal{I}_{[0, 1]}$  of the unit interval. Letting  $P_t$  denote the projection of  $L^2[0, 1]$  onto the subspace  $L^2[0, t]$ , we have

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $A$  be a bounded operator on  $L^2[0, 1]$ . In order that  $A$  should have a decomposition  $A = T + K$  with  $T \in \mathcal{I}_{[0, 1]}$  and  $K$  compact, it is necessary and sufficient that  $P_t^\perp A P_t$  be compact for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and the function  $t \mapsto P_t^\perp A P_t$  be (norm) continuous.*

*Proof.* This follows from 2.3 after noting that the map  $t \mapsto P_t$  is a homeomorphism of the closed unit interval onto the nest  $\{P_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ .  $\square$

**APPENDIX: THE PREDUAL OF A NEST ALGEBRA**

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a nest of projections on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and let  $\mathcal{A} = \text{alg } \mathcal{P}$  be its associated nest algebra. The purpose of this section is to describe the annihilator

of  $\mathcal{A}$  in the space of all ultraweakly continuous linear functionals on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , and thereby identify the predual of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

By an *atom* (associated with  $\mathcal{P}$ ) we mean a nonzero projection of the form  $Q - P$ , where  $P$  and  $Q$  are projections in  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $P$  is the immediate predecessor of  $Q$ ; that is,  $P < Q$ , and if  $R \in \mathcal{P}$  satisfies  $P \leq R \leq Q$ , then  $R = P$  or  $R = Q$ . It is easily seen that the atoms are simply the minimal projections in the von Neumann algebra generated by  $\mathcal{P}$ . It follows that distinct atoms are orthogonal, and for each operator  $A$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  we can form the operator

$$\delta(A) = \sum EAE,$$

the sum extended over all atoms  $E$  associated with  $\mathcal{P}$  (if  $\mathcal{P}$  has no atoms then  $\delta$  is defined to be the zero mapping).  $\delta$  is a normal positive linear map of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  into the diagonal  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^*$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, since each projection  $E$  appearing in the sum is a nested difference of  $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant projections, we have  $EABE = EAEBE$  for all  $A, B$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . It follows that the restriction of  $\delta$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  is a homomorphism.

The Banach space  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_*$  of all ultraweakly continuous linear functionals on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is identified with the space  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$  of all trace class operators  $X$  having the natural norm

$$\|X\|_1 = \text{tr}((X^*X)^{1/2}),$$

and this identification associates the linear functional  $\rho$  with the operator  $X$  via the formula  $\rho(T) = \text{tr}(XT)$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . The annihilator of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_*$  is described as follows.

**PROPOSITION A.** *Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_*$ . Then  $\rho$  annihilates  $\mathcal{A}$  iff  $\rho$  has the form*

$$\rho(T) = \text{tr}(XT),$$

where  $X$  is a trace class operator in  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $\delta(X) = 0$ .

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ : Let  $\rho$  be an ultraweakly continuous linear function on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  which annihilates  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $X$  be a trace class operator such that  $\rho(\cdot) = \text{tr}(X\cdot)$ . We will show that  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\delta(X) = 0$ .

Fix  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . Note that  $PTP^\perp$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Indeed, if  $Q$  is any projection in  $\mathcal{P}$ , then either  $Q \leq P$  or  $Q \geq P$  and hence  $P^\perp Q = 0$  or  $Q^\perp P = 0$ ; in either case we have  $Q^\perp(PTP^\perp)Q = 0$ , which shows that  $PTP^\perp \in \text{alg } \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\text{tr}(X\cdot)$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{A}$  we have

$$\text{tr}(P^\perp XPT) = \text{tr}(XPTP^\perp) = 0,$$

and therefore  $P^\perp X P = 0$  since  $T$  is arbitrary. The conclusion  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  now follows.

To prove that  $\delta(X) = 0$  it suffices to show that  $EXE = 0$  for every atom  $E$ . But since  $ETE \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^* \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  for each  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , we have

$$\text{tr}(EXET) = \text{tr}(XETE) = 0,$$

and hence  $EXE = 0$  since  $T$  was arbitrary.

In order to prove the converse, we require some preliminaries. We remark that the referee has kindly pointed out that the essential ingredients of Lemmas 2 and 3 below are contained in pp. 23 – 28 of [9]. We have retained this discussion for completeness.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $e$  be a vector in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $Ee = 0$  for every atomic projection  $E$  associated with  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then  $\{\|Pe\| : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$  is the entire interval  $[0, \|e\|]$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $\{\|Pe\| : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$  is a subset of  $[0, \|e\|]$  which contains both endpoints. So if it is not the entire interval then there is a  $t$ ,  $0 < t < \|e\|$ , for which  $\|Pe\| \neq t$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . Define

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \|Pe\| < t\}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \|Pe\| > t\}.$$

$\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  are complementary sets in  $\mathcal{P}$ , and  $P_1 < P_2$  for any pair of projections  $P_i \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . Put

$$P_1 = \bigvee \mathcal{S}_1 \text{ and } P_2 = \bigwedge \mathcal{S}_2.$$

Then each  $P_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $P_1 \leq P_2$ . Moreover, by a familiar lemma ([4], Appendix II),  $P_i$  must belong to the strong closure of  $\mathcal{S}_1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $\|Pe\| < t$  for each  $P \in \mathcal{S}_1$ , we have  $\|P_1e\| \leq t$ ; and since  $\|P_1e\|$  cannot equal  $t$ , it follows that  $\|P_1e\| < t$ . Similarly,  $\|P_2e\| > t$ .

In particular,  $P_1 < P_2$ . We claim now that  $P_2 - P_1$  is atomic. For if  $Q \in \mathcal{P}$  satisfies  $P_1 < Q$ , then  $Q$  cannot belong to  $\mathcal{S}_1$ , so that  $Q \in \mathcal{S}_2$ , and hence  $Q \geq P_2$  as asserted. By hypothesis we conclude that  $\|(P_2 - P_1)e\| = 0$ , and hence  $\|P_1e\| = \|P_2e\|$ . This is absurd, however, since  $\|P_1e\| < t < \|P_2e\|$ .  $\square$

For every finite subset  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  having the form

$$\mathcal{F} = \{0 = P_0 < P_1 < \dots < P_n = 1\},$$

define a mapping  $\delta_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  by

$$\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(T) = \sum_{j=1}^n (P_j - P_{j-1}) T (P_j - P_{j-1}).$$

Each  $\delta_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a unital, completely positive expectation of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  onto the commutant  $\mathcal{F}'$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ ; the restriction of  $\delta_{\mathcal{F}}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra homomorphism; and for  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  we have

$$\delta_{\mathcal{G}} \circ \delta_{\mathcal{F}} = \delta_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \delta_{\mathcal{G}} = \delta_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

Considering the finite sets  $\mathcal{F}$  as an increasing directed family relative to set inclusion, we have

LEMMA 2. For every compact operator  $X$ ,

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \|\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(X) - \delta(X)\| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be the sum of all atomic projections associated with  $\mathcal{P}$ . We claim first that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \|\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(A^{\perp}X)\| = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \|\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(XA^{\perp})\| = 0,$$

for every compact operator  $X$ . Since  $XA^{\perp} = (A^{\perp}X^*)^*$  and each  $\delta_{\mathcal{F}}$  maps adjoints to adjoints, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \|\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(XA^{\perp})\| = 0.$$

Suppose first that  $X$  has rank one, that is  $X\xi = (\xi, e)f$  where  $e$  and  $f$  are vectors in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then

$$XA^{\perp}\xi = (A^{\perp}\xi, e)f = (\xi, A^{\perp}e)f.$$

Choose numbers  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = \|A^{\perp}e\|$  so that  $|t_i^2 - t_{i-1}^2| \leq \varepsilon^2$  for each  $i$ . Applying Lemma 1, we may find projections  $0 = P_0 < P_1 < \dots < P_n = 1$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  so that  $\|P_j A^{\perp}e\| = t_j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n$ . This clearly implies

$$\|(P_j - P_{j-1})A^{\perp}e\|^2 = t_j^2 - t_{j-1}^2 \leq \varepsilon^2.$$

Letting

$$\mathcal{F} = \{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n\},$$

we claim that

$$\|\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(XA^{\perp})\| \leq \varepsilon \|f\|.$$

Indeed, if  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(XA^{\perp})\xi\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (\xi, (P_j - P_{j-1})A^{\perp}e) (P_j - P_{j-1})f \right\|^2 = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n |(\xi, (P_j - P_{j-1})A^{\perp}e)|^2 \|(P_j - P_{j-1})f\|^2 \leq \\ &\leq \|\xi\|^2 \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \|(P_j - P_{j-1})f\|^2 = \\ &= \|\xi\|^2 \varepsilon^2 \|f\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

as asserted.

Now if  $\mathcal{G} \supseteq \mathcal{F}$ , then since  $\delta_{\mathcal{G}} = \delta_{\mathcal{G}} \circ \delta_{\mathcal{F}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_{\mathcal{G}}(XA^\perp)\| &= \|\delta_{\mathcal{G}}(\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(XA^\perp))\| \\ &\leq \|\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(XA^\perp)\| \leq \varepsilon \|f\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\lim \|\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(XA)\| = 0$  for every rank one operator  $X$ .

By taking finite linear combinations, the same conclusion holds for all finite rank operators  $X$ ; since the maps  $\delta_{\mathcal{F}}$  are all uniformly bounded in norm by 1 and since the finite rank operators are norm dense in the space of compact operators, we conclude that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \|\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(XA^\perp)\| = 0$$

for every compact operator  $X$ .

To finish the proof, let  $X$  be an arbitrary compact operator. Then we can write

$$X = XA^\perp + A^\perp XA + AXA.$$

Since both terms  $\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(XA^\perp)$  and  $\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(A^\perp XA)$  tend to zero in norm as  $\mathcal{F}$  increases, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \|\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(AXA) - \delta(X)\| = 0.$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $AXA$  is a compact operator which lives in  $A\mathcal{H}$ , we can find a finite set of atoms  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  so that  $\|PAXAP\| \leq \varepsilon$  for every projection  $P$  satisfying  $P \perp A_1 + \dots + A_n$ . We may assume that each  $A_j$  has the form  $A_j = Q_j - P_j$ , where

$$P_1 < Q_1 \leq P_2 < Q_2 \leq \dots \leq P_n < Q_n.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{0, P_1, Q_1, \dots, P_n, Q_n, 1\}.$$

Then every finite set which contains  $\mathcal{F}$  has the property that  $Q_j$  is the immediate successor to  $P_j$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ , for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus  $\delta_{\mathcal{G}}(AXA)$  has the form

$$\delta_{\mathcal{G}}(AXA) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j X A_j + \sum_E E A X A E,$$

where the projections  $E$  in the second sum are all orthogonal to  $A_1 + \dots + A_n$ . Thus

$$\|\bar{\Sigma} E A X A E\| = \sup_E \|E A X A E\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Similarly,

$$\delta(X) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j X A_j + \sum_F F X F,$$

where each  $F$  in the second sum satisfies  $F \leq A$  and  $F \perp A_1 + \dots + A_n$ . Hence  $\|\Sigma FXF\| \leq \varepsilon$ . It follows that

$$\|\delta_{\mathcal{G}}(AXA) - \delta(X)\| \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

whenever  $\mathcal{G} \supseteq \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 3. *Let  $X$  be a compact operator in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(X) = 0$ . Then  $X$  belongs to the radical of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\mathcal{P}$  is finite, say

$$\mathcal{P} = \{0 = P_0 < P_1 < \dots < P_n = 1\}.$$

In this case  $\delta$  has the form

$$\delta(T) = \sum_{j=1}^n E_j T E_j,$$

where  $E_j = P_j - P_{j-1}$ . We claim first that each  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , for which  $\delta(X) = 0$ , must satisfy  $X^n = 0$ . Indeed, since  $P_j^{\perp} X P_j = 0$  for all  $j$  we have  $E_p X E_q = 0$  for all  $p > q$ , and since  $\delta(X) = 0$  we in fact have  $E_p X E_q = 0$  for all  $p \geq q$ . Because

$$E_1 + \dots + E_n = 1,$$

we can write  $X$  in the form

$$X = \sum_{p, q=1}^n E_p X E_q = \sum_{p < q} E_p X E_q.$$

It follows that  $X^n$  is a finite sum of operators of the form

$$E_{p_1} X E_{q_1} E_{p_2} X E_{q_2} \dots E_{p_n} X E_{q_n},$$

where  $p_1 < q_1, p_2 < q_2, \dots, p_n < q_n$ . Note that each product of this form must be zero. For if it is not, then by the orthogonality of the  $E$ 's we must have

$$p_1 < q_1 = p_2 < q_2 = \dots = p_n < q_n,$$

and in particular  $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n \leq n$ , an absurdity.

Notice that, in fact,  $(AX)^n = (AX)^n = 0$  for all  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For

$$\delta(AX) = \delta(A) \delta(X) = 0 \text{ and } \delta(XA) = \delta(X) \delta(A) = 0,$$

and the preceding argument implies that both  $AX$  and  $XA$  are nilpotent of index  $n$ . In particular, this shows that  $X$  belongs to the radical of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

In the case of a general nest  $\mathcal{P}$ , we can argue as follows. Fix a compact  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\delta(X) = 0$ , and choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By lemma 2, there is a finite subset

$$\mathcal{F} = \{0 = P_0 < P_1 < \dots < P_n = 1\}$$

of  $\mathcal{P}$  such that

$$\|\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(X)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Consider  $X_0 = X - \delta_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$ . This is a compact operator in  $\text{alg } \mathcal{F}$  for which  $\delta_{\mathcal{F}}(X_0) = 0$ . By the argument just given, we see that

$$(AX_0)^n = (X_0A)^n = 0$$

for every  $A$  in  $\text{alg } \mathcal{F}$ , and in particular this holds for all  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{alg } \mathcal{F}$ . This, implies that  $X_0$  belongs to the radical of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\|X - X_0\| \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary and since the radical of  $\mathcal{A}$  is norm-closed, we conclude that  $X$  belongs to the radical of  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

Returning now to the proof of Proposition A, let  $X$  be a trace class operator, in  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $\delta(X) = 0$ . We claim that  $\text{tr}(XA) = 0$  for each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Indeed, for each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$   $XA$  is a trace-class operator satisfying

$$\delta(XA) = \delta(X)\delta(A) = 0.$$

By lemma 3,  $XA$  must belong to the radical of  $\mathcal{A}$  and in particular is quasinilpotent. Since the trace of a quasinilpotent trace class operator is zero ([6 XI.9.18]), we conclude that

$$\text{tr}(XA) = 0. \square$$

It is well-known that the Hardy space  $H^\infty$  of the unit disc is the dual of the Banach space quotient

$$L^1/H_0^1,$$

where  $H_0^1$  denotes all functions in  $H^1$  which vanish at the origin. In view of Proposition A, we may infer an analogous result about any nest algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \text{alg } \mathcal{P}$ . Letting  $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\mathcal{A})$  denote the space of all trace class operators  $X$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $\delta(X) = 0$ , we have

**COROLLARY 1.**  *$\mathcal{A}$  is the dual of the quotient space  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{H}_0^1(\mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is the dual of  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\mathcal{A})$  is the annihilator of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$ , the formula is evident from first principles.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.** *Every nest algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is the ultraweakly closed linear span of its rank one operators.*

*Proof.* Let  $\rho$  be an ultraweakly continuous linear functional which annihilates each rank one operator in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and write  $\rho(\cdot) = \text{tr}(X\cdot)$ , where  $X \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$ . By proposition A it suffices to show that  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\delta(X) = 0$ .

To see that  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , fix  $P$  in the lattice  $\text{lat } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}$ . Choose vectors  $e \in P^\perp \mathcal{H}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , and let  $e \otimes f$  be the rank one operator  $\xi \mapsto (\xi, e)f$ . Since

$$e \otimes f = P(e \otimes f)P^\perp \in P\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})P^\perp,$$

it follows as in the proof of proposition  $A$  that  $e \otimes f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence

$$\operatorname{tr}(X(e \otimes f)) = 0.$$

But

$$X(e \otimes f) = e \otimes Xf,$$

and so

$$0 = \operatorname{tr}(X(e \otimes f)) = \operatorname{tr}(e \otimes Xf) = (Xf, e).$$

Since  $e$  (resp.  $f$ ) is arbitrary in  $P^\perp \mathcal{H}$  (resp.  $P \mathcal{H}$ ), it follows that  $P^\perp X P = 0$ . Hence,  $X \in \operatorname{alg} \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{A}$ .

We claim now that  $\delta(X) = 0$ ; equivalently,  $EXE = 0$  for every atomic projection  $E$  associated with  $\mathcal{P}$ . Indeed, since  $E$  is atomic we must have either  $E \leq P$  or  $E \perp P$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . So if  $e, f$  are any vectors in  $E \mathcal{H}$ , then  $P^\perp(e \otimes f)P = Pe \otimes P^\perp f = 0$ , and hence  $e \otimes f \in \mathcal{A}$ . It follows that

$$(Xf, e) = \operatorname{tr}(e \otimes Xf) = \operatorname{tr}(X(e \otimes f)) = 0.$$

Arguing as above, we conclude that  $EXE = 0$ .  $\square$

*The second and third authors acknowledge partial support by grants from the National Science Foundation*

#### REFERENCES

1. ARVESON, W., Interpolation problems in nest algebras, *J. Functional Analysis*, **20** (1975), 208–233.
2. BIRKHOFF, G., *Lattice Theory* (2nd rev. ed.), AMS. Colloq. Publ. 25, 1948.
3. DAVIDSON, K.; FONG, C., An operator algebra which is not closed in the Calkin algebra, *Pacific J. Math.*, **72** (1977), 57–58.
4. DIXMIER, J., *Les algèbres d-opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien*, Gauthier-Villars, 1957.
5. DIXMIER, J., *Les C\*-algèbres et leur représentations*, Gauthier Villars, 1964.
6. DUNFORD, N.; SCHWARTZ, J., *Linear operators, vol. II, Spectral Theory*, Interscience, 1963.
7. FALL, T., *Compact perturbations of nest algebras*, Ph.D. dissertation, Berkeley, 1977.
8. HALMOS, P., Ten problems in Hilbert space, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **76** (1970), 887–933.
9. GOHBERG, I.; KREIN, M., *Theory and applications of Volterra operators in Hilbert space*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations **24** (1970).

THOMAS FALL  
Kaiser Electronics,  
1681 Page Mill Rd., Palo Alto,  
CA 94 306  
U.S.A.

WILLIAM ARVESON  
Department of Mathematics,  
University of California,  
Berkeley, CA 94720,  
U.S.A.

PAUL MUHLY  
Department of Mathematics,  
University of Iowa  
Iowa City, Iowa 52240  
U.S.A.

Received July 28, 1978