

# A PROOF OF A THEOREM ON TRACE REPRESENTATION OF STRONGLY POSITIVE LINEAR FUNCTIONALS ON $OP^*$ -ALGEBRAS

KONRAD SCHMÜDGEN

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [4], the following theorem was shown.

**THEOREM I.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a dense linear subspace of a Hilbert space. Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}[t_+]$  is a Fréchet space. The following are equivalent:*

(1)  $\mathcal{D}[t_+]$  is a Montel space.

(2) For any  $Op^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  with  $t_{\mathcal{A}} = t_+$ , each strongly positive linear functional  $f$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a trace functional, i.e.  $f$  is of the form  $f(a) = \text{Tr } ta$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $t \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{D})_+$ .

The proof given in [4] for the main part (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) of the theorem relied on a method developed by Sherman [6]. Because Sherman's proof is very long, it is desirable to make it simpler. The purpose of the present note is to give another proof of the above-noted result (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) which will be stated separately as

**THEOREM II.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $Op^*$ -algebra on  $\mathcal{D}$  and let  $f$  be a strongly positive linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}[t_{\mathcal{A}}]$  is a Fréchet-Montel space.*

*Then there exists an operator  $t \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{D})_+$  such that  $f(a) = \text{Tr } ta$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

Our proof of Theorem II is based on topological arguments. It makes use of Theorem 4.4 (more precisely, of Proposition 4.1) in [4]. Let us recall some part of Theorem 4.4 from [4] in a convenient formulation for later use.

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $Op^*$ -algebra on  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}[t_{\mathcal{A}}]$  is a Fréchet-Montel space. Let  $f$  be a  $\tau_{\mathcal{D}}$ -continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Then there is an operator  $t \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{D})$  so that  $f(a) = \text{Tr } ta$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

The present approach to Theorem II is shorter than Sherman's original proof and it gives a more general result.

Some arguments used here independently appear in [1]. In the case of Fréchet-Montel domains which have an unconditional basis another proof of Theorem II was also given in [5].

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

We collect the definitions we use in what follows (for more details about *Op\**-algebras we refer to [2]).

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a dense linear subspace of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $L^+(\mathcal{D}) := \{a \in \text{End } \mathcal{D} : a\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}, a^*\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}\}$ . Endowed with the involution  $a \rightarrow a^+ := a^*|_{\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $L^+(\mathcal{D})$  is a *\**-algebra. An *Op\**-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  is a *\**-subalgebra of  $L^+(\mathcal{D})$  containing the identity  $I = I_{\mathcal{D}}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be self-adjoint on  $\mathcal{D}$  if

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigcap_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{D}(a^*).$$

By the graph topology  $t_{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  we mean the locally convex topology defined by the seminorms  $\|\varphi\|_a := \|a\varphi\|$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . In the case  $\mathcal{A} = L^+(\mathcal{D})$  we write  $t_+$ . The uniform topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{D}}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  ([2]) is generated by the family of seminorms

$$p_m(a) := \sup_{\varphi, \psi \in m} |\langle a\varphi, \psi \rangle|$$

taken for all bounded subsets  $m$  of  $\mathcal{D}[t_{\mathcal{A}}]$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}_h = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : a^+ = a\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_+ = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \langle a\varphi, \varphi \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}\}$  and  $a \geq b$  iff  $a - b \in \mathcal{A}_+$  for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_h$ . A linear functional  $f$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is called strongly positive if  $f(a) \geq 0 \ \forall a \in \mathcal{A}_+$ .

Further, let  $\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \{t \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \overline{ta}$  and  $\overline{t^*a}$  are of trace class for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}; t\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}, t^*\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{A})_+ = \{t \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{A}) : t \geq 0\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{D}) = \mathfrak{S}_1(L^+(\mathcal{D}))$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{D})_+ = \mathfrak{S}_1(L^+(\mathcal{D}))_+$ .

A Montel space is a barreled locally convex space in which each bounded set is relatively compact.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM II

For convenience, the proof will be divided into several steps stated as lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $a$  be a symmetric operator on a unitary space  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $\psi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . If*

$$(\lambda\bar{\lambda} + \|\eta\|^2)^{-1} \langle a(\lambda\psi + \eta), \lambda\psi + \eta \rangle \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \langle a\psi, \psi \rangle, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, \lambda \neq 0,$$

then

$$\langle a\eta, \eta \rangle \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \langle a(\lambda\psi + \eta), \lambda\psi + \eta \rangle, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{C}.$$

*Proof.* From our assumption it follows that

$$\lambda\bar{\lambda} \langle a\psi, \psi \rangle + \lambda \langle a\psi, \eta \rangle + \bar{\lambda} \langle a\eta, \psi \rangle - (1 - \varepsilon) \langle a\psi, \psi \rangle (\lambda\bar{\lambda} + \|\eta\|^2)$$

is non-negative for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ . Hence the discriminant must be non-negative, that is,

$$\varepsilon \langle a\psi, \psi \rangle [\langle a\eta, \eta \rangle - (1 - \varepsilon) \langle a\psi, \psi \rangle \|\eta\|^2] - |\langle a\psi, \eta \rangle|^2 \geq 0.$$

Because  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , this gives

$$(1 + \varepsilon) \langle a\psi, \psi \rangle \varepsilon \langle a\eta, \eta \rangle - (1 + \varepsilon) |\langle a\psi, \eta \rangle|^2 \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \bar{\lambda} (1 + \varepsilon) \langle a\psi, \psi \rangle + (1 + \varepsilon) \lambda \langle a\psi, \eta \rangle + (1 + \varepsilon) \bar{\lambda} \langle a\eta, \psi \rangle + \varepsilon \langle a\eta, \eta \rangle = \\ & = (1 + \varepsilon) \langle a(\lambda\psi + \eta), \lambda\psi + \eta \rangle - \langle a\eta, \eta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

takes only non-negative values for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . This proves the assertion.  $\square$

LEMMA 2. Let  $f$  be a strongly positive linear functional on an  $Op^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  and let  $a, x \in \mathcal{A}$ .

If  $|\langle x\varphi, \varphi \rangle| \leq \langle a\varphi, \varphi \rangle$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ , then  $|f(x)| \leq \sqrt{2f(a)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = x_1 + ix_2$ ,  $x_1 = x_1^+ \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x_2 = x_2^+ \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $|\langle x\varphi, \varphi \rangle| \leq \langle a\varphi, \varphi \rangle \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$  implies that  $\pm x_1 \leq a$  and  $\pm x_2 \leq a$ . Hence  $\pm f(x_1) \leq f(a)$  and  $\pm f(x_2) \leq f(a)$  which gives

$$|f(x)|^2 = f(x_1)^2 + f(x_2)^2 \leq 2f(a)^2. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 3. Let  $\{a_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a sequence of operators  $a_i \in L^+(\mathcal{D})$  and  $\{\alpha_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  a sequence of positive real numbers.

There is a real sequence  $\{\beta_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with  $\beta_1 = \alpha_1^2$ ,  $0 < \beta_i < \alpha_i^2$ ,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$  and an orthonormal system  $\{\varphi_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of vectors  $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{D}$  so that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \|a_i(I - E_n)\varphi\|^2 \leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \|a_i\varphi\|^2$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , whereby  $E_n = P_1 + \dots + P_n$  and  $P_i$  denotes the projection on the one-dimensional subspace generated by  $\varphi_i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\varepsilon_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a real sequence with  $0 < \varepsilon_i < 1/2$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \varepsilon_i) \leq 4$ .

By induction on  $n$  we prove that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \|a_i(I - E_n)\varphi\|^2 \leq \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + \varepsilon_i) \right] \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \|a_i\varphi\|^2, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$$

which implies (1).

Suppose that  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  and  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  are already chosen so that (2) is fulfilled. Let

$$(3) \quad \beta_{n+1} = (1 + \|a_{n+1}E_n\|)^{-2} \alpha_{n+1}^2.$$

Further, let

$$I_{n+1} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \beta_i \|a_i\varphi\|^2; \|\varphi\| = 1, \varphi \in (I - E_n)\mathcal{D} \right\}.$$

We choose a unit vector  $\varphi_{n+1} \in (I - E_n)\mathcal{D}$  so that

$$(4) \quad (1 - \varepsilon_{n+1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \beta_i \|a_i \varphi_{n+1}\|^2 \leq I_{n+1}.$$

We prove that (2) is true for  $n + 1$ . Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ . We apply Lemma 1 with

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \beta_i a_i^+ a_i, \psi = \varphi_{n+1}, \eta = (1 - E_{n+1})\varphi$$

and  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{n+1}$ . By the definition of  $I_{n+1}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda \bar{\lambda} + \|\eta\|^2)^{-1} \langle a(\lambda\psi + \eta), \lambda\psi + \eta \rangle \equiv \\ & \equiv (\lambda \bar{\lambda} + \|(I - E_{n+1})\varphi\|^2)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \beta_i \|a_i(\lambda\varphi_{n+1} + (I - E_{n+1})\varphi)\|^2 \geq I_{n+1} \stackrel{(4)}{\geq} \\ & \stackrel{(4)}{\geq} (1 - \varepsilon_{n+1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \beta_i \|a_i \varphi_{n+1}\|^2 \equiv (1 - \varepsilon) \langle a\psi, \psi \rangle \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, \lambda \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $\lambda = \langle \varphi, \varphi_{n+1} \rangle$  it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \beta_i \|a_i(I - E_{n+1})\varphi\|^2 \equiv \langle a\eta, \eta \rangle \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \langle a(\lambda\psi + \eta), \lambda\psi + \eta \rangle \equiv \\ (5) \quad & \equiv (1 + \varepsilon_{n+1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \beta_i \|a_i(P_{n+1}\varphi + (I - E_{n+1})\varphi)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the induction hypothesis we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \beta_i \|a_i(I - E_{n+1})\varphi\|^2 \stackrel{(5)}{\leq} (1 + \varepsilon_{n+1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \beta_i \|a_i(I - E_n)\varphi\|^2 \leq \\ & \leq (1 + \varepsilon_{n+1}) \left[ \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \varepsilon_i) \right] \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \|a_i\varphi\|^2 + \beta_{n+1} (\|a_{n+1}\varphi\| + \|a_{n+1}E_n\| \|a_{n+1}\varphi\|)^2 \right] \stackrel{(3)}{\leq} \\ & \leq \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (1 + \varepsilon_i) \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^2 \|a_i\varphi\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2) for  $n + 1$ .

We have to say some words about the first step of induction. We take  $\beta_1 = \alpha_1^2$  and choose  $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\|\varphi_1\| = 1$ , such that (4) is fulfilled for  $n = 0$ ,  $E_0 = 0$ . Then, by the same arguments, (1) is true in the case  $n = 1$ .  $\square$

Now let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $Op^*$ -algebra on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}[\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  is a Fréchet-Montel space. Then there is a sequence  $\{a_i, i \in \mathbf{N}\}$  of operators  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$  so that  $\|\varphi\| \leq \|a_i\varphi\| \leq \|a_{i+1}\varphi\| \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}, i \in \mathbf{N}$ , and the seminorms  $\|\varphi\|_{a_i}, i \in \mathbf{N}$ , generate  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Using Lemma 3 for these operators  $a_i$ , the next Lemma is mainly a consequence of the Montel property of  $\mathcal{D}[\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ .

LEMMA 4. For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  there is a number  $k_n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$(6) \quad n^2 \|a_n(I - E_{k_n})\varphi\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \beta_i \|a_i(I - E_{k_n})\varphi\|^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

*Proof.* Assume that this is not the case for a number  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  there is a vector  $\xi_k \in \mathcal{D}$  so that

$$n^2 \|a_n(I - E_k)\xi_k\|^2 > \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \|a_i(I - E_k)\xi_k\|^2.$$

Let

$$\psi_k = (I - E_k)\xi_k.$$

After norming the vectors we obtain  $\|a_n\psi_k\| = 1$ . Then

$$(7) \quad n^2 > \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \|a_i\psi_k\|^2 \geq \beta_i \|a_i\psi_k\|^2$$

for  $i \leq k$ . Consequently,

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \|a_i\psi_k\|^2 \leq \sup (\|a_i\psi_1\|^2, \dots, \|a_i\psi_{i-1}\|^2, n^2/\beta_i) < +\infty.$$

Thus,  $\{\psi_k, k \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -bounded sequence. Since  $\mathcal{D}[\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  is a Montel space, there is a  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -convergent subsequence  $\psi_{r_k} \rightarrow \psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ . By (7), we have

$$n^2 > \sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i \|a_i\psi_{r_k}\|^2 \quad \text{for } s \leq r_k, s \in \mathbf{N},$$

which gives

$$n^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i \|a_i\psi_0\|^2 \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Since

$$1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n\psi_{r_k}\| = \|a_n\psi_0\|,$$

it follows that  $\psi_0 \neq 0$ .

Clearly,  $\psi_0 \in (I - E_s)\mathcal{D} \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{N}$  because  $\psi_{r_k} \in (I - E_{r_k})\mathcal{D}$ . Hence by the definition of  $I_s$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_0\|^{-2} n^2 &\geq \|\psi_0\|^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i \|a_i\psi_0\|^2 \geq I_s \stackrel{(4)}{\geq} (1 - \varepsilon_s) \sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i \|a_i\varphi_s\|^2 \geq \\ &\geq 1/2 \beta_i \|a_i\varphi_s\|^2 \quad \text{for } i \leq s. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$\|a_i\varphi_s\|^2 \leq 2n^2 \|\psi_0\|^{-2} / \beta_i$$

for  $i \leq s$  implies the  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -boundedness of the sequence  $\{\varphi_s, s \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . Using again the Montel property of  $\mathcal{D}[\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ , it follows that this sequence would have a cluster point. This is a contradiction because  $\{\varphi_s, s \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is an orthonormal system.  $\square$

LEMMA 5.

$$(8) \quad n \|a_n(I - E_{k_n})\varphi\| \|\varphi\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} 2\alpha_i \|a_i\varphi\|^2 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}, n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } n^2 \|a_n(I - E_{k_n})\varphi\|^2 &\leq \sum_{(6) i=1}^{k_n} \beta_i \|a_i(I - E_{k_n})\varphi\|^2 \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \\ &\leq \sum_{(1) i=1}^{k_n} 4\alpha_i \|a_i\varphi\|^2 \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} 2\alpha_i \|a_i\varphi\| \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad n \|a_n(I - E_{k_n})\varphi\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} 2\alpha_i \|a_i\varphi\|.$$

Since

$$\|\varphi\| \leq \|a_i\varphi\| \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}, i \in \mathbf{N},$$

the last inequality immediately implies (8).  $\square$

Now we consider an arbitrary element  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then there is a constant  $C_x$  and an  $r \in \mathbf{N}$  so that  $\|x\varphi\| \leq C_x \|a_r\varphi\|$  and  $\|x^+\varphi\| \leq C_x \|a_r\varphi\| \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ . Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $n \geq C_x$  and  $n \geq r$ .

LEMMA 6.

$$(9) \quad |\langle (I - E_{k_n})x(I - E_{k_n})\varphi, \varphi \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} 2\alpha_i \|a_i\varphi\|^2,$$

$$(10) \quad |\langle (I - E_{k_n})xE_{k_n}\varphi, \varphi \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} 2\alpha_i \|a_i\varphi\|^2,$$

$$(11) \quad |\langle E_{k_n}x(I - E_{k_n})\varphi, \varphi \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} 2\alpha_i \|a_i\varphi\|^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

*Proof.*  $|\langle (I - E_{k_n})x(I - E_{k_n})\varphi, \varphi \rangle| \equiv |\langle x(I - E_{k_n})\varphi, (I - E_{k_n})\varphi \rangle| \leq C_x \|a_r(I - E_{k_n})\varphi\| \|(I - E_{k_n})\varphi\| \leq n \|a_n(I - E_{k_n})\varphi\| \|\varphi\| \stackrel{(8)}{\leq} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} 2\alpha_i \|a_i\varphi\|^2$ . Similarly, (10) and (11) will be verified.  $\square$

Next we inductively choose a sequence  $\gamma = \{\gamma_i, i \in \mathbf{N}\}$  of positive real numbers so that  $\gamma_i^2 \leq \beta_i$  and

$$(12) \quad \gamma_i \|a_i E_k\| \leq \gamma_1 \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, i; i \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Using this sequence we define

$$h_\gamma(k, \varphi) := \sup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \gamma_i \|a_i E_k \varphi\|, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Let

$$m = \left\{ \bigcup_{\substack{k \in \mathbf{N} \\ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}}} h_\gamma(k, \varphi)^{-1} E_k \varphi \right\}.$$

Since

$$h_\gamma(k, \varphi) \geq \gamma_i \|a_i E_k \varphi\| \quad \forall i, k \in \mathbf{N}, \varphi \in \mathcal{D},$$

we have

$$\sup_{\psi \in \mathfrak{M}} \|a_i \psi\| \equiv \sup_{\substack{k \in \mathbf{N} \\ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}}} h_\gamma(k, \varphi)^{-1} \|a_i E_k \varphi\| \leq 1/\gamma_i.$$

Hence  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a  $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -bounded subset of the domain. Let

$$\mathcal{W}_m = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : p_m(a) \leq 1\}$$

be the corresponding 0-neighbourhood for  $\tau_{\mathcal{D}}$ . Assume that  $3\alpha_i \leq 1 \quad \forall i \in \mathbf{N}$ .

LEMMA 7. *If  $x \in \mathcal{W}_m$ , then*

$$(13) \quad |\langle x\varphi, \varphi \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} 8\alpha_i \|a_i \varphi\|^2 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

*Proof.* First we note that

$$h_\gamma(k, \varphi) = \sup_{i=1, \dots, k} \gamma_i \|a_i E_k \varphi\|$$

because

$$\gamma_i \|a_i E_k \varphi\| \leq \gamma_i \|a_i E_k\| \|E_k \varphi\| \leq \gamma_1 \|a_i E_k \varphi\| \quad \text{for } k \leq i, \quad i, k \in \mathbf{N}, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

From

$$1 \geq p_m(x) \geq |\langle x E_k \varphi, E_k \varphi \rangle| h_\gamma(k, \varphi)^{-2}$$

it follows that for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $k \in \mathbf{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle E_k x E_k \varphi, \varphi \rangle| &\leq h_\gamma(k, \varphi)^2 = \sup_{i=1, \dots, k} \gamma_i^2 \|a_i E_k \varphi\|^2 \stackrel{(12)}{\leq} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \|a_i E_k \varphi\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\beta_i + 4\alpha_i^2) \|a_i \varphi\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^k 2\alpha_i \|a_i \varphi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here was applied  $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i^2$  and  $3\alpha_i \leq 1$ . Since

$$x = (I - E_{k_n})x(I - E_{k_n}) + (I - E_{k_n})xE_{k_n} + E_{k_n}x(I - E_{k_n}) + E_{k_n}xE_{k_n},$$

the last inequality together with (9), (10), (11) imply (13).  $\square$

From Lemma 7 it is only a small step to complete the proof of theorem II. Let  $f$  be a strongly positive linear functional on the  $Op^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathfrak{t}_+$  by the closed graph theorem, the hermitian part  $\mathcal{A}_h$  is cofinal in the ordered vector space  $L^+(\mathcal{D})_h$ . Hence,  $f$  can be extended to a strongly positive linear functional on  $L^+(\mathcal{D})$  ([3], p. 82). Thus, we may assume that  $\mathcal{A} = L^+(\mathcal{D})$ .

Now we choose the positive sequence  $\{\alpha_i, i \in \mathbf{N}\}$  such that  $3\alpha_i \leq 1$  and  $16\alpha_i f(a_i^+ a_i) \leq 2^{-i} \forall i \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}_m$  be the  $\tau_{\mathcal{D}}$ -neighbourhood constructed above. If  $x \in \mathcal{W}_m$ , then according to Lemma 7

$$|\langle x\varphi, \varphi \rangle| \leq \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} 8\alpha_i a_i^+ a_i \varphi, \varphi \right\rangle \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

By lemma 2, we get

$$|f(x)| \leq \sqrt{2} f \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} 8\alpha_i a_i^+ a_i \right) \leq 1,$$

that is,  $f$  is  $\tau_{\mathcal{D}}$ -continuous on  $L^+(\mathcal{D})$ . Applying the proposition stated in Section 1 to  $L^+(\mathcal{D})$ , it follows that there is an  $f \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{D})$  so that  $f(a) = \text{Tr } ta \quad \forall a \in L^+(\mathcal{D})$ . Since  $f(P_\varphi) = \text{Tr } tP_\varphi \equiv \langle t\varphi, \varphi \rangle \geq 0$  for each one-dimensional projection  $P_\varphi, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $t$  is a positive operator. This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### 4. REMARKS

1) By using some arguments of the preceding section we can give a second proof of the following theorem which was shown in [4].

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a self-adjoint  $Op^*$ -algebra on a domain  $\mathcal{D}$ . Suppose there is an operator  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  such that the canonical embedding map of the domain  $\mathcal{D}(c)$  (endowed with the norm  $\|\varphi\|_c^2 = \|c\varphi\|^2 + \|\varphi\|^2$ ) in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is compact. Then each strongly positive linear functional  $f$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is of the form  $f(a) = \text{Tr } ta, a \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $t \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{A})_+$ .*

*Proof.* First we extend  $f$  to a strongly positive linear functional on the vector space  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D})$  spanned by  $\mathcal{A}$  and the vector space  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D})$  of all operators in  $L^+(\mathcal{D})$  with finite-dimensional range. Now, by remark 3.2 in [4], it is sufficient to prove that for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  there is a  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  so that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an operator  $x_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D})$  with

$$|\langle (a - x_\varepsilon)\varphi, \varphi \rangle| \leq 2\varepsilon \|b\varphi\|^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Let  $b = aa^+ + c^+c + I$ . Putting  $a_k = b, a_k = 0 \quad \forall k \geq 2$ , Lemma 3 yields

$$\|b(I - E_n)\varphi\|^2 \leq 4\|b\varphi\|^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}, n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Further, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a number  $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$\|(I - E_{n_\varepsilon})\varphi\| \leq \varepsilon \|b(I - E_n)\varphi\|.$$

Indeed, otherwise we would have

$$\|\psi_n\| > \varepsilon \|b\psi_n\|$$



for certain elements  $\psi_n \in (I - E_n)\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\|\psi_n\| = 1$ . By the definition of the vectors  $\varphi_n$  (see the proof of Lemma 3) this implies

$$\|b\varphi_n\| \leq 2\|b\psi_n\| < 2/\varepsilon.$$

Since  $b$  has a compact inverse and the set  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is orthonormal, this is a contradiction.

Finally, for  $x_\varepsilon = aE_{n_\varepsilon}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle (a - x_\varepsilon)\varphi, \varphi \rangle\| &\leq \|(I - E_{n_\varepsilon})\varphi\| \|a^+\varphi\| \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon\|b(I - E_{n_\varepsilon})\varphi\| \|b\varphi\| \leq 2\varepsilon\|b\varphi\|^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D} \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

2) The investigations in Section 3 and the proof of Corollary 2 in [5] suggest the following problem:

Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}[\mathcal{L}_+]$  is a Fréchet-Montel space. Does  $\tau_{\mathcal{D}}$  coincide with the order topology on  $L^+(\mathcal{D})$ ?

If in addition  $\mathcal{D}[\mathcal{L}_+]$  has an unconditional basis, this is true ([5], Cor. 4.2). In the preceding proof we only showed that all strongly positive linear functionals on an  $Op^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  are  $\tau_{\mathcal{D}}$ -continuous provided  $\mathcal{D}[\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  is a Fréchet-Montel space. We remark that for ordered vector spaces the order topology is always finer than any locally convex topology for which the positive cone is normal ([3], p. 118), in particular finer than  $\tau_{\mathcal{D}}$ . We note that the question has an affirmative answer if  $L^+(\mathcal{D})$  contains an operator with compact inverse.

#### REFERENCES

1. KRÖGER, P., Über die Ordnungstopologie auf  $Op^*$ -Algebren, Preprint, Leipzig, 1978.
2. LASSNER, G., *Reports Math. Phys.*, **3** (1972), 279.
3. PERESSINI, A., *Ordered Topological Vector Spaces*, Harper and Row, New York, 1967.
4. SCHMÜDGEN, K., *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **63** (1978), 113.
5. SCHMÜDGEN, K., *Reports Math. Phys.* to appear.
6. SHERMAN, T., *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **22** (1968), 285.

KONRAD SCHMÜDGEN,  
Karl-Marx-Universität Leipzig,  
Sektion Mathematik, 701 Leipzig,  
Karl-Marx-Platz,  
D D R

Received November 14, 1978.