

## CHARACTERIZATION OF SOME HARNACK PARTS OF CONTRACTIONS

T. ANDO, I. SUCIU and D. TIMOTIN

In [1], Zoia Ceaşescu introduced a relation of domination between contractions acting on a Hilbert space, characterized by some simple norm inequalities. This relation is easily shown to be weaker than Harnack domination (cf. [4], [5] for the latter). Zoia Ceaşescu asked whether the equivalence classes of this relation do coincide with Harnack parts. In this paper we give a positive answer to this question in the case when the contractions have spectrum contained in the open unit disc of the complex plane. As a consequence, we prove that the Harnack part of any spectral norm strict contraction is not trivial. For the general case, we show that the answer is negative by exhibiting a class of counterexamples. The techniques used yield also some other results concerning Harnack domination and its connection to the relation introduced by Zoia Ceaşescu.

### §1. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES.

In the sequel  $T, T'$  will be linear contractive operators acting on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ;  $U$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $U'$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}'$  will be the minimal isometric dilations of  $T, T'$  respectively. We shall denote by  $r(T)$  the spectral radius of  $T$ , and by  $T_\lambda$  the operator defined by

$$T_\lambda = (T - \lambda)(I - \lambda T)^{-1} \quad (|\lambda| < 1)$$

We say (cf. [4]) that  $T$  is Harnack dominated by  $T'$  (notation  $T \overset{H}{\prec} T'$ ) if there exists a positive constant  $a$  such that for any analytic polynomial  $p$  verifying  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq 0$  for  $|z| \leq 1$  we have

$$(1.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} p(T) \leq a \operatorname{Re} p(T').$$

Obviously  $\overset{H}{\prec}$  is a preorder relation, and we shall denote by  $\overset{H}{\sim}$  the equivalence relation induced by it. The equivalence classes are called Harnack parts.

It was shown in [5] that  $T \overset{H}{\prec} T'$  is equivalent to the following property:

There exists a bounded operator  $S: \mathcal{K}' \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  such that for any  $h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathcal{H}$  we have

$$S \left( \sum_{j=0}^n U'^j h_j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^n U^j h_j.$$

Since  $\mathcal{K} = \bigvee_{j=0}^{\infty} U^j \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}' = \bigvee_{j=0}^{\infty} U'^j \mathcal{H}$ , it is obvious that in this case  $S$  is the unique bounded operator from  $\mathcal{K}'$  into  $\mathcal{K}$  which intertwines  $U'$  and  $U$  and whose restriction to  $\mathcal{H}$  is the identity operator. Also,  $T \overset{H}{\sim} T'$  if and only if  $S$  has bounded inverse.

In [1], Zoia Ceaşescu considered the following preorder relation, which we shall denote by  $\overset{Z}{\prec}$ :

$T \overset{Z}{\prec} T'$  if there exists a bounded operator  $\tilde{S}: \mathcal{H} \vee U' \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \vee U \mathcal{H}$  such that for any  $h_0, h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\tilde{S}(h_0 + U' h_1) = h_0 + U h_1.$$

The operator  $\tilde{S}$ , if it exists, is the unique bounded operator from  $\mathcal{H} \vee U' \mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{H} \vee U \mathcal{H}$  which intertwines  $U'$  and  $U$ , and whose restriction to  $\mathcal{H}$  is the identity operator. We shall denote by  $\overset{Z}{\sim}$  the equivalence relation induced by this preorder relation;  $T \overset{Z}{\sim} T'$  if and only if  $\tilde{S}$  has a bounded inverse.

From these definitions it is obvious that  $T \overset{H}{\prec} T'$  implies  $T \overset{Z}{\prec} T'$ ; consequently  $T \overset{H}{\sim} T'$  implies  $T \overset{Z}{\sim} T'$ .

We shall occasionally write  $T \overset{H}{\prec}_c T' (T \overset{Z}{\prec}_c T')$  in order to indicate that  $\|S\| \leq c$  ( $\|\tilde{S}\| \leq c$ ). Since  $S$  and  $\tilde{S}$  restricted to  $\mathcal{H}$  are equal to the identity, it follows that in both cases we must have  $c \geq 1$ . Moreover, it is proved in [5] that  $\|S\| \leq c$  is equivalent to  $a \leq c^2$  in relation (1.1).

§ 2. PROPERTIES OF THE RELATION  $\overset{Z}{\prec}$ .

LEMMA 1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T \overset{Z}{\prec} T'$ ,
- (ii) *there exists  $c' \geq 1$  such that  $\|c' T' - T\| \leq c' - 1$ ,*
- (iii) *there exists  $c'' \geq 1$  such that for any  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ,*

$$(2.1) \quad \|D_T h\| \leq c'' \|D_{T'} h\|$$

$$(2.2) \quad \|(T - T')h\| \leq c'' \|D_{T'} h\|.$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)  $T \stackrel{Z}{\prec} T'$  means that there exists  $c \geq 1$  such that for any  $h_0, h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$(2.3) \quad \|h_0 + Uh_1\|^2 \leq c^2 \|h_0 + U'h_1\|^2$$

or

$$\|h_0\|^2 + \|h_1\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(h_0, Uh_1) \leq c^2 [\|h_0\|^2 + \|h_1\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(h_0, U'h_1)]$$

But  $U, U'$  being isometric dilations of  $T, T'$  the last inequality is equivalent to

$$\|h_0\|^2 + \|h_1\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(h_0, Th_1) \leq c^2 [\|h_0\|^2 + \|h_1\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(h_0, T'h_1)]$$

or

$$(2.4) \quad (c^2 - 1)(\|h_0\|^2 + \|h_1\|^2) + 2 \operatorname{Re}(h_0, (c^2 T' - T)h_1) \geq 0.$$

We claim that the last inequality is equivalent to

$$(2.5) \quad \|c^2 T' - T\| \leq c^2 - 1.$$

Indeed, if (2.4) is satisfied, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|c^2 T' - T\| &= \sup_{\substack{\|h_0\| \leq 1 \\ \|h_1\| \leq 1}} -\operatorname{Re}(h_0, (c^2 T' - T)h_1) \leq \sup_{\substack{\|h_0\| \leq 1 \\ \|h_1\| \leq 1}} \frac{1}{2} (c^2 - 1)(\|h_0\|^2 + \\ &+ \|h_1\|^2) = c^2 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if (2.5) is valid, then

$$|2 \operatorname{Re}(h_0, c^2 T' - T)h_1| \leq 2(c^2 - 1) \|h_0\| \cdot \|h_1\|$$

and (2.4) follows.

The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) is therefore proved; moreover, we have in this case the exact relation  $c' = c^2$ .

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) The relation (2.3) can be written

$$\|h_0 + Th_1 + (U - T)h_1\|^2 \leq c^2 \|h_0 + T'h_1 + (U' - T')h_1\|^2$$

which is equivalent, by standard properties of the isometric dilation (see [7], II, § 1), to

$$(2.6) \quad \|h_0 + Th_1\|^2 + \|D_T h_1\|^2 \leq c^2 (\|h_0 + T'h_1\|^2 + \|D_{T'} h_1\|^2).$$

If we put in this inequality  $h_0 = -T'h_1$ , relations (2.1), (2.2) follow at once (with  $c'' = c$ ). Conversely, if (2.1), (2.2) are valid, then, since

$$\|h_0 + Th_1\| \leq \|h_0 + T'h_1\| + \|(T - T')h_1\| \leq \|h_0 + T'h_1\| + c'' \|D_{T'} h_1\|$$

relation (2.6) follows (with  $c^2 = 3c''^2$ ).

REMARK. The inequalities (2.1), (2.2) clearly make sense in the case  $T, T'$  are operators from a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_1$  to another Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_2$ . In [1] Zoia Ceauşescu used (2.1), (2.2) to define a preorder relation for  $T, T' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ . She proved also an analogue of the equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) for that case.

COROLLARY 1. (a) If  $T \prec_c^Z T'$ , then  $T^* \prec_c^Z T'^*$ .

(b) If  $T_n \prec_{c_n}^Z T'_n$  ( $n=0, 1, \dots$ ), and  $\lambda_n$  are complex numbers such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| \leq 1$ , then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n T_n \prec_c^Z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n T'_n$$

(c) If  $T_n \prec_{c_n}^Z T'_n$  ( $n = 1, \dots, N$ ), then

$$T_1 \dots T_N \prec_c^Z T'_1 \dots T'_N, \text{ where } c = c_1 \dots c_N.$$

*Proof.* (a) and (b) follow at once from the characterization (ii) in Lemma 1; so does (c) if we remark that by induction it is sufficient to consider  $N = 2$ , and that

$$c_1^2 c_2^2 T'_1 T'_2 - T_1 T_2 = c_2^2 (c_1^2 T'_1 - T_1) T'_2 + T_1 (c_2^2 T'_2 - T_2).$$

COROLLARY 2. Every contraction  $T$  is  $Z$ -dominated by  $0$ ;  $0 \prec_c^Z T$  if and only if  $\|T\| < 1$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 1 (ii)  $T \prec_c^Z 0$  is equivalent to  $\|T\| \leq c^2 - 1$ ;  $0 \prec_c^Z T$  is equivalent to  $\|T\| \leq \frac{c^2 - 1}{c^2}$ .

COROLLARY 3. If  $T \sim_c^Z T'$  then  $\|T - T'\| < 2$ .

*Proof.* If  $T \prec_c^Z T'$  and  $T' \prec_c^Z T$ , by Lemma 1(ii) we have

$$\|(c^2 + 1)(T - T')\| \leq \|c^2 T - T'\| + \|c^2 T' - T\| \leq 2(c^2 - 1)$$

therefore

$$\|T - T'\| \leq 2 \frac{c^2 - 1}{c^2 + 1}.$$

PROPOSITION 1. If  $T \prec_c^Z T'$ , then for any  $|\lambda| < 1$

$$T_\lambda \prec_{d_\lambda}^Z T'_\lambda \quad \text{where } d_\lambda = c \frac{1 + |\lambda|}{1 - |\lambda|}$$

*Proof.* If  $U, U'$  are minimal isometric dilations of  $T, T'$ , then, by [7], I, § 4,  $U_\lambda, U'_\lambda$  are minimal isometric dilations of  $T_\lambda, T'_\lambda$  respectively. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_0 + U_\lambda h_1\| &= \|(I - \bar{\lambda}U)^{-1}(h_0 - \lambda h_1 + U(h_1 - \bar{\lambda}h_0))\| \leq \\ &\leq (1 - |\lambda|)^{-1} \|h_0 - \lambda h_1 + U(h_1 - \bar{\lambda}h_0)\| \leq \\ &\leq c(1 - |\lambda|)^{-1} \|h_0 - \lambda h_1 + U'(h_1 - \bar{\lambda}h_0)\| = \\ &= c(1 - |\lambda|)^{-1} \|(I - \bar{\lambda}U')(h_0 + U'_\lambda h_1)\| \leq \\ &\leq c \frac{1 + |\lambda|}{1 - |\lambda|} \|h_0 + U'_\lambda h_1\|. \end{aligned}$$

**COROLLARY 4.** *If  $T \stackrel{Z}{\prec} T'$ , then  $b(T) \stackrel{Z}{\prec} b(T')$  for any finite Blaschke product  $b(z)$ .*

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $P, Q$  be orthogonal projections, and  $T, T'$  such that*

$$PTQ \stackrel{Z}{\prec}_c PT'Q, (I - P)T(I - Q) \stackrel{Z}{\prec}_c (I - P)T'(I - Q).$$

*Then*

$$PTQ + (I - P)T(T - Q) \stackrel{Z}{\prec}_c PT'Q + (I - P)T'(I - Q).$$

*Proof.* The lemma is a consequence of Lemma 1 (ii) and the obvious relation

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P(c'T' - T)Q + (I - P)(c'T' - T)(I - Q)\| = \\ &= \max \{ \|P(c'T' - T)Q\|, \|(I - P)(c'T' - T)(I - Q)\| \}. \end{aligned}$$

**PROPOSITION 2.** *The  $Z$ -part (equivalence class) of  $T$  is equal to  $\{T\}$  if and only if  $T$  is an isometry or a coisometry.*

*Proof.* If  $T$  is an isometry, it follows from Lemma 1(iii) that  $T' \stackrel{Z}{\prec} T$  implies  $T' = T$ ; therefore the  $Z$ -part of  $T$  is trivial. Then, from Corollary 1(a) we can deduce that it is trivial also for  $T$  coisometry.

Suppose  $T$  is neither isometry nor coisometry. Let  $T = V|T|$  be the polar decomposition of  $T$ . If  $|T|$  is not a projection, let  $E$  be a nontrivial spectral projection of  $|T|$ , such that

$$\varepsilon E \leq |T|E \leq (1 - \varepsilon)E \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Let  $T' = T(I - E)$ . Obviously  $T' \neq T$ . We claim  $T \stackrel{Z}{\sim} T'$ . Indeed, let  $Q = E, P = VEV^*$ . Then we have

$$(I - P)T(I - Q) = (I - P)T'(I - Q),$$

$PTQ = TE$  is a strict contraction, and  $PT'Q = 0$ . By Lemma 2 and Corollary 2, it follows that  $T \stackrel{Z}{\sim} T'$ .

If  $|T|$  is a projection, neither the initial nor the final space of  $V$  are  $\mathcal{H}$ , since  $T$  is neither isometry nor coisometry. Let  $P = VV^*$ ,  $Q = V^*V$ , and  $S$  an operator such that  $0 < \|S\| < 1$ ,  $SQ = PS = 0$ . Then, if  $T' = T + S$ , we have  $T \neq T'$ ,  $PTQ = PT'Q$ ,  $(I - P)T(I - Q) = 0$  and  $(I - P)T'(I - Q) = S$ . Again by Lemma 2 and Corollary 2, it follows that  $T \stackrel{Z}{\sim} T'$ .

### §3. HARNACK DOMINATION AND Z-DOMINATION.

We have seen that Harnack domination implies Z-domination. In the sequel we shall try to find partial converses of this implication. A basic tool is the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T \stackrel{H}{\prec} T'$ ,
- (ii) for any  $|\lambda| < 1$  we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & (I - \lambda T^*)^{-1}(I - |\lambda|^2 T^* T)(I - \bar{\lambda} T)^{-1} \leq \\ & \leq c^2(I - \lambda T'^*)^{-1}(I - |\lambda|^2 T'^* T')(I - \bar{\lambda} T')^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). For any  $|\lambda| < 1$ , let

$$f(z, \lambda) = \frac{1 + \bar{\lambda}z}{1 - \bar{\lambda}z}.$$

We have  $\operatorname{Re} f(z, \lambda) \geq 0$  for  $|z| \leq 1$ , and  $f(z, \lambda)$  is, in  $\{|z| \leq 1\}$ , a uniform limit of polynomials with positive real part. It follows, from the definition of Harnack domination, that

$$\operatorname{Re} f(T, \lambda) \leq c^2 \operatorname{Re} f(T', \lambda)$$

which is exactly (3.1).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose conversely that (3.1) is valid. Let  $p(z)$  be any analytic polynomial with positive real part. Since

$$p(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu(\theta) + ia = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + e^{-i\theta} z}{1 - e^{-i\theta} z} d\mu(\theta) + ia$$

where  $\mu$  is a positive measure and  $a$  is a real constant, we have, by (3.1), for  $0 < r < 1$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re} p(rT) = \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} f(T, re^{i\theta}) d\mu(\theta) \leq c^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} f(T', re^{i\theta}) d\mu(\theta) = c^2 \operatorname{Re} p(rT').$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow 1$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re} p(T) \leq c^2 \operatorname{Re} p(T')$$

so  $T \overset{H}{\prec} T'$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $r(T) < 1$  and  $T \overset{Z}{\prec} T'$ , then  $r(T') < 1$  and  $T \overset{H}{\prec} T'$ .*

*Proof.*  $T \overset{Z}{\prec} T'$  implies by Lemma 1 (iii) that for some  $c' \geq 1$  and all  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \|(I - |\lambda|^2 T^* T)^{1/2} h\| \leq c' \|(I - |\lambda|^2 T'^* T')^{1/2} h\|,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \|(T - T') h\| \leq c' \|(I - |\lambda|^2 T'^* T')^{1/2} h\|.$$

Suppose  $r(T') = 1$ . Then some  $\lambda_0$  with  $|\lambda_0| = 1$  is an approximate eigenvalue of  $T'$ : that is, there is a sequence  $\{g_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|g_n\| = 1$  and  $\|T'g_n - \lambda_0 g_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Since then  $\|T'g_n\| \rightarrow 1$ , it follows from (3.3) (with  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ ) that  $\|Tg_n - \lambda_0 g_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , which contradicts  $r(T) < 1$ .

Now for any  $|\lambda| < 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(I - |\lambda|^2 T^* T)^{1/2} (I - \bar{\lambda} T)^{-1} h\| = \\ & = \|(I - |\lambda|^2 T^* T)^{1/2} [(I - \bar{\lambda} T')^{-1} + \bar{\lambda} (I - \bar{\lambda} T)^{-1} (T - T') (I - \bar{\lambda} T')^{-1}] h\| \leq \\ & \leq \|(I - |\lambda|^2 T^* T)^{1/2} (I - \bar{\lambda} T')^{-1} h\| + \\ & + |\lambda| \|(I - |\lambda|^2 T^* T)^{1/2} (I - \bar{\lambda} T)^{-1} (T - T') (I - \bar{\lambda} T')^{-1} h\| \leq \\ & \leq c' \|(I - |\lambda|^2 T'^* T')^{1/2} (I - \bar{\lambda} T')^{-1} h\| + d \|(T - T') (I - \bar{\lambda} T')^{-1} h\| \end{aligned}$$

where  $d = \sup_{|\lambda| < 1} \|(I - \bar{\lambda} T)^{-1}\| < \infty$ ; the last inequality is a consequence of (3.2).

By (3.3), we have

$$\|(I - |\lambda|^2 T^* T)^{1/2} (I - \bar{\lambda} T)^{-1} h\| \leq c'(1 + d) \|(I - |\lambda|^2 T'^* T')^{1/2} (I - \bar{\lambda} T')^{-1} h\|$$

which is equivalent to (3.1), with  $c = c'(1 + d)$ . Therefore, by Lemma 3,  $T \overset{H}{\prec} T'$ .

**COROLLARY 5.** a) *If either  $r(T) < 1$  or  $T$  is compact, then  $T \overset{Z}{\sim} T'$  implies  $T \overset{H}{\sim} T'$ .*

b) *If  $r(T) < 1$  or  $T$  is compact and  $\mathcal{H}$  is infinite dimensional, the Harnack part of  $T$  is not reduced to  $\{T\}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $r(T) < 1$ , Part a) follows readily from Theorem 1. Suppose  $T$  is compact and  $T \overset{Z}{\sim} T'$ . From Lemma 1 (iii)  $T$  and  $T'$  must have the same eigen-

values of modulus one and the eigenspace corresponding to these eigenvalues reduces both  $T$  and  $T'$ . Let  $E$  be the orthogonal projection onto this eigenspace. Then

$$T = T|_{E\mathcal{H}} \oplus T|_{(I-E)\mathcal{H}}$$

$$T' = T'|_{E\mathcal{H}'} \oplus T'|_{(I-E)\mathcal{H}'}$$

and

$$T|_{E\mathcal{H}} = T'|_{E\mathcal{H}'} \quad T|_{(I-E)\mathcal{H}} \stackrel{Z}{\sim} T'|_{(I-E)\mathcal{H}'}$$

But  $T|_{(I-E)\mathcal{H}}$  has spectral radius less than 1, so  $T|_{(I-E)\mathcal{H}}$  and  $T'|_{(I-E)\mathcal{H}'}$  are also Harnack equivalent. It follows then from the definition that  $T \stackrel{H}{\sim} T'$ .

Part b) is a consequence of Part a) and Proposition 2.

**COROLLARY 6.** (Foiaş [2]).  $T \stackrel{H}{\sim} 0$  if and only if  $\|T\| < 1$ .

*Proof.* We have to apply Corollaries 2 and 5.

**THEOREM 2.**  $T \stackrel{H}{\prec} 0$  if and only if  $r(T) < 1$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3,  $T \stackrel{H\eta}{\prec} 0$  is equivalent to the existence of  $c \geq 1$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad I - |\lambda|^2 T^* T \leq c^2 (I - \lambda T^*) (I - \bar{\lambda} T).$$

Suppose (3.4) is valid, but  $r(T) = 1$ . Assume  $\lambda_0$  ( $|\lambda_0| = 1$ ) is an approximate eigenvalue of  $T$ . Take  $h_n \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|h_n\| = 1$  and  $\|Th_n - \lambda_0 h_n\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . From (3.4)

it follows, with  $\lambda = \lambda_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \|Th_n\|^2 &\leq c^2 \|\lambda_0 h_n - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) Th_n\|^2 = \\ &= c^2 \left\| \lambda_0 h_n - Th_n + \frac{1}{n} Th_n \right\|^2 \leq \\ &\leq 2c^2 (\|\lambda_0 h_n - Th_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \|Th_n\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|Th_n\| \leq 1$ , we have

$$1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \leq 4c^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n^2}$$



or

$$2n \leq 4c^2 + 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore (3.4) implies  $r(T) < 1$ .

Conversely, if  $r(T) < 1$ , let

$$\delta = \sup_{|\lambda| \leq 1} \|(I - T)^{-1}\|^2.$$

Then

$$(I - \lambda T^*)(I - \bar{\lambda}T) \geq \delta^{-1}$$

therefore (3.4) holds with  $c^2 = \delta$ .

N. Suciú remarked in [6] that  $T \overset{H}{\prec} 0$  is equivalent to  $T$  boundedly absolutely continuous in the sense of Schreiber ([3]). Therefore Theorem 2 is a reformulation of [3], Theorem 3.

The next theorem gives the general relation between  $Z$ -domination and Harnack domination.

**THEOREM 3.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

(i)  $T \overset{H}{\prec} T'$ ,

(ii) *there exists  $c \geq 1$  such that for any  $|\lambda| < 1$  we have  $T_\lambda \overset{Z}{\prec}_c T'_\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Suppose  $T \overset{H}{\prec}_c T'$ . Fix  $\lambda$ , with  $|\lambda| < 1$ . Then, since for any polynomial  $p(z)$  with  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq 0$  for  $|z| \leq 1$ , the analytic function  $p\left(\frac{z - \lambda}{1 - \bar{\lambda}z}\right)$  has positive real part for  $|z| \leq 1$  and is therefore a uniform limit of polynomials having positive real part for  $|z| \leq 1$ , it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} p(T_\lambda) \leq c^2 \operatorname{Re} p(T'_\lambda).$$

By von Neumann's theorem,  $T_\lambda$  and  $T'_\lambda$  are contractions, therefore  $T_\lambda \overset{H}{\prec}_c T'_\lambda$ , and hence  $T_\lambda \overset{Z}{\prec}_c T'_\lambda$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose conversely that the  $Z$ -domination holds for all  $|\lambda| < 1$ . Inequality (3.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & (I - |\lambda|^2)(I - \lambda T^*)^{-1}(I - \bar{\lambda}T)^{-1} + \frac{|\lambda|^2}{1 - |\lambda|^2}(I - T_\lambda^* T) \leq \\ (3.5) \quad & \leq c^2 \left[ (I - |\lambda|^2)(I - \lambda T'^*)^{-1}(I - \bar{\lambda}T')^{-1} + \frac{|\lambda|^2}{1 - |\lambda|^2}(I - T'_\lambda{}^* T'_\lambda) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

But  $T \stackrel{Z}{<} T'$  implies, by Lemma 1 (iii), that there exists  $c''$ , such that

$$I - T_\lambda^* T_\lambda \leq c''^2 (I - T_\lambda'^* T_\lambda'),$$

$$(T_\lambda'^* - T_\lambda^*) (T_\lambda' - T_\lambda) \leq c''^2 (I - T_\lambda'^* T_\lambda').$$

Since

$$[(I - \bar{\lambda} T')^{-1} - (I - \bar{\lambda} T)^{-1}] = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{1 - |\lambda|^2} (T_\lambda' - T_\lambda)$$

by the obvious inequality for any  $A$  and  $B$

$$A^* A \leq 2[B^* B + (A - B)^* (A - B)]$$

we have

$$(I - \lambda T'^*)^{-1} (I - \bar{\lambda} T)^{-1} \leq$$

$$\leq 2(I - \lambda T'^*)^{-1} (I - \bar{\lambda} T')^{-1} + \frac{2|\lambda|^2}{(1 - |\lambda|^2)^2} (T_\lambda'^* - T_\lambda^*) (T_\lambda' - T_\lambda).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(1 - |\lambda|^2) (I - \lambda T'^*)^{-1} (I - \bar{\lambda} T)^{-1} + \frac{|\lambda|^2}{1 - |\lambda|^2} (I - T_\lambda'^* T_\lambda) \leq$$

$$\leq 2(1 - |\lambda|^2) (I - \lambda T'^*)^{-1} (I - \bar{\lambda} T')^{-1} + \frac{2|\lambda|^2}{1 - |\lambda|^2} (T_\lambda'^* - T_\lambda^*) (T_\lambda' - T_\lambda) +$$

$$+ \frac{|\lambda|^2}{1 - |\lambda|^2} (I - T_\lambda'^* T_\lambda) \leq$$

$$\leq 2(1 - |\lambda|^2) (I - \lambda T'^*)^{-1} (I - \bar{\lambda} T')^{-1} + \frac{3c''^2 |\lambda|^2}{1 - |\lambda|^2} (I - T_\lambda'^* T_\lambda).$$

Therefore (3.5) is valid with  $c^2 = 3c''^2$ .

§ 4. HARNACK DOMINATION FOR COMMUTING NORMAL CONTRACTIONS

THEOREM 4. *Let  $T, T'$  be commuting normal contractions. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T \stackrel{H}{<} T'$ ,
- (ii)  $T' \stackrel{H}{<} T$ ,
- (iii) *there exists  $c' \geq 1$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{c'} (I - |T'|) \leq I - |T| \leq c' (I - |T'|),$$

$$|T' - T| \leq c' (I - |T|).$$

*Proof.* In view of the essential symmetry of (iii) with respect to  $T$  and  $T'$ , it suffices to prove the equivalence of (i) and (iii).

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Suppose  $T \stackrel{H}{\prec} T'$ . By the obvious inequalities

$$I - |\lambda T| \leq I - |\lambda|^2 T^* T \leq 2(I - |\lambda T|)$$

$$I - |\lambda T'| \leq I - |\lambda|^2 T'^* T' \leq 2(I - |\lambda T'|)$$

the inequality (3.1) is equivalent, via the commutativity, to the existence of  $a \geq 1$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad (I - |\lambda T|) |I - \bar{\lambda} T'|^2 \leq a(I - |\bar{\lambda} T'|) |I - \bar{\lambda} T|^2.$$

Since, by the normality of  $T'$

$$I - |\bar{\lambda} T'| \leq |I - \bar{\lambda} T'|$$

(4.1) implies

$$(I - |\bar{\lambda} T|)(I - |\bar{\lambda} T'|) \leq a |I - \bar{\lambda} T|^2.$$

Let  $\lambda = re^{i\theta}$  and fix  $r$ . Then we have

$$(I - r|T|)(I - r|T'|) \leq a |I - re^{-i\theta} T|^2.$$

Since  $\theta$  is arbitrary, we can replace, by spectral theory,  $e^{i\theta}$  by any unitary operator that commutes with  $T'$  and  $T$ . Take the unitary operator  $V$  for which  $T = V|T|$ . Then the above inequality leads to

$$(I - r|T|)(I - r|T'|) \leq a(I - r|T|)^2$$

hence

$$(4.2) \quad I - r|T'| \leq a(I - r|T|).$$

From (4.2) and (4.1) we have

$$(I - r|T|) |I - \bar{\lambda} T'|^2 \leq a^2(I - r|T|) |I - \bar{\lambda} T|^2$$

hence

$$|I - \lambda T'| \leq a |I - \bar{\lambda} T|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} r|T' - T| &\leq |I - \bar{\lambda} T'| + |I - \bar{\lambda} T| \leq \\ &\leq (1 + a) |I - \bar{\lambda} T| = (1 + a) |I - re^{-i\theta} T|. \end{aligned}$$

Again by substituting  $e^{i\theta}$  by the unitary operator  $V$ , we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad r|T' - T| \leq (1+a)(I - r|T|).$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow 1$  in (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$I - |T'| \leq a(I - |T|),$$

$$|T' - T| \leq (1+a)(I - |T|).$$

Finally,  $T \stackrel{Z}{\prec} T'$  implies by (2.1) the existence of  $a' \geq 1$  such that

$$I - |T| \leq a'(I - |T'|).$$

The last inequalities prove (iii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) If (iii) is satisfied, then

$$I - |\bar{\lambda}T| = -|\bar{\lambda}| + 1 + |\bar{\lambda}|(I - |T|) \leq c'(I - |\bar{\lambda}T'|)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |I - \bar{\lambda}T'| &\leq |I - \bar{\lambda}T| + |\bar{\lambda}||T' - T| \leq \\ &\leq |I - \bar{\lambda}T| + c'|\bar{\lambda}|(I - |T|) \leq (1+c')|I - \bar{\lambda}T|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(I - |\bar{\lambda}T'|)|I - \bar{\lambda}T'|^2 \leq c'(1+c')^2(I - |\bar{\lambda}T'|)|I - \bar{\lambda}T'|^2$$

which proves (i).

**COROLLARY 7.** *If  $T, T'$  are commuting normal contractions, then  $T \stackrel{H}{\prec} T'$  implies  $T \stackrel{H}{\sim} T'$ .*

**COROLLARY 8.** *Let  $T$  be a normal nonunitary contraction. Then:*

- (a) *the Harnack part of  $T$  is not reduced to  $\{T\}$ .*
- (b) *Suppose the spectral measure of each set  $E_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid r < |z| < 1\}$  ( $0 < r < 1$ ) is not trivial. Then the  $Z$ -part of  $T$  is strictly larger than its  $H$ -part.*

*Proof.* (a) We can suppose, by Corollary 5 (b), that  $\|T\| = 1$ . By assumption, there exists  $0 < r < 1$  such that the spectral projection of  $T$  corresponding to the disc  $D_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < r\}$  is nontrivial. Then put

$$\varphi(z) = z + \frac{1-r}{2} \chi_{D_r}(z)$$

where  $\chi_{D_r}$  is the characteristic function of  $D_r$ . Then  $T' = \varphi(T) \neq T$ , and we can easily check the conditions of Theorem 4 (iii) in order to obtain  $T \stackrel{H}{\sim} T'$ .

(b) If we put

$$\psi(z) = z[|z| + i(1 - |z|^2)^{1/2}], \quad T' = \psi(T)$$

then again we can easily check, by Lemma 1(iii) and Theorem 4 (iii), that  $T' \stackrel{Z}{\sim} T$ ,  $T' \stackrel{H}{\sim} T$ .

We have seen that if  $T$  is either isometry or coisometry then its Harnack part is trivial (i.e. equal to  $\{T\}$ ). On the other hand, if  $r(T) < 1$  or  $T$  is compact, or normal and nonunitary, then its Harnack part is not trivial. It seems interesting to give necessary and/or sufficient conditions for a contraction to have trivial Harnack part.

#### REFERENCES

1. CEAUȘESCU, Z., On intertwining dilations, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **38** (1976), 281–290.
2. FOIAȘ, C., On Harnack parts of contractions, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, **19** (1974), 315–318.
3. SCHREIBER, M., Absolutely continuous operators, *Duke Math. J.*, **29** (1962), 175–190.
4. SUCIU, I., Harnack inequalities for a functional calculus, *Coll. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai 5. Hilbert space operators*, Tihany (Hungary) 1970, 499–511.
5. SUCIU, I., Analytic relations between functional models for contractions, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **33** (1973), 359–365.
6. SUCIU, N., On Harnack ordering of contractions, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.*, to appear.
7. SZ-NAGY, B.; FOIAȘ, C., *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, Amsterdam Budapest, 1970.

T. ANDO  
 Research Institute for  
 Applied Electricity  
 Hokkaido University  
 Sapporo 060,  
 Japan

I. SUCIU and D. TIMOTIN  
 National Institute for Scientific  
 and Technical Creation  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Bd. Păcii 220, 77538 Bucharest  
 Romania

Received March 8, 1979; revised May 3, 1979.