

OPERATOR DECOMPOSABILITY AND WEAKLY CONTINUOUS REPRESENTATIONS OF LOCALLY COMPACT ABELIAN GROUPS

JÖRG ESCHMEIER

0. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a locally compact abelian group, Γ its dual group, $L^1(G)$ the space of complex valued functions on G integrable with respect to Haar measure and $M(G)$ the Banach algebra of regular complex Borel measures on G . We show (Corollary 3) that for non-discrete G there exists a measure $\mu \in M(G)$ such that the convolution operator

$$T_\mu: L^1(G) \rightarrow L^1(G); \quad g \rightarrow \mu * g$$

is *not* decomposable; thus answering in the negative a question of I. Colojoară and C. Foiaş ([5], p. 218). On the other hand for any measure $\mu \in M_a + M_d$, the subalgebra of $M(G)$ consisting of all measures μ whose continuous part μ_a belongs to $L^1(G)$, the operator T_μ is strongly decomposable. More generally, using recent results of D'Antoni, R. Longo and L. Zsidó ([6]) we obtain that for any weakly continuous representation U of G by isometries on a Banach space X and for any $\mu \in M_a + M_d$ the generalized convolution operator $\pi(\mu) \in B(X)$ defined by

$$\pi(\mu) = \int_G U(s) d\mu(s)$$

is strongly decomposable. For the notion of decomposable and strongly decomposable operators we refer the reader to [3], [5], [7]. I want to thank Professor L. Zsidó for inspiring this work.

1. THE COUNTEREXAMPLE

Let X be a Banach space and $B(X)$ the space of continuous linear operators in X . For any $T \in B(X)$ we denote by $\sigma(T)$ the spectrum of T and by $\text{Inv}(T)$ the system of all closed linear T -invariant subspaces of X . We say an operator $T \in B(X)$

has the weak 2-SDP (Spectral Decomposition Property) if for any open covering $\sigma(T) \subset U_1 \cup U_2$ there are spaces $X_1, X_2 \in \text{Inv}(T)$ such that $X = \overline{X_1 + X_2}$; $\sigma(T|_{X_i}) \subset U_i, i = 1, 2$.

LEMMA 1. Let X, Y be Banach spaces, $T \in B(X), S \in B(Y)$, and $A \in B(X, Y)$ injective such that $AT = SA$. If T has the weak 2-SDP we have

$$\sigma(T) \subset \sigma(S).$$

Proof. Let T have the weak 2-SDP. For $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma(S)$ we can find $X_1, X_2 \in \text{Inv}(T)$ such that $X = \overline{X_1 + X_2}, \sigma(T|_{X_1}) \subset \rho(S), \sigma(T|_{X_2}) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$. If Γ is a cycle surrounding $\sigma(S)$ in $\rho(T|_{X_1})$ such that $\sigma(S)$ and $\sigma(T|_{X_1})$ are separated by Γ , we get for any $x \in X_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} R(\lambda, S) Ax \, d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} R(\lambda, S) A(\lambda - T) R(\lambda, T|_{X_1}) x \, d\lambda = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} AR(\lambda, T|_{X_1}) x \, d\lambda = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since A is injective we have $X_1 = \{0\}$ and therefore

$$\lambda_0 \in \rho(T|_{X_2}) = \rho(T).$$

As in [8] we denote by $A(\Gamma) = \{\hat{f}, f \in L^1(G)\}, B(\Gamma) = \{\hat{\mu}, \mu \in M(G)\}$ the Banach algebras of all Fourier transforms of functions $f \in L^1(G)$ respectively measures $\mu \in M(G)$ with norms $\|\hat{f}\| = \|f\|, \|\hat{\mu}\| = \|\mu\|$. The canonical embeddings

$$\iota_A(\iota_B): A(\Gamma) (B(\Gamma)) \rightarrow C_b(\Gamma); \quad f \rightarrow f$$

into the space of all bounded, continuous functions on Γ equipped with sup-norm are injective, continuous algebra homomorphisms. For $h \in C_b(\Gamma)$ the multiplication operator

$$S = S_h: C_b(\Gamma) \rightarrow C_b(\Gamma), \quad f \rightarrow hf$$

is continuous with $\sigma(S_h) = \overline{h(\Gamma)}$.

THEOREM 2. Let G be a locally compact abelian group, $\mu \in M(G)$ and $X = L^1(G)$ or $X = M(G)$. If the convolution operator $T: X \rightarrow X; T(k) = \mu * k$ has the weak 2-SDP, its spectrum is given by

$$\sigma(T) = \overline{\hat{\mu}(\Gamma)}.$$

Proof. Define $A: X \rightarrow C_b(\Gamma); k \rightarrow \hat{k}$, $S: C_b(\Gamma) \rightarrow C_b(\Gamma); f \rightarrow \hat{\mu}f$.

Now we are in the setting of Lemma 1. $A \in B(X, C_b(\Gamma))$ is injective such that

$$AT(k) = \widehat{\mu * k} = \hat{\mu}k = SA(k)$$

for all $k \in X$. If T has the weak 2-SDP we obtain $\sigma(T) \subset \sigma(S) = \widehat{\mu}(\Gamma)$. On the other

hand if $\lambda = \hat{\mu}(\gamma)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have $(\lambda - \mu) * k(\gamma) = 0$ for all $k \in X$ showing $\overline{(\lambda - T)X} \not\subseteq X$. Consequently we obtain $\widehat{\mu}(\Gamma) \subset \sigma(T)$.

COROLLARY 3. *If G is a non-discrete locally compact abelian group there is a measure $\mu \in M(G)$ such that the convolution operator T_μ does not possess the weak 2-SDP (in particular is not decomposable) neither as a multiplication operator in $M(G)$ nor considered as a multiplier in $L^1(G)$.*

Proof. It is well known (see for example [8], p. 107, Theorem 5.3.4.) that for non-discrete G there exists a measure $\mu \in M(G)$ not invertible in $M(G)$ such that $\hat{\mu}(\gamma) \geq 1$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. If T_μ denotes multiplication by μ in $M(G)$ we have $\widehat{\mu}(\Gamma) \not\subseteq \sigma(T_\mu) \subset \sigma(T_\mu|L^1(G))$. For the last inclusion see [8], p. 73, Theorem 3.8.1. Now Corollary 3 is a consequence of the preceding theorem.

If G is discrete every convolution operator is decomposable. More precisely, in this case it follows $L^1(G) = M(G)$ and Theorem 2.11, Ch. 6 in [5] is applicable.

2. GENERALIZED CONVOLUTIONS

Let U be a weakly continuous representation of a locally compact abelian group G by means of isometries on a Banach space X , i.e. a map $U: G \rightarrow B(X)$ satisfying

- (i) $U(s + t) = U(s)U(t)$ for all $s, t \in G$, $U(0) = I$,
- (ii) $\|U(s)x\| = \|x\|$ for $s \in G$, $x \in X$,
- (iii) $G \rightarrow X; s \rightarrow U(s)x$ is weakly continuous for each $x \in X$.

The following lemma is well known (see for example Arveson [4]) and it is used to construct a representation of $M(G)$.

LEMMA 4. *Let S be a locally compact Hausdorff space and μ a regular complex Borel measure on S . Then each norm-bounded weakly continuous function $f: S \rightarrow X$ into a Banach space X is weakly μ -integrable, i.e.*

- (a) $\langle f, x' \rangle$ is μ -integrable for each $x' \in X'$.
- (b) There is a unique vector $y \in X$ such that

$$\langle y, x' \rangle = \int_S \langle f, x' \rangle d\mu \quad \text{for each } x' \in X'.$$

In this sense we get a continuous algebra homomorphism

$$\pi: M(G) \rightarrow B(X); \pi(\mu) = \int_G U(s) d\mu(s)$$

with $\|\pi\| = 1$.

W. Arverson introduces in [4] spectra and spectral subspaces of U :

$$\text{sp}(U) = \cap (\mathbf{Z}(f), f \in \text{Ker}(\pi|L^1(G))) = \mathbf{Z}(\text{Ker } \pi|L^1(G));$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sp}_U(x) &= \cap (\mathbf{Z}(f); f \in L^1(G): \pi(f)x = 0) = \\ &= \mathbf{Z}(\{f \in L^1(G), \pi(f)x = 0\}); \end{aligned}$$

$$M^U(E) = \{x \in X; \text{sp}_U(x) \subset E\}$$

for E closed in Γ . As in [8] $\mathbf{Z}(f)$ is the set of zeros of \hat{f} and $\mathbf{Z}(I) = \cap (\mathbf{Z}(f); f \in I)$ denotes the hull for an arbitrary ideal $I \subset L^1(G)$.

Corollary 7.2.5. a) in [8] shows that for $E = \bar{E} \subset \Gamma$:

$$M^U(E) = \cap (\text{Ker } \pi(f); f \in L^1(G): \text{supp}(\hat{f}) \cap E = \emptyset).$$

Hence each $M^U(E)$ is a closed linear subspace invariant with respect to π . For any $Y \in \text{Inv}(\pi)$, the system of closed linear subspaces of X invariant with respect to π , we get a new representation by restriction

$$U|_Y: G \rightarrow B(Y); s \rightarrow U(s)|_Y$$

satisfying conditions (i)–(iii) and inducing the representation

$$\pi|_Y: M(G) \rightarrow B(Y); \mu \rightarrow \pi(\mu)|_Y.$$

LEMMA 5. a) For every closed set $E \subset \Gamma$, $M^U(E)$ is maximal among all $Y \in \text{Inv}(\pi)$ with $\text{sp}(U|_Y) \subset E$.

b) $\text{sp}_U(x) = \emptyset$ if and only if $x = 0$;

c) $\text{sp}_U(\pi(\mu)x) \subset \text{supp}(\hat{\mu}) \cap \text{sp}_U(x)$ for every $x \in X$, $\mu \in M(G)$;

d) if $x \in X$ and $\hat{\mu} \in B(\Gamma)$ is equal to 1 in a neighbourhood of $\text{sp}_U(x)$ then $\pi(\mu)x = x$.

Proof. a) For each $\gamma \notin E$ we can choose a function $f \in L^1(G)$ such that $\hat{f}(\gamma) \neq 0$, $\text{supp}(\hat{f}) \cap E = \emptyset$. Because $(\pi|_Y M^U(E))(f) = \pi(f)|_Y M^U(E) = 0$ and $\gamma \notin \mathbf{Z}(f)$ we obtain $\gamma \notin \text{sp}(U|_Y M^U(E))$. If Y is any π -invariant subspace with $\text{sp}(U|_Y) \subset E$ we have $\text{sp}_U(y) = \text{sp}_{U|_Y}(y) \subset \text{sp}(U|_Y) \subset E$ for every $y \in Y$.

b) On account of [8], Corollary 7.2.5. (c), $\text{sp}_U(x) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\pi(f)x = \int_G f(s) U(s)x ds = 0$ for every $f \in L^1(G)$. But this is equivalent to $x = 0$.

c) Obviously $\pi(\mu)X \subset \cap (\text{Ker } \pi(f); f \in L^1(G), \text{supp}(\hat{f}) \cap \text{supp}(\hat{\mu}) = \emptyset) = M^U(\text{supp}(\hat{\mu}))$ and $\pi(\mu)M^U(\text{sp}_U(x)) \subset M^U(\text{sp}_U(x))$.

d) Combining b) and c) we conclude $x - \pi(\mu)x = \pi(\delta_0 - \mu)x = 0$, where δ_0 denotes the Dirac measure concentrated in 0.

In [6] D'Antoni, R. Longo and L. Zsidó obtain the following spectral mapping theorem:

THEOREM 6. *For every measure $\mu \in M_a + M_d$ we have $\sigma(\pi(\mu)) = \widehat{\mu}(\text{sp}(U))$.*

If we define $X_\mu(F) = M^U(\hat{\mu}^{-1}(F))$ for every closed set $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ we obtain:

COROLLARY 7. *For every measure $\mu \in M_a + M_d$ and every closed set $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\sigma(\pi(\mu)|X_\mu(F)) \subset F.$$

Proof. The above spectral mapping theorem applied to the representation $U|X_\mu(F)$ yields:

$$\sigma(\pi(\mu)|X_\mu(F)) = \widehat{\mu}(\text{sp}(U|X_\mu(F))) \subset F.$$

An operator $T \in B(X)$ is *decomposable* if and only if there is a spectral capacity for T , i.e. a map $E: \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}) = \{F; F = \overline{F} \subset \mathbb{C}\} \rightarrow \text{Inv}(T)$ with:

- (i) $E(\emptyset) = \{0\}, E(\mathbb{C}) = X,$
- (ii) $E(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E(F_n)$ for any sequence $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}),$
- (iii) $X = \sum_{i=1}^n E(\overline{U}_i)$ for any open covering $\sigma(T) \subset U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n,$
- (iv) $\sigma(T|E(F)) \subset F$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}).$

Compare [7] for this characterization. In this case each $E(F)$ is hyperinvariant for T and maximal among all $Y \in \text{Inv}(T)$ with $\sigma(T|Y) \subset F$, in particular uniquely determined.

PROPOSITION 8. *If $\pi(\mu), \mu \in M_a + M_d,$ is decomposable then its spectral capacity is given by*

$$E(F) = X_\mu(F) \quad \text{for } F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. Let E be the spectral capacity of $\pi(\mu)$. Corollary 7 shows that $\sigma(\pi(\mu)|X_\mu(F)) \subset F$ and therefore $X_\mu(F) \subset E(F)$. $E(F)$ being hyperinvariant for $\pi(\mu)$ we get

$$\hat{\mu}(\text{sp}_U(y)) \subset \hat{\mu}(\text{sp}(U|E(F))) \subset \sigma(\pi(\mu)|E(F)) \subset F$$

for any $y \in E(F), F = \overline{F} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Since y is arbitrary it follows that $E(F) \subset M^U(\hat{\mu}^{-1}(F)) = X_\mu(F)$.

How can we find measures $\mu \in M_a \dot{+} M_d$ satisfying Proposition 8? First we notice

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^n U(t_j)^{m_j} \right\| = \left\| U \left(\sum_{j=1}^n m_j t_j \right) \right\| = 1$$

for $t_1, \dots, t_n \in G$, $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Fix $t_1, \dots, t_n \in G$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$. By [1], Theorem 3.14,

$$(\pi(\delta_{t_1}), \dots, \pi(\delta_{t_n})) = (U(t_1), \dots, U(t_n)) \in B(X)^n$$

is a $C^p(\Gamma^n)$ -scalar- n -system for $p > \frac{n}{2}$. Consequently

$$\pi \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \delta_{t_j} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \pi(\delta_{t_j})$$

possesses a spectral capacity (see [2], Corollary 9).

Now we are able to prove our main result:

THEOREM 9. *For any measure $\mu \in M_a \dot{+} M_d$, $\pi(\mu)$ is decomposable and its spectral capacity is given by $E(F) = M^U(\hat{\mu}^{-1}(F))$ ($F = \bar{F} \subset \mathbb{C}$).*

Proof. In order to show that $E(F) = M^U(\hat{\mu}^{-1}(F))$ defines a spectral capacity for $\pi(\mu)$ the only thing left is the proof of condition (iii). So, let $\hat{\mu}(\overline{\text{sp}(\bar{U})}) \subset U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$ be an open covering and $x \in X$. Choose open sets $V_1, \dots, V_n \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\bar{K}_\varepsilon(V_i) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \inf_{w \in \bar{V}_i} |z - w| \leq \varepsilon\} \subset U_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$\bar{K}_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mu(\text{sp}(U))}) \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n.$$

Now, if $\mu = \mu_a + \mu_d$, μ_a absolutely continuous, μ_d discrete, we can find $K \subset \Gamma$ compact and $\delta \in M(G)$ having finite support such that

$$\hat{\mu}_a(\Gamma \setminus K) \cup (\hat{\delta} - \hat{\mu}_d)(\Gamma) \subset K_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(0) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

Since $K \subset \Gamma$ is compact there is a function $\hat{\theta} \in A(\Gamma)$ with compact support which is equal to 1 in a neighbourhood of K . Setting $y = \pi(\theta)x$, $z = \pi(\delta_\theta - \theta)x$ we have $x = y + z$, $\text{sp}_U(y) \subset \text{supp}(\hat{\theta}) \cap \text{sp}_U(x)$; $\text{sp}_U(z) \subset \text{supp}(1 - \hat{\theta}) \subset \Gamma \setminus K$.

Let us show how to decompose y and z . Because $\text{sp}_U(y)$ is compact and $\text{sp}_U(y) \subset \widehat{\mu}(U_1) \cup \dots \cup \widehat{\mu}^{-1}(U_n)$ there are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L^1(G)$ with $\hat{\alpha}_1 + \dots + \hat{\alpha}_n = 1$ in a

neighbourhood of $\text{sp}_U(y)$ and $\text{supp}(\hat{\chi}_i) \subset \hat{\mu}^{-1}(U_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore y has the desired form:

$$y = \pi(\chi_1) y + \dots + \pi(\chi_n) y; \quad \text{sp}_U(\pi(\chi_i) y) \subset \hat{\mu}^{-1}(U_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

If E_δ denotes the spectral capacity of $\pi(\delta)$ and $F = \overline{\hat{\delta}((\Gamma \setminus K) \cap \text{sp}(U))}$ we get

$$z \in M^U(\hat{\delta}^{-1}(F)) = E_\delta(F), \quad F \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n.$$

$\pi(\delta)|_{E_\delta(F)}$ belonging to the representation induced by $U|_{E_\delta(F)}$ is decomposable. So we find $z_1, \dots, z_n \in E_\delta(F)$ satisfying $z = z_1 + \dots + z_n$, and

$$\text{sp}_U(z_i) = \text{sp}_{U|_{E_\delta(F)}}(z_i) \subset \hat{\delta}^{-1}(V_i)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Without loss of generality we may assume $\text{sp}_U(z_i) \subset \Gamma \setminus K$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Otherwise choose $\hat{\chi} \in B(\Gamma)$ equal to 1 in a neighbourhood of $\text{sp}_U(z)$, equal to 0 in a neighbourhood of K and replace z_i by $\pi(\chi) z_i$. Finally we obtain $\hat{\mu}(\text{sp}_U(z_i)) \subset U_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and

$$x \in M^U(\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overline{U_1})) + \dots + M^U(\hat{\mu}^{-1}(\overline{U_n})) = E(\overline{U_1}) + \dots + E(\overline{U_n})$$

as was to be shown.

We conclude by listing some interesting consequences of Theorem 9.

COROLLARY 10. *For every measure $\mu \in M_a + M_d$ the operator $\pi(\mu)$ is strongly decomposable.*

Proof. We recall (see [3]) that it is sufficient to show that $\pi(\mu)$ restricted to every spectral maximal space is decomposable. Since spectral maximal spaces Y are hyperinvariant ([5], p. 18) they are invariant with respect to π and everything follows from Theorem 9.

COROLLARY 11. *For every measure $\mu \in M_a + M_d$, $x \in X$ we have the local spectral mapping theorem:*

$$\sigma_{\pi(\mu)}(x) = \overline{\hat{\mu}(\text{sp}_U(x))}.$$

Proof. Let us recall that for $x \in X$ we have

$$\sigma_{\pi(\mu)}(x) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0 \in \mathbb{C}; \text{ there is an analytic } f: U \rightarrow X \text{ defined in a neighbourhood}$$

$$U \text{ of } z_0 \text{ such that } (z - \pi(\mu))f(z) = x \text{ for all } z \in U\}$$

and that the spectral capacity of $\pi(\mu)$ is given by $E(F) = \{x \in X; \sigma_{\pi(\mu)}(x) \subset F\}$. (Compare [7].)

Now Corollary 11 is a consequence of

$$x \in E(\sigma_{\pi(\mu)}(x)) = M^U(\widehat{\mu}^{-1}(\sigma_{\pi(\mu)}(x))),$$

$$x \in M^U(\widehat{\mu}^{-1}(\widehat{\mu}(\text{sp}_U(x)))) = E(\widehat{\mu}(\text{sp}_U(x))) \quad (x \in X).$$

COROLLARY 12. *The multiplier $T_\mu: L^1(G) \rightarrow L^1(G); f \rightarrow \mu * f$ is strongly decomposable for every measure $\mu \in M_{\mathfrak{a}} + M_{\mathfrak{d}}$.*

Proof. Apply Corollary 10 to the special group representation

$$U(t): L^1(G) \rightarrow L^1(G); (U(t)f)(s) = f(s - t).$$

REFERENCES

1. ALBRECHT, E., Funktionalkalküle in mehreren Veränderlichen für stetige lineare Operatoren auf Banachräumen, *Manuscripta Math.*, **14**(1974), 1–40.
2. ALBRECHT, E.; FRUNZĂ, Ș., Non-analytic functional calculi in several variables, *Manuscripta Math.*, **18**(1976), 327–336.
3. APOSTOL, C., Restrictions and quotients of decomposable operators in a Banach space, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, **13**(1968), 147–150.
4. ARVESON, W., On groups of automorphisms of operator algebras, *J. Functional Analysis*, **15** (1974), 217–243.
5. COLOJOARĂ, I.; FOIAȘ, C., *Theory of generalized spectral operators*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968.
6. D'ANTONI, C.; LONGO, R.; ZSIDÓ, L., A spectral mapping theorem for locally compact groups of operators, *Pacific J. Math.*, to appear.
7. FOIAȘ, C., Spectral capacities and decomposable operators, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, **13**(1968), 1539–1545.
8. RUDIN, W., *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience, New York, 1962.

JÖRG ESCHMEIER
Mathematisches Institut,
Universität Münster, 4400 Münster,
West Germany.

Received October 10, 1980; revised February 16, 1981.