

## POSITIVE SEMIGROUPS AND RESOLVENTS

C. J. K. BATTY and E. B. DAVIES

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The general theory of  $C_0$ -semigroups  $S = \{S_t : t \geq 0\}$  of operators on Banach spaces is well established by now (see [5, 10, 13]), but in most applications there is some additional structure present, which often allows some reformulation and simplification of their properties. It has recently been proved [1] that the fundamental condition

$$\|(\lambda - Z)^{-n}\| \leq M(\lambda - \alpha)^{-n}$$

for the operator  $Z$  on a real Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$  to be the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup can be replaced by positivity of the resolvent if  $\mathcal{B}$  is ordered by a normal generating positive cone  $\mathcal{B}_+$ , assuming that  $\mathcal{B}_+$  has non-empty interior (interior points of  $\mathcal{B}_+$  are the order-units for  $\mathcal{B}$ ). It is shown in Theorem 1 below that this last assumption cannot be omitted, and in Theorems 2 and 3 that other conjectures made in [4] are false.

For positive  $C_0$ -semigroups  $S$  on several different types of ordered Banach space, it has been established that the limit

$$\omega_s = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log \|S_t\|$$

belongs to the spectrum of the generator. In Theorem 4, this will be shown to be the case if  $\mathcal{B}_+$  is also " $\alpha$ -directed", for example if  $\mathcal{B}$  is the self-adjoint part of any  $C^*$ -algebra. Finally in Theorem 5 it is shown that  $\omega_s$  may be determined by inspection of a single interior point of  $\mathcal{B}_+$  and extremal functionals in  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$ .

### 2. GENERATORS AND POSITIVE RESOLVENTS

Throughout the paper,  $\mathcal{B}$  will denote a real Banach space ordered by a normal generating cone  $\mathcal{B}_+$ . It was shown in [1] (see also [4]) that if  $\mathcal{B}_+$  has non-empty interior, then a densely-defined linear operator  $Z$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  is the generator of

a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup if and only if the resolvents  $R(\lambda, Z) = (\lambda I - Z)^{-1}$  exist and are positive operators on  $\mathcal{B}$  for all sufficiently large real  $\lambda$ . It was conjectured in [4] that this result remains true even if  $\mathcal{B}_+$  has no interior, but the following theorem shows that the result is in fact false for  $\mathcal{B} = C_0(\mathbf{R})$  (the space of continuous real functions on  $\mathbf{R}$  vanishing at infinity).

**THEOREM 1.** *There is a densely defined (closed) linear operator  $Z$  on  $C_0(\mathbf{R})$  whose resolvents  $R(\lambda, Z)$  exist and are positive for  $\lambda > 0$ , but which is not the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $v : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is continuous and bounded away from zero,  $v \in L^1(\mathbf{R}) + L^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ . Define  $Z$  by

$$Zf = -\frac{(fv)'}{v}$$

whenever the right-hand side exists in  $C_0(\mathbf{R})$ . If  $g$  is a  $C^1$ -function of compact support then  $Z(g/v)$  exists; so  $Z$  is densely defined. If  $(\lambda I - Z)f = 0$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $\lambda(fv) + (fv)' = 0$ , so  $f(x) = ce^{-\lambda x}/v(x)$ . Since  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow -\infty} v(x) < \infty$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = 0$ ,

it follows that  $f = 0$ .

For  $g$  in  $C_0(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , the function  $k_\lambda := (e_\lambda * (gv))/v$  lies in  $C_0(\mathbf{R})$ , where  $*$  denotes convolution and

$$e_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda x} & (x \geq 0) \\ 0 & (x < 0) \end{cases}$$

Furthermore  $Zk_\lambda$  exists and equals  $\lambda k_\lambda - g$ . Thus  $\lambda I - Z$  is invertible, and  $R(\lambda, Z)g = k_\lambda$ . In particular,  $R(\lambda, Z)$  is positive.

If  $f$  is a  $C^1$ -function of compact support and

$$g_t(x) = \frac{f(x-t)}{v(x)}$$

then  $\frac{d}{dt}(g_t) = Zg_t$ . Hence, if  $Z$  is a generator,  $g_t = e^{tZ}(f/v)$  [5, Theorem 1.7].

It follows from the continuity of  $e^{tZ}$  that

$$(1) \quad (e^{tZ}g)(x) = \frac{v(x-t)g(x-t)}{v(x)}$$

for all  $g$  in  $C_0(\mathbf{R})$ . But (1) defines a strongly continuous semigroup (if and) only if

$$\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \sup_x \frac{v(x-t)}{v(x)} < \infty.$$

One may certainly arrange that this fails (without violating the other conditions on  $v$ ), for example by taking  $v(x) = 1 + \max_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (n - n^4|x - n|)$ .

In Theorem 1 it is possible to arrange that the resolvents  $R(\lambda, Z)$  are strictly positive in the sense that they map  $C_0(\mathbf{R})_+ \setminus \{0\}$  into its quasi-interior, the strictly positive functions in  $C_0(\mathbf{R})$  (see [4, Proposition 2.5]). If  $Z_1$  is defined by

$$Z_1 f = \frac{(fv)''}{2v}$$

where  $v$  satisfies the same conditions as above, then  $R(\lambda, Z_1)g = (h_\lambda * (gv))/v$ , where  $h_\lambda(x) = (2\lambda)^{-1/2} \exp(-(2\lambda)^{1/2}|x|)$ . But  $Z_1$  is a generator (if and) only if

$$\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \sup_x (r_t * v)(x)/v(x) < \infty$$

where  $r_t(x) = (2\pi t)^{-1/2} \exp(-x^2/2t)$ . In this case,

$$(2) \quad (e^{tZ_1} f)(x) = (r_t * (fv))/v.$$

It was conjectured in [4] that, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is the self-adjoint part of a  $C^*$ -algebra, then any positive semigroup  $S$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies a bound of the form  $\|S_t\| \leq e^{\omega t}$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) for some real  $\omega$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  has a unit 1, then  $\|S_t 1\| = \|S_t 1\| \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \downarrow 0$ . If 1 belongs to the domain of the generator  $Z$ , then  $\|S_t\| \leq e^{\|Z 1\| t}$  [4]. The next two results show that more than this cannot be said. The constructions are similar to that in Theorem 1 except that the perturbations of standard semigroups are less severe.

**THEOREM 2.** *There is a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup  $S$  on  $C(\mathbf{T})$  such that  $t^{-1} \log \|S_t\| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \downarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be any strictly positive function in  $C(\mathbf{T})$ . Consider  $\mathbf{T}$  as the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$  with endpoints identified, and define  $S_t = e^{tZ}$  by (1). Then  $\|S_t\| = \sup_x v(x - t)/v(x)$ , and it is easy to arrange that  $t^{-1} \log \|S_t\| \rightarrow \infty$ , for example by taking  $v(x) = 1 + |\sin x|^{1/2}$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *There is a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup  $S$  on  $C_0(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \|S_t\| > 1$ . Such a semigroup cannot be extended to a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $C(\bar{\mathbf{R}})$ , where  $\bar{\mathbf{R}}$  is the one-point compactification of  $\mathbf{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be any bounded continuous real function on  $\mathbf{R}$ , which is bounded away from zero, and define  $S_t = e^{tZ}$  by (1). Then  $\|S_t\| = \sup_x v(x - t)/v(x)$ , so one may arrange that  $\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \|S_t\| > 1$ , for example by taking  $v(x) = 2 + \sin x^2$ .

The final statement follows from the fact that if  $S$  extends to a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\bar{S}$  on  $C(\bar{\mathbb{R}})$ , then

$$\|S_t\| \leq \|\bar{S}_t\| = \|\bar{S}_t 1\| \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0.$$

The semigroups in Theorems 2 and 3 can be taken to be strictly positive, for example by using (2) (with appropriate choice of  $v$ ) as a definition.

### 3. THE PERIPHERAL SPECTRUM

It is a well-known property of  $C_0$ -semigroups  $S_t = e^{tZ}$  that the limit  $\omega_s := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log \|S_t\|$  exists in  $[-\infty, \infty)$ , the spectral radius of  $S_t$  is  $\exp(\omega_s t)$ , and  $e^{\lambda t}$  belongs to the spectrum  $\text{Sp } S_t$  whenever  $\lambda$  belongs to  $\text{Sp } Z$  [5, Theorems 1.22, 2.16]. In particular,

$$(3) \quad \sup\{\text{Re } \lambda : \lambda \in \text{Sp } Z\} \leq \omega_s.$$

For positive semigroups, equality often holds in (3). For example there is equality if  $S$  is a positive semigroup and either

(a)  $\mathcal{B} := L^1(\mu)$  [6],

(b)  $\mathcal{B} := L^2(\mu)$  [11], or

(c)  $\mathcal{B}$  has an order-unit ([9], where the result is stated for unital  $C^*$ -algebras, but the proof may easily be modified).

On the other hand, equality may fail in (3) if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Banach lattice [7]; it is unknown whether it holds if  $\mathcal{B} := L^p(\mu)$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ;  $p \neq 2$ ).

Recall from [2] that  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\alpha$ -directed ( $\alpha \geq 1$ ) if, for any finite number of elements  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  in the closed unit ball of  $\mathcal{B}$ , there is some  $b$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\|b\| \leq \alpha$ ,  $b_i \leq b$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). If  $u$  is an order-unit of norm 1, then  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\delta$ -directed where  $\delta$  is the distance from  $u$  to the complement of  $\mathcal{B}_+$ ; so Theorem 4 below extends case (c) mentioned above. The self-adjoint part of any  $C^*$ -algebra is  $\alpha$ -directed for any  $\alpha > 1$  [12, Theorem 1.4.2].

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\alpha$ -directed for some finite  $\alpha$ . Let  $S$  be a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $\mathcal{B}$  with generator  $Z$  and with  $\omega_s > -\infty$ . Then  $\omega_s$  belongs to  $\text{Sp } Z$ .*

*Proof.* Replacing  $S_t$  by  $\exp(-\omega_s t)S_t$ , there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $\omega_s = 0$ . The proof that follows will be similar to that given in [6] for AL-spaces, but using the dual semigroup  $S^*$ .

Suppose that  $0 \notin \text{Sp } Z$ . It follows from [5, Theorem 2.16, Lemma 2.11] that  $\text{Sp } R(1, Z) \subset \{\lambda : |\lambda - 1/2| \leq 1/2\} \setminus \{1\}$ , so that the spectral radius  $\rho$  of  $R(1, Z)$  is strictly less than 1. Standard resolvent theory (see [5, p. 35]) shows that if  $|1 - \mu| < \rho^{-1}$ , then  $\mu \notin \text{Sp } Z$  and

$$R(\mu, Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \mu)^n R(1, Z)^{n+1}$$

the sum being absolutely convergent. Fix  $\mu$  with  $1 - \rho^{-1} < \mu < 0$ . For  $b$  in  $\mathcal{B}_+$  and  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$ ,

$$\langle R(1, Z)^{n+1}b, \varphi \rangle = \int_0^\infty \langle S_t b, \varphi \rangle \frac{t^n}{n!} e^{-t} dt,$$

the integral being absolutely convergent. It follows from the Monotone Convergence Theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(\mu, Z)b, \varphi \rangle &= \int_0^\infty \langle S_t b, \varphi \rangle \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(1 - \mu)^n t^n}{n!} e^{-t} dt = \\ &= \int_0^\infty \langle S_t b, \varphi \rangle e^{-\mu t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{B}_+$  is generating, this formula is valid for all  $b$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . The norm is  $\alpha$ -additive on  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$  [2, Theorem 2.3.5] in the sense that  $\|\varphi_1\| + \dots + \|\varphi_n\| \leq \alpha(\|\varphi_1 + \dots + \varphi_n\|)$  ( $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{B}_+^*$ ), so the use of approximating Riemann sums shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu t} \|S_t^* \varphi\| dt &\leq \alpha \left\| \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu t} S_t^* \varphi dt \right\| = \\ &= \alpha \|R(\mu, Z)^* \varphi\| \leq \alpha \|R(\mu, Z)^*\| \|\varphi\| < \infty \end{aligned}$$

at least for  $\varphi$  in the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &= \{ \psi \in \mathcal{B}_+^* : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|S_t^* \psi - \psi\| = 0 \} = \\ &= \{ \psi \in \mathcal{B}_+^* : t \rightarrow S_t^* \psi \text{ is norm continuous} \}. \end{aligned}$$

But the proof of [5, Theorem 1.36] shows that every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_+^*$  is the weak\*-limit of a sequence  $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{S}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu t} \|S_t^* \varphi\| dt &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu t} \|S_t^* \varphi_n\| dt \leq \\ (4) \qquad &\leq \alpha \|R(\mu, Z)^*\| \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n\| < \infty \end{aligned}$$

by the Uniform Boundedness Theorem.

Since  $\mu < 0 = \omega_s$ ,  $\|e^{-\mu m} S_m^*\| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$  is generating, the Uniform Boundedness Theorem implies that there is a  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$  and a sequence

$m_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $e^{-\mu m_n} \|S_{m_n}^* \varphi\| \geq 1$ . If  $M := \sup \{e^{-\mu t} \|S_t^*\| : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ , then

$$e^{-\mu t} \|S_t^* \varphi\| \geq \frac{1}{M} \quad (m_n - 1 \leq t \leq m_n).$$

This contradicts (4).

#### 4. EXTREMAL POSITIVE FUNCTIONALS

Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  has an order-unit  $u$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $\mathcal{B}$ . The Kreĭn-Rutman Theorem shows that for each  $t > 0$ , there is a non-zero  $\varphi_t$  in  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$  such that  $S_t^* \varphi_t = e^{\omega_s t} \varphi_t$  (see [8, 2.1]). Thus, if for each non-zero  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$ ,

$$(5) \quad \inf_{t>0} \langle S_t u, \varphi \rangle < \langle u, \varphi \rangle$$

then  $\omega_s < 0$  (and conversely). The next result, the discrete version of which was proved in [3], shows that it is sufficient that (5) should hold for a restricted class of functionals.

**THEOREM 5.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  has an order-unit  $u$ , and that  $S$  is a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $\mathcal{B}$  such that (5) holds whenever  $\varphi$  is a non-zero weak\*-limit of extremal functionals in the cone  $\mathcal{B}_+^*$ . Then  $\|S_t\| < 1$  for some  $t$ , and hence  $\omega_s < 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_+^* : \varphi(u) = 1\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is linearly homeomorphic to the space  $A(K)$  of weak\*-continuous affine real functions on  $K$ . It follows from compactness of the weak\* closure  $\overline{\partial K}$  of the extreme boundary of  $K$  and strong continuity of  $S$  that there are rational numbers  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  such that

$$\inf_{1 \leq i \leq k} \langle S_{t_i} u, \varphi \rangle < 1 \quad (\varphi \in \overline{\partial K}).$$

So there is a positive number  $\tau$  such that

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle S_{n\tau} u, \varphi \rangle < 1 \quad (\varphi \in \overline{\partial K}).$$

It follows from [3, Theorem 3.2] that  $\|S_{n\tau} u\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Similarly one may prove that if  $\sup_{t>0} \langle S_t u, \varphi \rangle > 1$  whenever  $\varphi$  is a non-zero weak\*-limit of extremal positive functionals, then  $\omega_s > 0$ . However in Theorem 5 it is not possible to assume that (5) is valid only for extremal positive functionals  $\varphi$ , as may be seen by embedding certain of the operators considered in [3, Example 3.1] in suitable semigroups.

## REFERENCES

1. ARENDT, W.; CHERNOFF, P. R.; KATO, T., A generalization of dissipativity and positive semigroups, *J. Operator Theory*, **8**(1982), 167—180.
2. ASIMOW, L.; ELLIS, A. J., *Convexity theory and its applications in functional analysis*, Academic Press, London, 1980.
3. BATTY, C. J. K., On some ergodic properties for continuous and affine functions, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **28**(1978), 209—215.
4. BRATTELI, O.; DIGERNES, T.; ROBINSON, D. W., Positive semigroups on ordered Banach spaces, *J. Operator Theory*, **9**(1983), 371—400.
5. DAVIES, E. B., *One-parameter semigroups*, Academic Press, London, 1980.
6. DERNDINGER, R., Über das Spektrum positiver Generatoren, *Math. Z.*, **172** (1980), 281—293.
7. GREINER, G.; VOIGT, J.; WOLFF, M., On the spectral bound of the generator of semigroups of positive operators, *J. Operator Theory*, **5**(1981), 245—256.
8. GROH, U., The peripheral point spectrum of Schwarz operators on  $C^*$ -algebras, *Math. Z.*, **176**(1981), 311—318.
9. GROH, U.; NEUBRANDER, F., Stabilität starkstetiger, positiver Operatorhalbgruppen auf  $C^*$ -Algebren, *Math. Ann.*, **256**(1981), 509—516.
10. HILLE, E.; PHILLIPS, R. S., *Functional analysis and semigroups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. 31, Providence R.I., 1957.
11. NAGEL, R., Zur Charakterisierung stabiler Operatorhalbgruppen, *Semesterbericht Funktionalanalysis*, Tübingen, 1981/82.
12. PEDERSEN, G. K.,  *$C^*$ -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, London, 1979.
13. YOSIDA, K., *Functional analysis*, 6<sup>th</sup> ed., Springer, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1980.

C. J. K. BATTY

*Department of Mathematics,  
University of Edinburgh,  
King's Buildings,  
Edinburgh EH9 3JZ,  
Scotland.*

E. B. DAVIES

*Department of Mathematics,  
King's College,  
Strand, London WC2R 2LS,  
England.*

Received August 18, 1982.