

THE PROPERTIES OF THE CAUCHY TRANSFORM ON A BOUNDED DOMAIN

MILUTIN R. DOSTANIĆ

Communicated by Florian-Horia Vasilescu

ABSTRACT. In this paper we find the norm and the asymptotic behavior of singular values of the Cauchy transform on a bounded domain.

KEYWORDS: *Cauchy transform, Laplace operator, singular values.*

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 47B10.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded domain. Denote by $L^2(D)$ the space of complex valued functions in D for which the norm

$$\|f\| = \left(\int_D |f(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is finite. Here the $dA(\xi) = dx dy$, $\xi = x + iy$. The Cauchy integral operator $C : L^2(D) \rightarrow L^2(D)$ is defined by

$$Cf(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} dA(\xi).$$

It is well known that C is a bounded operator on $L^2(D)$. If D is the unit disc, J.M. Anderson and A. Hinkkanen proved in [1] that $\|C\| = 2/\alpha$ where α is the smallest positive zero of the Bessel function

$$J_0(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k!)^2} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2k}.$$

In [2], Anderson, Khavison and Lomosov determined all the eigenvalues and eigenvectors, of the operators C^*C and L , where L is defined on $L^2(D)$ (D is the unit disc

$$Lf(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_D \ln \frac{1}{|z-\xi|} f(\xi) dA(\xi).$$

They also determined the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the operator

$$Nf(x) = \frac{1}{(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \int_D |x-y|^{2-n} f(y) dy$$

(ω_{n-1} denotes the surface area of the unit sphere S^{n-1} in R^n) acting on $L^2(D)$, where D is the ball in R^n . In the case of an arbitrary domain D , estimates from below of the norms of C, L, N are also given [2] but there are no precise estimates from above. Our Theorem 2.1 gives the exact value of the norm of C in an arbitrary simply connected domain in C .

In [3], Arazy and Khavinson gave estimates from above and below of the singular values of the operator C in an arbitrary bounded domain in C . They also founded estimates for the singular values of the operators CP, PCP and LP , when P is the Bergman projector, and showed that these are *two times nicer* than the corresponding estimates for C or L . Our Theorem 2.6 gives an expression for the exact asymptotic behaviour of the singular values of the operator C in the terms of geometric properties of the domain D .

The exact asymptotic behaviour of the singular values of PC and LP and their dependence on the length of ∂D will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

Let T be a compact operator on Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The singular values of the operator T are the eigenvalues of the operator $(T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The eigenvalues of the operator $(T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, arranged in the decreasing order and repeated according to their multiplicity, form a sequence $s_1(T), s_2(T), \dots$ tending to zero. Denote by C_p the Schatten-von Neumann class of operators. Let $\mathcal{N}_t(T)$ be the singular value distribution function

$$\mathcal{N}_t(T) = \sum_{s_n(T) \geq t} 1, \quad t > 0.$$

Denote by $\int_D K(x,y) dy$ the integral operator on $L^2(D)$ with the kernel $K(\cdot, \cdot)$. By $a_n \sim b_n, n \rightarrow \infty$ and $f(x) \sim g(x), x \rightarrow 0$ we denote the fact that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1.$$

2. RESULTS

THEOREM 2.1. *If $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded domain with piecewise C^1 boundary, then*

$$\|C\| = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}$$

where λ_1 is the smallest eigenvalue of the following boundary problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u \\ u|_{\partial D} = 0. \end{cases}$$

In what follows we need some lemmas.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $f \in L^2(D)$ and*

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_D e^{-iu x_1 - iv x_2} f(u, v) \, du \, dv.$$

Then for $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\widehat{f}(x)|^2}{|x|^{2\alpha}} \, dx \leq \lambda_1^{-\alpha} \int_D |f(x)|^2 \, dx$$

where λ_1 is the smallest eigenvalue of the boundary problem (2.1). (Here $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$.)

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(D)$ (infinitely many differentiable function with the compact support lying in D). Then $-\Delta\varphi = F^{-1}|x|^2 F\varphi$. Here F is the Fourier transform, i.e.

$$F\varphi(x) = \widehat{\varphi}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-itx} \varphi(t) \, dt.$$

Therefore we have

$$(2.2) \quad (-\Delta\varphi, \varphi)_{L^2(D)} = (F^{-1}|x|^2 F\varphi, \varphi)_{L^2(D)}.$$

Let $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the orthonormal base of $L^2(D)$ consisting of eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator $-\Delta$ with the boundary condition $u|_{\partial D} = 0$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$ respectively. Since

$$(-\Delta\varphi, \varphi) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n |(\varphi, u_n)|^2 \geq \lambda_1 \|\varphi\|^2,$$

then from (2.2) it follows

$$\lambda_1(\varphi, \varphi) \leq (F^{-1}|x|^2 F\varphi, \varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(D).$$

Let A_0 and B be linear operators on $L^2(D)$ defined on the domains

$$\mathcal{D}(A_0) = C_0^\infty(D)$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(B) = \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(D) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^4 |\hat{\varphi}|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

by

$$A_0\varphi = F^{-1}|x|^2 F\varphi \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A_0))$$

$$B\varphi = F^{-1}|x|^2 F\varphi \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(B)).$$

The operator A_0 is symmetric and hence closable. The operator B is selfadjoint. It can be easily proved that $\bar{A}_0 = B$, where \bar{A}_0 is closure of A_0 . Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(B)$. Then there exists a sequence $\varphi_n \in C_0^\infty(D)$ such that

$$\|\varphi - \varphi_n\|_{L^2(D)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A_0\varphi_n \rightarrow \bar{A}_0\varphi = B\varphi.$$

From (2.2) it follows

$$\lambda_1(\varphi_n, \varphi_n) \leq (F^{-1}|x|^2 F\varphi_n, \varphi_n).$$

Putting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\lambda_1(\varphi, \varphi) \leq (B\varphi, \varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(B)$$

and therefore

$$B + \lambda \geq \lambda_1 + \lambda \quad (\text{on } \mathcal{D}(B)), \quad (\lambda > 0);$$

hence

$$(B + \lambda)^{-1} \leq (\lambda_1 + \lambda)^{-1}.$$

Since

$$B^{-\alpha} = \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\alpha} (\lambda + B)^{-1} d\lambda \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1 \quad ([6]),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} (B^{-\alpha} f, f) &= \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\alpha} ((\lambda + B)^{-1} f, f) d\lambda \\ (2.3) \quad &\leq \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\alpha} (\lambda_1 + \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \cdot \|f\|_{L^2(D)}^2 = \lambda_1^{-\alpha} \|f\|_{L^2(D)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $B^{-\alpha} = F^{-1}|x|^{-2\alpha}F$ in (2.3) we obtain

$$(F^{-1}|x|^{-2\alpha}Ff, f)_{L^2(D)} \leq \lambda_1^{-\alpha} \|f\|_{L^2(D)}^2,$$

i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\widehat{f}(x)|^2}{|x|^{2\alpha}} dx \leq \lambda_1^{-\alpha} \int_D |f(x)|^2 dx. \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 2.3. Let $\Theta_n(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \left(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial y} \right)$, $\xi = x + iy$. Then the following equalities hold:

- (i) $\int_D \Theta_n(\xi) \overline{\Theta_m(\xi)} dA(\xi) = \delta_{nm}$;
- (ii) $C^* \Theta_n = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} u_n$, (C^* is the adjoint operator of C);
- (iii) $C u_n = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} (-\Theta_n + k_n)$ where $k_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{\Theta_n(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi$.

Proof. The relation (i) is obtained directly applying Green formula (having in mind that $-\Delta u_n = \lambda_n u_n$, $u_n|_{\partial D} = 0$ and $\int_{\partial D} u_n(\xi) \overline{u_m(\xi)} dA(\xi) = \delta_{nm}$).

(ii) By Cauchy-Green formula

$$f(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - \bar{z}} d\bar{\xi} - C^* \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \right)$$

(which holds for $f \in C(\bar{D}) \cap C^1(D)$) we get

$$-C^* \left(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial \xi} \right) = u_n.$$

Since $\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}{2} \Theta_n = \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial \xi}$, we obtain

$$C^* \Theta_n = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} u_n.$$

(iii) By Cauchy-Green formula

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi + C \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \right)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} C u_n &= C \left(-\frac{4}{\lambda_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial z} \right) \right) = -\frac{4}{\lambda_n} C \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial z} \right) \right) \\ &= -\frac{4}{\lambda_n} \left[\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial \xi}}{\xi - z} d\xi \right] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} (-\Theta_n + k_n). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\varphi, \psi \in C_0^\infty(D)$. By direct calculation we get

$$(C\varphi, \psi)_{L^2(D)} = \frac{2}{i} \int_{\mathbf{c}} \frac{\widehat{\varphi}(z)\widehat{\psi}(z)}{z} dA(z)$$

because $(\frac{1}{z}) = \frac{i}{z}$ in the sense of distributions theory and

$$\begin{aligned} |(C\varphi, \psi)| &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbf{c}} \frac{|\widehat{\varphi}(z)||\widehat{\psi}(z)|}{|z|} dA \leq 2 \sqrt{\int_{\mathbf{c}} \frac{|\widehat{\varphi}(z)|^2}{|z|} dA} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbf{c}} \frac{|\widehat{\psi}(z)|^2}{|z|} dA} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(D)} \cdot \|\psi\|_{L^2(D)} \end{aligned}$$

(according to Lemma 2.2, case $\alpha = 1/2$). Then for $f, g \in L^2(D)$ the inequality $|(Cf, g)| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \|f\| \|g\|$ holds and so $\|C\| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}$. By Lemma 2.3 it follows $C^*\Theta_1 = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}u_1$. Hence

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} = \left\| -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}u_1 \right\| = \|C^*\Theta_1\| \leq \|C^*\| = \|C\|.$$

So $\|C\| = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}$. (The inequality $\|C\| \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}$ is also proved in [2] (Proposition 5.1, p. 402) by different argument.) ■

REMARK 2.4. If $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ then $\lambda_1 = \alpha^2$, where α is the smallest positive zero of Bessel function J_0 . According to Theorem 2.1 we get $\|C\| = 2/\alpha$. This result it obtained in [1].

REMARK 2.5. If D is bounded domain in \mathbf{C} and D lies in the disc of radius R , then

$$(2.4) \quad \|C\| \leq \frac{2R}{\alpha}.$$

By Faber-Krahn inequality ([7]) we get $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{\pi\alpha^2}{|D|}$ where $|D|$ is area of domain D and by Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$\|C\| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{|D|}{\pi}}$$

which is a better estimate than (2.4).

Now consider a generatization of the Cauchy operator.

Let $m \in C(\overline{D})$ be a complex continuous function on \overline{D} and let μ be the measure defined by

$$d\mu(\xi) = m(\xi) dA(\xi).$$

Consider the operator $A : L^2(D) \rightarrow L^2(D)$ defined by

$$Af(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\mu(\xi).$$

It is well known that C is a compact operator, hence the operator A is also compact.

THEOREM 2.6. *If D is a bounded Jordan measurable domain in \mathbb{C} , then*

$$s_n(A) \sim \left(\int_D |m(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\pi n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

CONSEQUENCE 2.7. $A \in C_p \Leftrightarrow p > 2$. (This corollary is contained in [3].)

REMARK 2.8. If $m \equiv 1$ then $A = C$ and from Theorem 2.6 it follows that $s_n \sim \sqrt{\frac{|D|}{\pi n}}$. Since $\lambda_n \sim \frac{4\pi n}{|D|}$, by Weyl theorem ([6]) we have $s_n(C) \sim \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}$.

Observe that from Theorem 2.1 it follows $s_1(C) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}$. For the other singular values we usually have $s_n \neq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}$, but still the asymptotic relation

$$s_n(C) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}(1 + o(1))$$

holds. We mention that when D is unit disc we have $s_n = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}$ (see [2], Theorem 2.2), although the eigenfunctions and their multiplicities are slightly different.

Before the proof of Theorem 2.6 we need a number of lemmas.

LEMMA 2.9. *If D is bounded domain with piecewise C^1 boundary then*

$$C = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} (\cdot, u_n) \cdot (-\Theta_n + k_n)$$

where $k_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{\Theta_n(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.3 it follows

$$C u_n = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} (-\Theta_n + k_n).$$

Since $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(D)$ then we have

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (f, u_n) \cdot u_n, \quad (f \in L^2(D))$$

and therefore

$$C f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (f, u_n) C u_n = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} (f, u_n) (-\Theta_n + k_n). \quad \blacksquare$$

Let $C_1 = - \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} (\cdot, u_n) \Theta_n$.

Now we prove that if $D = [0, \pi]^2$ then $C - C_1$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. The eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the boundary problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda u \\ u|_{\partial D} &= 0 \quad D = [0, \pi] \times [0, \pi] \end{aligned}$$

are $u_{mn} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin nx \sin my$ and $\mu_{mn} = m^2 + n^2$. In this case the operator $C - C_1$ has the kernel $R(\xi, z)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} R(\xi, z) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\xi - z} \\ &+ \frac{8}{\pi^2} \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2 + n^2} \sin nu \sin mv (n \cos nx \sin my - im \sin nx \cos my) \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = u + iv$ and $z = x + iy$. The series

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2 + n^2} \sin nu \sin mv (n \cos nx \sin my - im \sin nx \cos my)$$

is convergent in distributional sense.

LEMMA 2.10. $\iint_{DD} |R(\xi, z)|^2 dA(\xi) dA(z) < \infty$.

Proof. Since

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos m\alpha}{m^2 + n^2} = -\frac{1}{2n^2} + \frac{\pi}{2n} \left(e^{-|\alpha|n} + \frac{2 \cosh \alpha n}{e^{2\pi n} - 1} \right) \quad (-2\pi < \alpha < 2\pi),$$

applying simple transformations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R(\xi, z) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\xi - z} + \frac{8}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{\pi}{8} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin n(u-x) e^{-n|v-y|} - i \frac{\pi}{8} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin n(v-y) e^{-n|x-u|} \right) \\ &+ \text{some function from } L^2(D \times D). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-an} \sin nb = \frac{\sin b}{2 \cosh a - 2 \cosh b} \quad a > 0, b \in \mathbf{R}$$

from the previous equation it follows

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad R(\xi, z) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\xi - z} + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sin(u-x)}{2 \cosh(v-y) - 2 \cos(u-x)} \\ &- \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{\sin(v-y)}{2 \cosh(x-u) - 2 \cos(v-y)} \\ &+ \text{some function from } L^2(D \times D). \end{aligned}$$

Since the function

$$(a, b) \mapsto \frac{\sin b}{2 \cosh a - 2 \cos b} - \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2}$$

is bounded in a neighborhood of the point (0,0), from (2.5) it follows

$$R(\xi, z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\xi - z} + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{u - x}{(u - x)^2 + (v - y)^2} - \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{v - y}{(u - x)^2 + (v - y)^2} + \text{some function from } L^2(D \times D).$$

So,

$$R(\xi, z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\xi - z} + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\bar{\xi} - \bar{z}}{|\xi - z|^2} + \text{some function from } L^2(D \times D)$$

i.e., $R \in L^2(D \times D)$. ■

LEMMA 2.11. If $D = [0, \pi^2]$, then for the operator $C : L^2(D) \rightarrow L^2(D)$, defined by

$$Cf(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} dA(\xi),$$

the relation $s_n(C) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{n}}$ holds.

Proof. According to Lemma 2.10, $C - C_1$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{2}} s_n(C - C_1) = 0.$$

On the other hand, we have $s_n(C_1) = 2/\sqrt{\lambda_n}$, where λ_n are the eigenvalues of the following boundary problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda u \\ u|_{\partial D} &= 0 \quad D = [0, \pi] \times [0, \pi]. \end{aligned}$$

The Weyl Theorem gives $\lambda_n \sim \frac{4n}{\pi}$. So from Ky Fan Theorem ([5] and (2.6) it follows

$$s_n(C) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{n}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Observe that, by substitution, from Lemma 2.11 we get

$$(2.7) \quad s_n \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \frac{dA(\xi)}{\xi - z} \right) \sim \sqrt{\frac{|D|}{\pi n}}$$

where D is an arbitrary square with the sides parallel to the coordinate axes and $|D|$ denotes its area.

The following two lemmas are direct consequences of Lemma 1 and 2 from [4].

LEMMA 2.12. *If T' and T'' are compact operators and*

$$T = T' + T'', \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^\gamma \mathcal{N}_t(T') = C(T') \quad \text{and} \quad s_n(T'') = o(n^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}), \quad \gamma > 0$$

then there exists the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^\gamma \mathcal{N}_t(T)$ and it is equal to $C(T')$.

LEMMA 2.13. *Let T be compact operator and suppose that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a decomposition $T = T'_\varepsilon + T''_\varepsilon$ where $T'_\varepsilon, T''_\varepsilon$ are compact operators such that:*

(i) *There exists $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^\gamma \mathcal{N}_t(T'_\varepsilon) = C(T'_\varepsilon)$, $C(T'_\varepsilon)$ being a bounded function in the neighborhood of $\varepsilon = 0$;*

(ii) $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(T''_\varepsilon) n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq \varepsilon$.

Then there exists $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} C(T'_\varepsilon) = C(T)$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^\gamma \mathcal{N}_t(T) = C(T).$$

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Consider first the case when $D = [0, \pi]^2$. Divide the square D in N squares D_i and denote by ξ_i the center of D_i . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $m \in C(\overline{D})$ then for N large enough the inequality $|m(\xi) - m(\xi_i)| < \varepsilon$ holds for every $\xi \in D_i$. Then

$$(2.8) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^N (m(\xi) - m(\xi_j)) \chi_{D_j}(\xi) \right| < \varepsilon$$

for every $\xi \in D$. ($\chi_S(\cdot)$ is characteristic function of the set S .) The operator

$$A = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \frac{m(\xi) dA(\xi)}{\xi - z}$$

can be represented in the form

$$A = B_N + H_N + E_N$$

where B_N, H_N, E_N are linear operators on $L^2(D)$ defined respectively by

$$B_N f = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \frac{1}{\xi - z} \left[\sum_{j=1}^N (m(\xi) - m(\xi_j)) \chi_{D_j}(\xi) \right] f(\xi) dA(\xi)$$

$$H_N f = \sum_{j=1}^N m(\xi_j) \chi_{D_j}(z) \int_{D_j} -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\xi - z} f(\xi) dA(\xi)$$

and

$$E_N f = \sum_{\substack{i \neq j \\ i, j=1}} m(\xi_j) \chi_{D_i}(z) \int_{D_j} -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\xi - z} f(\xi) dA(\xi).$$

Since

$$\int \int_{D_i, D_j} \frac{dA(\xi) dA(\eta)}{|\xi - \eta|^2} < \infty$$

for $i \neq j$, we conclude that E_N is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and hence

$$(2.9) \quad s_n(E_N) = o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

From (2.7) and (2.8) it follows

$$s_n(B_N) \leq C \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

where the constant C does not depend on n and ε . From (2.9) and the property of singular values of the sum of two operators we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{2}} s_n(B_N + E_N) \leq C' \cdot \varepsilon$$

where C' does not depend on ε and N .

Define the operators $C_j^N : L^2(D_j) \rightarrow L^2(D_j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ by

$$C_j^N f(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D_j} m(\xi_j) \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} dA(\xi).$$

The operator H_N is a direct sum of the operators C_j^N , ($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$) and hence

$$\mathcal{N}_t(H_N) = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{N}_t(C_j^N).$$

From (2.7) (consequence of Lemma 2.11), it follows

$$s_n(C_j^N) \sim |m(\xi_j)| \sqrt{\frac{|D_j|}{\pi n}} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

and therefore

$$\mathcal{N}_t(C_j^N) \sim |m(\xi_j)|^2 \frac{|D_j|}{\pi t^2} \quad t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

So

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(H_N) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^N |m(\xi_j)|^2 |D_j|.$$

From (2.10) and (2.11), by Lemma 2.13, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(A) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^N |m(\xi_j)|^2 |D_j| = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D |m(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi).$$

So

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{N}_t(A) \sim \frac{1}{\pi t^2} \int_D |m(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi) \quad t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Putting $t = s_n(A)$ we obtain $ns_n^2(A) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D |m(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi)$, i.e.,

$$s_n(A) \sim (\pi n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_D |m(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and Theorem 2.6 is proved in the case when D is a square.

LEMMA 2.14. *Let $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^r K_i$ where K_i are the squares with the property $K_i^\circ \cap K_j^\circ = \emptyset$ ($i \neq j$), (K_i° is the interior of the square K_i), and m is a continuous complex function on \bar{D} . Then for the operator $A_1 : L^2(D) \rightarrow L^2(D)$ defined by*

$$A_1 f(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_D f(\xi) \frac{m(\xi)}{\xi - z} dA(\xi)$$

the following asymptotic formula holds

$$\mathcal{N}_t(A_1) \sim \frac{1}{\pi t^2} \int_D |m(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi) \quad t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Proof. Let $P_j : L^2(D) \rightarrow L^2(D)$, $P_j f(z) = \chi_{K_j}(z) f(z)$. Then

$$A_1 = \sum_{i=1}^r P_i A_1 P_i + \sum_{\substack{i \neq j \\ i, j=1}}^r P_i A_1 P_j.$$

The operator $P_i A_1 P_i$ are the Hilbert-Schmidt ones; hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{2}} s_n \left(\sum_{i \neq j}^r P_i A_1 P_j \right) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.12 we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(A_1) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t \left(\sum_{i=1}^r P_i A_1 P_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(P_i A_1 P_i).$$

Since by (2.12)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(P_i A_1 P_i) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{K_i} |m|^2 dA$$

we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(A_1) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D |m|^2 dA. \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 2.15. *If $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ are bounded measurable sets, $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$ and $B_i : L^2(\Omega_i) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are the linear operators defined by*

$$B_i f(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Omega_i} \frac{m(\xi) f(\xi)}{\xi - z} dA(\xi)$$

then

$$\mathcal{N}_i(B_1) \leq \mathcal{N}(B_2).$$

Proof. We have $B_1 = P_1 B_2 P_2$ where $P_2 : L^2(\Omega_1) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega_2)$ and $P_1 : L^2(\Omega_2) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega_1)$ are defined by $P_1 f = f|_{\Omega_1}$ and $P_2 f(z) = f(z)$ for $z \in \Omega_1$ and $P_2 f(z) = 0$ for $z \in \Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1$. From $B_1 = P_1 B_2 P_2$ we have $s_n(B_1) \leq s_n(B_2)$. The statement of lemma follows. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 2.6 in the general case. Let D be a bounded Jordan measurable domain in \mathbb{C} . Let $\underline{D}_N \subset D \subset \overline{D}_N$ where \underline{D}_N and \overline{D}_N are finite unions of the squares of equal side length such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\underline{D}_N| &\rightarrow |D| \\ |\overline{D}_N| &\rightarrow |D| \quad N \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

where $|W|$ denote the area of W . Let \tilde{m} be a continuous extension of the function m in some neighborhood of the set \overline{D} . Let \underline{A}_N and \overline{A}_N be the linear operators on $L^2(\underline{D}_N)$ and $L^2(\overline{D}_N)$ respectively defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{A}_N f(z) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\underline{D}_N} \frac{m(\xi) f(\xi)}{\xi - z} dA(\xi) \\ \overline{A}_N f(z) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\overline{D}_N} \frac{\tilde{m}(\xi) f(\xi)}{\xi - z} dA(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 2.15 we have

$$\mathcal{N}_t(\underline{A}_N) \leq \mathcal{N}_t(A) \leq \mathcal{N}_t(\overline{A}_N),$$

i.e.,

$$t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(\underline{A_N}) \leq t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(A) \leq t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(\overline{A_N}).$$

So

$$(2.13) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(\underline{A_N}) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(A) \leq \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(A) \leq \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(\overline{A_N}).$$

Since by Lemma 2.14

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(\underline{A_N}) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(\underline{A_N}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\underline{D_N}} |m(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi) \\ \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(\overline{A_N}) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(A) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\overline{D_N}} |\tilde{m}(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

then from (2.13) it follows

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\underline{D_N}} |m(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(A) \leq \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(A) \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\overline{D_N}} |\tilde{m}(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi).$$

From the last relation for $N \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^2 \mathcal{N}_t(A) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D |m(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi).$$

Putting $t = s_n(A)$ in (2.14) we get $ns_n^2(A) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D |m(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi)$, i.e.,

$$s_n(A) \sim (\pi n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_D |m(\xi)|^2 dA(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Theorem 2.6 is proved. ■

REFERENCES

1. J.M. ANDERSON, A. HINKKANEN, The Cauchy transform on bounded domain, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **107**(1989), 179–185.
2. J.M. ANDERSON, D. KHAVINSON, V. LOMONOSOV, Spectral properties of some integral operators arising in potential theory, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, (1992), 387–407.
3. J. ARAZY, D. KHAVINSON, Spectral estimates of Cauchy’s transform in $L^2(\Omega)$, *Integral Equation Operator Theory* **15**(1992), 901–919.

4. M.Š. BIRMAN, M.Z. SOLOMJAK, Asymptotic behavior of the spectrum of weakly polar integral operators, *Math. USSR-Izv.* 4(1970), 1151–1168.
5. I.C. GOHBERG, M.G. KREIN, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators*, in Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1969.
6. T. KATO, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
7. J.R. KUTTLER, V.G. SIGILITO, Eigenvalues of the Laplacian in two dimensions, *SIAM Rev.* 26(1984), 163–193.

MILUTIN DOSTANIĆ
Institute for Applied Mathematics
and Electronics
Kneza Miloša 37
Beograd
YUGOSLAVIA

Received February 13, 1995; revised May 30, 1995 and February 7, 1996.