

## AN EXCISION THEOREM FOR THE K-THEORY OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

IAN F. PUTNAM

*Communicated by Norberto Salinas*

**ABSTRACT.** We consider a pair of  $C^*$ -algebras  $A' \subseteq A$ . The K-theory of the mapping cone for this inclusion can be regarded as a relative K-group. We describe a situation where two such pairs have isomorphic relative groups.

**KEYWORDS:**  $C^*$ -algebra, K-theory.

**AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION:** Primary 46L80; Secondary 46L85, 19K99.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with a certain excision result for K-theory of  $C^*$ -algebras.

Let us begin by setting out some notation. Let  $A$  be any  $C^*$ -algebra. We let  $A^\sim$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra obtained by adjoining a unit to  $A$  (even if  $A$  is already unital). Let  $M_n(A)$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries from  $A$ . For any  $a$  in  $A^\sim$  (respectively,  $M_n(A^\sim)$ ), let  $\dot{a}$  denote its image in  $\mathbb{C}$ , the complex numbers, (respectively,  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ), under the map modding out by  $A$ . We also regard  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  implicitly as subalgebras of  $A^\sim$  and  $M_n(A^\sim)$ , respectively.

Suppose  $A'$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ . We regard  $A'^\sim \subseteq A^\sim$  as the natural unital inclusion. Recall [6], [7], [1] that the mapping cone for the inclusion  $A' \subseteq A$  is

$$C(A'; A) = \{f : [0, 1] \longrightarrow A \mid f \text{ is continuous, } f(0) = 0, f(1) \in A'\}.$$

It is a  $C^*$ -algebra with pointwise operations and

$$\|f\| = \sup \{\|f(t)\| \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$$

for  $f$  in  $C(A'; A)$ . There is a natural short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C_0(0, 1) \otimes A \xrightarrow{i} C(A'; A) \xrightarrow{ev} A' \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$ev(f) = f(1), \quad f \in C(A'; A)$$

$$i(g \otimes a)(t) = g(t)a, \quad g \in C_0(0, 1), \quad a \in A, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Let  $b : K_i(A) \rightarrow K_{i+1}(C_0(0, 1) \otimes A)$  denote the usual isomorphism ([1]). After using  $b$  to replace the terms involving  $K_*(C_0(0, 1) \otimes A)$ , the six-term exact sequence for K-groups associated with the sequence above becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_1(A) & \xrightarrow{i_* b} & K_0(C(A'; A)) & \xrightarrow{ev_*} & K_0(A') \\ \uparrow j_* & & & & \downarrow j_* \\ K_1(A') & \xleftarrow{ev_*} & K_1(C(A'; A)) & \xleftarrow{i_* b} & K_0(A) \end{array}$$

where  $j : A' \rightarrow A$  denotes the inclusion map. We regard  $K_*(C(A'; A))$  as a “relative group” for the  $C^*$ -algebra inclusion  $A' \subseteq A$ . Indeed, if  $A'$  is actually an ideal in  $A$ , then there is a natural isomorphism

$$K_*(C(A'; A)) \cong K_*(A/A').$$

To see this, let

$$J = \{f \in C(A'; A) \mid f(t) \in A' \text{ for all } 0 \leq t \leq 1\},$$

which is an ideal in  $C(A'; A)$ . Moreover,  $J \cong C_0(0, 1] \otimes A'$  and so  $K_*(J) = 0$ , since  $C_0(0, 1]$  is contractible ([7], [1]). We also have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow C(A, A') \rightarrow C_0(0, 1) \otimes (A/A') \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking the six-term exact sequence for K-groups and noting  $K_*(J) = 0$  yields the result. Thus, if  $A'$  is an ideal,  $K_*(C(A'; A))$  depends only on  $A/A'$ .

Our goal is to describe two pairs of inclusions  $A' \subseteq A$  and  $B' \subseteq B$  which are related in a specific way that we may conclude that there is an isomorphism

$$K_*(C(A'; A)) \cong K_*(C(B'; B)),$$

which is natural in some sense. The roles of  $A$  and  $B$  here will not be symmetric. In some sense, the inclusion  $A' \subseteq A$  will be the more tractible. We suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are both  $C^*$ -algebras of operators acting on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We suppose that  $z$  is a selfadjoint unitary on  $\mathcal{H}$  and that the following conditions are satisfied. First,  $B$  should lie in the multiplier algebra of  $A$ . We should have  $zAz = A$  and, for all  $b$  in  $B$ ,  $zbx - b$  lies in  $A$ . One interesting case where this occurs is when  $(\mathcal{H}, z)$  is a Fredholm module for  $B$  ([1]). Let  $A$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . These conditions are satisfied. Returning to the general situation, we let  $A'$  and  $B'$  be those operators in  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, which commute with  $z$ . We require three more technical assumptions on  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $z$  (given as conditions 4, 5, 6 in Section 3). Under these hypotheses, we construct a homomorphism

$$\alpha : K_*(C(B'; B)) \longrightarrow K_*(C(A'; A))$$

and prove that it is an isomorphism.

The main applications of this result are in various situations arising from dynamical systems where  $B$ ,  $B'$ ,  $A$  and  $A'$  can all be described as groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras. For example,  $B = C(X) \times_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}$  and  $B' = A_Y$  of [4], where  $\varphi$  is a minimal homeomorphism of a Cantor set  $X$ , can be described in this way. Here,  $A$  is the compact operators on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $A'$  is the direct sum of compact operators on two orthogonal subspaces. More applications can be found in [5]. (Also, see [2].)

In Section 2, we provide a description of  $K_0(C(A'; A))$  which will be useful. In Section 3, we state and prove the main results ( Theorems 3.1 and 3.7).

## 2. K-THEORY OF MAPPING CONES

Our aim in this section is to provide a natural description of  $K_0(C(A'; A))$ .

We begin, as in Section 1, with  $C^*$ -algebras  $A' \subseteq A$ . For each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , we let  $V_n(A'; A)$ , or simply  $V_n$ , denote the set of elements  $v$  in  $M_n(A' \sim)$  such that:

- (i)  $v$  is a partial isometry;
- (ii)  $v^*v$  is in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ;
- (iii)  $vv^*$  is in  $M_n(A' \sim)$ .

(In some ways, it would be more natural to require  $v^*v$  to be in  $M_n(A' \sim)$ ; our definition will be more convenient, however.) We regard  $V_n \subseteq V_{n+1}$  by identifying  $v$  and  $v \oplus 0$ , for all  $v$  in  $V_n$ . We let

$$V(A'; A) = \bigcup_n V_n(A'; A).$$

We will make use of the following two facts:

1. If  $h$  is a selfadjoint element of a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\|h - h^2\| < \delta < 1/2$ , then the spectrum of  $h$  is contained in  $(-2\delta, 2\delta) \cup (1 - 2\delta, 1 + 2\delta)$ . The proof is an easy application of the spectral theorem.

2. If  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are projections in a  $C^*$ -algebra with  $\|p_1 - p_2\| < \delta < 1/2$ , then there is a unitary  $u$  in the  $C^*$ -algebra such that  $up_1u^* = p_2$  and  $\|u - 1\| < \pi\delta$ . For a proof, see 4.3.2, 4.6.5 of [1].

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose  $0 < \varepsilon < 100^{-1}$  and  $v$  in  $M_n(A^\sim)$  satisfies (i) and (ii) above and there exists  $q$  in  $M_n(A^\sim)$  such that  $\|vv^* - q\| < \varepsilon$ . Then there exists a unitary  $u$  in  $M_n(A^\sim)$  such that  $\|u - 1\| < 30\varepsilon$  and  $uv$  is in  $V_n(A'; A)$ .*

*Proof.* First replace  $q$  by  $(q + q^*)/2$  so we may assume it is selfadjoint. Since  $v$  is a partial isometry,  $vv^*$  is a projection and so

$$\|q^2 - q\| < 4\varepsilon.$$

Then, using the first fact above,  $q_1 = \chi_{(1/2, \infty)}(q)$  is a projection and  $\|q_1 - q\| < 8\varepsilon$  hence

$$\|q_1 - vv^*\| < 9\varepsilon.$$

The second fact above then gives the desired  $u$ . ■

We define a map

$$\kappa : V(A'; A) \longrightarrow K_0(C(A'; A)).$$

Begin with  $v$  in  $V_n(A'; A)$ . Consider

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - v^*v & v^* \\ v & 1 - vv^* \end{bmatrix}$$

in  $M_{2n}(A^\sim)$ . It is easily verified that  $v_1$  is a selfadjoint unitary. We define a path of selfadjoint unitaries in  $M_{2n}(A^\sim)$  by

$$v_2(t) = [v_1 + 1 + e^{i\pi t}(1 - v_1)]^{-1} [v_1 + 1 + e^{i\pi t}(1 - v_1)],$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Notice that  $v_2$  satisfies:

- (i)  $v_2(t)$  is unitary for all  $t$ ,
- (ii)  $v_2$  is in  $C[0, 1] \otimes M_{2n}(A^\sim)$ ,
- (iii)  $\dot{v}_2(t) = 1$ , for all  $t$ ,
- (iv)  $v_2(0) = 1$ ,
- (v)  $v_2(1) = \dot{v}_1^{-1}v_1$ .

Together, (ii), (iii) and (iv) imply that  $v_2$  may be regarded as an element of

$$[C_0(0, 1] \otimes M_{2n}]^\sim .$$

Finally, we define

$$p_v(t) = v_2(t) e_{11} v_2(t)^*,$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , where  $e_{11}$  denotes  $1_n \oplus 0$  in  $M_{2n}(A^\sim)$ . It is easy to verify that

- (i)  $p_v(0) = e_{11}$ ;
- (ii)  $p_v(1) = (1_n - v^*v) \oplus vv^* \in M_{2n}(A'^\sim)$ ;
- (iii)  $\dot{p}_v(t) = e_{11}$ , for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

Thus,  $p_v$  is in  $M_{2n}(C(A'; A)^\sim)$  and  $[p_v] - [e_{11}]$  is in  $K_0(C(A'; A))$ . We denote this element by  $\kappa(v)$ . We summarize the properties of  $\kappa$ .

LEMMA 2.2. (i) For  $v, w$  in  $V(A'; A)$ ,

$$\kappa(v \oplus w) = \kappa(v) + \kappa(w).$$

(ii) If  $v, w$  are in  $V_n(A'; A)$  and  $\|v - w\| < 200^{-1}$ , then  $\kappa(v) = \kappa(w)$ .

(iii) For  $v$  in  $V_n(A'; A)$ ,  $w_1$  in  $U_n(A'^\sim)$  and  $w_2$  in  $U_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $w_1vw_2$  is in  $V_n(A'; A)$  and

$$\kappa(w_1) = \kappa(w_2) = 0$$

$$\kappa(w_1vw_2) = \kappa(v).$$

(iv) For any projection  $p$  in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\kappa(p) = 0$ .

(v) If  $v$  is a partial isometry in  $M_n(A'^\sim)$ , then  $\kappa(v) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Parts (i) and (iv) are verified by direct computations, which we omit.

In proving (ii), one notes that the construction of  $p_v$  depends continuously on  $v$ . In fact,  $\|v - w\| < 200^{-1}$  implies  $\|p_v - p_w\| < 1/2$  (we omit the details), which implies  $[p_v] = [p_w]$  and the conclusion. As a consequence of (ii), if  $v$  and  $w$  are homotopic in  $V_n(A'; A)$  then  $\kappa(v) = \kappa(w)$ .

In part (iii), we begin by considering  $v \oplus 0$ ,  $w_1 \oplus w_1^*$  and  $w_2 \oplus w_2^*$ . By standard methods (see 4.2.9 of [7]),  $w_1 \oplus w_1^*$  and  $w_2 \oplus w_2^*$  are both homotopic to the identity in  $U_{2n}(A'^\sim)$  and  $U_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$  respectively. Thus,  $w_1vw_2 \oplus 0$  is homotopic to  $v \oplus 0$  in  $V_{2n}(A'; A)$ , so  $\kappa(v) = \kappa(w_1vw_2)$  by (ii) and (i). Finally,  $\kappa(w_1) = \kappa(w_2) = 0$  both following as special cases ( $v = w_2 = 1$ ,  $w_1 = v = 1$ ) of (iii) and (iv). As for (v), writing

$$v \oplus 0 = \begin{bmatrix} v & 1 - vv^* \\ 1 - v^*v & v^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

the conclusion follows from (iii) and (iv). ■

We now want to see how this map  $\kappa$  relates to the six-term exact sequence for K-groups appearing in Section 1.

LEMMA 2.3. (i) For  $v$  in  $V_n(A'; A)$ ,

$$ev_* (\kappa(v)) = [vv^*] - [v^*v].$$

(ii) For  $v$  in  $U_n(A^\sim)$

$$i_* b[v] = \kappa(v).$$

*Proof.* (i) We compute

$$ev_* (\kappa(v)) = [p_v(1)] - [e_{11}] = [(1_n - v^*v) \oplus vv^*] - [e_{11}] = [vv^*] - [v^*v].$$

(ii) In the construction of  $\kappa(v)$ ,  $v_2$  is a path of unitaries in  $M_{2n}(A^\sim)$  from 1 to  $\dot{v}_1^{-1}v_1$ . Let  $v_3(t)$  be any path of unitaries in  $M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$  from 1 to  $\dot{v} \oplus \dot{v}^*$ . Then  $v_3(t)v_2(t)$  is a path from 1 to  $v \oplus v^*$ . By the definition of  $b$

$$b[v] = [v_3v_2e_{11}v_2v_3^*] - [e_{11}] = [v_3p_vv_3^*] - [e_{11}] = [p_v] - [e_{11}] = \kappa(v),$$

since  $v_3(t)$  is in  $M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ . ■

LEMMA 2.4.  $\kappa : V(A'; A) \rightarrow K_0(C(A'; A))$  is onto.

*Proof.* Let  $p, q$  be projections in  $M_m(C(A'; A)^\sim)$  with  $[p] = [q]$  in  $K_0(\mathbb{C})$ ; i.e.  $[p] - [q]$  is in  $K_0(C(A'; A))$ . By exactness,  $j_* ev_* ([p] - [q]) = 0$  in  $K_0(A)$ . This means  $[p(1)] = [q(1)]$  in  $K_0(A)$ . So there exists positive integers  $k, n = 2m + k$  and a partial isometry  $v$  in  $M_n(A^\sim)$  such that

$$v^*v = 1_m \oplus 0_m \oplus 1_k$$

$$vv^* = p(1) \oplus (1_m - q(1)) \oplus 1_k.$$

Then  $v$  is in  $V_n(A'; A)$  and by Lemma 2.3 (i), we have

$$ev_* ([p] - [q]) = ev_* (\kappa(v)).$$

Hence,  $\kappa(v) - [p] + [q]$  is in the kernel of  $ev_*$  which is the image of  $i_*$ . For some unitary  $w$  in  $M_\ell(A'^\sim)$ ,  $i_*(w) = \kappa(v) - [p] + [q]$ . Using Lemma 2.3 (ii), we have

$$\kappa(v \oplus w^*) = \kappa(v) + \kappa(w^*) = \kappa(v) - i_*(w) = [p] - [q]. \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $\approx$  denote the equivalence relation on  $V(A'; A)$  generated by:

- (i)  $v \approx v \oplus p$ ,  $v \in V(A'; A)$ ,  $p$  a projection in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ;
- (ii) if  $v(t)$  is a continuous path in  $V_n(A'; A)$ , then  $v(0) \approx v(1)$ .

Then  $\kappa : V(A'; A)/\approx \rightarrow K_0(C(A'; A))$  is a well-defined bijection.

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 2.2 (i), (ii) and (iv) that  $\kappa$  is well-defined. From Lemma 2.4, we see that  $\kappa$  is onto. It remains to show that if  $v_1, v_2$  are in  $V_n(A'; A)$  and  $\kappa(v_1) = \kappa(v_2)$ , then  $v_1 \approx v_2$ .

First, note that if  $v, w_1$  and  $w_2$  are as in Lemma 2.2 (iii), then

$$w_1 v w_2 = w_1 v w_2 \oplus 0 = (w_1 \oplus w_1^*)(v \oplus 0)(w_2 \oplus w_2^*).$$

By homotoping the first and third terms of the right hand side, we see that  $w_1 v w_2 \approx v$ .

Returning to  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  with  $\kappa(v_1) = \kappa(v_2)$ , we may first assume that by taking direct sums with (different) scalar projections that the ranks of  $v_1^* v_1$  and  $v_2^* v_2$  are equal. We can then right multiply  $v_1$  by a scalar unitary — without changing its  $\approx$ -equivalence class — to obtain  $v_1^* v_1 = v_2^* v_2$ .

From  $\kappa(v_1) = \kappa(v_2)$ , we apply  $ev_*$  to both sides, use Lemma 2.3 (i) and  $v_1^* v_1 = v_2^* v_2$  to conclude that  $[v_1 v_1^*] = [v_2 v_2^*]$  in  $K_0(A' \sim)$ . Again we may take direct sum with a scalar projection and reduce to the case  $v_1 v_1^*$  and  $v_2 v_2^*$  are unitarily equivalent. By left multiplying  $v_1$  by a unitary in  $M_n(A' \sim)$ , we obtain  $v_1 v_1^* = v_2 v_2^*$ ,  $v_1^* v_1 = v_2^* v_2$ , without changing the  $\approx$ -equivalence class of  $v_1$  or  $v_2$ .

Let

$$R_n(t) = \begin{bmatrix} t & -\sqrt{1-t^2} \\ \sqrt{1-t^2} & t \end{bmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

be in  $M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$  and define the path in  $M_{2n}(A' \sim)$

$$v(t) = R_n(t)[v_1 \oplus v_1^* v_1] R_n(t)^{-1} [(v_1^* v_2 + 1 - v_1^* v_1) \oplus 1]$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Observe that for all  $t$ ,  $v(t)$  is in  $V_{2n}(A'; A)$ ,  $v(0) = v_1^* v_2 \oplus v_1$  and  $v(1) = v_2 \oplus v_1^* v_1$ . We have  $v_1^* v_2$  is in  $V_n(A'; A)$  and

$$\kappa(v_1^* v_2) = \kappa(v(0)) - \kappa(v_1) = \kappa(v(1)) - \kappa(v_1) = \kappa(v_2) - \kappa(v_1) = 0.$$

Now, consider the unitary  $v = v_1^* v_2 + (1 - v_1^* v_1)$  in  $M_n(A' \sim)$ . We have

$$i_* b[v] = \kappa(v) = \kappa(v_1^* v_2) = 0,$$

which implies  $[v]$  is in the image of  $j_*$ . That is,  $v$  is homotopic (after direct summing with the identity) to a unitary in  $M_n(A' \sim)$ . Let  $v'(t)$  be any path of unitaries in  $M_n(A' \sim)$  with  $v'(0) = v$  and  $v'(1) \in M_n(A' \sim)$ .

Now define a path in  $M_{4n}(A^\sim)$

$$w(t) = \begin{bmatrix} v'(t)v_1 & v'(t)(1 - v_1v_1^*) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 - v_1^*v_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_1v_1^* & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that, for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,

$$w(t)^*w(t) = 1_n \oplus 1_n \oplus 0_n \oplus 0_n$$

$$w(t)w(t)^* = 1_n \oplus 0 \oplus (1 - v_1^*v_1) \oplus v_1v_1^*$$

and so  $w(t)$  is a path in  $V_{4n}(A'; A)$ . Evaluating at  $t = 0$ , we see

$$w(0) = \begin{bmatrix} v_2 & 1 - v_1v_1^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 - v_1^*v_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_1v_1^* & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1v_1^* & 1 - v_1v_1^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 - v_1v_1^* & v_1v_1^* & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \cdot \begin{bmatrix} v_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - v_2^*v_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_2^*v_2 & 0 & 1 - v_2^*v_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 - v_2^*v_2 & 0 & v_2^*v_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first matrix in this product is a unitary in  $M_{4n}(A^\sim)$ , the last in  $M_{4n}(\mathbb{C})$  and so

$$w(0) \approx v_2 \oplus 1 \oplus (1 - v_2^*v_2) \oplus 0 \approx v_2.$$

A similar calculation shows  $w(1) \approx v_1$  and we are done. ■

Regarding the relation  $\approx$ , it is clear that if  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  are homotopic, then for any scalar projection  $p$ ,  $v_0 \oplus p$  and  $v_1 \oplus p$  are homotopic. Therefore, if  $v_0 \approx v_1$  then there are scalar projections  $p_0$  and  $p_1$  such that  $v_0 \oplus p_0$  and  $v_1 \oplus p_1$  are homotopic.

A few other remarks are in order. Following exactly as in the beginning of the proof (before  $\kappa(v_1) = \kappa(v_2)$  was used), given any  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $V(A'; A)$  we may direct sum scalar projections and right multiply one by a scalar unitary to get  $v_1^*v_1 = v_2^*v_2$ . Finally, if  $v(r)$  is a path in  $V_n(A'; A)$ , we may right multiply by a path of scalar unitaries so that  $v(r)^*v(r) = v(0)^*v(0)$ , for all  $r$ .



For each  $0 < \varepsilon < 400^{-1}$ , we let  $V_n^\varepsilon(A'; A)$  denote the set of  $v$  in  $M_n(A' \sim)$  such that:

- (i)  $v$  is a partial isometry,
- (ii)  $v^*v$  is in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,
- (iii)  $\|vv^* - q\| < \varepsilon$ , for some  $q$  in  $M_n(A' \sim)$ .

We let  $V^\varepsilon(A'; A)$  denote the union of the  $V_n^\varepsilon(A'; A)$ , with the usual inclusion of  $V_n^\varepsilon$  in  $V_{n+1}^\varepsilon$ . For any  $a$  in  $V^\varepsilon(A'; A)$ , let  $v$  be as in Lemma 2.1. We define  $\kappa(a) = \kappa(v)$ . This is independent of the choice of  $v$  by Lemma 2.2 (ii). It is also easy to see that Lemma 2.2 is valid if we replace  $V(A'; A)$  by  $V^\varepsilon(A'; A)$ . We extend the definition of  $\approx$  to  $V^\varepsilon(A'; A)$  in the obvious way.

LEMMA 2.6. *Suppose  $A$  has a countable approximate unit  $\{e_n\}_1^\infty$  contained in  $A'$ . Then for every  $v$  in  $V_n(A'; A)$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 400^{-1}$ ,  $v \approx w$ , for some  $w$  in  $V_{2n}^\varepsilon(A'; A)$  such that*

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 & 0 \\ (p - w_0^*w_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $w_0$  is in  $M_n(A)$ ,  $p$  is a projection in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $0 \leq w_0^*w_0 \leq p$ . Moreover if

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 & 0 \\ (p - w_0^*w_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad w' = \begin{bmatrix} w'_0 & 0 \\ (p - w'_0{}^*w'_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

are homotopic in  $V_{2n}^\varepsilon(A'; A)$  then there is a path

$$w(t) = \begin{bmatrix} w_0(t) & 0 \\ (p - w_0(t)^*w_0(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

joining them.

(The point here is that  $w_0$  lies in  $M_n(A)$  and not just  $M_n(A' \sim)$ .)

*Proof.* Notice that  $v \approx \hat{v}^*v$  — see the proof of Lemma 2.5 — and  $(\hat{v}^*v)' = \hat{v}^*\hat{v} = p$  is a projection in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus, we may assume  $\hat{v} = p$ . Using  $e_m$  to denote  $1_n \otimes e_m$  in  $M_n(A)$ , notice that

$$e'_m = \begin{bmatrix} e_m & -(1 - e_m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (1 - e_m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} & e_m \end{bmatrix}$$

is a unitary in  $M_{2n}(A' \sim)$  so

$$v \approx e'_m (v \oplus 0) = \begin{bmatrix} e_m v & 0 \\ (1 - e_m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} v & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We will let  $w_0 = e_m v$ , for some sufficiently large  $m$ , which is in  $M_n(A)$ . It is clear that  $w_0^* w_0 \leq p$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - e_m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} v - (p - w_0^* w_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}\| &\leq \|(1 - e_m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (v - p)\| \\ &\quad + \|(1 - e_m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} p - (p - w_0^* w_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|. \end{aligned}$$

The first term tends to zero since  $v - p$  is in  $M_n(A)$  and  $e_m$  is an approximate unit. As for the second, since  $(1 - e_m^2)$  and  $p$  commute, their product is positive and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - e_m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} p - (p - w_0^* w_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}\| &\leq \|(1 - e_m^2) p - (p - w_0^* w_0)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|(p - v)^* (1 - e_m^2) (p - v)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as  $m$  goes to infinity. Therefore, we may choose  $m$  so that  $e'_m(v \oplus 0)$  and

$$\begin{bmatrix} w_0 & 0 \\ (p - w_0^* w_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

are sufficiently close so that the latter is in  $V_{2n}^\epsilon(A'; A)$  and is  $\approx$ -equivalent to the former.

For the final part, consider the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C[0, 1] \otimes A$ . We omit the details. ■

### 3. THE EXCISION THEOREM

Here, we state and prove our main results (Theorems 3.1–3.7). We describe the hypotheses. We suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $C^*$ -algebras acting on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We also suppose that  $z$  is a selfadjoint unitary operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Note that we regard  $M_n(A)$  and  $M_n(B)$  as acting on  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}$ , the  $n$ -fold direct sum. We also let  $z$  denote the operator  $z \oplus \cdots \oplus z$  on  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}$ . We let  $[a, b] = ab - ba$  for any operators  $a, b$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

We will assume conditions 1–6 hold.

1. For all  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $b$  in  $B$ ,  $ab$  is in  $A$ ; i.e.  $B$  acts as multipliers of  $A$ .
2.  $zAz = A$ .
3. For all  $b$  in  $B$ ,  $zbx - b$  is in  $A$ .
4. There is a continuous path  $\{e_t \mid t \geq 0\}$  in  $A$  such that:

- (i)  $0 \leq e_t \leq e_s \leq 1$ , for  $t \leq s$ ;
- (ii)  $e_s e_t = e_t$  for  $s \geq t + 2$ ;
- (iii) for all  $a$  in  $A$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|e_t a - a\| = 0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|a e_t - a\|;$$

(iv)  $[e_t, z] = 0$ , for all  $t$ .

We define  $C^*$ -subalgebras

$$A' = \{a \in A \mid [a, z] = 0\}$$

$$B' = \{b \in B \mid [b, z] = 0\}.$$

5. For all  $b$  in  $B$ , there exists  $b'$  in  $B'$  such that

$$\|b - b'\| \leq 2\|[b, z]\|.$$

(In the terminology of M.-D. Choi, almost commuting with  $z$  implies nearly commuting with  $z$ .)

6. There is a dense  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq A$  such that for  $a$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , there is  $t_0 \geq 1$  such that:

(i)  $ae_t = e_t a = a$ , for all  $t \geq t_0$ ;

and, for any such  $t_0$  as above, there is  $b$  in  $B$  such that:

(ii)  $be_t = e_t b = a$ ,  $t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + 2$ ;

(iii)  $[b, z] = [a, z]$ ;

(iv)  $\|b\| \leq \|a\|$ .

(The choice of  $b$  will depend on  $t_0$  as well as  $a$ .)

Note that the condition on  $A$  analogous to 5 is valid; let  $a' = (a + zaz)/2$ .

Many examples are found in the theory of  $C^*$ -algebras associated to dynamical systems via the crossed product or groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra constructions. Let us mention one explicit example.

Fix an irrational number  $\theta$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  and let  $u$  and  $v$  denote the unitary operators

$$(u\xi)(n) = \xi(n - 1)$$

$$(v\xi)(n) = \exp(2\pi i\theta)\xi(n),$$

for  $\xi$  in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $u$  and  $v$  satisfy the relation  $uv = \exp(2\pi i\theta)vu$  and generate a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $B$ , isomorphic to the irrational rotation  $C^*$ -algebra,  $A_\theta$ . We let  $A = K(\mathcal{H})$ , the compact operators, and

$$(z\xi)(n) = \begin{cases} \xi(n) & n \geq 1; \\ -\xi(n) & n \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify 1, 2 and 3. It is also easy to see that

$$A' = K(\ell^2\{n \mid n \leq 0\}) \oplus K(\ell^2\{n \mid n \geq 1\}).$$

The proofs that 4, 5 and 6 hold can be found in [5]. Also the techniques of [5] show that  $B'$  is the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B$  generated by  $v$  and  $u(v - 1)$ . (See Example 2.6 of [5].)

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $A, B, z$  satisfy 1-6 as above. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\alpha : K_0(C(B'; B)) \rightarrow K_0(C(A'; A))$$

*which is natural in a sense to be described.*

Let us take a moment to try to justify our description of Theorem 3.1 as an “excision” theorem. Section 2 describes the K-theory of the mapping cone  $C(A'; A)$  as partial isometries in  $A$  with initial and final projection in  $A'$ . The extent to which an element  $a$  lies in  $A'$  can be measured by  $zaz - a = z[a, z]$ . A similar remark applies to  $B'$  and  $B$ . Conditions 2, 3 and 6 (iii) essentially mean that the sets

$$\begin{aligned} &\{zaz - a \mid a \in A\} \\ &\{zbz - b \mid b \in B\} \end{aligned}$$

“agree”. The conclusion is then that the corresponding “relative K-groups” are isomorphic.

We begin by describing the map  $\alpha$ . We use  $e_t$  to also denote the element  $1_n \otimes e_t$  in  $M_n(A)$ , for any  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . We will use the description of  $K_0(C(B'; B))$  provided by Lemma 2.5 and the discussion following it. Let  $v$  be in  $V_n^\xi(B'; B)$ . For all  $t \geq 1$ , we define  $\alpha(v)_t$  by

$$\alpha(v)_t = \begin{bmatrix} ve_t & 0 \\ (v^*v - e_t v^* v e_t)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $B$  acts as multipliers of  $A$ ,  $ve_t$  is in  $M_n(A)$ . Also,  $v^*v$  is a projection in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and it follows that  $\alpha(v)_t$  lies in  $M_{2n}(A^\sim)$ . It is also worth noting that  $e_t$  and  $v^*v$  commute so that

$$(v^*v - e_t v^* v e_t)^{\frac{1}{2}} = v^*v (1 - e_t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\alpha(v)_t^* \alpha(v)_t = v^*v \oplus 0,$$

which is in  $M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$  and is a projection.

LEMMA 3.2. For  $v$  in  $V_n^\epsilon(B'; B)$  and  $0 < \epsilon < 400^{-1}$ , there is  $t \geq 1$  such that  $\alpha(v)_s$  is in  $V_{2n}^\epsilon(A'; A)$  for all  $s \geq t$ .

*Proof.* We claim that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|[\alpha(v)_t \alpha(v)_t^*, z]\| \leq \epsilon.$$

To see this,

$$\alpha(v)_t \alpha(v)_t^* = \begin{bmatrix} ve_t^2 v^* & ve_t (1 - e_t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (1 - e_t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_t v^* & v^* v (1 - e_t^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

and we will check the commutators of the four entries with  $z$  separately. The lower right entry actually commutes with  $z$  since  $e_t$  does and  $v^* v$  is in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . As for the upper right (or lower left)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [ve_t (1 - e_t^2)^{\text{half}}, z] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [v, z] e_t (1 - e_t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$$

since  $z[v, z]$  is in  $M_n(A)$  and  $e_t$  is an approximate unit for  $A$ . For the upper left entry, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|[ve_t^2 v^*, z]\| = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|[v, z] e_t^2 v^* + ve_t^2 [v^*, z]\|.$$

Since  $z[v, z]$  and  $z[v^*, z]$  are both in  $A$ ,  $e_t$  will asymptotically commute both, so this equals

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|e_t^2 [v, z] v^* + v [v^*, z] e_t^2\|.$$

Applying the same argument and noting  $[v, z] v^*$  is in  $M_n(A)$  since  $v^*$  is in the multiplier algebra of  $M_n(A)$ , this equals

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|([v, z] v^* + v [v^*, z]) e_t^2\| = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|[vv^*, z] e_t^2\| \leq \epsilon$$

since  $vv^*$  is within  $\epsilon$  of an element of in  $M_n(A' \sim)$ . The claim is established.

To see the conclusion, let

$$q = \frac{z \alpha(v)_t \alpha(v)_t^* z + \alpha(v)_t \alpha(v)_t^*}{2}.$$

Now, (iii) follows from the claim and it is clear that  $q$  is in  $M_{2n}(A' \sim)$ . ■

Notice that

$$\alpha(v \oplus w)_t = \alpha(v)_t \oplus \alpha(w)_t$$

(at least after a change of basis which we will suppress). It follows from Lemma 3.2 that letting

$$\alpha(\kappa(v)) = \kappa(\alpha(v)_s),$$

for any sufficiently large  $s$  defines an element in  $K_0(C(A'; A))$ . To see that  $\alpha$  is well-defined it suffices to apply Lemma 2.5 and observe the following. If  $p$  is a projection in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  then

$$\alpha(p)_t = e'_t(p \oplus \theta),$$

where  $e'_t$  is as in Lemma 2.6. So then  $\kappa(\alpha(p)_t) = 0$  by Lemma 2.2 (ii), (iii).

Also observe that if  $v(r)$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq 1$  is a path in  $V_n^\varepsilon(B'; B)$  then the limit in Lemma 3.2 can be made uniform over  $r$ , and, hence, for  $s$  large  $\alpha(v(r))_s$  will be a homotopy in  $V_{2n}^{2\varepsilon}(A'; A)$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will require several technical lemmas.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $w_0$  be in  $M_n(\mathcal{A})$  and  $p$  be a projection in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $p \geq w_0^* w_0$ . Then there is  $t_0 \geq 1$  and  $v_0$  in  $M_n(B)$  with  $v_0^* v_0 \leq p$  such that:*

- (i)  $w_0 e_s = e_s w_0 = w_0$ , for  $s \geq t_0$ ;
- (ii)  $v_0 e_s = e_s v_0 = w_0$ , for  $t_0 + 2 \geq s \geq t_0$ ;
- (iii)  $[v_0, z] = [w_0, z]$ ;
- (iv)  $[v_0^* v_0, z] = [w_0^* w_0, z]$ ;
- (v)  $[v_0 v_0^*, z] = [w_0 w_0^*, z]$ ;
- (vi)  $[(p - v_0^* v_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}, z] = [(p - w_0^* w_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}, z]$ .

*Proof.* Choose any  $t_0$  and  $b$  as in hypothesis 6 for  $a = w_0$ . Then let

$$v_0 = bp \quad \text{so} \quad v_0^* v_0 = p b^* b p \leq p \|b\|^2 p \leq p.$$

Conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) follow at once from hypothesis 6.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [v_0^* v_0, z] &= [v_0^*, z] v_0 + v_0^* [v_0, z] = [w_0^*, z] v_0 + v_0^* [w_0, z] \\ &= [w_0^* e_t, z] v_0 + v_0^* [e_t w_0, z], \end{aligned}$$

for  $t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &= [w_0^*, z] e_t v_0 + v_0^* e_t [w_0, z] \\ &= [w_0^*, z] w_0 + w_0^* [w_0, z], \end{aligned}$$

by (ii),

$$= [w_0^* w_0, z]$$

and so (iv) holds. A similar argument establishes (v). As for (vi), it follows from (iv) that

$$[f(p - v_0^* v_0), z] = [f(p - w_0^* w_0), z]$$

for any polynomial  $f$ . By standard approximation arguments, the same holds for  $f(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . ■

LEMMA 3.4. *Let  $w_0, p, t_0, v_0$  be as in Lemma 3.3. Define  $w$  in  $M_{2n}(A^\sim)$  and  $v$  in  $M_{2n}(B^\sim)$  by*

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 & 0 \\ (p - w_0^* w_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 & 0 \\ (p - v_0^* v_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then:

- (i)  $w^* w = v^* v = p \oplus 0$ ,
- (ii)  $e_s[v, z] = [v, z] e_s = [v, z] = [w, z]$  for  $s \geq t_0$ ,
- (iii)  $[w w^*, z] = [v v^*, z]$ .

The proof is an easy consequence of Lemma 3.3; we omit the details.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let  $w_0$  be in  $M_n(A^\sim)$ ,  $p$  a projection in  $M_n(\mathbf{C})$  with  $p \geq w_0^* w_0$ . Let  $t_0, v_0$  be as in Lemma 3.3,  $w, v$  as in Lemma 3.4 and assume  $w$  is in  $V_{2n}^\epsilon(A'; A)$  for some  $0 < \epsilon < 400^{-1}$ . Then:*

- (i)  $v$  is in  $V_{2n}^{4\epsilon}(B'; B)$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha(v)_s$  is in  $V_{4n}^{4\epsilon}(A'; A)$ , for all  $s \geq t_0$ ,
- (iii)  $\kappa(\alpha(v)_s) = \kappa(w)$ , for  $t_0 \leq s \leq t_0 + 2$ .

*Proof.* (i) From Lemma 3.4 (i),  $v^* v = p \oplus 0$  and we must check only that  $v v^*$  is close to an element of  $M_{2n}(B'^\sim)$ . From Lemma 3.4 (iii)

$$\|[v v^*, z]\| = \|[w w^*, z]\| \leq 2\epsilon$$

since  $w$  is in  $V_{2n}^\epsilon(A'; A)$ . Apply hypothesis 5 to find  $q$  in  $M_{2n}(B'^\sim)$  so that  $\|q - v v^*\| \leq 4\epsilon$ , and (i) is complete.

(ii) As before, we must compute

$$\|[\alpha(v)_s, \alpha(v)_s^*, z]\|.$$

Now, for  $s \geq t_0$ ,

$$\alpha(v)_s \alpha(v)_s^* = \begin{bmatrix} ve_s^2 v^* & ve_t (1 - e_t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} v^* v \\ v^* v (1 - e_t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_t v^* & v^* v (1 - e_t^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

and commutators with  $z$  for each of the entries is done separately. The off-diagonal entries commute with  $z$  because  $v^* v = p$  and by condition (ii) of Lemma 3.4, so  $(1 - e_t)[v, z] = 0$ . The lower right entry also commutes with  $z$  while

$$[ve_s^2 v^*, z] = [vw^*, z] \quad \text{for } s \geq t_0.$$

This completes the proof of (ii).

(iii) By direct computation

$$\alpha(v)_s = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 e_s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (p - v_0^* v_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p(1 - e_s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e_s & -(1 - e_s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - e_s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} & e_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} w_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (p - w_0^* w_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $t_0 \leq s \leq t_0 + 2$ , using Lemma 3.2. The first matrix above is in  $M_{4n}(A'^{\sim})$  and so the result follows from Lemma 2.2 (iii). ■

**LEMMA 3.6.** *Suppose  $v$  is in  $V_n(B'; B)$  and  $\|[v, z]\| \leq \varepsilon \leq 10^{-6}$ . Then  $\kappa(v) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis 5, there is a  $v'$  in  $M_n(B'^{\sim})$  such that  $\|v'\| \leq 1$  and  $\|v - v'\| \leq 2\varepsilon$ . Let

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} v' p & 0 \\ (p - pv'^* v' p)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $p = v^* v$ , so  $w$  is in  $V_{2n}(B'; B)$  and in  $M_{2n}(B'^{\sim})$  and

$$\|v \oplus 0 - w\| \leq 4\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Moreover,  $\kappa(w) = 0$  by Lemma 2.2 (v) and  $\kappa(v) = \kappa(w)$  by Lemma 2.2 (ii). ■



Let us describe the naturality of the isomorphism described in Theorem 3.1. Suppose  $(A_1, B_1, z_1, \{e_t^{(1)}\})$  and  $(A_2, B_2, z_2, \{e_t^{(2)}\})$  are two systems satisfying 1-6. Also suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : A_1 &\longrightarrow A_2 \\ \pi : B_1 &\longrightarrow B_2 \end{aligned}$$

be  $*$ -homomorphisms such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(ab) &= \sigma(a)\pi(b), & a \in A_1, b \in B_1 \\ \sigma(z_1 a z_1) &= z_2 \sigma(a) z_2, & a \in A_1 \\ \pi(z_1 b z_1) &= z_2 \pi(b) z_2, & b \in B_1 \\ \sigma(z_1 b z_1 - b) &= z_2 \pi(b) z_2 - \pi(b), & b \in B_1 \\ \sigma(e_t^{(1)}) &= e_t^{(2)}, & \text{for all } t. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  induce  $*$ -homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma} : C(A'_1; A_1) &\longrightarrow C(A'_2; A_2) \\ \tilde{\pi} : C(B'_1; B_1) &\longrightarrow C(B'_2; B_2). \end{aligned}$$

The map  $\alpha$  is natural in the sense that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(C(B'_1; B_1)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & K_0(C(A'_1; A_1)) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi}_* & & \downarrow \tilde{\sigma}_* \\ K_0(C(B'_2; B_2)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & K_0(C(A'_2; A_2)). \end{array}$$

The proof of this is immediate. We omit the details.

As an application, suppose  $(A, B, z, e_t)$  satisfies 1-6 and suppose  $X$  is a compact second countable Hausdorff space. Fix some regular Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  with full support. Then we can regard  $A \otimes C(X)$ ,  $B \otimes C(X)$  and  $z \otimes 1$  as operators on  $\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(X, \mu)$ . Hypotheses 1-3 are easily checked and  $e_t \otimes 1$  satisfies 4. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (A \otimes C(X))' &= A' \otimes C(X) \\ (B \otimes C(X))' &= B' \otimes C(X) \end{aligned}$$

and 5 follows. The algebraic tensor product of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $C(X)$  can be seen to satisfy 6.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* First of all, it is fairly clear that  $\alpha$  is additive. The surjectivity of  $\alpha$  follows at once from Lemmas 2.6 and 3.5.

Suppose  $v$  is in  $V_n(B'; B)$  and  $\alpha(\kappa(v)) = 0$  in  $K_0(C(A'; A))$ . Let  $p = v^*v$  which is a projection in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Fix  $\varepsilon = 10^{-7}$ . Choose  $t_1 \geq 1$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|[v, z]e_t - [v, z]\| &\leq \varepsilon \\ \|[v, z] - [v, z]e_t\| &\leq \varepsilon, \quad t \geq t_1 \end{aligned}$$

and such that

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha(v)_t \in V_{2n}^\varepsilon(A'; A), \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Since  $\kappa(\alpha(v)) = 0$ , we may direct sum  $\alpha(v)_t$  with a scalar projection  $q$  so that the result is homotopic to a scalar projection in  $V^\varepsilon(A'; A)$ . By replacing  $v$  by  $v \oplus q$ , we may assume simply that  $\alpha(v)_{t_1}$  is homotopic to  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , which is homotopic to  $p \oplus 0$ . We apply Lemma 2.6 to obtain a path as described there. We may then approximate the “ $w_0$ ” part of this path by a path in  $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ . We right multiply this path by  $p$  and we obtain a path  $a(s)$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ , such that  $a$  is in the algebraic tensor product of  $C[0, 1]$  and  $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad w(s) = \begin{bmatrix} a(s) & 0 \\ (p - a(s)^*a(s))^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1, \in V_{2n}^{2\varepsilon}(A'; A)$$

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} a(1) &= 0 \\ \|w(0) - \alpha(v)_{t_1}\| &\leq 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|a(0) - ve_{t_1}\| &\leq 2\varepsilon, \\ \left\| (p - a(0)^*a(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} - p(1 - e_{t_1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| &\leq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We may apply the sequence of Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 to the element  $a$  in  $M_n(\mathbb{C}[0, 1] \odot \mathcal{A})$  (algebraic tensor product) and  $p$  in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  to obtain a path  $b(s)$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 1$

$$v_1(s) = \begin{bmatrix} b(s) & 0 \\ (p - b(s)^*b(s))^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$0 \leq s \leq 1$  and  $t_2 \geq t_1 + 2$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad [b(s), z] = [a(s), z],$$

$$(3.7) \quad b(s) e_t = e_t b(s), \quad t_2 \leq t \leq t_2 + 2,$$

$$(3.8) \quad a(s) e_t = e_t a(s) = a(s), \quad t \geq t_2,$$

$$(3.9) \quad [b(s)^* b(s), z] = [a(s)^* a(s), z]$$

$$(3.10) \quad [b(s) b(s)^*, z] = [b(s) b(s)^*, z]$$

$$(3.11) \quad \left[ (p - b(s)^* b(s))^{\frac{1}{2}}, z \right] = \left[ (p - a(s)^* a(s))^{\frac{1}{2}}, z \right],$$

$$v_1(s) \text{ is in } V_{2n}^{4\epsilon}(B'; B)$$

$$\alpha(v_1(s))_t \text{ is in } V_{4n}^{4\epsilon}(A'; A), \quad t \geq t_2.$$

Let us evaluate  $v_1$  at  $s = 1$ . Making use of (3.4), (3.6) and (3.9), we see that

$$(3.12) \quad [v_1(1), z] = 0$$

and so  $v_1(1)$  is in  $M_n(B' \sim)$ . Next, we claim that

$$(3.13) \quad \|[v b(0)^*, z]\| \leq 3\epsilon,$$

$$(3.14) \quad \left\| \left[ v (p - b(0)^* b(0))^{\frac{1}{2}}, z \right] \right\| \leq 3\epsilon.$$

To see the first, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[v b(0)^*, z]\| &= \|[v, z] b(0)^* + v [b(0)^*, z]\| \\ &\leq \|[v, z] e_{t_1} b(0)^* + v [a(0)^*, z]\| + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

by (3.1) and (3.6),

$$\leq \|[v, z] e_{t_1} e_{t_2} b(0)^* + v [e_{t_1} v^*, z]\| + \epsilon$$

by hypothesis 4 (ii) and (3.5),

$$= \|[v, z] e_{t_1} a(0)^* + v e_{t_1} [v^*, z]\| + \epsilon$$

by (3.7)

$$\leq \|[v, z] e_{t_1}^2 v^* + v e_{t_1}^2 [v^*, z]\| + 2\epsilon$$

by (3.5) and (3.1)

$$= \| [ve_{i_1}^2 v^*, z] \| + 2\varepsilon \leq 3\varepsilon$$

because of (3.2). To see the second, there is a similar computation which we omit.

Now consider

$$v_2(s) = (v \oplus 0) v_1(s)^*, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

This is a path of partial isometries in  $M_{2n}(B^\sim)$ . For each  $s$ , its range projection is the range projection of  $v$  which is in  $M_{2n}(B^\sim)$ . Its initial projection is the range projection of  $v_1(s)$  which is in  $M_{2n}(B'^\sim)$ , for all  $s$ . As noted in (3.12), when  $s = 1$ , this projection is actually Murray-von Neumann equivalent to  $p \oplus 0$  in  $M_{2n}(B'^\sim)$ . So we may find a path of unitaries  $u(s)$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 1$  in  $M_{2n}(B'^\sim)$  (actually, it may be necessary to pass to  $M_{4n}(B'^\sim)$ ) such that

$$v_1(1)^* u(1) = p \oplus 0$$

$$v_1(s)^* u(s) \text{ has initial projection } p \oplus 0,$$

$$0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

Now, consider the path

$$v_3(s) = (v \oplus 0) v_1(s)^* u(s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

It is a path in  $V_{2n}(B'; B)$ . Moreover, for  $s = 1$ ,

$$v_3(1) = v \oplus 0$$

while for  $s = 0$ ,

$$v_3(0) = \begin{bmatrix} v b(0)^* & v(p - b(0)^* b(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} u(0)$$

which commutes with  $z$ , to within  $3\varepsilon$ , by (3.13) and (3.14). By Lemma 2.2 (v) and the homotopy invariance of  $\kappa$ ,

$$\kappa(v) = \kappa(v_3(1)) = \kappa(v_3(0)) = 0.$$

This proves that  $\alpha$  is injective and we are done. ■

THEOREM 3.7. *Let  $A, B, z$  satisfy 1-6 as before. Then there are isomorphisms*

$$\alpha : K_i(C(B'; B)) \longrightarrow K_i(C(A'; A)),$$

which are natural, for  $i = 0, 1$ .

*Proof.* The case  $i = 0$  is done. For the other case, let  $B_1 = C(S^1) \otimes B$ ,  $A_1 = C(S^1) \otimes A$ ,  $z_1 = 1 \otimes z$  and  $\sigma : A_1 \rightarrow A$ ,  $\pi : B_1 \rightarrow B$  be given by evaluation at some fixed point of the circle,  $S^1$ . There is a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_0(0, 1) \otimes C(B'; B) \rightarrow C(B'_1; B_1) \xrightarrow{\pi} C(B'; B) \rightarrow 0$$

and a corresponding one for  $A$  and  $A_1$ . Using the naturality of  $\alpha$  on  $K_0$  and the usual isomorphism

$$K_1(C(B'; B)) \cong K_0(C_0(0, 1) \otimes C(B'; B))$$

and the usual techniques, one obtains the result for  $K_1$  groups as well. ■

*Supported in part by an NSERC Operating Grant.*

#### REFERENCES

1. B. BLACKADAR, *K-theory for Operator Algebras*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York 1986.
2. T. GIORDANO, I.F. PUTNAM, C.F. SKAU, *K-theory and asymptotic index for certain almost one-to-one factors*, in preparation.
3. G.K. PEDERSEN,  *$C^*$ -algebras and their automorphism groups*, *London Math. Soc. Monographs*, vol. 14, Academic Press, London 1979.
4. I.F. PUTNAM, *The  $C^*$ -algebras associated with minimal homeomorphisms of the Cantor set*, *Pacific J. Math.* **136**(1989), 329-353.
5. I.F. PUTNAM, *On the  $K$ -theory of  $C^*$ -algebras of principal groupoids*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, to appear.
6. C. SCHOCHET, *Topological methods for  $C^*$ -algebras. II: Geometric resolution and the Künneth formula*, *Pacific J. Math.* **98**(1982), 443-458.
7. N.E. WEGGE-OLSEN, *K-Theory and  $C^*$ -Algebras*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 1993.

IAN PUTNAM  
Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of Victoria  
Victoria, B.C. V8W 3P4  
CANADA

Received May 10, 1996; revised November 8, 1996.