## ON THE PUNCTURED NEIGHBOURHOOD THEOREM

## VLADIMIR MÜLLER

Communicated by Florian-Horia Vasilescu

ABSTRACT. Let X, Y, Z be Banach spaces and  $X \xrightarrow{S(z)} Y \xrightarrow{T(z)} Z$  an analytically dependent sequence of operators satisfying T(z)S(z) = 0. We study the properties of the function  $z \mapsto \dim \operatorname{Ker} T(z) / \operatorname{Im} S(z)$ .

Keywords: Punctured neighbourhood theorem, complex of Banach spaces, analytic set.

MSC (2000): Primary 47A53, 47A56; Secondary 47A13.

Let X, Y be complex Banach spaces. Denote by  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  the set of all bounded linear operators from X to Y. If Y = X then we write for short  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(X, X)$ . Recall the well-known punctured neighbourhood theorem:

THEOREM 0.1. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  be a Fredholm operator. Then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$ and constants  $k_1 \leq \dim \operatorname{Ker} T$ ,  $k_2 \leq \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} T$  such that  $\dim \operatorname{Ker}(T-z) = k_1$ and  $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im}(T-z) = k_2$  for all  $z, 0 < |z| < \varepsilon$ .

In this paper we study a more general situation. Let X, Y, Z be Banach spaces, let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , let  $S: U \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $T: U \to \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$ be analytic operator-valued functions satisfying T(z)S(z) = 0 for all  $z \in U$ . For  $z \in U$  write  $\alpha(z) = \dim \operatorname{Ker} T(z) / \operatorname{Im} S(z)$ .

The aim of the paper is to study the behaviour of the function  $z \mapsto \alpha(z)$ .

The main result of the first section is the following generalization of Theorem 0.1: if  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $w \in U$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} T(w)$  is closed and  $\alpha(w) < \infty$ , then  $\alpha(z) = k$  is constant in a punctured neighbourhood of w. Clearly the classical punctured neighbourhood theorem follows easily from this generalization for sequences  $0 \to X \xrightarrow{T-z} Y$  and  $X \xrightarrow{T-z} Y \to 0$ , respectively.

In the second section we study the case  $n \ge 2$ . This situation has been studied mainly in connection with the Koszul complex of an *n*-tuple of commuting operators.

I

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  denote by  $\gamma(T)$  the Kato reduced minimum modulus,  $\gamma(T) = \inf\{\|Tx\| : \operatorname{dist}\{x, \operatorname{Ker} T\} = 1\}$  (formally we set  $\gamma(0) = \infty$ ). Clearly  $\gamma(T) > 0$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Im} T$  is closed.

If M, L are closed subspaces of X then write

$$\delta(M,L) = \sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ \|x\| \leqslant 1}} \operatorname{dist}\{x,L\}$$

and the gap between M and L is defined by  $\hat{\delta}(M, L) = \max\{\delta(M, L), \delta(L, M)\}$ . For the properties of the reduced minimum modulus and the gap see [6].

The following result is due to Markus, cf. [13], Theorem 1.4.

THEOREM 1.1. Let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , let  $T : U \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  be a norm-continuous function, let  $w \in U$  and  $\operatorname{Im} T(w)$  be closed. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) the function  $z \mapsto \gamma(T(z))$  is continuous at w;
- (ii)  $\liminf \gamma(T(z)) > 0;$
- (iii)  $\lim_{z \to w} \delta \left( \operatorname{Ker} T(w), \operatorname{Ker} T(z) \right) = 0;$
- (iv)  $\lim_{z \to w} \widehat{\delta} (\operatorname{Ker} T(w), \operatorname{Ker} T(z)) = 0;$
- (v)  $\lim_{z \to w} \delta(\operatorname{Im} T(z), \operatorname{Im} T(w)) = 0;$
- (vi)  $\lim_{z \to w} \widehat{\delta} (\operatorname{Im} T(z), \operatorname{Im} T(w)) = 0.$

The equivalences (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv) and (v)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (vi) follow from the fact that automatically  $\lim_{z \to w} \delta(\operatorname{Ker} T(z), \operatorname{Ker} T(w)) = 0$  and  $\lim_{z \to w} \delta(\operatorname{Im} T(w), \operatorname{Im} T(z)) = 0$ . A continuous function  $T: U \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is called regular at w if  $\operatorname{Im} T(w)$ ) is

A continuous function  $T: U \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is called regular at w if  $\operatorname{Im} T(w)$ ) is closed and T satisfies any of equivalent conditions (i)–(vi). In particular, condition (ii) implies that the set of all regularity points of T is open. Also, T is regular at w if and only if the adjoint function  $z \mapsto T(z)^*$  is regular at w.

Regular functions are closely related to the exactness:

On the punctured neighbourhood theorem

THEOREM 1.2. ([13], Theorem 2) Let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $w \in U$ and let  $T : U \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  be an analytic function. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) T is regular at w;

(ii) there exists a neighbourhood  $U_0 \subset U$  of w, a Banach space E and an analytic function  $S: U_0 \to \mathcal{L}(E, X)$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} S(z) = \operatorname{Ker} T(z)$   $(z \in U_0)$ ;

(iii) there exists a neighbourhood  $U_0 \subset U$  of w, a Banach space E' and an analytic function  $S': U_0 \to \mathcal{L}(Y, E')$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} T(z) = \operatorname{Ker} S'(z)$   $(z \in U_0)$ .

In particular, if  $T: U \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is regular at w and  $x \in \text{Ker } T(w)$  then there exist a neighbourhood  $U_0$  of w and an analytic function  $f: U_0 \to X$  such that f(w) = x and T(z)f(z) = 0 ( $z \in U_0$ ). Indeed, let  $S: U_0 \to \mathcal{L}(E, X)$  be an analytic function satisfying the properties of (ii). Choose  $e \in E$  with S(w)e = xand set f(z) = S(z)e.

LEMMA 1.3. Let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , let  $S : U \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  and  $T : U \to \mathcal{L}(Y,Z)$  be functions regular in U. Suppose that T(z)S(z) = 0 for all  $z \in U$ . Then  $\alpha(z)$  is constant on each connected subset of U.

*Proof.* Let  $w \in U$  satisfy  $\alpha(w) = \dim \operatorname{Ker} T(w) / \operatorname{Im} S(w) < \infty$ . By Theorem 1.1 (iv) and (vi),

$$\lim_{z \to w} \widehat{\delta} \big( \operatorname{Ker} T(w), \operatorname{Ker} T(z) \big) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{z \to w} \widehat{\delta} \big( \operatorname{Im} T(w), \operatorname{Im} T(z) \big) = 0.$$

Thus there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\widehat{\delta}(\operatorname{Ker} T(z), \operatorname{Ker} T(w)) < 1/3$  and  $\widehat{\delta}(\operatorname{Im} S(z), \operatorname{Im} S(w)) < 1/3$  for  $z \in U$ , dist $\{z, w\} < \varepsilon$ . By [1] this implies that

$$\alpha(z) = \dim \operatorname{Ker} T(z) / \operatorname{Im} S(z) = \dim \operatorname{Ker} T(w) / \operatorname{Im} S(w) = \alpha(w)$$

for all  $z \in U$ , dist $\{z, w\} < \varepsilon$ .

Thus  $\alpha(z)$  is locally constant and a standard argument gives that  $\alpha(z)$  is constant on the component of connectivity of U containing w.

If  $U_0$  is a component of U and there is no  $w \in U_0$  with  $\alpha(w) < \infty$ , then clearly  $\alpha(z) = \infty$  on  $U_0$ .

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with the property that the function  $z \mapsto T - z$  is regular at 0 is called semi-regular (sometimes Kato regular). Semi-regular operators exhibit very nice properties and have been studied intensely, see e.g. [9], [10], [12].

An essential version of semi-regular operators has been also studied. Recall that if M, L are closed subspaces of X then we write  $M \stackrel{\text{e}}{\subset} L$  (M is essentially contained in L) if dim  $M/(M \cap L) < \infty$ . We summarize some of equivalent conditions characterizing essentially semi-regular operators.

THEOREM 1.4. ([10], Theorem 3.1) Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  be an operator with closed range. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) (Kato decomposition) there exists a decomposition  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  such that  $TX_1 \subset X_1$ ,  $TX_2 \subset X_2$ , dim  $X_1 < \infty$ ,  $T|X_1$  is nilpotent and  $T|X_2$  is an semi-regular operator;

(ii)  $\bigcap_{z\neq 0} \overline{\operatorname{Im}(T-z)} \subset \operatorname{Im} T;$ 

(iii) dim Ker  $T/N^*(T) < \infty$ , where  $N^*(T)$  is the set of all  $x \in X$  such that there are complex numbers  $z_i$  (i = 1, 2, ...) tending to 0 and elements  $x_i \in$ Ker $(T - z_i)$  such that  $x = \lim x_i$  (clearly  $N^*(T) \subset$ Ker T);

(iv) dim  $R^*(T)/\operatorname{Im} T \stackrel{i\to\infty}{<} \infty$  where  $R^*(T)$  is the set of all  $x \in X$  such that  $x = \lim_{i\to\infty} x_i$  for some  $x_i \in \operatorname{Im}(T-z_i)$  and some  $z_i \to 0$  (clearly  $\operatorname{Im} T \subset R^*(T)$ ).

Note that condition (i) implies that the function  $z \mapsto T - z$  is regular in a punctured neighbourhood  $\{z : 0 < |z| < \varepsilon\}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

General analytic operator-valued functions of one variable can be reduced to the linear case by the method of linearization, see [2], Theorem 2.6.

THEOREM 1.5. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open set,  $T : U \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  an analytic function and  $w \in U$ . Then there exist a neighbourhood  $U_0$  of w, Banach spaces Zand M, an operator  $V \in \mathcal{L}(M)$  and analytic functions  $A : U_0 \to \mathcal{L}(M, X \oplus Z)$ ,  $B : U_0 \to \mathcal{L}(Y \oplus Z, M)$  such that A(z) and B(z) are invertible operators and

$$B(z)(T(z) \oplus I_Z)A(z) = V - zI_M \quad (z \in U_0).$$

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open set and let  $T : U \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  be an analytic operatorvalued function. Let  $w \in U$ . Write

$$R^*(T(w)) = \left\{ y \in Y : \text{ there exist } z_k \in U, \ z_k \to w \\ \text{and } y_k \in \operatorname{Im} T(z_k) \text{ with } y_k \to y \right\},$$
$$R^{**}(T(w)) = \left\{ y \in Y : \lim_{z \to w} \operatorname{dist}\{y, \operatorname{Im} T(z)\} = 0 \right\}.$$

Clearly  $\operatorname{Im} T(w) \subset R^{**}(T(w)) \subset R^{*}(T(w))$  and  $R^{*}(T(w)), R^{**}(T(w))$  are closed subspaces of Y.

Similarly write

$$N^*(T(w)) = \left\{ x \in X : \text{there are } z_k \in U, \ x_k \in \text{Ker } T(z_k) \\ \text{with } z_k \to w \text{ and } x_k \to x \right\},$$
$$N^{**}(T(w)) = \left\{ x \in X : \lim_{z \to w} \text{dist}\{x, \text{Ker } T(z)\} = 0 \right\}.$$

Clearly  $N^{**}(T(w)) \subset N^*(T(w)) \subset \text{Ker } T(w)$  and  $N^*(T(w)), N^{**}(T(w))$  are closed subspaces of X.

On the punctured neighbourhood theorem

THEOREM 1.6. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open set,  $T : U \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  an analytic function and  $w \in U$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) dim  $R^*(T(w)) / \operatorname{Im} T(w) < \infty$ ;
- (ii) dim  $R^{**}(T(w)) / \operatorname{Im} T(w) < \infty;$
- (iii) dim Ker  $T(w)/N^*(T(w)) < \infty$  and Im T(w) is closed;
- (iv) dim Ker  $T(w)/N^{**}(T(w)) < \infty$  and Im T(w) is closed.

Any of these conditions implies that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the function T is regular in the punctured neighbourhood  $\{z \in U : 0 < |z - w| < \varepsilon\}$ . Further  $N^*(T(w)) = N^{**}(T(w)), R^*(T(w)) = R^{**}(T(w))$  and dim Ker  $T(w)/N^*(T(w)) = \dim R^*(T(w))/\operatorname{Im} T(w)$ .

*Proof.* A. Suppose first that Y = X and  $T(z) = V - zI_X$  for some operator  $V \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . We show that in this case conditions (i)–(iv) are equivalent to

(v) V - w is essentially semi-regular.

Clearly (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) and (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii).

By Theorem 1.4, (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v): Clearly (ii) implies that  $\operatorname{Im} T(w)$  is closed. Further

$$\bigcap_{z \neq w} \overline{\mathrm{Im}(V-z)} \subset R^{**}(V-w)$$

so that, by Theorem 1.4, V - w is essentially semi-regular.

Suppose now that V - w is essentially semi-regular. Let  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  be the Kato decomposition of V - w, i.e.,  $VX_1 \subset X_1$ ,  $VX_2 \subset X_2$ , dim  $X_1 < \infty$ ,  $(V - w)|X_1$  is nilpotent and  $(V - w)|X_2$  is semi-regular. It is easy to see that, for  $z \neq w$ ,  $\operatorname{Ker}(V - z) = \operatorname{Ker}((V - z)|X_2)$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(V - z) = X_1 + \operatorname{Im}((V - z)|X_2)$ . Thus

$$N^{*}(V - w) = N^{**}(V - w) = \text{Ker}((V - w)|X_{2})$$

and

$$R^*(V-w) = R^{**}(V-w) = X_1 + \operatorname{Im}((V-w)|X_2).$$

Hence (v) implies (iv). Further

$$\dim \operatorname{Ker}(V - w) / N^*(V - w) = \dim \operatorname{Ker}((V - w) | X_1) = \dim X_1 / (V - w) X_1$$
$$= \dim R^*(V - w) / \operatorname{Im}(V - w).$$

Also the Kato decomposition implies that the function  $z \mapsto V - z$  is regular in a certain punctured neighbourhood of w.

B. Let now T(z) be a general analytic operator-valued function. By Theorem 1.5 there exist a neighbourhood  $U_0$  of w, Banach spaces Z, M, an operator  $V \in \mathcal{L}(M)$  and analytic functions  $A: U_0 \to \mathcal{L}(M, X \oplus Z), B: U_0 \to \mathcal{L}(Y \oplus Z, M)$ whose values are invertible operators, such that

$$B(z)(T(z) \oplus I_Z)A(z) = V - zI_Z \quad (z \in U_0).$$

For  $z \in U_0$  we have

$$\operatorname{Ker}(V-zI) = \operatorname{Ker}\left((T(z) \oplus I_Z)A(z)\right) = A(z)^{-1}\operatorname{Ker}\left(T(z) \oplus I_Z\right) = A(z)^{-1}\operatorname{Ker}T(z)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Im}(V - zI) = \operatorname{Im}(B(z)(T(z) \oplus I_Z)) = B(z)(\operatorname{Im} T(z) + Z).$$

Thus

$$N^{*}(V - wI) = A(w)^{-1}N^{*}(T(w)),$$
  

$$N^{**}(V - wI) = A(w)^{-1}N^{**}(T(w)),$$
  

$$R^{*}(V - wI) = B(w)(R^{*}(T(w)) + Z) \text{ and }$$
  

$$R^{**}(V - wI) = B(w)(R^{**}(T(w)) + Z).$$

Hence all the statements for the function T(z) are equivalent to the corresponding statements for V - zI and the general case reduces to the previous case.

REMARK 1.7. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $w \in U$  and let  $T : U \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  be an analytic function. Then dim Ker  $T(w)/N^*(T(w))$  can be interpreted as the "jump" in the kernel of T(z); similarly dim  $R^*(T(w))/\operatorname{Im} T(w)$  signifies the jump in the range of T(z). It is interesting to note that these two numbers are always equal.

THEOREM 1.8. Let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $w \in U$ . Suppose that  $S: U \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y), T: U \to \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$  are analytic functions satisfying T(z)S(z) = 0  $(z \in U), \alpha(w) < \infty$  and  $\operatorname{Im} T(w)$  is closed. Then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a constant  $c \leq \alpha(w)$  such that  $\alpha(z) = c$  for all  $z, 0 < |z - w| < \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* By [14], Lemma 2.1,  $\alpha(z) \leq \alpha(w)$  for all z in a neighbourhood of w. Using the previous theorem, both  $z \mapsto S(z)$  and  $z \mapsto T(z)$  are regular in a certain punctured neighbourhood of w so that, by Lemma 4,  $\alpha(z)$  is constant in this punctured neighbourhood.

Π

In this section we study analytic operator-valued functions of n-variables.

It is not possible to expect the punctured neighbourhood theorem for  $n \ge 2$ ; the proper generalization seems to be

CONJECTURE 2.1. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be open, let  $S : U \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  and  $T : U \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  be analytic on U. Suppose that T(z)S(z) = 0,  $\operatorname{Im} T(z)$  is closed and  $\alpha(z) = \dim \operatorname{Ker} T(z) / \operatorname{Im} S(z) < \infty$   $(z \in U)$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the set  $\{z \in U : \alpha(z) \ge k\}$  is analytic in U.

Recall that a set  $M \subset U$  is called analytic in U if for each  $w \in U$  there exist a neighbourhood  $U_0$  of w and analytic (scalar-valued) functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_r$  such that  $M \cap U_0 = \{z \in U_0 : f_1(z) = \cdots = f_r(z) = 0\}.$ 

The conjecture is true in the following particular cases:

A. If the ranges and kernels of S(z) and T(z) are complemented subspaces, see Theorem 2.5 below. In particular, the conjecture is true for operators in Hilbert spaces.

B. If either  $S(z) \equiv 0$  or  $T(z) \equiv 0$ ; this means that the other function is upper (lower) semi-Fredholm-valued and the conjecture reduces to the statement about defect indices of semi-Freholm-valued functions, see [5].

C. If the sequence  $X \xrightarrow{S(z)} Y \xrightarrow{T(z)} Z$  is a part of a Fredholm complex vanishing at the ends, see [7], [8], [11] or Theorem 2.9 below.

We start with the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an open subset, let  $T : U \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  be an analytic function, let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the set  $\{z \in U : \dim \operatorname{Im} T(z) < k\}$  is analytic.

*Proof.* If  $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in X$ ,  $y_1^*, \ldots, y_k^* \in Y^*$ ,  $z \in U$  and dim Im T(z) < k then the vectors  $T(z)x_1, \ldots, T(z)x_k$  are linearly dependent and det $(\langle T(z)x_i, y_i^* \rangle) = 0$ .

On the other hand, if dim Im  $T(z) \ge k$  then there are vectors  $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in X$ ,  $y_1^*, \ldots, y_k^* \in Y^*$  such that  $\det(\langle T(z)x_i, y_j^* \rangle) \ne 0$ . Thus

$$\{ z \in U : \dim \operatorname{Im} T(z) < k \}$$
  
=  $\{ z \in U : \det(\langle T(z)x_i, y_i^* \rangle) = 0 \text{ for all } x_1, \dots, x_k \in X, y_1^*, \dots, y_k^* \in Y^* \}$ 

which is an analytic set, see [3], p. 86.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let  $S : U \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $T : U \to \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$  be analytic functions and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the set

$$\left\{ z \in U : \dim \operatorname{Im} S(z) / \left( \operatorname{Im} S(z) \cap \operatorname{Ker} T(z) \right) < k \right\}$$

is analytic.

*Proof.* Clearly dim Im  $S(z)/(\operatorname{Im} S(z) \cap \operatorname{Ker} T(z)) = \dim \operatorname{Im}(T(z)S(z))$  so that the corollary follows from the previous lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. Let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , let  $S : U \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  and  $T : U \to \mathcal{L}(Y,Z)$  be analytic functions satisfying T(z)S(z) = 0  $(z \in U)$ . Suppose that there are Banach spaces  $X_1$  and  $Z_1$  and regular analytic functions  $S_1 : U \to \mathcal{L}(X_1,Y)$ ,  $T_1 : U \to \mathcal{L}(Y,Z_1)$  satisfying

$$\operatorname{Ker} T_1(z) \subset \operatorname{Im} S(z) \subset \operatorname{Ker} T(z) \subset \operatorname{Im} S_1(z)$$

and dim Im  $S_1(z)/\operatorname{Ker} T_1(z) < \infty \ (z \in U)$ . Then the set

$$\left\{z \in U : \dim \operatorname{Ker} T(z) / \operatorname{Im} S(z) \ge k\right\}$$

is analytic in U.

*Proof.* The situation is illustrated by the following diagram:



Figure 1.

We can assume that U is connected. For each j set

$$A_j = \{z \in U : \dim \operatorname{Im} S(z) / \operatorname{Ker} T_1(z) \leq j\}$$

and

$$B_j = \{ z \in U : \dim \operatorname{Im} S_1(z) / \operatorname{Ker} T(z) \leq j \}.$$

By Corollary 2.3,  $A_j$  and  $B_j$  are analytic sets. As in the proof of Lemma 1.3 (or using Theorem 1.2) it is easy to see that there is a constant c such that  $\dim \operatorname{Im} S_1(z) / \operatorname{Ker} T_1(z) = c$  in U. Thus

$$\{z \in U : \dim \operatorname{Ker} T(z) / \operatorname{Im} S(z) \ge k \}$$
  
=  $\{z \in U : \dim \operatorname{Im} S_1(z) / \operatorname{Ker} T(z) + \dim \operatorname{Im} S(z) / \operatorname{Ker} T_1(z) \le c - k \}$   
=  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{c-k} A_i \cap B_{c-k-i}.$ 

The last set is clearly analytic.  $\blacksquare$ 

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ . An operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  is called a generalized inverse of T if TST = T and STS = S. If S is a generalized inverse of T then TS and ST are projections satisfying Im(TS) = Im T and Ker(ST) = Ker T. Thus T has a generalized inverse if and only if both Ker T and Im T are complemented subspaces of X and Y, respectively.

The next result shows that Conjecture 2.1 is true for operators with generalized inverses. We adopt the method of [4].

THEOREM 2.5. Let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , let  $S : U \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  and  $T : U \to \mathcal{L}(Y,Z)$  be analytic functions. Suppose that T(z)S(z) = 0, dim Ker T(z)/Im  $S(z) < \infty$  and the operators S(z) and T(z) have generalized inverses for  $z \in U$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the set  $\{z \in U : \alpha(z) \ge k\}$  is analytic in U.

*Proof.* Let  $w \in U$ . Let V be a generalized inverse of S(w), i.e., VS(w)V = V and S(w)VS(w) = S(w). Set P = I - S(w)V. Then P is a projection, Ker P = Im S(w).

For z close to w, the operator I + (S(z) - S(w))V is invertible. Define  $P(z) \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$  by  $P(z) = P(I + (S(z) - S(w))V)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ . Clearly the function  $z \mapsto P(z)$  is regular at w since  $\operatorname{Im} P(z) = \operatorname{Im} P$  is constant. We prove that  $\operatorname{Ker} P(z) \subset \operatorname{Im} S(z)$ . Let  $y \in \operatorname{Ker} P(z)$ , i.e.,  $0 = P(z)y = P(I + (S(z) - S(w))V)^{-1}y$ . Then

$$\left(I + (S(z) - S(w))V\right)^{-1} y \in \operatorname{Ker} P = \operatorname{Im} S(w).$$

For some  $x \in X$  we have

$$y = (I + (S(z) - S(w))V)S(w)x = S(z)VS(w)x \in \operatorname{Im} S(z).$$

Similarly, let W be a generalized inverse of T(w). Set Q = I - WT(w). Then Q is a projection with  $\operatorname{Im} Q = \operatorname{Ker} T(w)$ . For z close to w define  $Q(z) \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$  by  $Q(z) = (I + W(S(z) - S(w)))^{-1}Q$ . Clearly the function  $z \mapsto Q(z)$  is regular since  $\operatorname{Ker} Q(z) = \operatorname{Ker} Q$  is constant. We have

$$WT(z) = WT(w) + W(T(z) - T(w)) = I - Q + W(T(z) - T(w))$$

so that

$$(I + W(T(z) - T(w)))^{-1}WT(z) = I - (I + W(T(z) - T(w)))^{-1}Q = I - Q(z).$$

Consequently,  $\operatorname{Ker} T(z) \subset \operatorname{Im} Q(z)$ .

Thus we have  $\operatorname{Ker} P(z) \subset \operatorname{Im} S(z) \subset \operatorname{Ker} T(z) \subset \operatorname{Im} Q(z)$  and

 $\dim \operatorname{Im} Q(w) / \operatorname{Ker} P(w) = \dim \operatorname{Im} Q / \operatorname{Ker} P = \dim \operatorname{Ker} T(w) / \operatorname{Im} S(w) < \infty.$ 

As in Lemma 1.3 we have that dim  $\text{Im} Q(z)/\text{Ker} P(z) < \infty$  in a neighbourhood of w. The rest follows from Lemma 2.4.

COROLLARY 2.6. Conjecture 2.1 is true for operators in Hilbert spaces.

In the following we consider a complex

(2.1) 
$$0 \longrightarrow X_0 \stackrel{\delta_0(z)}{\longrightarrow} X_1 \stackrel{\delta_1(z)}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\delta_{n-1}(z)}{\longrightarrow} X_n \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $X_0, \ldots, X_n$  are Banach spaces, the operators  $\delta_j(z)$  satisfy  $\delta_j(z)\delta_{j-1}(z) = 0$ and depend analytically on a parameter  $z \in U$ , where U is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Suppose that the complex (2.1) is Fredholm, i.e., dim Ker  $\delta_j(z)/\operatorname{Im} \delta_{j-1}(z) < \infty$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, n$  and  $z \in U$  (formally we set  $\delta_{-1}(z) = 0$  and  $\delta_n(z) = 0$ ).

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is a folklore among specialists in the sheaf theory that the set  $\{z \in U : \dim \operatorname{Ker} \delta_j(z) / \operatorname{Im} \delta_{j-1}(z) \ge k\}$  is analytic. This result is stated without proof (for the Koszul complex of a commuting *n*-tuple of operators) in [7] and [8]; cf. also [11]. Since apparently there is no elementary proof of this result, we include the proof here.

We need the following modification of Lemma 2.4:

LEMMA 2.7. Let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , let  $S : U \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $T : U \to \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$  be analytic functions satisfying T(z)S(z) = 0  $(z \in U)$ . Suppose that there are Banach spaces  $X_1, Z_1$ , finite dimensional Banach spaces F, G and regular analytic functions  $S_1 : U \to \mathcal{L}(X_1, Y \oplus F)$  and  $T_1 : U \to \mathcal{L}(Y \oplus G, Z_1)$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} S_1(z) \supset \operatorname{Ker} T(z) \supset \operatorname{Im} S(z)$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} S(z) + G \supset \operatorname{Ker} T_1(z)$  and  $\dim(\operatorname{Im} S_1(z) + G)/\operatorname{Ker} T_1(z) < \infty$   $(z \in U)$ , see Figure 2. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the set  $\{z \in U :$  $\alpha(z) \ge k\}$  is analytic in U.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & & & \\ & \searrow^{S_1(z)} & \begin{cases} F \\ \oplus \\ Y \\ & \stackrel{T(z)}{\longrightarrow} & Z \\ & & \\ & & G \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} T(z) & & Z \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$$

Figure 2.

*Proof.* Set  $Y' = Y \oplus F \oplus G$ . For  $z \in U$  define the operators  $S'(z) : X \oplus G \to Y'$ ,  $T'(z) : Y' \to Z \oplus F$ ,  $S'_1(z) : X_1 \oplus G \to Y'$  and  $T'_1(z) : Y' \to Z_1 \oplus F$  by

$$S'(z)(x \oplus g) = S(z)x + g,$$
  

$$T'(z)(y \oplus f \oplus g) = T(z)y + f,$$
  

$$S'_1(z)(x_1 \oplus g) = S_1(z)x_1 + g,$$
  

$$T'_1(z)(y \oplus f \oplus g) = T_1(z)(y \oplus g) + f$$

for all  $x \in X$ ,  $f \in F$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $x_1 \in X_1$ . Thus  $\operatorname{Im} S'(z) = \operatorname{Im} S(z) + G$ ,  $\operatorname{Ker} T'(z) = \operatorname{Ker} T(z) + G$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} S'_1(z) = \operatorname{Im} S_1(z) + G$  and  $\operatorname{Ker} T'_1(z) = \operatorname{Ker} T_1(z)$ . We have

$$\operatorname{Im} S'_1(z) \supset \operatorname{Ker} T'(z) \supset \operatorname{Im} S'(z) \supset \operatorname{Ker} T'_1(z)$$

and

$$\dim \operatorname{Im} S_1'(z) / \operatorname{Ker} T_1'(z) = \dim \left( \operatorname{Im} S_1(z) + G \right) / \operatorname{Ker} T_1(z) < \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.4, the set  $\{z \in U : \dim \operatorname{Ker} T'(z) / \operatorname{Im} S'(z) \ge k\}$  is analytic in U. This set, however, is equal to the set  $\{z \in U : \alpha(z) \ge k\}$ .

LEMMA 2.8. Let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , let  $S: U \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $T: U \to \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$  be analytic functions satisfying T(z)S(z) = 0 and  $\alpha(z) < \infty$   $(z \in U)$ . Let  $w \in U$ . Suppose that there are finite dimensional spaces G, H, a neighbourhood  $U_1$  of w and a regular analytic function  $T_1: U_1 \to \mathcal{L}(Y \oplus G, Z \oplus H)$  such that  $T_1(z)|Y = T(z)$ . Then there exist a finite dimensional space F, a neighbourhood  $U_2$  of w and a regular analytic function  $S_1: U_2 \to \mathcal{L}(X \oplus F, Y \oplus G)$  such that  $S_1(z)|X = S(z)$  and  $\operatorname{Im} S_1(z) = \operatorname{Ker} T_1(z) \supset \operatorname{Ker} T(z)$ , see Figure 3.

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \oplus \\ F \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S(z) \\ \longrightarrow \\ S_1(z) \\ G \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} T(z) \\ \longrightarrow \\ T_1(z) \\ H \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} T(z) \\ \longrightarrow \\ T_1(z) \\ H \end{array} \right\}$$

Figure 3.

*Proof.* For  $z \in U_1$  we have

 $\dim \operatorname{Ker} T_1(z) / \operatorname{Im} S(z) = \dim \operatorname{Ker} T_1(z) / \operatorname{Ker} T(z) + \dim \operatorname{Ker} T(z) / \operatorname{Im} S(z) < \infty.$ 

Let  $y_1, \ldots, y_r$  be linearly independent vectors in Ker  $T_1(w)$  such that

$$\operatorname{Im} S(w) \lor \{y_1, \dots, y_r\} = \operatorname{Ker} T_1(w).$$

Since  $T_1$  is regular, for i = 1, ..., r, there exists a  $(Y \oplus G)$ -valued analytic function  $\varphi_i$  defined in a neighbourhood of w such that  $T_1(z)\varphi(z) = 0$  and  $\varphi_i(w) = y_i$ . Let F be an r-dimensional space with a basis  $f_1 ..., f_r$  and define  $S_1(z) : X \oplus F \to Y \oplus G$  by

$$S_1(z)\Big(x \oplus \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i f_i\Big) = S(z)x + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i \varphi(z)y_i \quad (x \in X, \, \beta_i \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Clearly  $T_1(z)S_1(z) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Im} S_1(w) = \operatorname{Ker} T_1(w)$  so that there is a neighbourhood of w where  $\operatorname{Ker} T_1(z) = \operatorname{Im} S_1(z)$ , see [14]. Thus  $S_1$  is regular in a neighbourhood of w and satisfies all the required conditions.

THEOREM 2.9. Let  $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be Banach spaces, U an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let

$$0 \longrightarrow X_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0(z)} X_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1(z)} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}(z)} X_n \longrightarrow 0$$

be a Fredholm complex analytically dependent on  $z \in U$  (i.e.,  $\delta_j(z)\delta_{j-1}(z) = 0$  and dim Ker  $\delta_j(z)/\operatorname{Im} \delta_{j-1}(z) < \infty$  for all  $\in U$  and  $j = 0, \ldots, n$ ).

Let  $0 \leq j \leq n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the set  $\{z \in U : \dim \operatorname{Ker} \delta_j(z) / \operatorname{Im} \delta_{j-1}(z) \geq k\}$  is analytic in U.

*Proof.* Let  $w \in U$ . Using Lemma 2.8 repeatedly, it is easy to see by the downward induction that there are finite dimensional spaces  $F_{j-1}, F_j$  and a regular analytic function  $S(z) : X_{j-1} \oplus F_{j-1} \to X_j \oplus F_j$  defined in a neighbourhood of w such that  $S(z)|X_{j-1} = \delta_{j-1}(z)$  and  $\operatorname{Im} S(z) \supset \operatorname{Ker} \delta_j(z)$ . In particular,  $\dim \operatorname{Im} S(z)/\operatorname{Ker} \delta_{j-1}(z) < \infty$ .

Consider the "adjoint" complex

$$0 \longleftarrow X_0^* \stackrel{\delta_0^*(z)}{\longleftrightarrow} X_1^* \stackrel{\delta_1^*(z)}{\longleftrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\delta_{n-1}^*(z)}{\longleftrightarrow} X_n^* \longleftarrow 0$$

where we write for short  $\delta_j^*(z)$  instead of  $(\delta_j(z))^*$ . Since this complex is also Fredholm, similarly as above there exist finite dimensional spaces  $G_j$  and  $G_{j+1}$  and a regular analytic function  $T(z): X_{j+1}^* \oplus G_{j+1} \to X_j^* \oplus G_j$  defined in a neighbourhood of w such that  $\operatorname{Im} T(z) \supset \operatorname{Ker}(\delta_{j-1}^*(z))$  and  $\dim \operatorname{Im} T(z) / \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{j-1}^*(z) < \infty$ . Further the operator  $S^*(z): X_j^* \oplus F^* \to X_{j-1}^* \oplus F_{j-1}^*$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{Ker} S^*(z) = (\operatorname{Im} S(z))^{\perp} \subset (\operatorname{Ker} \delta_j(z))^{\perp} + F_j^* = \operatorname{Im} \delta_j^*(z) + F_j^*.$$

By Lemma 2.7, the set  $\{z : \dim \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{i-1}^*(z) / \operatorname{Im} \delta_i^*(z) \ge k\}$  is analytic. Since

$$\dim \operatorname{Ker} \delta_{j-1}^*(z) / \operatorname{Im} \delta_j^*(z) = \dim \operatorname{Ker} \delta_j(z) / \operatorname{Im} \delta_{j-1}(z),$$

this finishes the proof.

Let  $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  be an *n*-tuple of commuting operators on a Banach space X. Denote by  $\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(A)$  the Taylor spectrum of A. The essential spectrum  $\sigma_{\mathrm{Te}}(A)$  of A is defined as the set of all  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that the Koszul complex of the *n*-tuple  $(A_1 - \lambda_1, \ldots, A_n - \lambda_n)$  is not Fredholm.

COROLLARY 2.10. ([7], [8]) Let  $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  be an n-tuple of commuting operators on a Banach space X. Then the set  $\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}(A) \setminus \sigma_{\mathrm{Te}}(A)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \sigma_{\mathrm{Te}}(A)$ .

94

The research was supported by the grant No. 201/96/0411 of GA ČR.

## REFERENCES

- A.S. FAINSHTEIN, V.S. SHULMAN, Stability of the index of a short Fredholm complex of Banach spaces with respect to perturbations which are small with respect to the non-compactness measure, [Russian], Spektr. Teor. Oper. 4(1982), 189– 198. 1983.
- I.C. GOHBERG, M.A. KAASHOEK, D.C. LAY, Equivalence, linearization, and decomposition of holomorphic operator functions, *J. Funct. Anal.* 28(1978), 102–144.
- R.C. GUNNING, H. ROSSI, Analytic functions of several complex variables, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1965.
- W. KABALLO, Projectoren und relative Inversion holomorpher Semi-Fredholmfunktionen, Math. Ann. 219(1976), 85–96.
- W. KABALLO, Holomorphe Semi-Fredholmfunktionen ohne komplementierte Kerne bzw. Bilder, Math. Nachr. 91(1979), 327–335.
- 6. T. KATO, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1966.
- R. LEVI, Notes on the Taylor joint spectrum of commuting operators, in *Spectral Theory*, Banach Center Publications, vol. 8, PWN, Warsaw 1982, pp. 321–332.
- R. LEVI, Cohomological invariants for essentially commuting systems of operators, [Russian], Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen. 17(1983), 79–80.
- 9. M. MBEKHTA, Résolvant généralisé et théorie spectrale, J. Operator Theory  ${\bf 21}(1989),$  69–105.
- 10. V. MÜLLER, On the regular spectrum, J. Operator Theory 31(1994), 363-380.
- M. PUTINAR, Base change and the Fredholm index, Integral Equations Operator Theory 8(1985), 674–692.
- V. RAKOČEVIĆ, Generalized spectra and commuting compact perturbations, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 36(1993), 197–208.
- Z. SLODKOWSKI, Operators with closed ranges in spaces of analytic vector-valued functions, J. Funct. Anal. 28(1986), 155–177.
- F.-H. VASILESCU, Stability of index of a complex of Banach spaces, J. Operator Theory 2(1979), 247–275.

VLADIMIR MÜLLER Institute of Mathematics AV ČR Žitná 25, 115 67 Prague 1 CZECH REPUBLIC E-mail: muller@math.cas.cz

Received November 14, 1997.