

## COHOMOLOGY FOR FINITE INDEX INCLUSIONS OF FACTORS

ALLAN M. SINCLAIR and ROGER R. SMITH

*Communicated by William B. Arveson*

ABSTRACT. If  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  is an inclusion of type  $\text{II}_1$  factors of finite index on a separable Hilbert space, and if  $\mathcal{N}$  has a Cartan subalgebra then we show that  $H^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ . We also show that  $H_{\text{cb}}^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , for an arbitrary finite index inclusion  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  of von Neumann algebras.

KEYWORDS: *von Neumann algebra, factor, Jones index, cohomology, Cartan subalgebra, completely bounded,  $C^*$ -algebra.*

MSC (2000): Primary 46L10; Secondary 46L05.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The continuous Hochschild cohomology groups  $H^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{X})$  for a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  and a Banach  $\mathcal{N}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{X}$  were first studied in a series of papers ([10], [11], [12], [15], [16]) by Johnson, Kadison and Ringrose. The primary focus was on the case  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{N}$ . The Kadison-Sakai theorem on derivations, [14], [24], had established that  $H^1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = 0$  for all von Neumann algebras, and so it was natural to pose the question of whether  $H^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . The work of [2], [4], [7], [15] on completely bounded cohomology gave an affirmative answer in the cases of type I,  $\text{II}_\infty$  and III von Neumann algebras, as well as some classes of type  $\text{II}_1$  von Neumann algebras. However, the general type  $\text{II}_1$  case is still open.

In [26], [27] we were able to show that  $H^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) = 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , for type  $\text{II}_1$  algebras with a separable predual and a Cartan subalgebra (a masa whose normalizing unitary group generates  $\mathcal{N}$  as a von Neumann algebra). This is a rich class of von Neumann algebras ([9]), but algebras do exist without this property ([28]). The purpose of this paper is to extend these results (which built upon preliminary results in [3], [19]) to  $H^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , where  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  is an inclusion of type  $\text{II}_1$  factors of finite Jones index ([13], [22]). The case  $n = 1$  is already covered by a more general result of Christensen ([1]). It is important to consider more general modules in place of  $\mathcal{N}$  itself. For example, Connes has shown that an

appropriate choice of module can distinguish between injective and non-injective von Neumann algebras ([8]; see also [6]). In a different direction, Kirchberg ([17]) has shown that the vanishing of  $H^1(\mathcal{N}, B(H))$  is equivalent to a positive solution to the similarity problem for representations of  $C^*$ -algebras.

In the second section we establish some notation and recapitulate some standard theory for the reader's convenience. We also quote a theorem from [18] which we will use repeatedly. The third section is devoted to some preliminary results. One concerns the class of maps to which the averaging technique of [5] can be applied (Theorem 3.2), while another gives a method of estimating norms in  $M_n(\mathcal{M})$  in the presence of Cartan subalgebras (Theorem 3.4). These are then applied in the last section to show that  $H^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$  when  $\mathcal{N}$  has a Cartan subalgebra and  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  is an inclusion of factors of finite index. We also show that  $H_{\text{cb}}^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$  for a finite index inclusion of von Neumann algebras.

We refer the reader to [23], [25] for general background on cohomology, and to [26], [27] for many of the techniques which we draw on here. However, we have taken the opportunity to streamline some of the arguments and the introduction of  $*$ -automorphisms in Corollary 3.5 is a useful suggestion of Florin Pop.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

A bounded map  $\varphi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  between operator spaces lifts naturally to a bounded map  $\varphi^{(k)} : M_k(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow M_k(\mathcal{F})$  on the  $k \times k$  matrices over  $\mathcal{E}$  for each  $k \geq 1$  ( $\varphi_k$  is a more standard notation for this map but we reserve this for a different purpose). Then  $\varphi$  is completely bounded if the quantity

$$(2.1) \quad \|\varphi\|_{\text{cb}} \equiv \sup\{\|\varphi^{(k)}\| : k \geq 1\}$$

is finite. If square matrices are replaced by the spaces  $\text{Row}_k(\mathcal{E})$  of rows over  $\mathcal{E}$  of length  $k$ , then the corresponding supremum in (2.1) defines the row bounded norm. The inequalities

$$(2.2) \quad \|\varphi\| \leq \|\varphi\|_{\text{r}} \leq \|\varphi\|_{\text{cb}}$$

are immediate from the definitions, and the interplay between these three norms is crucial for the results of this paper. We will denote by  $\text{CB}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  and  $\text{RB}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  respectively the spaces of completely bounded and row bounded maps from  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

For an inclusion  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  of von Neumann or  $C^*$ -algebras we denote by  $\mathcal{L}^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$  the space of  $n$ -linear bounded maps  $\varphi : \mathcal{N}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ . The coboundary operator  $\partial : \mathcal{L}^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$  is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= x_1\varphi(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &+ (-1)^{n+1} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) x_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $x_i \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $\varphi$  is an  $n$ -cocycle if  $\partial\varphi = 0$ , while  $\varphi$  is said to be an  $n$ -coboundary if there exists  $\psi \in \mathcal{L}^{n-1}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$  such that  $\varphi = \partial\psi$ . A short algebraic calculation shows that  $\partial\partial = 0$  and so coboundaries are cocycles. For  $n \geq 2$  the cohomology

group  $H^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$  is defined to be the space of  $n$ -cocycles modulo the space of  $n$ -coboundaries. For  $n = 1$ ,  $H^1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$  is the space of derivations modulo the space of inner derivations. The coefficient space  $\mathcal{M}$  could be replaced by any Banach  $\mathcal{N}$ -bimodule in these definitions.

We will focus on von Neumann factors  $\mathcal{N}$  of type  $\text{II}_1$  with Cartan subalgebras  $\mathcal{A}$ : the defining property is that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a maximal abelian self-adjoint subalgebra of  $\mathcal{N}$  whose normalizing unitary group  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  generates  $\mathcal{N}$  as a von Neumann algebra. Here  $\mathcal{U}$  is the set of unitaries  $u \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $u\mathcal{A}u^* = \mathcal{A}$ . We will also be interested in the case when  $\mathcal{N}$  has finite Jones index  $[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  ([13], [22]). For such inclusions a result of Pimsner and Popa ([18]) to the effect that  $\mathcal{M}$  is finitely generated as both a left and right  $\mathcal{N}$ -module will be important. Since we will use it repeatedly, we state it here.

**THEOREM 2.1.** ([18]) *Let  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  be an inclusion of type  $\text{II}_1$  factors with  $[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}] < \infty$ . Write  $[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}] = n + \alpha$  ( $n$  an integer and  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ), and let  $E_{\mathcal{N}}$  be the trace preserving conditional expectation of  $\mathcal{M}$  onto  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then there exist  $m_1, \dots, m_{n+1} \in \mathcal{M}$  and a projection  $p \in \mathcal{N}$  of trace  $\alpha$  with the following properties:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}m_1 + \mathcal{N}m_2 + \dots + \mathcal{N}m_n + \mathcal{N}pm_{n+1}$ ;
- (ii)  $E_{\mathcal{N}}(m_j m_k^*) = 0$  for  $j \neq k$ ;
- (iii)  $E_{\mathcal{N}}(m_j m_j^*) = 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ;
- (iv)  $E_{\mathcal{N}}(m_{n+1} m_{n+1}^*) = p$ ;
- (v)  $\|m_j\| \leq [\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^{1/2}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$ ;
- (vi)  $\mathcal{M} = m_1^* \mathcal{N} + m_2^* \mathcal{N} + \dots + m_n^* \mathcal{N} + m_{n+1}^* p \mathcal{N}$ .

Properties (i)–(v) are the original formulation but (vi) follows from (i) by taking adjoints. We will use both the left and right  $\mathcal{N}$ -module decompositions of  $\mathcal{M}$  subsequently. We note for future reference that properties (ii)–(iv) ensure that the  $\mathcal{N}$ -coefficients of an expansion of  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  by (i) are unique. For example, if  $x \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $xm_1 = 0$  then

$$x = E_{\mathcal{N}}(xm_1 m_1^*) = 0$$

using (iii) and the  $\mathcal{N}$ -linearity of  $E_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

### 3. AVERAGING MAPS

In this section we extend a result from [6] on the averaging of elements in  $\text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$  to a larger class of maps  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \text{RB}(\mathcal{N}, B(H))$ . While we do not have a characterization of which row bounded maps lie in  $\mathcal{S}$ , we will be able to show that this set does contain all maps used subsequently, and this is sufficient for our purposes.

Let  $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathcal{N}$  be fixed elements satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i^* n_i \leq 1$ , and define  $\beta : \text{RB}(\mathcal{N}, B(H)) \rightarrow \text{RB}(\mathcal{N}, B(H))$  by

$$(3.1) \quad (\beta\varphi)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(xn_i^*)n_i$$

for  $x \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\varphi \in \text{RB}(\mathcal{N}, B(H))$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *The map  $\beta$  is a contraction in the row bounded norm.*

*Proof.* Fix  $\varphi \in \text{RB}(\mathcal{N}, B(H))$  and let  $\psi = \beta\varphi$ . If  $R = (x_1, \dots, x_j) \in \text{Row}_j(\mathcal{N})$ ,  $\|R\| = 1$ , then let  $\tilde{R} \in \text{Row}_{jk}(\mathcal{N})$  be the row

$$(x_1 n_1^*, \dots, x_1 n_k^*, \dots, x_j n_1^*, \dots, x_j n_k^*).$$

Then

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{R}\tilde{R}^* = \sum_{l=1}^j \sum_{i=1}^k x_l n_i^* n_i x_l^* \leq \sum_{l=1}^j x_l x_l^*,$$

so

$$(3.3) \quad \|\tilde{R}\| \leq \|R\| = 1.$$

Now form the  $jk \times j$  matrix

$$(3.4) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} C & \theta & & \theta \\ \theta & C & & \vdots \\ \theta & \theta & \ddots & \theta \\ \theta & \theta & & C \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\theta$  denotes a column of  $k$  0's and  $C^* = (n_1^*, \dots, n_k^*)$ . Then  $A^*A \in M_j(\mathcal{N})$  is diagonal and each diagonal entry is  $C^*C$ . Thus  $\|A\| \leq 1$ . A short calculation shows that

$$(3.5) \quad \psi^{(j)}(R) = \varphi^{(jk)}(\tilde{R})A,$$

and it follows from (3.3) that

$$(3.6) \quad \|\psi^{(j)}(R)\| \leq \|\varphi\|_r \|\tilde{R}\| \|A\| \leq \|\varphi\|_r.$$

Since  $R$  was an arbitrary row of unit norm, (3.6) shows that  $\|\psi\|_r \leq \|\varphi\|_r$  and  $\beta$  is a contraction in the row bounded norm. ■

In [6] the existence of a projection  $\rho : \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})_{\mathcal{N}}$  (the subspace of right  $\mathcal{N}$ -modular maps) was established for any von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}$ , and moreover  $\rho$  was the point ultraweak limit of a net of maps  $\rho_\alpha : \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$  where each  $\rho_\alpha$  had the form

$$(3.7) \quad (\rho_\alpha \varphi)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(x n_{j\alpha}^*) n_{j\alpha}, \quad x \in \mathcal{N},$$

where  $\varphi \in \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$ ,  $n_{j\alpha} \in \mathcal{N}$ , and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} n_{j\alpha}^* n_{j\alpha} = 1$ . A simple pointwise ultraweak limit argument establishes Lemma 3.1 for infinite sums, and so equation (3.7) extends the definition of  $\rho_\alpha$  to a contraction (in the row bounded norm) of  $\text{RB}(\mathcal{N}, B(H))$  to itself. While  $\rho$  and its approximating net need not be unique, we fix one such collection for the subsequent discussion. It is not clear that the net of  $\rho_\alpha$ 's on the larger space of maps converges in any topology. To remedy this, we introduce an intermediate domain defined by convergence of the net not only to a limit, but to one of a particular kind. Specifically, we form the subset  $\mathcal{S}$  of

$\text{RB}(\mathcal{N}, B(H))$  defined by the following property:  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  if there exists an operator  $t \in B(H)$  such that

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{\alpha}(\rho_{\alpha}\varphi)(x) = tx$$

ultraweakly for  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ . We then let  $\rho\varphi$  be the point ultraweak limit of  $\rho_{\alpha}\varphi$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ . Since this domain is defined abstractly, we will have to show subsequently that it contains all maps of interest to us.

We note that  $\text{RB}(\mathcal{N}, B(H))$  is a  $(B(H), \mathcal{N})$ -bimodule under the following left and right actions:

$$(3.9) \quad (t\varphi)(x) = t\varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{N}, t \in B(H),$$

$$(3.10) \quad \varphi_y(x) = \varphi(yx), \quad x, y \in \mathcal{N},$$

for  $\varphi \in \text{RB}(\mathcal{N}, B(H))$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *For any von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq B(H)$ :*

(i)  $\mathcal{S}$  is a norm closed  $(B(H), \mathcal{N})$ -submodule of  $\text{RB}(\mathcal{N}, B(H))$  containing  $\text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$ ;

(ii) If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $t \in B(H)$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{N}$  then

$$(3.11) \quad \rho(t\varphi) = t(\rho\varphi), \quad \rho\varphi_y = (\rho\varphi)_y;$$

(iii)  $\rho$  is a contraction in the row bounded norm;

(iv) If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  and has range in a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  containing  $\mathcal{N}$  then there exists  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  such that, for  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ ,

$$(3.12) \quad \rho\varphi(x) = mx, \quad \|m\| \leq \|\varphi\|_r.$$

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$  is an inclusion of type  $\text{II}_1$  factors of finite index then

(v)  $\mathcal{S}$  contains  $\text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$ .

*Proof.* That  $\mathcal{S}$  contains  $\text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$  is the original version of this theorem ([6]). Part (iii) follows from Lemma 3.1 which establishes the contractivity of each  $\rho_{\alpha}$  by a simple limit argument. It is then easy to see that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a norm closed subspace of  $\text{RB}(\mathcal{N}, B(H))$ .

From (3.7), each  $\rho_{\alpha}$  commutes with the left and right module actions of  $B(H)$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  respectively, and thus so does  $\rho$ . The remaining parts of (i) and (ii) are then immediate.

If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  has range in  $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{N}$  then the same is true for each  $\rho_{\alpha}\varphi$ , by (3.7), and also for  $\rho\varphi$  by taking ultraweak limits. Putting  $x = 1$  in (3.8) establishes (3.12).

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$  is an inclusion of type  $\text{II}_1$  factors with  $[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}] < \infty$ . By Theorem 2.1 we may write

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{M} = m_1^*\mathcal{N} + \cdots + m_n^*\mathcal{N} + m_{n+1}^*p\mathcal{N}.$$

If  $\varphi \in \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$  then define  $\varphi_i \in \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ , by

$$(3.14) \quad \varphi_i(x) = E_{\mathcal{N}}(m_i\varphi(x)), \quad x \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Fix  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ . By (3.13) there exist  $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1} \in \mathcal{N}$  such that

$$(3.15) \quad \varphi(x) = m_1^*y_1 + \cdots + m_n^*y_n + m_{n+1}^*py_{n+1}.$$

Multiply (3.15) by  $m_i$  and apply  $E_{\mathcal{N}}$  to obtain

$$(3.16) \quad E_{\mathcal{N}}(m_i\varphi(x)) = y_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$(3.17) \quad E_{\mathcal{N}}(m_{n+1}\varphi(x)) = py_{n+1}.$$

It follows from (3.14)–(3.17) that

$$(3.18) \quad \varphi(x) = m_1^*\varphi_1(x) + \cdots + m_{n+1}^*\varphi_{n+1}(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{N}.$$

By the module properties of (i), we see that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ , completing the proof.  $\blacksquare$

The notion of finite index inclusions of type  $\text{II}_1$  factors can be extended to general inclusions in the following way ([22]). An inclusion  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  of von Neumann algebras is said to be of finite index if there exists a conditional expectation  $E : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  and a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $E(x) \geq cx$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}^+$ . As noted in 1.1.2 of [22], such a conditional expectation is automatically normal. In this more general situation we may obtain a projection of  $\text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$  onto the space  $\text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{N}}$  of completely bounded right  $\mathcal{N}$ -module maps.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  be a finite index inclusion of von Neumann algebras. Then there exists a contractive projection  $\rho : \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{N}}$  which is the point ultraweak limit of maps  $\rho_{\alpha}$  of the form (3.7). Moreover,  $\rho$  satisfies*

$$(3.19) \quad \rho(m\varphi) = m(\rho\varphi), \quad \rho\varphi_y = (\rho\varphi)_y$$

for  $\varphi \in \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{N}$ .

*Proof.* By [5], there is a projection  $\rho : \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})_{\mathcal{N}}$  which is the point ultraweak limit of maps  $\rho_{\alpha}$  of the form (3.7). Each  $\rho_{\alpha}$  has an obvious extension to a map of  $\text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$  to itself, which we also denote by  $\rho_{\alpha}$ . By compactness, we may drop to a subnet and assume that  $\lim_{\alpha}(\rho_{\alpha}\varphi)(x)$  exists ultraweakly (in  $\mathcal{M}$ ) for  $\varphi \in \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$  and  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ . This limit then defines a contraction  $\rho : \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$ , extending the one originally defined on  $\text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$ . The relations (3.19) are immediate from the definition of the  $\rho_{\alpha}$ 's, after taking point ultraweak limits. Each  $\rho_{\alpha}$  leaves fixed every right  $\mathcal{N}$ -module map and the same is then true of  $\rho$ . It thus suffices to show that the range of  $\rho$  is  $\text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})_{\mathcal{N}}$ . By hypothesis there is a constant  $c > 0$  and a normal conditional expectation  $E : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  such that  $E(x) \geq cx$  for  $x \in \mathcal{M}^+$ . We note that  $E$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -bimodular. For  $\varphi \in \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$  and  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\alpha}(E\varphi)(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (E\varphi)(xn_{j\alpha}^*)n_{j\alpha} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E(\varphi(xn_{j\alpha}^*))n_{j\alpha} \\ &= E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(xn_{j\alpha}^*)n_{j\alpha}\right) = E((\rho_{\alpha}\varphi)(x)), \end{aligned}$$

and taking the limit over  $\alpha$  (once again using normality of  $E$ ) gives

$$(3.20) \quad \rho(E\varphi) = E(\rho\varphi).$$

Now fix  $\varphi \in \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ , and a projection  $e \in \mathcal{N}$ , and define  $b = (\rho\varphi)(n(1-e))e$ . Then define  $\psi \in \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$  by

$$(3.21) \quad \psi(x) = b^*\varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Since  $E\psi \in \text{CB}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$ ,  $\rho(E\psi)$  is a right  $\mathcal{N}$ -module map, and so also is  $E(\rho\psi)$  by (3.20). Hence

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} E(b^*\rho\varphi(x(1-e))e) &= (E\rho)(b^*\varphi)(x(1-e))e = (E\rho\psi)(x(1-e))e \\ &= (E\rho\psi)(x(1-e))e = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ . Putting  $x = n$  in (3.22) gives  $E(b^*b) = 0$ , and so we conclude that  $b = 0$  from the inequalities

$$0 \leq b^*b \leq c^{-1}E(b^*b) = 0.$$

Since  $n$  and  $e$  were arbitrary, it follows that  $(\rho\varphi)(x(1-e))e = 0$  for  $x \in \mathcal{N}$  and any projection  $e \in \mathcal{N}$ . Thus

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} (\rho\varphi)(x)e - \rho\varphi(xe) &= \rho\varphi(xe + x(1-e))e - \rho\varphi(xe) = \rho\varphi(xe)e - \rho\varphi(xe) \\ &= -\rho\varphi(xe)(1-e) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because  $1-e$  is also a projection in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}$  is the norm closed span of its projections, right  $\mathcal{N}$ -modularity of  $\rho\varphi$  follows from (3.23). ■

The next result provides a method of estimating norms in matrix algebras over a von Neumann algebra.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  be an inclusion of type  $\text{II}_1$  factors of finite index, and suppose that  $\mathcal{N}$  has a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there exists a constant  $c (= 2[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^2)$  such that, for  $X \in M_k(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$\|X\| \leq c \sup\{\|RX\| : R \in \text{Row}_k(\mathcal{A}), \|R\| \leq 1\}.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $X \in M_k(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $\|X\| = 1$ . By Theorem 2.1 there exist matrices  $Y_i \in M_k(\mathcal{N})$  such that

$$(3.24) \quad X = Y_1W_1 + \cdots + Y_nW_n + Y_{n+1}PW_{n+1}$$

where  $W_i$  is the  $k \times k$  diagonal matrix with  $m_i$  on the diagonal and  $P$  is the  $k \times k$  diagonal matrix with  $p$  on the diagonal. By the triangle inequality, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\|Y_1W_1\| \geq 1/(n+1)$  (the case  $\|Y_{n+1}PW_{n+1}\| \geq 1/(n+1)$  is similar). Since  $\|m_1\| \leq [\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^{1/2}$ , it follows that

$$\|Y_1\| \geq [\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^{-1/2}(n+1)^{-1}.$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we may find, by [27], Proposition 4.1,  $R \in \text{Row}_k(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\|R\| = 1$ , such that

$$(3.25) \quad \|RY_1\| \geq (1-\varepsilon)\|Y_1\|.$$

Then multiply (3.24) on the left by  $R$ , on the right by  $W_1^*$ , and apply

$$E_{\mathcal{N} \otimes M_k} = E_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes I_k$$

to obtain

$$(3.26) \quad RY_1 = E_{\mathcal{N} \otimes M_k}(RXW_1^*).$$

Since  $E_{\mathcal{N} \otimes M_k}$  is completely positive and unital, it follows that

$$(3.27) \quad \|RY_1\| \leq \|RXW_1^*\| \leq \|RX\| \|W_1^*\| = \|RX\| \|m_1\| \leq \|RX\| [\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^{1/2}.$$

The previous estimates then combine to give

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \|RX\| &\geq [\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^{-1/2} \|RY_1\| \geq [\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^{-1/2} (1-\varepsilon) \|Y_1\| \\ &\geq (1-\varepsilon) [\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^{-1} (n+1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, and  $n+1 \leq 2[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]$ , the result follows from (3.28), where  $c$  may be taken to be  $2[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^2$ . ■

The next result appears to be very specialized, but will be needed in the next section.

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  be an inclusion of type  $\text{II}_1$  factors with finite index, where  $\mathcal{N}$  has a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mu: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be row bounded and suppose that there is a  $*$ -automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that*

$$(3.29) \quad a\mu(x) = \mu(\alpha(a)x), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{N}.$$

*Then  $\mu$  is completely bounded and*

$$(3.30) \quad \|\mu\|_{\text{cb}} \leq (2[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^2)\|\mu\|_{\text{r}}.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $k \geq 1$ , and consider  $X \in M_k(\mathcal{N})$ ,  $\|X\| = 1$ . The automorphism  $\alpha^{(k)}$  of  $M_k(\mathcal{A})$  maps  $\text{Row}_k(\mathcal{A})$  isometrically onto itself, and thus, by Theorem 3.4,

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mu^{(k)}(X)\| &\leq 2[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^2 \sup\{\|R\mu^{(k)}(X)\| : R \in \text{Row}_k(\mathcal{A}), \|R\| = 1\} \\ &= 2[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^2 \sup\{\|\mu^{(k)}(\alpha^{(k)}(R)X)\| : R \in \text{Row}_k(\mathcal{A}), \|R\| = 1\} \\ &\leq 2[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^2\|\mu\|_{\text{r}}, \end{aligned}$$

since the second supremum is calculated by applying  $\mu$  to rows. Since  $k \geq 1$  was arbitrary, we have established (3.30). ■

#### 4. THE MAIN RESULTS

For the first result we will assume that  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  is an inclusion of type  $\text{II}_1$  factors of finite index represented on the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \text{tr})$  which we assume to be separable (or equivalently,  $\mathcal{N}$  has separable predual). We also assume that  $\mathcal{N}$  has a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , whereupon we can find a hyperfinite factor  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{R}' \cap \mathcal{N} = \mathbb{C}1$  ([20]). Christensen ([1]) has shown that  $H^1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$  for any inclusion  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  of finite von Neumann algebras. Thus our examination of  $H^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$  can be restricted to  $n \geq 2$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  be an inclusion of type  $\text{II}_1$  factors of finite index on a separable Hilbert space and suppose that  $\mathcal{N}$  has a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $H^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  be the group of normalizing unitaries for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{U}) = \text{Span}(\mathcal{U})$ , and the norm closure of  $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{U})$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra denoted by  $C^*(\mathcal{U})$ . Now fix  $n \geq 2$ . As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, [27], it suffices to consider an  $\mathcal{R}$ -multimodular separately normal cocycle  $\theta : \mathcal{N}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  and show that its restriction to  $C^*(\mathcal{U})$  is a coboundary.

Fix  $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \in \mathcal{U}$ , and define  $\mu : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  by

$$(4.1) \quad \mu(x) = \theta(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, x), \quad x \in \mathcal{N}.$$

We first show that  $\mu$  is completely bounded. Since  $\mu$  is normal and right  $\mathcal{R}$ -modular, it follows from [27], Proposition 4.2, that  $\mu$  is row bounded and

$$(4.2) \quad \|\mu\| \leq \|\mu\|_{\text{r}} \leq \sqrt{2}\|\mu\|.$$



Let  $\beta_i$  be the  $*$ -automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  defined by

$$(4.3) \quad \beta_i(x) = u_i^* x u_i, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

and define

$$(4.4) \quad \alpha_j = \beta_j \beta_{j-1} \cdots \beta_2 \beta_1 \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1.$$

The  $\mathcal{A}$ -modularity of  $\theta$  implies that

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} a\mu(x) &= a\theta(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, x) = \theta(au_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, x) \\ &= \theta(u_1 \beta_1(a), u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}, x) = \theta(u_1, \beta_1(a)u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}, x), \end{aligned}$$

and repetition of this argument in (4.5) leads to

$$(4.6) \quad a\mu(x) = \mu(\alpha_{n-1}(a)x), \quad x \in \mathcal{N}, a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It then follows from (4.6) and Corollary 3.5 that  $\mu$  is completely bounded and

$$(4.7) \quad \|\mu\|_{\text{cb}} \leq (2[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^2) \|\mu\|_r \leq (2\sqrt{2}[\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{N}]^2) \|\mu\|.$$

These inequalities are a consequence of (3.30) and (4.2). Thus  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$  (see Theorem 3.2).

By linearity, all maps of the form

$$(4.8) \quad x \mapsto \theta(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, x)$$

for  $y_i \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{U})$  lie in  $\mathcal{S}$ , and the same is true for  $y_i \in C^*(\mathcal{U})$  since  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\|\cdot\|_r$ -closed and  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_r$  are equivalent on these maps. The modular properties of  $\mathcal{S}$  show that every map (with  $x$  as the variable) in the cocycle equation

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} y_1 \theta(y_2, \dots, y_n, x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \theta(y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_i y_{i+1}, y_{i+2}, \dots, y_n, x) \\ + (-1)^n \theta(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n x) + (-1)^{n+1} \theta(y_1, \dots, y_n) x = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $y_i \in C^*(\mathcal{U})$ , lies in  $\mathcal{S}$  and so  $\rho$  may be applied to (4.9). By Theorem 3.2, there exists an element  $\psi(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{M}$  such that

$$(4.10) \quad \rho(\theta(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, x)) = \psi(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})x, \quad x \in \mathcal{N}$$

and the estimate

$$(4.11) \quad \|\psi(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|y_1\| \cdots \|y_{n-1}\|$$

is immediate from (3.12) and (4.2). The  $(n-1)$ -linearity of  $\psi$  results from the  $n$ -linearity of  $\theta$  and the linearity of  $\rho$ . Using Theorem 3.2 once more,  $\rho$  transforms (4.9) to

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} y_1 \psi(y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})x + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \psi(y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_i y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n) x \\ + (-1)^n \psi(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) y_n x + (-1)^{n+1} \theta(y_1, \dots, y_n) x = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $y_i \in C^*(\mathcal{U})$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ . Setting  $x = 1$  in (4.12) shows that the restriction of  $\theta$  to  $C^*(\mathcal{U})$  is the coboundary  $\partial((-1)^n \psi)$ , completing the proof. ■

We recall from [25] that the completely bounded cohomology groups  $H_{\text{cb}}^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$  are defined just as are  $H^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$ , but with the added requirement that all multilinear maps be completely bounded.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  be a finite index inclusion of von Neumann algebras. Then  $H_{\text{cb}}^n(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* This is identical to the last step in the preceding proof, using the projection  $\rho$  of Theorem 3.3. ■

*Partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.*

#### REFERENCES

1. E. CHRISTENSEN, Extension of derivations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **27**(1978), 234–247.
2. E. CHRISTENSEN, E.G. EFFROS, A.M. SINCLAIR, Completely bounded multilinear maps and  $C^*$ -algebraic cohomology, *Invent. Math.* **90**(1987), 279–296.
3. E. CHRISTENSEN, F. POP, A.M. SINCLAIR, R.R. SMITH, On the cohomology groups of certain finite von Neumann algebras, *Math. Ann.* **307**(1997), 71–92.
4. E. CHRISTENSEN, A.M. SINCLAIR, Representations of completely bounded multilinear operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **72**(1987), 151–181.
5. E. CHRISTENSEN, A.M. SINCLAIR, Module mappings into von Neumann algebras and injectivity, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **71**(1995), 618–640.
6. E. CHRISTENSEN, A.M. SINCLAIR, A cohomological characterization of approximately finite-dimensional von Neumann algebras, in *Operator algebras and quantum field theory*, (Rome, 1996), Internat. Press, Cambridge 1997, pp. 323–328.
7. E. CHRISTENSEN, A.M. SINCLAIR, On the Hochschild cohomology for von Neumann algebras, preprint.
8. A. CONNES, On the cohomology of operator algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **28**(1978), 248–253.
9. J. FELDMAN, C.C. MOORE, Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology and von Neumann algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **234**(1977), 289–361.
10. B.E. JOHNSON, Cohomology in Banach algebras, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127**(1972), 1–96.
11. B.E. JOHNSON, A class of  $\text{II}_1$  factors without property  $P$  but with zero second cohomology, *Ark. Math.* **12**(1974), 153–159.
12. B.E. JOHNSON, R.V. KADISON, J.R. RINGROSE, Cohomology of operator algebras. III: Reduction to normal cohomology, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **100**(1972), 73–96.
13. V.F.R. JONES, *Subfactors and knots*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. Math., vol. 80, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
14. R.V. KADISON, Derivations of operator algebras, *Ann. Math.* **83**(1966), 280–293.
15. R.V. KADISON, J.R. RINGROSE, Cohomology of operator algebras. I: Type I von Neumann algebras, *Acta Math.* **126**(1971), 227–243.
16. R.V. KADISON, J.R. RINGROSE, Cohomology of operator algebras. II: Extended cobounding and the hyperfinite case, *Ark. Math.* **9**(1971), 55–63.
17. E. KIRCHBERG, The derivation problem and the similarity problem are equivalent, *J. Operator Theory* **36**(1996), 59–62.
18. M. PIMSNER, S. POPA, Entropy and index for subfactors, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **19**(1986), 57–106.

19. F. POP, R.R. SMITH, Cohomology for certain finite factors, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **26**(1994), 303–308.
20. S. POPA, On a problem of R.V. Kadison on maximal abelian  $*$ -subalgebras in factors, *Invent. Math.* **65**(1981), 269–281.
21. S. POPA, Notes on Cartan subalgebras in type  $II_1$  factors, *Math. Scand.* **57**(1985), 171–188.
22. S. POPA, *Classification of subfactors and their endomorphisms*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. Math., vol. 86, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
23. J.R. RINGROSE, Cohomology of operator algebras, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 247, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1972, pp. 355–433.
24. S. SAKAI, Derivations of  $W^*$ -algebras, *Ann. Math.* **83**(1966), 273–279.
25. A.M. SINCLAIR, R.R. SMITH, *Hochschild cohomology of von Neumann algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 203, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1995.
26. A.M. SINCLAIR, R.R. SMITH, Hochschild cohomology for von Neumann algebras with Cartan subalgebras, *Amer. J. Math.* **120**(1998), 1043–1057.
27. A.M. SINCLAIR, R.R. SMITH, The Hochschild cohomology problem for von Neumann algebras, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **95**(1998), 3376–3379.
28. D. VOICULESCU, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory. III: The absence of Cartan subalgebras, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **6**(1996), 172–199.

ALLAN M. SINCLAIR  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Edinburgh  
Edinburgh EH9 3JZ  
SCOTLAND

E-mail: allan@maths.ed.ac.uk

ROGER R. SMITH  
Department of Mathematics  
Texas A&M University  
College Station, TX 77843  
USA

E-mail: rsmith@math.tamu.edu

Received July 1, 1998.