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#### Abstract

We extend Sakai's characterization of von Neumann algebras to the context of Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules. If $A, B$ are $C^{*}$-algebras and $X$ is a full Hilbert $A$ - $B$-bimodule possessing a predual such that left, respectively right, multiplications are weak*-continuous, then $\mathrm{M}(A)$ and $\mathrm{M}(B)$ are $W^{*}$-algebras, the predual is unique, and $X$ is selfdual in the sense of Paschke. For unital $A, B$ the above continuity requirement is automatic.

We determine the dual Banach space $X^{*}$ of a Hilbert $A$ - $B$-bimodule $X$ and show that Paschke's selfdual completion of $X$ is isomorphic to the bidual $X^{* *}$, which is a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module in a natural way. We conclude with a new approach to multipliers of Hilbert $C^{*}$-bimodules.
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In [8] Paschke observed that the $C^{*}$-algebra of adjointable operators $\mathcal{L}(E)$ on a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module $E$ over a von Neumann algebra need not be a von Neumann algebra itself. However, he showed that, if one asks $E$ to be selfdual in a sense analogous to the selfduality of Hilbert spaces, then $\mathcal{L}(E)$ is a von Neumann algebra. Selfdual Hilbert $C^{*}$-module have henceforth been used in the theory of Morita equivalence ([10]) and in index theory ([1]). In the present paper, we shall give a new approach to these modules in the spirit of Sakai's abstract characterization of von Neumann algebras ([11], [12]).

In Section 1 we shall recall some basic concepts and introduce our definition of Hilbert $W^{*}$-modules along with some examples. In particular, we shall see that the modules appearing in Rieffel's theory of Morita equivalence of von Neumann algebras are Hilbert $W^{*}$-modules, yielding a new proof of their selfduality which does not rely on an orthonormalization procedure. We shall also see that every correspondence in the sense of Connes ([3]) gives rise to a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module.

Section 2 contains our main result, Theorem 2.6, which states that every Hilbert $W^{*}$-module $X$ over $C^{*}$-algebras $A$ and $B$ is selfdual, the multiplier algebras $\mathrm{M}(A), \mathrm{M}(B)$ are von Neumann algebras, the predual is unique, and the module operations are separately weak*-continuous. To achieve this, we characterize in Lemma 2.2 those bounded $B$-linear mappings $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ which can be obtained as $\rho=(\xi \mid \cdot)_{B}$ for an appropriate element $\xi \in X$. This leads to an elementary proof of the selfduality of finitely generated Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules (Proposition 2.3 and Remark 2.4). A converse of Theorem 2.6 is provided by Proposition 2.9, which gives various equivalent conditions for a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over a von Neumann algebra to be a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module, simplifying some arguments in [5] and [1].

In Section 3, we shall show that the topological dual $X^{*}$ of a Hilbert $B$ module $X$ is isomorphic to the projective tensor product of the dual $B^{*}$ with $X$ (or rather the adjoint module $X^{o}$ ), which implies that Paschke's selfdual completion of $X$ is isomorphic to the enveloping Hilbert $W^{*}$-module of Section 1. Moreover, we shall see that the bounded module mappings into the coefficient $C^{*}$-algebras, extensively used in Section 2, can be thought of as one-sided multipliers of $X$ and realized in $X^{* *}$, which permits to define the multiplier bimodule of $X$ as the intersection of left and right multipliers, providing a new approach to the multiplier bimodule of Echterhoff and Raeburn ([4]).

Conventions. We shall denote the topological dual of a Banach space $V$ by $V^{*}$. Whenever we apply a bilinear operation to sets, we shall mean the linear span of all possible products.

## 1. DEFINITIONS AND EXAMPLES

A Hilbert $A$ - $B$-bimodule ( $[2]$ ), or simply a Hilbert $C^{*}$-bimodule, is an $A$ - $B$-bimodule $X$ together with inner products ${ }_{A}(\cdot \mid \cdot): X \times X \rightarrow A$, and $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_{B}: X \times X \rightarrow B$ such that

$$
{ }_{A}(\xi \mid \eta) \zeta=\xi(\eta \mid \zeta)_{B}, \quad \text { for all } \xi, \eta, \zeta \in X
$$

We also require that $X$ is complete with respect to the norm $\|\xi\|:=\left\|(\xi \mid \xi)_{B}\right\|$, $\xi \in X$, and note that $\left\|(\xi \mid \xi)_{B}\right\|=\left\|_{A}(\xi \mid \xi)\right\|$ for all $\xi \in X([2])$. By convention ${ }_{A}(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ is assumed to be $A$-linear in its first argument, whereas $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_{B}$ is $B$-linear in its second argument. We will often assume that $X$ is full, i.e., the closed linear span of ${ }_{A}(X \mid X)$, and $(X \mid X)_{B}$ is all of $A$, and $B$, respectively. Full Hilbert $A$ - $B$ bimodules will be referred to as equivalence bimodules.

A right $B$-module $E$ is a Hilbert $B$-module, or Hilbert $C^{*}$-module, if it possesses a $B$-valued inner product and is complete with respect to the corresponding norm ([6], [13]). Every such module gives rise to a Hilbert $A$ - $B$-bimodule with $A=\mathcal{K}(E)$, the $C^{*}$-algebra of generalized compact operators, which is generated by the "rank one" operators $\theta_{\xi, \eta}, \xi, \eta \in E$ where $\theta_{\xi, \eta}(\zeta)=\xi(\eta \mid \zeta)_{B}, \zeta \in E$. The left handed inner product is then defined by $A_{A}(\xi \mid \eta):=\theta_{\xi, \eta}, \xi, \eta \in E$. Replacing $B$ by the closed ideal generated by $(E \mid E)_{B}$, we obtain an equivalence bimodule. In the present article, we shall thus consider Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules as special cases of equivalence bimodules.
1.1. Definition. A Hilbert $W^{*}$-module over $A$ and $B$ is an equivalence bimodule $X$ possessing a predual, i.e., $X=V^{*}$, where $V^{*}$ is the topological dual of a Banach space $V$, such that the mappings $\xi \mapsto a \xi$ and $\xi \mapsto \xi b$ are weak*continuous for all $a \in A, b \in B$.
1.2. Remark. It will be useful to have the following reformulation of the continuity requirements of Definition 1.1. The topological dual $X^{*}$ becomes a Banach $B$ - $A$-module by setting $b f a:=f(a \cdot b), a \in A, b \in B$. Identifying $V$ with its canonical image in $X^{*}$, the above continuity requirements are equivalent to asking $V$ to be a $B-A$-submodule of $X^{*}$.

We shall tacitly use the identification $V \subseteq X^{*}$, as well as the bimodule structure of $V$.

In the following examples the $C^{*}$-algebras $A$ and $B$ come naturally embedded in von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$, respectively. We shall thus also speak of Hilbert $W^{*}$-modules over $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$. Of course, the $C^{*}$-algebras are easily recovered as the closed linear span of the inner products. In general, $A$ and $B$ need not coincide with $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$. This is easily seen by considering an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, which is a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module over $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathbb{C}$.
1.3. Concrete Hilbert $W^{*}$-modules. We let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $X \subseteq$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ a weakly closed subspace such that $X X^{*} X \subseteq X$. Then $X$ becomes a concrete Hilbert $W^{*}$-module over $A:=\overline{X X^{*}}, B:=\overline{X^{*} X}$, putting $a \cdot \xi \cdot b:=a \xi b$, and ${ }_{A}(\xi \mid \eta):=\xi \eta^{*},(\xi \mid \eta)_{B}:=\xi^{*} \eta$ for all $a \in A, b \in B, \xi, \eta \in X$. We shall see below (Remark 2.7) that every Hilbert $W^{*}$-module is isomorphic to a concrete one.

For a given Hilbert $A$ - $B$-bimodule $X$, we shall need its adjoint $X^{o}:=\left\{\xi^{o}:\right.$ $\xi \in X\}$ which becomes a Hilbert $B$ - $A$-module upon setting

$$
\left(\xi^{o} \mid \eta^{o}\right)_{A}:={ }_{A}(\xi \mid \eta), \quad{ }_{B}\left(\xi^{o} \mid \eta^{o}\right):=(\xi \mid \eta)_{B}, \quad \text { and } \quad b \xi^{o} a:=\left(a^{*} \xi b^{*}\right)^{o}
$$

as well as its linking algebra $L([2])$, which is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & \xi \\
\eta^{o} & b
\end{array}\right)\right. & \left.: a \in A, b \in B, \xi \in X, \eta^{o} \in X^{o}\right\} \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & \xi_{1} \\
\eta_{1}^{o} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{2} & \xi_{2} \\
\eta_{2}^{o} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} a_{2}+A_{A}\left(\xi_{1} \mid \eta_{2}\right) & a_{1} \xi_{2}+\xi_{1} b_{2} \\
\eta_{1}^{o} a_{2}+b_{1} \eta_{2}^{o} & \left(\eta_{1} \mid \xi_{2}\right)_{B}+b_{1} b_{2}
\end{array}\right), \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & \xi \\
\eta^{o} & b
\end{array}\right)^{*} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{*} & \eta \\
\xi^{o} & b^{*}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

There is a unique norm turning $L$ into a $C^{*}$-algebra ([2]). Setting $p:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right) \in$ $\mathrm{M}(L)$, and $q:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{M}(L)$, we obtain $A \cong p L p, B \cong q L q$, and $L \cong p L q$.

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra and $p, q \in \mathcal{M}$ are projections, then $p \mathcal{M} q$ is a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module over $p \mathcal{M} p, q \mathcal{M} q$, using the product of $\mathcal{M}$ and putting $p \mathcal{M} p(\xi \mid \eta):=\xi \eta^{*},(\xi \mid \eta)_{q \mathcal{M} q}:=\xi^{*} \eta$ for $\xi, \eta \in p \mathcal{M} q$. This observation is used in the following examples.
1.4. The enveloping Hilbert $W^{*}$-module. Let $X$ be a Hilbert $A$ - $B$-bimodule. Then its Banach space bidual $X^{* *}$ is a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module over the enveloping von Neumann algebras $A^{* *}, B^{* *}$ in a natural way. Indeed, embedding $X$ in its linking algebra $L$, it is easy to see that $A^{* *} \cong p L^{* *} p, B^{* *} \cong q L^{* *} q$, and $X^{* *} \cong p L^{* *} q$, where $p, q \in \mathrm{M}(L)$ are the canonical projections. So that $X^{* *}$ inherits its structure of a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module from $L^{* *}$.

In the following, we will consider $X$ as a subset of $X^{* *}$. We note that the module operations of $X^{* *}$ are the unique separately weak*-continuous extensions of those of $X$.
1.5. Intertwiners and Morita equivalence. For two representations $\pi_{i}$ : $A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right), i \in\{1,2\}$ of a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ the space of intertwiners

$$
X=\left\{T \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}, \mathcal{H}_{1}\right): T \pi_{2}(a)=\pi_{1}(a) T \text { for all } a \in A\right\}
$$

is a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module over the commutants $\pi_{1}(A)^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{2}(A)^{\prime}$. Indeed, considering the direct sum representation $\pi_{1} \oplus \pi_{2}: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$ with canonical projections $p_{1}, p_{2} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$, and setting $L:=\left(\pi_{1} \oplus \pi_{2}\right)(A)^{\prime}$, we obtain $\pi_{1}(A)^{\prime} \cong p_{1} L p_{1}, \pi_{2}(A)^{\prime} \cong p_{2} L p_{2}$, and $X \cong p_{1} L p_{2}$. The so obtained Hilbert $W^{*}$-module is a fundamental device in the theory of Morita equivalence of von Neumann algebras ([10]).
1.6. Correspondences. We let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ be von Neumann algebras. A correspondence in the sense of Connes ([3]) is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which is a normal $\mathcal{M}-\mathcal{N}$ bimodule, or equivalently, which possesses a normal representation of $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}^{\text {opp }}$, where $\mathcal{N}^{\text {opp }}$ is the opposite algebra of $\mathcal{N}$. Every correspondence $\mathcal{H}$ gives rise to a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module by comparing it to the identity correspondence over $\mathcal{N}$, which is simply given by the standard Hilbert space $L^{2}(\mathcal{N})$ for $\mathcal{N}$ and the module multiplications $a \xi b:=a J b^{*} J \xi, a, b \in \mathcal{N}, \xi \in L^{2}(\mathcal{N})$, where $J$ is Tomita's involution. Indeed, the space of bounded right module operators

$$
X:=\left\{T \in \mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}(\mathcal{N}), \mathcal{H}\right): T(\xi a)=T(\xi) a, a \in \mathcal{N}, \xi \in L^{2}(\mathcal{N})\right\}
$$

is a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module, which is most easily seen by letting $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ denote the right representations of $\mathcal{N}^{\text {opp }}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ and $L^{2}(\mathcal{N})$, respectively, and observing that $X$ is a special case of the previous example with $A=\mathcal{N}^{\text {opp }}$. Hence, $X$ is a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module over $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\text {opp }}\right)^{\prime} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ and $\pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\text {opp }}\right)^{\prime} \cong \mathcal{N}$.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Paschke calls a Hilbert $B$-module $E$ selfdual ([8]) if for every bounded $B$-linear mapping $\rho: E \rightarrow B$ there is an element $\eta \in E$ such that $\rho=(\eta \mid \cdot)_{B}$. This terminology is somewhat misleading since it suggests that the space of all bounded $B$-linear mappings $\mathcal{B}_{B}(X, B)$ is a dual of $E$. In general, however, there is no natural way of constructing a $B$-valued inner product on $\mathcal{B}_{B}(X, B)$. So that, at least in the category of Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules, $\mathcal{B}_{B}(X, B)$ is not a dual of $E$. However, we shall stick to Paschke's terminology.

A Hilbert $A$ - $B$-module $X$ is said to be $B$-selfdual if it is selfdual as a Hilbert $B$-module. Correspondingly, we shall say that $X$ is $A$-selfdual if, for every bounded $A$-linear mapping $\lambda: X \rightarrow A$, there is an element $\eta \in X$ such that $\lambda={ }_{A}(\cdot \mid \eta)$.
2.1. Definition. A bounded $B$-linear mapping $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ is said to vanish at infinity if, for every approximate identity $\left\{u_{\alpha}\right\} \subseteq A$,

$$
\left\|\rho\left(u_{\alpha} \cdot\right)-\rho\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

with respect to the operator norm.
If $\left\{u_{\alpha}\right\} \subseteq A$ is an approximate unit, then $\left\|u_{\alpha} \xi-\xi\right\| \rightarrow 0$ for every $\xi \in X$. In the proof of the following lemma, we shall use a particular approximate unit $\left\{u_{\alpha}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\alpha}} A\left(\eta_{i}^{\alpha} \mid \eta_{i}^{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ where $\left\{\eta_{i}^{\alpha}\right\} \subseteq X$ (cf. [2]).
2.2. Lemma. For a bounded B-linear mapping $\rho: X \rightarrow B$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\rho$ vanishes at infinity;
(ii) there is $\eta \in X$ such that $\rho=(\eta \mid \cdot)_{B}$.

Proof. We assume that $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ vanishes at infinity. Putting $\eta_{\alpha}=$ $\sum_{i} \eta_{i}^{\alpha} \rho\left(\eta_{i}^{\alpha}\right)^{*}$, where $\left\{\eta_{i}^{\alpha}\right\} \subseteq X$ determines an approximate identity for $A$, we find that, for every $\xi \in X$,

$$
\left(\eta_{\alpha} \mid \xi\right)_{B}=\sum \rho\left(\eta_{i}^{\alpha}\right)\left(\eta_{i}^{\alpha} \mid \xi\right)_{B}=\rho\left(\sum \eta_{i}^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{i}^{\alpha} \mid \xi\right)_{B}\right)=\rho\left(\sum{ }_{A}\left(\eta_{i}^{\alpha} \mid \eta_{i}^{\alpha}\right) \xi\right)
$$

Thus, by assumption, $\left(\eta_{\alpha} \mid \cdot\right)_{B} \rightarrow \rho$ in norm. But as $\left\|\left(\eta_{\alpha} \mid \cdot\right)_{B}\right\|=\left\|\eta_{\alpha}\right\|$, we see that $\left\{\eta_{\alpha}\right\}$ is a Cauchy-net. Letting $\eta=\lim \eta_{\alpha}$, we thus obtain $\rho=(\eta \mid \cdot)_{B}$.

For the reverse implication, we observe that $\left(\eta \mid u_{\alpha} \cdot\right)_{B}=\left(u_{\alpha} \eta \mid \cdot\right)_{B}$ converges uniformly to $(\eta \mid \cdot)_{B}$ since $\left\|u_{\alpha} \eta-\eta\right\| \rightarrow 0$.

A Hilbert $A$ - $B$-bimodule $X$ is said to be finitely generated as a right $B$ module if there is a finite set $\left\{\xi_{i}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \subseteq X$ such that $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} B$.
2.3. Proposition. Every Hilbert $A$-B-bimodule which is finitely generated as a right $B$-module is $B$-selfdual.

Proof. We have to show, by Lemma 2.2, that every bounded $B$-linear mapping $\rho: X \rightarrow B$ vanishes at infinity. By assumption, there is $\left\{\xi_{i}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \subseteq X$ such that the bounded $B$-linear mapping

$$
P: B^{n} \rightarrow X, \quad\left(b_{i}\right) \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} b_{i}
$$

is surjective, hence, open by the open mapping theorem. There is thus a real constant $\gamma>0$ such that, for every $\xi \in X$, there is $\left(b_{i}\right) \in B^{n}$ with $P\left(\left(b_{i}\right)\right)=\xi$ and $\left\|\left(b_{i}\right)\right\| \leqslant \gamma\|\xi\|$. The latter condition implies that $\left\|b_{i}\right\| \leqslant \gamma\|\xi\|, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. For an approximate identity $\left\{u_{\alpha}\right\} \subseteq A$ and $\xi=P\left(\left(b_{i}\right)\right)=\sum \xi_{i} b_{i} \in X$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\rho(\xi)-\rho\left(u_{\alpha} \xi\right)\right\| & =\left\|\rho\left(\sum \xi_{i} b_{i}-\sum u_{\alpha} \xi_{i} b_{i}\right)\right\|=\left\|\sum \rho\left(\xi_{i}-u_{\alpha} \xi_{i}\right) b_{i}\right\| \\
& \leqslant \sum\|\rho\|\left\|\xi_{i}-u_{\alpha} \xi_{i}\right\|\left\|b_{i}\right\| \leqslant \gamma\|\xi\|\|\rho\| \sum\left\|\xi_{i}-u_{\alpha} \xi_{i}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\left\|\rho-\rho\left(\cdot u_{\alpha}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as desired.
2.4. Remark Using the adjoint $X^{o}$ of the Hilbert $A$ - $B$-bimodule $X$, it is clear that there are corresponding results for the left module structure of $X$. In particular, if $X$ is finitely generated as an $A$-module, then it is $A$-selfdual.

For Theorem 2.6 below, we need to recall the relationship between multipliers of $A, B$ and operators on an equivalence bimodule $X$ over $A$ and $B$.

We let $\mathrm{LM}(A)$, and $\mathrm{RM}(B)$, denote the Banach algebras of left, respectively right, multipliers of $A$, and $B([9])$. For every $a \in \mathrm{LM}(A)$ and approximate unit $\left\{u_{\alpha}\right\} \subseteq A$ it is easy to see that, for $\xi \in X,\left(\left(a u_{\alpha}\right) \xi\right)_{\alpha}$ is a Cauchy-net. We may thus define $\Lambda(a) \xi:=\lim \left(a u_{\alpha}\right) \xi$, which is independent of the choice of the approximate unit. This yields an isometric isomorphism $\Lambda: \operatorname{LM}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{B}(X)$, where $\mathcal{B}_{B}(X)=$ $\mathcal{B}_{B}(X, X)$ denotes the Banach algebra of bounded $B$-linear operators on $X$. The inverse of $\Lambda$ is obtained by observing that for $T \in \mathcal{B}_{B}(X)$, we have $T_{A}(\xi \mid \eta) \zeta=$ $T \xi(\eta \mid \zeta)_{B}={ }_{A}(T \xi \mid \eta) \zeta$. So that $T$ gives rise to a right centralizer of $A$.

The isomorphism $\Lambda$ takes the multiplier algebra $\mathrm{M}(A)$ onto the $C^{*}$-algebra of adjointable (with respect to $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_{B}$ ) operators $\mathcal{L}_{B}(X)$ (cf. [7]). Similarly, there is an isomorphism from $\operatorname{RM}(B)$ onto the Banach algebra of bounded $A$-linear mappings $\mathcal{B}_{A}(X)$, such that $\mathrm{M}(B)$ is mapped onto the $C^{*}$-algebra of adjointable (with respect to $A_{A}(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ ) operators $\mathcal{L}_{A}(X)$.
2.5. Lemma. Let $X$ be a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$. If $X$ possesses a predual such that all $(\cdot \mid \xi)_{\mathcal{M}}, \xi \in X$ are continuous on bounded subsets for the corresponding weak*-topologies, then $X$ is $\mathcal{M}$-selfdual.

Proof. We let $\rho: X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a bounded $\mathcal{M}$-linear mapping and choose an approximate identity $\left\{u_{\alpha}\right\} \subseteq A:=\mathcal{K}(X)$. Since, for fixed $\alpha, \rho\left(u_{\alpha} \cdot\right)$ vanishes at infinity, there is $\eta_{\alpha} \in X$ such that $\rho\left(u_{\alpha} \cdot\right)=\left(\eta_{\alpha} \mid \cdot\right)_{B}$. Moreover, $\left\|\eta_{\alpha}\right\|=$ $\left\|\rho\left(u_{\alpha} \cdot\right)\right\| \leqslant\|\rho\|$. Since the unit ball of $X$ is weak*-compact we can choose a subnet $\left\{\eta_{\alpha_{i}}\right\}$ with weak*-limit point $\eta \in X$. So that, for all $\xi \in X$,

$$
(\eta \mid \xi)_{B}=\lim _{i}\left(\eta_{\alpha_{i}} \mid \xi\right)_{B}=\lim _{i} \rho\left(u_{\alpha_{i}} \xi\right)=\rho(\xi)
$$

In what follows, we shall denote the projective tensor product of Banach spaces by $\widehat{\otimes}$. Its module version is obtained by an obvious quotient construction. We use the canonical identification $(X \widehat{\otimes} Y)^{*} \cong \mathcal{B}\left(X, Y^{*}\right)$, for Banach spaces $X, Y$.
2.6. Theorem. Let $X$ be a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module, and let $V$ denote a predual of $X$. Then $X$ is $A$-selfdual and $B$-selfdual. Moreover, $M(A)$ and $M(B)$ are $W^{*}$-algebras with preduals $X \widehat{\otimes}_{B} V$ and $V \widehat{\otimes}_{A} X$, respectively. The predual $V$ is unique, and the module multiplications and inner products are separately weak*continuous.

Proof. As $X \widehat{\otimes}_{B} V$ is a quotient of $X \widehat{\otimes} V$, there is an isometry $\Theta:\left(X \widehat{\otimes}_{B} V\right)^{*} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{B}\left(X, V^{*}\right)=\mathcal{B}(X)$ such that, for all $F \in\left(X \widehat{\otimes}_{B} V\right)^{*}$,

$$
f(\Theta(F) \xi)=F\left(\xi \otimes_{B} f\right), \quad f \in V, \xi \in X
$$

The range of $\Theta$ actually coincides with the subset $\mathcal{B}_{B}(X)$ of bounded $B$-linear mappings. Indeed, it is clear that $\operatorname{im} \Theta \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{B}(X)$. On the other hand, every $T \in \mathcal{B}_{B}(X)$ defines a functional $F: \xi \otimes_{B} f \mapsto f(T \xi)$ such that $\Theta(F)=T$.

Similarly, we obtain $\left(V \widehat{\otimes}_{A} X\right)^{*} \cong \mathcal{B}_{A}(X) \cong \mathrm{RM}(B)$ with duality given by

$$
\left\langle f \otimes_{A} \xi, b\right\rangle=f(\xi b), \quad f \in V, \xi \in X, b \in \operatorname{RM}(B)
$$

Hence, $\operatorname{RM}(B)$ is a dual Banach space and carries thus a weak*-topology. Now observe that $(\eta \mid \cdot)_{B}, \eta \in X$, is continuous on bounded subsets with respect to the corresponding weak*-topologies. In fact, for $\sum f_{i} \otimes_{A} \xi_{i} \in V \widehat{\otimes}_{A} X$, we have

$$
\left\langle\sum f_{i} \otimes_{A} \xi_{i},(\eta \mid \cdot)_{B}\right\rangle=\sum f_{i}\left(\xi_{i}(\eta \mid \cdot)_{B}\right)=\sum f_{i}\left(A_{A}\left(\xi_{i} \mid \eta\right) \cdot\right) \in V
$$

Hence, by the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma $2.5, X$ is $B$-selfdual. It follows as in Hilbert space theory, that every bounded $B$-linear mapping is adjointable. Consequently, $\mathrm{M}(A) \cong \mathcal{L}_{B}(X)=\mathcal{B}_{B}(X)$ is a $W^{*}$-algebra.

Analogously, one obtains that $X$ is $A$-selfdual and $\left(V \widehat{\otimes}_{A} X\right)^{*} \cong \mathrm{M}(B)$.
For the last assertion, we observe that the multiplier algebra $\mathrm{M}(L)$ of the linking algebra $L$ is isomorphic to the Banach space dual of

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X \widehat{\otimes}_{B} V & V \\
V & V \widehat{\otimes}_{B} X
\end{array}\right)
$$

and hence a $W^{*}$-algebra. So that the remaining assertions follow from the wellknown theorems of Sakai.
2.7. Remark. Let $X$ be a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module and $L$ its linking algebra. We have seen in the proof of Theorem 2.6 that $\mathcal{M}=\mathrm{M}(L)$ is a $W^{*}$-algebra. Hence, every Hilbert $W^{*}$-module is a corner of a $W^{*}$-algebra.

Every faithful normal representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{M}(L)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ yields a realization of $X, \pi(X)$, which obviously satisfies $\pi(X) \pi(X)^{*} \pi(X) \subseteq \pi(X)$. So that $X$ is isomorphic to a concrete Hilbert $W^{*}$-module.
2.8. Theorem. Let $X$ be an equivalence bimodule over unital $C^{*}$-algebras $A$ and $B$. If $X$ possesses a predual, then it is a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module and $A, B$ are von Neumann algebras.

Proof. By our assumtions, we have that $\operatorname{LM}(A)=A$ and $\operatorname{RM}(B)=B$. But we saw in the proof of Theorem 2.6 without using the continuity requirements of

Definition 1.1, that $\operatorname{LM}(A)$, and $\operatorname{RM}(B)$, are dual Banach spaces. Hence, $A$ and $B$ are von Neumann algebras.

Continuing as in the final paragraph of the proof of Theorem 2.6, we see that the linking algebra $L$ of $X$ is a $W^{*}$-algebra and $A, B, X$ are corners in $L$. The weak*-continuity of $a \in A, b \in B$ as operators on $X$ now follows from the corresponding theorems of Sakai.

Suppose we are given a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over a von Neumann algebra. We may ask for further conditions which imply that it is a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module. The following proposition gives three such conditions. Its proof simplifies some arguments in [1] and [5], where the equivalence of conditions two and three is also proved.
2.9. Proposition. For a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module $X$ over the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $X$ possesses a predual $V$ such that all $(\cdot \mid \xi)_{\mathcal{M}}, \xi \in X$ are continuous on bounded subsets for the corresponding weak*-topologies;
(ii) $X$ is $\mathcal{M}$-selfdual;
(iii) the unit ball of $X$ is complete with respect to the locally convex topology induced by the family of seminorms

$$
N=\left\{\left|\varphi\left((\cdot \mid \xi)_{\mathcal{M}}\right)\right|: \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}, \xi \in X\right\}
$$

(iv) $X$ is a Hilbert $W^{*}$-module.

Proof. The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is Lemma 2.5.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let $\left\{\eta_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a $N$-Cauchy net in the unit ball of $X$. For every $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}$ and $\xi \in X$, the net $\left\{\varphi\left(\left(\eta_{\alpha} \mid \xi\right)_{\mathcal{M}}\right)\right\}$ is Cauchy and bounded by $\|\xi\|\|\varphi\|$. Hence $\varphi \mapsto \lim \varphi\left(\left(\eta_{\alpha} \mid \xi\right)_{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ defines a bounded functional on $\mathcal{M}_{*}$, and there is $\rho(\xi) \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathrm{w}^{*}-\lim \left(\eta_{\alpha} \mid \xi\right)_{\mathcal{M}}=\rho(\xi)$ and $\|\rho(\xi)\| \leqslant\|\xi\|$. Clearly, $\rho$ is $\mathcal{M}$ linear and by assumption there is $\eta \in X$ with $\rho(\xi)=(\eta \mid \xi)_{\mathcal{M}}$. It follows that $N-\lim \eta_{\alpha}=\eta$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Let $V$ denote the norm closure of the linear span of $\left\{\overline{\varphi\left((\cdot \mid \xi)_{\mathcal{M}}\right)}\right.$ : $\left.\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}, \xi \in X\right\}$ in $X^{*}$. The unit ball of $X$ is still complete with respect to the weak topology induced by $V$. Note that $V$ is a separating Banach space of functionals for $X$. We may thus consider the canonical embedding of $X$ into $V^{*}$. This embedding is a homeomorphism with respect to the topologies induced by $V$, and the image of $X$ is weak*-dense by the Hahn-Banach theorem. Consequently, the unit ball of $X$ is weak*-complete in $V^{*}$ and therefore weak*-closed. So is all of $X$ by the Krein-Smulian theorem. Hence, $X=V^{*}$.

The continuity assertions follow immediately, taking $f=\sum \overline{\varphi_{i}\left(\left(\cdot \mid \xi_{i}\right)_{\mathcal{M}}\right)}$ and $a \in \mathcal{L}(X), b \in \mathcal{M}$, from

$$
\begin{aligned}
b f a & =\sum \overline{\left.\varphi_{i}\left((a \cdot b) \mid \eta_{i}\right)_{\mathcal{M}}\right)}=\sum \overline{\varphi_{i}\left(\left(b^{*}\left(\cdot \mid a^{*} \eta\right)_{\mathcal{M}}\right)\right.} \in V \quad \text { and } \\
\|b f a\| & =\|f(a \cdot b)\| \leqslant\|a\|\|b\|\|f\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining implication (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is part of Theorem 2.6.

## 3. THE ENVELOPING HILBERT $C^{*}$-MODULE AND MULTIPLIERS

For every $\varphi \in B^{*}$ and $\xi \in X, \varphi\left((\xi \mid \cdot)_{B}\right)$ yields a continuous functional on $X$, whose norm is bounded by $\|\varphi\|\|\xi\|$. Considering $B^{*}$ as a $B$-bimodule, we thus obtain a bounded homomorphism of $B$ - $A$-modules $\Theta: B^{*} \widehat{\otimes}_{B} X^{o} \rightarrow X^{o}, \varphi \otimes \xi^{o} \mapsto$ $\varphi\left((\xi \mid \cdot)_{B}\right)$, where the bimodule structure of $X^{o}$ is given by $b \Phi a:=\Phi(a \cdot b), \Phi \in$ $X^{o}, a \in A, b \in B$, and similarly for $B^{*}$.

The following proposition shows that $\Theta$ is isometric and onto.
3.1. Proposition. For a Hilbert $A$-B-bimodule $X$ the mapping $\varphi \otimes \xi^{o} \mapsto$ $\varphi\left((\xi \mid \cdot)_{B}\right)$ yields an isometric isomorphism of Banach $B$ - $A$-modules, i.e.,

$$
B^{*} \widehat{\otimes}_{B} X^{o} \cong X^{*}
$$

Similarly, $\xi^{o} \otimes \varphi \mapsto \varphi\left(_{A}(\cdot \mid \xi)\right)$ yields an isometric isomorphism $X^{o} \widehat{\otimes}_{A} A^{*} \cong X^{*}$.
Proof. We shall show that the adjoint of $\Theta, \Theta^{*}: X^{* *} \rightarrow\left(B^{*} \widehat{\otimes}_{B} X^{o}\right)^{*}$ is a surjective isometry. Clearly, $\Theta$ itself will then be a surjective isometry.

Let us observe that for all $\eta \in X^{* *}, \Theta^{*}(\eta)\left(\varphi \otimes_{B} \xi\right)=\varphi\left((\xi \mid \eta)_{B^{* *}}\right)$, which is obvious for elements in $X$ and follows for general elements by continuity. It will be convenient to identify $\left(B^{*} \widehat{\otimes}_{B} X^{o}\right)^{*}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{B}\left(X^{o}, B^{* *}\right)$ via

$$
\mathcal{B}_{B}\left(X^{o}, B^{* *}\right) \rightarrow\left(B^{*} \widehat{\otimes}_{B} X^{o}\right)^{*}, \quad f \mapsto\left(\varphi \otimes_{B} \xi \mapsto \varphi(f(\xi))\right) .
$$

Hence, $\Theta^{*}(\eta)=(\cdot \mid \eta)_{B^{* *}}$ for all $\eta \in X^{* *}$. To see the surjectivity of $\Theta^{*}$, let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{B}\left(X^{o}, B^{* *}\right)$. Its weak*-continuous extension $\tilde{f}:\left(X^{o}\right)^{* *} \rightarrow B^{* *}$ is $B^{* *}$ linear, so that, by Theorem 2.6, there is $\eta \in X^{* *}$ such that, for all $\xi^{o} \in X^{o}$, $f\left(\xi^{o}\right)=\widetilde{f}\left(\xi^{o}\right)=(\xi \mid \eta)_{B^{* *}}=\Theta^{*}(\eta)\left(\xi^{o}\right)$.

To establish that $\Theta^{*}$ is isometric, we need to show that $(\cdot \mid \eta)_{B^{* *}}$ attains its norm $\|\eta\|$ on the subspace $X \subseteq X^{* *}$. To this end we shall work in the linking algebra $L$ of $X$. We identify $X$ and $X^{* *}$ with the subspaces $p L q \subseteq L$ and $p L^{* *} q \subseteq$ $L^{* *}$, respectively. It is a consequence of Kaplansky's density theorem that $p L_{1} q$ is weak ${ }^{*}$-dense in $p L_{1}^{* *} q$. Choosing a state $\psi \in L^{*}$ such that $\psi\left(\|\eta\|^{-1} \eta^{*} \eta\right)=\|\eta\|$, there is thus, for every $\varepsilon>0$, an element $\xi \in X_{1}$ with

$$
\|\eta\|-\varepsilon \leqslant\left|\psi\left(\xi^{*} \eta\right)\right| \leqslant\left\|(\xi \mid \eta)_{B^{* *}}\right\| \leqslant\left\|\Theta^{*}(\eta)\right\| .
$$

In [8] Paschke showed that, for a Hilbert $B$-module $X, \mathcal{B}_{B}\left(B^{* *} \otimes_{B} X^{o}, B^{* *}\right)$ can be equipped with a $B^{* *}$-valued inner product turning it into a $B^{* *}$-selfdual Hilbert $C^{*}$-module, which, as $X$ can be isometrically embedded in $\mathcal{B}_{B}\left(B^{* *} \otimes_{B}\right.$ $\left.X^{o}, B^{* *}\right)$, was called the selfdual completion by him. Using that $\mathcal{B}_{B}\left(B^{* *} \otimes_{B}\right.$ $\left.X^{o}, B^{* *}\right)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_{B}\left(X^{o}, B^{* *}\right)$, we obtain the following corollary.
3.2. Corollary. The selfdual completion $\mathcal{B}_{B}\left(X^{o}, B^{* *}\right)$ of a Hilbert $A-B$ bimodule $X$ is isomorphic to the enveloping Hilbert $W^{*}$-module $X^{* *}$. Similarly, we have $\mathcal{B}_{A}\left(X^{o}, A^{* *}\right) \cong X^{* *}$.
3.3. Definition. For a Hilbert $A$ - $B$-bimodule $X$ we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{RM}(X) & =\left\{\eta \in X^{* *}:(X \mid \eta)_{B^{* *}} \subseteq B\right\}, \\
\operatorname{LM}(X) & =\left\{\eta \in X^{* *}:{ }_{A^{* *}}(\eta \mid X) \subseteq A\right\}, \\
\mathrm{M}(X) & =\operatorname{LM}(X) \cap \operatorname{RM}(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, the isomorphism $\Theta^{*}: X^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{B}\left(X^{o}, B^{* *}\right), \eta \mapsto(\cdot \mid \eta)_{B^{* *}}$ from the proof of Proposition 3.1 maps $\operatorname{RM}(X)$ onto $\mathcal{B}_{B}\left(X^{o}, B\right)$, and the corresponding map $X^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{A}\left(X^{o}, A^{* *}\right)$ takes $\mathrm{LM}(X)$ onto $\mathcal{B}_{A}\left(X^{o}, A\right)$. So that $\mathrm{RM}(X)=X$, respectively $\operatorname{LM}(X)=X$, if and only if $X$ is $B$-selfdual, respectively, $A$-selfdual.
3.4. Proposition. For a Hilbert $A$ - $B$-bimodule $X$, we have

$$
M(X)=\left\{\eta \in X^{* *}: A \eta+\eta B \subseteq X\right\} \cong \mathcal{L}_{A}\left(X^{o}, A\right) \cong \mathcal{L}_{B}\left(X^{o}, B\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\eta \in X^{* *}$ be such that $A \eta+\eta B \subseteq X$. For every $\xi \in X$ and each approximate identity $\left\{u_{\alpha}\right\} \subseteq A$, we have

$$
(\xi \mid \eta)_{B^{* *}}=\lim _{\alpha}\left(u_{\alpha} \xi \mid \eta\right)_{B^{* *}}=\lim _{\alpha}\left(\xi \mid u_{\alpha} \eta\right)_{B^{* *}} \subseteq B
$$

Similarly, $A^{* *}(\eta \mid X) \subseteq A$. Hence, $\eta \in \mathrm{M}(X)$. For the reverse inclusion, we note that every $\eta \in \mathrm{M}(X)$ and $a \in A$ defines a bounded $B$-linear mapping $\rho=(\cdot \mid a \eta)_{B^{* *}}=$ $\left(a^{*} \cdot \mid \eta\right)_{B^{* *}}: X^{o} \rightarrow B$, which obviously vanishes at infinity. By Lemma 2.2 there is $\zeta^{o} \in X^{o}$ such that $(\cdot \mid a \eta)_{B^{* *}}=(\cdot \mid \zeta)_{B}=(\cdot \mid \zeta)_{B^{* *}}$, whence $a \eta=\zeta \in X$. Similarly, we have $\eta B \subseteq X$ using Remark 2.4.

If $\eta \in \mathrm{M}(X)$, then an adjoint of $A^{* *}(\eta \mid \cdot): X^{o} \rightarrow A, \xi^{o} \mapsto{ }_{A^{* *}}(\eta \mid \xi)$ is given by $A \rightarrow X^{o}, a \mapsto \eta^{o} a$. Hence, $\Lambda(\eta):={ }_{A^{* *}}(\eta \mid \cdot) \in \mathcal{L}_{A}\left(X^{o}, A\right)$, and $\Lambda$ is an $A$ - $B$-linear isometry. Surjectivity of $\Lambda$ is clear from Definition 3.3 and the remark thereafter.

Similarly, $\mathrm{M}(X) \cong \mathcal{L}_{B}\left(X^{o}, B\right)$.
3.5. Remark. In [4] Echterhoff and Raeburn introduced multiplier bimodules in the following way. A double centralizer $\left(m_{A}, m_{B}\right)$ is a pair consisting of $A$-linear and $B$-linear mappings $m_{A}: A \rightarrow X, m_{B}: B \rightarrow X$, respectively, such that the compatibility relation

$$
m_{A}(a) b=a m_{B}(b), \quad a \in A, b \in B
$$

is satisfied. Boundedness of such mappings follows as in the $C^{*}$-algebra case. The multiplier bimodule is defined as the set of all double centralizers of $X$.

Let us briefly show that this definition coincides with ours. Of course, each $\xi \in \mathrm{M}(X)$ defines a double centralizer via

$$
(a \mapsto a \xi, b \mapsto \xi b)
$$

If, on the other hand, $\left(m_{A}, m_{B}\right)$ is a double centralizer, we put $\eta=m_{A}^{* *}(1)$, $\eta^{\prime}=m_{B}^{* *}(1)$ and observe that the compatibility of $m_{A}$ and $m_{B}$ implies that

$$
a \eta b=a m_{A}^{* *}(1) b=m_{A}(a) b=a m_{B}(b)=a \eta^{\prime} b, \quad a \in A, b \in B
$$

Using weak*-continuity we find that $\eta=\eta^{\prime}$. Consequently, $A \eta+\eta B=m_{A}(A)+$ $m_{B}(B) \subseteq X$, whence $\eta \in \mathrm{M}(X)$.

The following proposition is contained in [4]. We give an alternative proof.
3.6. Proposition. The multiplier bimodule $M(X)$ is the unique Hilbert $M(A)-M(B)$-bimodule satisfying the following properties:
(i) $A M(X)+M(X) B \subseteq X$;
(ii) if $M$ is another $A$-B-bimodule which contains $X$ and satisfies (i), then there exists a unique $A$-B-linear mapping $M \rightarrow M(X)$ which is the identity on $X$.

Proof. We shall first show that $\mathrm{M}(X)$ is a Hilbert $\mathrm{M}(A)-\mathrm{M}(B)$-bimodule. Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathrm{M}(X)$. Then $B(\xi \mid \eta)_{B^{* *}}+(\xi \mid \eta)_{B^{* *}} B=(\xi B \mid \eta)_{B^{* *}}+(\xi \mid \eta B)_{B^{* *}} \subseteq B$. Hence, $(\mathrm{M}(X) \mid \mathrm{M}(X))_{B^{* *}} \subseteq \mathrm{M}(B)$, and similarly, $A^{* *}(\mathrm{M}(X) \mid \mathrm{M}(X)) \subseteq \mathrm{M}(A)$. The inclusion $\mathrm{M}(A) \mathrm{M}(X)+\mathrm{M}(X) \mathrm{M}(B) \subseteq \mathrm{M}(X)$ follows immediately from the characterization of multipliers given in Proposition 3.4.

Statement (i) is part of Proposition 3.4. Suppose that $M$ is another $A-B$ bimodule with $X \subseteq M$ and $A M+M B \subseteq X$. Every $m \in M$ defines a double centralizer ( $a \mapsto a m, b \mapsto m b$ ), hence, using the preceding remark, an element in $\mathrm{M}(X)$. It is straightforward to check that this mapping has the required properties.

Suppose now that $\Phi, \Psi: M \rightarrow \mathrm{M}(X)$ are two module mappings fixing $X$. Then $(\Phi(m)-\Psi(m)) b=\Phi(m b)-\Psi(m b)=m b-m b=0$ with $m \in M, b \in B$. Consequently, $\Phi(m)=\Psi(m), m \in M$.

The uniqueness of $\mathrm{M}(X)$ follows from the usual universality considerations.
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