

## INJECTIVE REAL FACTORS ARE HYPERFINITE

P.J. STACEY

*Communicated by William B. Arveson*

ABSTRACT. Following the proof developed by Haagerup in the complex case, it is shown that all injective real factors on separable Hilbert spaces are hyperfinite.

KEYWORDS: *Real factor, injective, hyperfinite, involutory antiautomorphism.*

MSC (2000): Primary 46L35; Secondary 46L10.

The purpose of this brief note is to indicate how the proof given by Haagerup in [8] applies to the real situation, to show that all injective real factors on separable Hilbert spaces are hyperfinite. This contradicts Theorem 2 and Corollary 2 of [9] which claim the opposite.

It was shown in [6], [7] and [11] that there is a unique injective real factor in both the  $\text{II}_1$  and  $\text{II}_\infty$  cases and that this factor is hyperfinite. Thus it remains only to consider the type III case, which is dealt with by slightly modifying the arguments in [8].

Let  $N$  be an injective factor with a faithful normal state  $\psi$ , let  $\Phi$  be an involutory  $*$ -antiautomorphism of  $N$ , let  $R = \{n \in N : \Phi(n) = n^*\}$  and let  $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}(\psi + \psi \circ \Phi)$ , which is faithful, normal and  $\Phi$ -invariant. The GNS Hilbert space  $H_\varphi$  has an antilinear isometry  $K$  of order 2, defined by  $K[n]_\varphi = [\Phi(n^*)]_\varphi$  for each  $n \in N$ , such that  $\pi_\varphi(\Phi(n)) = K\pi_\varphi(n)^*K$  for each  $n \in N$  and such that  $s \mapsto Ks^*K$  gives an involutory antiautomorphism  $\Phi'$  of  $\pi_\varphi(N)'$ . In the following lemma, which establishes the required version of semidiscreteness,  $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$  will be used for the transpose map on a matrix algebra  $M_m$ .

LEMMA 1. *There exist positive integers  $(m_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  and nets of completely positive maps  $S_\lambda : N \rightarrow M_{m_\lambda}$  and  $T_\lambda : M_{m_\lambda} \rightarrow N$  such that  $S_\lambda \Phi = \Phi_{\mathbb{R}} S_\lambda$ ,  $\Phi T_\lambda = T_\lambda \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $S_\lambda(1) = 1$ ,  $T_\lambda(1) = 1$  and, for each  $n \in N$ ,  $(T_\lambda \circ S_\lambda)(n)$  converges to  $n$  in the  $\sigma$ -strong\* topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  act on  $H_\varphi$  and recall that the mapping  $n \otimes s \mapsto ns$  extends to a representation of  $N \otimes_{\min} N'$  on  $H_\varphi$ . The corresponding state  $k : n \otimes s \mapsto \langle ns\xi_\varphi, \xi_\varphi \rangle$  is  $\Phi \otimes \Phi'$  invariant and, as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 of [3], is therefore approximated by states  $p = \sum c_i \omega_{z_i}$  and by the corresponding states  $p \circ (\Phi \otimes \Phi')$ . Noting that  $\frac{1}{2}(\omega_z + \omega_z \circ (\Phi \otimes \Phi')) = \omega_{(z+(K \otimes K)z)/2} + \omega_{i(z-(K \otimes K)z)/2}$ , we can take  $(K \otimes K)z_i = z_i$ . The vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m \in H_\varphi$  considered at the top of page 68 of [3] can similarly be seen to be fixed by  $K$ , by noting that

$$(x \otimes y) + (Kx \otimes Ky) = \frac{1}{2}[(x + Kx) \otimes (y + Ky) - i(x - Kx) \otimes i(y - Ky)].$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma\Phi)(n) &= \langle \overline{\Phi(n)x_j}, x_i \rangle = \langle \overline{Kn^*Kx_j}, x_i \rangle = \langle \overline{K^2n^*Kx_j}, \overline{Kx_i} \rangle \\ &= \langle \overline{x_j}, nx_i \rangle = \langle nx_i, x_j \rangle = \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma(n) \end{aligned}$$

(where  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are defined on page 68 of [3]).

The image of  $\tau$  is easily seen to consist of normal maps, so  $\tau : M_m \rightarrow N'_*$ . The map  $\sigma$  can be modified so that  $\sigma(1) = 1$  (and still  $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma = \sigma\Phi$ ) by using the proof of Lemma 2.2 of [2] with  $x$  a unit vector with  $Kx = x$ . If  $b$  denotes the original value of  $\sigma(1)$ , which satisfies  $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}(b) = b^* = b$ , then modifying  $\tau$  to  $a \mapsto \tau(b^{1/2}ab^{1/2})$  keeps  $\tau \circ \sigma$  unchanged. Thus, as in Lemma 2.2 of [3], the map  $\mathfrak{L}(k)$  can be approximated in the pointwise weak topology by a net of maps  $\tau_\lambda \circ \sigma_\lambda$ , where  $\sigma_\lambda : N \rightarrow M_{m_\lambda}$  and  $\tau_\lambda : M_{m_\lambda} \rightarrow N'_*$  for suitable integers  $m_\lambda$ , where  $\sigma_\lambda(1) = 1$  and  $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma_\lambda = \sigma_\lambda\Phi$ .

The argument outlined on pages 75 and 76 of [3] proceeds to modify  $\tau_\lambda$ , using the method of Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 of [4], so that  $\tau_\lambda(1) = p$ , where  $p$  (denoted by  $\mathfrak{L}(k)(1)$  in [3]) satisfies  $p(s) = \langle s\xi_\varphi, \xi_\varphi \rangle$  for  $s \in N'$ . It then uses the complete order isomorphism  $\theta$  from  $N$  onto  $[p]$  described on page 70 of [3] to produce completely positive maps  $\tau'_\lambda = (\theta^{-1} \circ \tau_\lambda)$  so that the maps  $\tau'_\lambda \circ \sigma_\lambda$  tend pointwise  $\sigma$ -weakly to the identity map on  $N$ . The convexity argument outlined on page 71 of [3] shows that the convergence is also  $\sigma$ -strong\*. If we let  $S_\lambda = \sigma_\lambda$  and  $T_\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\tau'_\lambda + \Phi\tau'_\lambda\Phi_{\mathbb{R}})$  then  $S_\lambda$  and  $T_\lambda$  have the required properties since  $T_\lambda S_\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\tau'_\lambda \sigma_\lambda + \Phi\tau'_\lambda \sigma_\lambda \Phi)$ . (Note that if  $\alpha_{\mathbb{R}} = \Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \circ *$  and  $\alpha = \Phi \circ *$ , then  $\Phi\tau'_\lambda\Phi_{\mathbb{R}} = \alpha\tau'_\lambda\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}$ , which is completely positive.) ■

LEMMA 2. *Let  $N$  be a properly infinite von Neumann algebra, let  $\Phi$  be an involutory \*-antiautomorphism of  $N$  and let  $F$  be a  $\Phi$ -invariant finite dimensional subfactor of  $N$  with  $\{f \in F : \Phi(f) = f^*\}$  isomorphic to  $M_m(\mathbb{R})$  for some  $m$ . If  $T$  is a completely positive map from  $F$  to  $N$  with  $T\Phi = \Phi T$  then there exists  $a \in N$  with  $\Phi(a) = a^*$  such that  $T(x) = a^*xa$  for each  $x \in F$ .*

*Proof.* This is exactly the same as the proof of Proposition 2.1 of [8] if the initial system  $(e_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,m}$  of matrix units for  $F$  is chosen to satisfy  $\Phi(e_{ij}) = e_{ji}$

for each  $i, j$ . Then, in turn,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi \otimes \Phi)\left(\sum T(e_{ij}) \otimes e_{ij}\right) &= \left(\sum T(e_{ij}) \otimes e_{ij}\right)^*, \\ (\Phi \otimes \Phi)\left(\sum b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}\right) &= \left(\sum b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}\right)^* \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Phi(a_{kl}) = a_{kl}^*,$$

where  $b = \sum b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$  is the positive square root of  $\sum T(e_{ij}) \otimes e_{ij}$  and  $a_{kl} = \sum e_{il} b_{ki}$ . By choosing isometries  $v_{11}, \dots, v_{mm}$  in  $N \cap F'$  to satisfy  $\Phi(v_{ij}) = v_{ij}^*$  it follows that

$$T(x) = \left(\sum v_{ij} a_{ij}\right)^* x \left(\sum v_{ij} a_{ij}\right)$$

with  $\Phi\left(\sum v_{ij} a_{ij}\right) = \left(\sum v_{ij} a_{ij}\right)^*$ . ■

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $N$  be an injective factor of type III on a separable Hilbert space, let  $\Phi$  be an involutory  $*$ -antiautomorphism of  $N$  and let  $R = \{n \in N : \Phi(n) = n^*\}$ . Then  $R$  is hyperfinite.*

*Proof.* The proof of Theorem 2.2 of [8] applies, subject to suitable  $\Phi$ -invariance, so the notation of that proof is maintained here. For any  $m$  the properly infinite real factor  $R$  contains a subfactor  $F_R$  isomorphic to  $M_m(\mathbb{R})$  and hence  $N$  contains a  $\Phi$ -invariant subfactor  $F$  isomorphic to  $M_m$ . Using Lemma 1, the maps  $S$  and  $T$  can be chosen to commute with  $\Phi$  and, by Lemma 2, the isometry  $v$  can be taken to satisfy  $\Phi(v) = v^*$ . The unitary  $w$  which is strongly close to  $v$  can also be taken to satisfy  $\Phi(w) = w^*$ : to see this use, for example, the construction described in Lemma 1 of [1] and the spatial description of  $\Phi$  obtained in Theorem 3.7 of [10]. Thus if  $\Phi(u_k) = u_k^*$  then, with  $y_k = w^* S(u_k) w$ ,  $\Phi(y_k) = y_k^*$ . Unlike the proof of Theorem 2.2 of [8],  $u_k$  itself cannot be taken to be unitary. However, it is a complex linear combination  $\sum_i \lambda_{k,i} u_{k,i}$  of unitaries  $u_{k,i}$  for each of which  $y_{k,i} = w^* S(u_{k,i}) w \in w^* F w$  and  $\|y_{k,i} - u_{k,i}\|_\varphi^\# < \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$ , where  $M = \sum |\lambda_{k,i}|$ . Thus the corresponding linear combination  $y_k = w^* S(u_k) w$  belongs to  $w^* F_R w \cong M_m(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\|y_k - u_k\|_\varphi^\# < \varepsilon$ . The argument in Theorem 3 of [5] shows that this is sufficient to guarantee the existence of a dense union of increasing real matrix algebras in  $R$ . (The unitary  $u$  of Lemma 1 of [5] can be chosen with  $\Phi(u) = u^*$ , the matrix units  $e_{pq}$  in the proof of Theorem 3 can be chosen to satisfy  $\Phi(e_{pq}) = e_{qp}$ , the projections  $\varepsilon_{pp}$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2^k$  can be chosen with  $\Phi(\varepsilon_{pp}) = \varepsilon_{pp}$  and hence the matrix units  $f_{pq}$  satisfy  $\Phi(f_{pq}) = f_{qp}$ ; this shows that the real subfactor  $w^* F_R w$  above can be taken to have size  $2^k$  for some  $k$  and then the rest of the proof of Theorem 3 of [5] applies to the real setting to produce the required increasing sequence of subfactors.) ■

It remains to reconcile the theorem above with Theorem 2 of [9] which claims that there is a unique conjugacy class of involutory antiautomorphisms of the hyperfinite factor  $M$  of type  $\text{III}_\lambda$ , for  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , for which the associated real algebra is hyperfinite. Since there are known to be two non conjugate involutory antiautomorphisms of the hyperfinite function of type  $\text{III}_\lambda$  when  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , that theorem implies that there is a real injective factor which is not hyperfinite. It is implicitly claimed in the proof that an isomorphism between two weakly dense unions of matrix subalgebras extends to an automorphism of  $M$ , which is not clearly the case (unlike the situation of Corollary 2.10 of [11], on which the proof of Theorem 2 of [9] is modelled).

*Acknowledgements.* I am grateful to A.A. Rakhimov for pointing out an error in an earlier version of this note.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. CHODA, H. CHODA, Some characterizations of certain von Neumann algebras, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **46**(1970), 1086–1090.
2. M.D. CHOI, E. EFFROS, Injectivity and operator spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **24**(1977), 156–209.
3. M.D. CHOI, E. EFFROS, Nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras and the approximation property, *Amer. J. Math.* **100**(1978), 61–79.
4. E. EFFROS, E.C. LANCE, Tensor products of operator algebras, *Adv. Math.* **25**(1977), 1–34.
5. G.A. ELLIOTT, E.J. WOODS, The equivalence of various definitions for a properly infinite von Neumann algebra to be approximately finite dimensional, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **60**(1976), 175–178.
6. T. GIORDANO, Antiautomorphismes involutifs des facteurs de von Neumann injectifs. I, *J. Operator Theory* **10**(1983), 251–287.
7. T. GIORDANO, V.F.R. JONES, Antiautomorphismes involutifs du facteur hyperfini de type  $\text{II}_1$ , *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A.* **290**(1980) 29–31.
8. U. HAAGERUP, A new proof of the equivalence of injectivity and hyperfiniteness for factors on a separable Hilbert space, *J. Funct. Anal.* **62**(1985), 160–201.
9. A.A. RAKHIMOV, Injective real  $W^*$ -factors of type  $\text{III}_\lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **31**(1997), 219–221.
10. E. STØRMER, On anti-automorphisms of von Neumann algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **21**(1967), 349–370.
11. E. STØRMER, Real structure in the hyperfinite factor, *Duke Math. J.* **47**(1980), 145–154.

P.J. STACEY  
 Department of Mathematics  
 La Trobe University  
 Victoria 3086  
 AUSTRALIA  
 E-mail: P.Stacey@latrobe.edu.au

Received March 28, 2002; revised July 28, 2002.