

## THE BEHAVIOR OF THE RADICAL OF THE ALGEBRAS GENERATED BY A SEMIGROUP OF OPERATORS ON HILBERT SPACE

H.S. MUSTAFAYEV

*Communicated by Nikolai K. Nikolski*

ABSTRACT. Let  $T = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a continuous semigroup of contractions on a Hilbert space. We define  $A(T)$  as the closure of the set  $\{\widehat{f}(T) : f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)\}$  with respect to the operator-norm topology, where  $\widehat{f}(T) = \int_0^\infty f(t)T(t)dt$  is the

Laplace transform of  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  with respect to the semigroup  $T$ . Then,  $A(T)$  is a commutative Banach algebra. In this paper, we obtain some connections between the radical of  $A(T)$  and the set  $\{R \in A(T) : T(t)R \rightarrow 0, \text{ strongly or in norm, as } t \rightarrow \infty\}$ . Similar problems for the algebras generated by a discrete semigroup  $\{T^n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is also discussed, where  $T$  is a contraction.

KEYWORDS: *Hilbert space, continuous (discrete) semigroup, Banach algebra, radical.*

MSC (2000): 47Dxx, 46J05.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  be a complex commutative Banach algebra. Its structure space is  $M_A = \{\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \text{nonzero, continuous, linear, multiplicative}\}$  equipped with the  $w^*$ -topology. The Gelfand transform of  $a \in A$  is defined by  $\widehat{a} : M_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\widehat{a}(\phi) = \phi(a)$ . The *radical* of  $A$ , denoted by  $\text{Rad}(A)$  is defined as

$$\{a \in A : \widehat{a}(\phi) = 0, \phi \in M_A\}.$$

$\text{Rad}(A)$  is precisely the set of all quasinilpotent elements in  $A$ . If  $\text{Rad}(A) = \{0\}$ , then  $A$  is said to be *semisimple*. By the definition,  $A$  is semisimple if and only if the Gelfand transform is injective.

Let  $X$  be a complex Banach space and  $B(X)$ , the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$ . Let  $A$  be a closed commutative subalgebra of  $B(X)$ . It follows from the spectral radius formula that  $\text{Rad}(A) = \{R \in A : \sigma(R) = \{0\}\}$ .

Hence,  $A$  is semisimple if and only if it does not contain a non-zero operator with zero spectrum.

If  $T \in B(X)$ , we let  $A(T)$  denote the uniformly closed algebra generated by  $T$  and the identity operator  $I$ . Then,  $A(T)$  is a commutative unital Banach algebra. The structure space of  $A(T)$  can be identified with  $\sigma_{A(T)}(T)$ , where  $\sigma_{A(T)}(T)$  is the spectrum of  $T$  with respect to the algebra  $A(T)$ . Note also that  $\sigma_{A(T)}(T) \supset \sigma(T)$ . By  $W(T)$  we will denote the weak operator closure of  $A(T)$ . Clearly,  $W(T) \subset \{T\}'$ , the commutant of  $T$ .

Recall that a family  $T = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $B(X)$  is called a  $C_0$ -semigroup (or *continuous semigroup*) if the following properties are satisfied:

- (1)  $T(0) = I$ ;
- (2)  $T(t+s) = T(t)T(s)$ , for every  $t, s \geq 0$ ;
- (3)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|T(t)x - x\| = 0$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

The *generator* of the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is the linear operator  $A$  with domain  $D(A)$  defined by

$$Ax = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t}(T(t)x - x), \quad x \in D(A).$$

The generator is always a closed, densely defined operator. The  $C_0$ -groups are defined analogously to  $C_0$ -semigroups, the only difference being that the role of the index family  $t \geq 0$  is replaced by  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . The generator of a  $C_0$ -group  $T = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is defined as the generator of the associated  $C_0$ -semigroup.

A  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is said to be *bounded* if  $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)\| < \infty$ . If  $T$  is a bounded  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Banach space  $X$ , then

$$\| \|x\| \| = \sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)x\|$$

is an equivalent norm on  $X$  with respect to which  $T$  becomes a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions. Note also that if  $T = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on a Banach space  $X$ , then for every  $x \in X$ , the limit  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)x\|$  exists and is equal to  $\inf_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)x\|$ .

Now let  $T = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a bounded  $C_0$ -semigroup with generator  $A$ . Then, the spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  of  $A$  belongs to the closed left half-plane. For  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ , the resolvent is given by

$$R(\lambda, A) = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda t) T(t) dt$$

([12], p. 6).  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$  is called the *unitary spectrum* of the generator  $A$ .

Let  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be the space of all absolutely integrable measurable complex functions on the half-line  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is a commutative Banach algebra when

convolution is taken as the multiplication, where “convolution” is defined by the formula

$$(f * g)(t) = \int_0^t f(t - \tau)g(\tau)d\tau.$$

$L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  can be considered (in the natural way) as a subalgebra of  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . The Fourier transform  $\widehat{f}(z)$  of  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , where

$$\widehat{f}(z) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-itz)f(t)dt,$$

is a function analytic in the open half-plane  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}z < 0\}$  and is a bounded continuous function in the closed half-plane  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}z \leq 0\}$ . Every complex homomorphism  $\phi$  on  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is of the form  $\phi = \phi_z$ , where  $\phi_z(f) = \widehat{f}(z)$ ,  $\text{Im}z \leq 0$ . In this sense, the maximal ideal space of  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  can be identified with the closed left half-plane ([6], p. 115).

For a function  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , we put

$$\widehat{f}(T) = \int_0^\infty f(t)T(t)dt.$$

The map  $f \rightarrow \widehat{f}(T)$  is a continuous algebra homomorphism of  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  into  $B(X)$ . We define  $A(T)$  as the closure with respect to the operator-norm topology of the set  $\{\widehat{f}(T) : f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)\}$ . Then,  $A(T)$  is a commutative Banach algebra. The maximal ideal space of  $A(T)$  will be denoted by  $M_T$ . If  $R \in A(T)$ , its Gelfand transform will be denoted as  $\widehat{R}$ . It can be easily verified that if the generator  $T$  of the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T$  is bounded, then  $A(T) = A(T)$ .

We define  $W(T)$  as the closure with respect to the weak operator topology of  $A(T)$ . It follows from the definition of the vector-valued integral that  $W(T)$  belongs to the closure with respect to the weak operator topology of all polynomials  $c_1T(t_1) + \dots + c_nT(t_n)$  in  $T$ .

Let  $e_n(s) = 2n\chi_{[0,1/n]}(s)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), where  $\chi_{[0,1/n]}(s)$  is the characteristic function of the interval  $[0, 1/n]$ . For  $t \geq 0$ , we define

$$e_n^t(s) = \begin{cases} e_n(s - t) & s \geq t; \\ 0 & 0 \leq s < t. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_0^\infty e_n^t(s)T(s)ds \rightarrow T(t), \text{ strongly, as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This shows that  $T(t) \in W(T)$ , so that  $W(T)$  coincides with the weak operator closure of all polynomials in  $T$ . Hence,  $W(T)$  is a commutative Banach algebra with the identity  $I$ .

In this paper, we study the semisimplicity problem for the Banach algebras defined above. In Section 2, we study the behavior of the radical of the algebras  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{T})$  and  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{T})$ . In Section 3, similar problems for the algebras generated by a single bounded operator will be discussed.

## 2. THE BANACH ALGEBRA GENERATED BY A $C_0$ -SEMIGROUP

We shall need the following preliminary lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a bounded  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Banach space with generator  $A$ . If  $z \in \sigma(A)$ , then  $\widehat{f}(iz) \in \sigma(\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T}))$ , for all  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be given. As is well known  $\sigma(A) = \sigma_a(A) \cup \sigma_r(A)$ , where  $\sigma_a(A)$  is the approximate point spectrum and  $\sigma_r(A)$ , the residual spectrum of  $A$ . If  $z \in \sigma_a(A)$ , then there is a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of norm one vectors in  $D(A)$  such that  $\|Ax_n - zx_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . This implies ([12], Proposition 2.1.6),

$$\|T(t)x_n - \exp(zt)x_n\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T})x_n - \widehat{f}(iz)x_n\| \leq \int_0^\infty \|T(t)x_n - \exp(zt)x_n\| |f(t)| dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This shows that  $\widehat{f}(iz) \in \sigma(\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T}))$ .

If  $z \in \sigma_r(A)$ , then  $z \in \sigma_p(A^*)$ , the point spectrum of  $A^*$ . Consequently,  $A^*x^* = zx^*$  for some nonzero  $x^* \in D(A^*)$ . It follows that  $T(t)^*x^* = e^{zt}x^*$  ([12], p. 31), for all  $t \geq 0$ . Hence, we have that  $\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T})^*x^* = \widehat{f}(iz)x^*$  and therefore,  $\widehat{f}(iz) \in \sigma(\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T}))$ . ■

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a bounded  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Banach space with generator  $A$ . Then, the map  $z \rightarrow \phi_z$  homeomorphically identifies  $\sigma(A)$  with a closed subset of  $M_{\mathbf{T}}$ , where  $\phi_z : \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by*

$$\phi_z(\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T})) = \widehat{f}(iz), \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1 we have  $|\widehat{f}(iz)| \leq \|\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T})\|$ , for all  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $z \in \sigma(A)$ . Since the set  $\{\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T}) : f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)\}$  is dense in  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{T})$ , it follows from the above inequality that the homomorphism  $\phi_z : \widehat{f}(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(iz)$  can be extended to an element of  $M_{\mathbf{T}}$ . It is easy to see that the map  $z \rightarrow \phi_z$ , of  $\sigma(A)$  into  $M_{\mathbf{T}}$  is continuous and injective. Thus, it suffices to show that if  $\{\phi_{z_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M_{\mathbf{T}}$  and  $\phi_{z_n} \in M_{\mathbf{T}}$  are such that  $\phi_{z_n} \rightarrow \phi_z$ , in the usual topology of  $M_{\mathbf{T}}$ , then  $z_n \rightarrow z$ . But if  $\phi_{z_n} \rightarrow \phi_z$ , then for every  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we have  $\widehat{f}(iz_n) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(iz)$  and the conclusion follows from the fact that the maximal ideal space of  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is homeomorphically identified with  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Im} z \leq 0\}$ . ■

Let  $T$  be a bounded  $C_0$ -semigroup with generator  $A$  and let  $R \in A(T)$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that instead of  $\widehat{R}(\phi_z)(= \phi_z(R))$ ,  $z \in \sigma(A)$ , we can (and will) write  $\widehat{R}(z)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{U} = \{U(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup of unitary operators on a Hilbert space. By the Stone Theorem

$$U(t) = \exp(-itQ) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-it\lambda) dE(\lambda),$$

where  $Q$  is a self-adjoint (possibly unbounded) operator and  $E(\cdot)$ , the spectral measure associated with  $Q$  which is supported on  $\sigma(Q)$ . It can be easily verified that

$$\widehat{f}(\mathbf{U}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(\lambda) dE(\lambda), \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

From this and from Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$(2.1) \quad \|\widehat{f}(\mathbf{U})\| = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(Q)} |\widehat{f}(\lambda)|.$$

This clearly implies that the algebra  $A(\mathbf{U})$  is semisimple.

The following example shows that there exists a bounded  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Hilbert space that generates a non-semisimple algebra.

**EXAMPLE 2.3.** Let  $V$  be the Volterra integration operator on the Hilbert space  $L^2[0, 1]$  and let  $T = \{\exp(-tV)\}_{t \geq 0}$ . Notice that the exponential formula ([14], Theorem 1.8.3) yields  $\|\exp(-tV)\| = 1$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ . On the other hand,  $V$  is a nonzero quasinilpotent operator and  $V \in A(V) = A(T)$ . This shows that the algebra  $A(T)$  is not semisimple.

Let  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on a Hilbert space  $H$ . As is known ([17], Chapter 1, Theorem 8.1), there exists a Hilbert space  $K \supset H$  and a  $C_0$ -group of unitary operators  $\mathbf{U} = \{U(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  on  $K$  such that

$$\langle T(t)x, y \rangle = \langle U(t)x, y \rangle,$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $x, y \in H$ . It follows that

$$\langle \widehat{f}(\mathbf{T})x, y \rangle = \langle \widehat{f}(\mathbf{U})x, y \rangle, \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

From this and from the identity (2.1), we can write

$$\|\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T})\| \leq \|\widehat{f}(\mathbf{U})\| \leq \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\lambda)|.$$

Thus, we have that

$$\|\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T})\| \leq \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\lambda)|, \quad \text{for all } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

This is the semigroup version of the von Neumann inequality.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *If  $\mathbf{V} = \{V(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup of isometries on a Hilbert space, then the algebra  $A(\mathbf{V})$  is semisimple.*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be the generator of the semigroup  $V$ . If  $i\mathbb{R} \not\subset \sigma(B)$ , then  $V$  extends to a  $C_0$ -group of unitary operators with generator  $B$  ([12], Lemma 2.8) and therefore, the algebra  $A(V)$  is semisimple. Hence, we may assume that  $i\mathbb{R} \subset \sigma(B)$ . Let  $R \in \text{Rad}A(V)$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $\|\widehat{f}_n(T) - R\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $\phi_z(\widehat{f}_n(T)) = \widehat{f}_n(iz) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly for  $z \in i\mathbb{R}$ . By the semigroup version of the von Neumann inequality we have  $\|\widehat{f}_n(T)\| \rightarrow 0$ , so that  $R = 0$ . ■

Recall that  $T \in B(H)$  is called *cyclic* if it has a cyclic vector, that is, a vector  $x \in H$  such that  $\overline{\text{span}}\{T^n x : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} = H$ . Let  $T = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Hilbert space  $H$ .  $T$  is called *cyclic* if it has a cyclic vector, that is, a vector  $x \in H$  such that  $\overline{\text{span}}\{T(t)x : t \geq 0\} = H$ . By  $\{T\}'$  we will denote the commutant of  $T$ :

$$\{T\}' = \{S \in B(H) : ST(t) = T(t)S, \text{ for all } t \geq 0\}.$$

Clearly,  $W(T) \subset \{T\}'$ .

PROPOSITION 2.5. *If  $V = \{V(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a cyclic  $C_0$ -semigroup of isometries on a Hilbert space, then  $\{T\}' = W(V)$  and the algebra  $W(V)$  is semisimple.*

For the proof, some further information is needed. Here and throughout the paper, we have written  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and  $\Gamma = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ .  $A(D)$  will denote the disc-algebra. Now let  $T = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on a Hilbert space  $H$  with generator  $A$ . The operator  $T$  defined by  $T = (A + I)/(A - I)$  is a contraction on  $H$  and is called the *cogenerator* of  $T$  ([17], Chapter 3, Section 8). For  $t \geq 0$ , let

$$e_t(z) = \exp\left(t \frac{z+1}{z-1}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(t) z^k, \quad z \in D.$$

Then,

$$T(t) = e_t(T) : = \text{s-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(t) r^k T^k$$

([17], Chapter 3, Theorem 8.1). It follows that if the semigroup  $T$  is cyclic, then its cogenerator  $T$  is also cyclic.

Now, we claim that  $\{T\}' = \{T\}'$ . Recall ([17], Chapter 3, Theorem 8.1) that there exists a family  $\{f_t(z)\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $A(D)$  such that

$$\text{s-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f_t(T(t)) = T.$$

If  $T(t)R = RT(t)$  for some  $R \in B(H)$ , then  $f_t(T(t))R = Rf_t(T(t))$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ . By letting  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , we find that  $TR = RT$ . Conversely, if  $TR = RT$ , then  $e_t(T)R = Re_t(T)$ , so that  $T(t)R = RT(t)$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Next, we claim that  $W(T) = W(T)$ . Recall that  $W(T)$  is the weak operator closure of all polynomials in  $T$ . Since  $T(t) = e_t(T)$ , we have  $T(t) \in W(T)$ , for all

$t \geq 0$  and so  $W(\mathbf{T}) \subset W(T)$ . The reverse inclusion follows from the identity

$$T = \frac{A + I}{A - I} = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{T(t) - I + tI}{T(t) - I - tI}.$$

*Proof of Proposition 2.5.* If  $\mathbf{V} = \{V(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a cyclic  $C_0$ -semigroup of isometries, then its cogenerator  $V$  is a cyclic isometry ([17], Chapter 3, Proposition 9.2). We know ([8]) that  $\{\mathbf{V}\}' = W(V)$  and the algebra  $W(V)$  is semisimple. Since  $\{\mathbf{V}\}' = \{V\}'$  and  $W(\mathbf{V}) = W(V)$ , we obtain that  $\{\mathbf{V}\}' = W(\mathbf{V})$  and the algebra  $W(\mathbf{V})$  is semisimple. ■

One of the main results of this section is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on a Hilbert space  $H$  with generator  $A$ . If the Gelfand transform of  $R \in A(\mathbf{T})$  vanishes on the unitary spectrum of  $A$ , then for every  $x \in H$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)Rx\| = 0.$$

For the proof we need some preliminary results.

**LEMMA 2.7.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on  $H$  with generator  $A$ . Let  $K$  be a Hilbert space and let  $\mathbf{V} = \{V(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup of isometries on  $K$ . Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *There exists a bounded linear operator  $J : H \rightarrow K$  such that*

$$V(t)J = JT(t), \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0;$$

(ii)  $\|\widehat{f}(\mathbf{V})\| \leq \|\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T})\|$ , for all  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

*If the Gelfand transform of  $R \in A(\mathbf{T})$  vanishes on the unitary spectrum of  $A$ , then  $JR = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be the generator of  $\mathbf{V}$ . First, we claim that  $\sigma(B) \cap i\mathbb{R} \subset \sigma(A)$ . Assume that  $iy \in \sigma(B)$ , for some  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows from the condition (ii) that the mapping  $\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(\mathbf{V})$  can be extended to a contractive homomorphism  $h : A(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow A(\mathbf{V})$ . We can see that  $h^*M_V \subset M_T$ . By Lemma 2.2 since  $\phi_{iy} \in M_V$ , we have  $h^*\phi_{iy} \in M_T$  and

$$(h^*\phi_{iy})(\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T})) = \widehat{f}(-y).$$

It follows that

$$(2.2) \quad |\widehat{f}(-y)| \leq \|\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T})\|, \quad \text{for all } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Let  $\lambda = x + iy$  be given, where  $x > 0$ . We put  $f_\lambda(t) = \exp(-\lambda t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ). Then,

$$\widehat{f}_\lambda(\mathbf{T}) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda t)T(t)dt = R(\lambda, A)$$

and  $\widehat{f}_\lambda(-y) = (\lambda - iy)^{-1} = 1/x$ . In view of (2.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{x} \leq \|R(x + iy, A)\|, \quad \text{for all } x > 0.$$

By letting  $x \rightarrow 0^+$ , we find that  $\|R(iy, A)\| = \infty$ . This shows that  $iy \in \sigma(A)$ .

Now let  $R \in \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{T})$  be such that  $\widehat{R}(z) = 0$  on  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$ . Assume first that  $i\mathbb{R} \subset \sigma(A)$ . Since  $R \in \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{T})$ , there exists a sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $\|\widehat{f}_n(\mathbf{T}) - R\| \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\widehat{R}(z) = 0$  on  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R} = i\mathbb{R}$ , it follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $\phi_z(\widehat{f}_n(\mathbf{T})) = \widehat{f}_n(iz) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly for  $z \in i\mathbb{R}$ . By semigroup version of the von Neumann inequality, we have  $\|\widehat{f}_n(\mathbf{T})\| \rightarrow 0$ , so that  $R = 0$ . Hence, we may assume that  $i\mathbb{R} \not\subset \sigma(A)$ .

Since  $\sigma(B) \cap i\mathbb{R} \subset \sigma(A)$ , it follows that  $\sigma(B) \cap i\mathbb{R}$  is a proper subset of  $i\mathbb{R}$ . By Lemma 2.8 of [12]  $\mathbf{V}$  extends to a  $C_0$ -group of unitary operators  $\mathbf{U} = \{U(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  with generator  $B$ . Also, since  $\sigma(B) \subset i\mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\sigma(B) \subset \sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$ . Further, there exists a sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $\|\widehat{f}_n(\mathbf{T}) - R\| \rightarrow 0$ . It follows that  $\phi_z(\widehat{f}_n(\mathbf{T})) = \widehat{f}_n(iz) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly for  $z \in \sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$ . Consequently,  $\widehat{f}_n(iz) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly for  $z \in \sigma(B)$ . Since for every  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,

$$\|\widehat{f}(\mathbf{U})\| = \sup_{z \in \sigma(B)} |\widehat{f}(iz)|,$$

this implies  $\|\widehat{f}_n(\mathbf{U})\| \rightarrow 0$ . Now, using (i) we can write  $\widehat{f}_n(\mathbf{U})J = J\widehat{f}_n(\mathbf{T})$ . By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain that  $JR = 0$ . ■

A  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  on a Banach space  $X$  is *bounded away from zero* if  $\inf_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)x\| > 0$ , for all  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$  ([12], p. 180).

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Recall that an operator  $Y \in B(H)$  is said to be a *quasi-affinity* if  $Y$  has zero kernel and dense range. The operators  $T, S \in B(H)$  are *quasi-similar* if there exist quasi-affinities  $Y_1, Y_2 \in B(H)$  for which  $TY_1 = Y_1S$  and  $Y_2T = SY_2$ .

LEMMA 2.8. *Let  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a bounded  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Hilbert space  $H$ . If  $\mathbf{T}$  is bounded away from zero, then there exist a quasi-affinity  $Y$  and a  $C_0$ -semigroup of isometries  $\mathbf{V} = \{V(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  on  $H$  such that:*

- (i)  $YT(t) = V(t)Y$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ ;
- (ii) for every  $R$  in  $\{\mathbf{T}'\}$ , there exists a (unique)  $\widetilde{R}$  in  $\{\mathbf{V}'\}$  such that  $\widetilde{R}Y = YR$  and  $\|\widetilde{R}\| \leq \|R\|$ .

*Proof.* (i) Here, we follow basically the proof by Nagy-Foias ([17], Chapter 2, Proposition 5.3) given there for discrete semigroups. Let  $C(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be the space of all bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . It is well known that the semigroup  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is amenable namely, there exists a functional  $\Phi \in C(\mathbb{R}_+)^*$  such that:

- (1)  $\Phi(\mathbf{1}) = 1$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the constant one function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ;
- (2)  $\Phi(f) \geq 0$ , for every  $f \geq 0$ ;
- (3)  $\Phi(f^t) = \Phi(f)$ , where  $f^t(s) = f(s + t)$ .

For given  $x, y \in H$ , let us consider the function  $f_{x,y}$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  defined by

$$f_{x,y}(s) = \langle T(s)x, T(s)y \rangle.$$

It can be seen that  $f_{x,y} \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Note also that  $\omega(x, y) = \Phi(f_{x,y})$  is a bounded sesquilinear form on  $H$ . Then, there exists  $Z \in B(H)$  such that  $\omega(x, y) = \langle Zx, y \rangle$ . If  $x = y \neq 0$ , then we have

$$\langle Zx, x \rangle = \Phi(\|T(s)x\|^2) \geq \inf_s \|T(s)x\|^2 > 0.$$

Now, if we set  $Y = Z^{1/2}$ , clearly  $Y$  is a quasi-affinity and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Yx\|^2 &= \Phi(\|T(s)x\|^2) = \Phi(\|T(s+t)x\|^2) \\ (2.3) \quad &= \Phi(\|T(s)T(t)x\|^2) = \|YT(t)x\|^2, \quad x \in H. \end{aligned}$$

For given  $t \geq 0$ , we define an operator  $V_0(t)$  on  $YH$  by  $V_0(t)Yx = YT(t)x$ ,  $x \in H$ . Since  $\|V_0(t)Yx\| = \|Yx\|$  and  $Y$  has dense range,  $V_0(t)$  can be extended to an isometry  $V(t)$  on  $H$ . Then, we have

$$YT(t) = V(t)Y, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

It can be easily verified that  $\mathbf{V} = \{V(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup of isometries.

Next, we prove (ii). Let  $R \in \{\mathbf{T}\}'$ . Define an operator  $\tilde{R}_0$  on  $YH$  by  $\tilde{R}_0Y = YR$ . In view of (2.3), for any  $x \in H$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{R}_0Yx\|^2 &= \|YRx\|^2 = \Phi(\|T(t)Rx\|^2) = \Phi(\|RT(t)x\|^2) \\ &\leq \|R\|^2 \Phi(\|T(t)x\|^2) = \|R\|^2 \|Yx\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Y$  has dense range,  $\tilde{R}_0$  can be extended to whole  $H$ . If denote this extension by  $\tilde{R}$ , then we have  $\tilde{R}Y = YR$  and  $\|\tilde{R}\| \leq \|R\|$ . It remains to show that  $\tilde{R} \in \{\mathbf{V}\}'$ . Since  $Y$  has dense range, from the identities

$$\tilde{R}V(t)Y = \tilde{R}YT(t) = YRT(t) = YT(t)R = V(t)YR = V(t)\tilde{R}Y \quad (t \geq 0),$$

we deduce that  $\tilde{R} \in \{\mathbf{V}\}'$ . ■

Now, we are in a position to prove Theorem 2.6.

*Proof of Theorem 2.6.* Assume that the Gelfand transform of  $R \in A(\mathbf{T})$  vanishes on  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $H_0 = \left\{x \in H : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)x\| = 0\right\}$ . Then,  $H_0$  is a closed subspace of  $H$  invariant under  $\mathbf{T}$ . We may assume that  $H_0 \neq H$ . Let  $K = H/H_0$  and let  $\pi : H \rightarrow K$  be the canonical surjection. Let  $\bar{\mathbf{T}} = \{\bar{T}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be the induced  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $K$  defined by  $\bar{T}(t)\pi = \pi T(t)$ . Then,  $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$  is bounded away from zero and  $\|\hat{f}(\bar{\mathbf{T}})\| \leq \|\hat{f}(\mathbf{T})\|$ , for all  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Now, apply Lemma 2.8(i) to this situation to obtain a quasi-affinity  $Y : K \rightarrow K$  and a  $C_0$ -semigroup of isometries  $\mathbf{V} = \{V(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  on  $K$  such that  $Y\bar{T}(t) = V(t)Y$ . Hence we have  $Y\pi T(t) = V(t)Y\pi$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ . On the other hand, since  $Y\hat{f}(\bar{\mathbf{T}}) = \hat{f}(\mathbf{V})Y$ , it follows from Lemma 2.8(ii) that  $\|\hat{f}(\mathbf{V})\| \leq \|\hat{f}(\bar{\mathbf{T}})\|$ , so that  $\|\hat{f}(\mathbf{V})\| \leq \|\hat{f}(\mathbf{T})\|$ , for all  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Finally, apply Lemma 2.7 to the situation  $(H, \mathbf{T})$ ,  $(K, \mathbf{V})$  and

$J = Y\pi$  to conclude that  $Y\pi R = 0$ . Since  $Y$  has zero kernel, we have that  $\pi R = 0$ , i.e.,  $RH \subset H_0$ . ■

As a corollary, we have the following special result.

**COROLLARY 2.9.** *Let  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on a Hilbert space  $H$  with generator  $A$ . If  $R \in A(\mathbf{T})$  is a compact operator, then the Gelfand transform of  $R$  vanishes on  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$  if and only if*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)R\| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\|T(t)R\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $t \rightarrow \infty$ ), for some  $R \in A(\mathbf{T})$ . Let  $t \geq 0$ ,  $iy \in \sigma(A)$  ( $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be given. By Lemma 2.2, there exists a multiplicative functional  $\phi_{iy}$  on  $A(\mathbf{T})$  such that  $\phi_{iy}(\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T})) = \widehat{f}(-y)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{iy}(T(t)\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T})) &= \phi_{iy}(\widehat{f}_t(\mathbf{T})) = \widehat{f}_t(-y) \\ &= \exp(iyt)\widehat{f}(-y) = \exp(iyt)\phi_{iy}(\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T})), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_t(s)$  is defined by  $f_t(s) = f(s-t)$ , if  $s \geq t$  and  $= 0$ , if  $0 \leq s < t$ . Since the set  $\{\widehat{f}(\mathbf{T}) : f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)\}$  is dense in  $A(\mathbf{T})$ , we have  $\phi_{iy}(T(t)R) = \exp(iyt)\widehat{R}(iy)$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ . It follows that

$$|\widehat{R}(iy)| = |\phi_{iy}(T(t)R)| \leq \|T(t)R\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, assume that  $R \in A(\mathbf{T})$  is a compact operator and  $\widehat{R}(z)$  vanishes on  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since the set  $\{Rx : x \in H, \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is relatively compact, it has a finite  $\varepsilon$ -mesh, say  $Rx_1, \dots, Rx_n$ , where  $\|x_i\| \leq 1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). This clearly implies

$$\|T(t)R\| \leq \max\{\|T(t)Rx_i\| : i = 1, \dots, n\} + \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

From this and from Theorem 2.6 it follows that  $\|T(t)R\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . ■

For the bounded  $C_0$ -semigroups we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.10.** *Let  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a bounded  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Hilbert space, which is bounded away from zero. If the Gelfand transform of  $R \in A(\mathbf{T})$  vanishes on the unitary spectrum of the generator of  $\mathbf{T}$ , then  $R = 0$ .*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8. ■

**THEOREM 2.11.** *If  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a cyclic  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on a Hilbert space  $H$ , then for every quasinilpotent  $R$  in  $\{\mathbf{T}\}'$  and  $x \in H$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)Rx\| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $H_0 = \{x \in H : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)x\| = 0\}$ . Then,  $H_0$  is a closed subspace of  $H$  invariant under  $\{\mathbf{T}\}'$ . We may assume that  $H_0 \neq H$ . Let  $K = H/H_0$  and let  $\pi : H \rightarrow K$  be the canonical surjection. Let  $\overline{\mathbf{T}} = \{\overline{T}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be the induced semigroup on  $K$  defined by  $\overline{T}(t)\pi = \pi T(t)$ . Then,  $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$  is a bounded away from

zero cyclic semigroup. Apply Lemma 2.8(i) to this situation to obtain a quasi-affinity  $Y : K \rightarrow K$  and a  $C_0$ -semigroup of isometries  $V = \{V(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  on  $K$  such that  $Y\bar{T}(t) = V(t)Y$ . It follows that  $V$  is a cyclic semigroup.

Note that any operator  $R$  in  $\{\bar{T}\}'$  generates an operator  $\bar{R}$  in  $\{\bar{T}\}'$  defined by  $\bar{R}\pi = \pi R$ . Since  $\|\bar{R}\| \leq \|R\|$ , it follows from the spectral radius formula that if  $\sigma(R) = \{0\}$ , then  $\sigma(\bar{R}) = \{0\}$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 2.8(ii), for every  $\bar{R}$  in  $\{\bar{T}\}'$  there exists a unique  $\tilde{R}$  in  $\{V\}'$  such that  $Y\bar{R} = \tilde{R}Y$  and  $\|\tilde{R}\| \leq \|\bar{R}\|$ . It follows that if  $\sigma(\bar{R}) = \{0\}$ , then  $\sigma(\tilde{R}) = \{0\}$ .

Now let  $R$  be a quasinilpotent in  $\{\bar{T}\}'$ . Then,  $\bar{R} \in \{\bar{T}\}'$  and  $\sigma(\bar{R}) = \{0\}$ . Consequently,  $\tilde{R} \in \{V\}'$  and  $\sigma(\tilde{R}) = \{0\}$ . Since  $V$  is a cyclic semigroup, by Proposition 2.5 we have  $\tilde{R} = 0$ . This implies that  $Y\bar{R} = 0$ . Since  $Y$  has zero kernel, we obtain  $\bar{R} = 0$ , so that  $\pi R = 0$ , i.e.,  $RH \subset H_0$ . ■

### 3. THE BANACH ALGEBRA GENERATED BY A SINGLE OPERATOR

In this section, we shall discuss some results concerning the semisimplicity problem for the algebras generated by a single bounded operator. For the proof of the main results of this section, we shall need some preliminary results with which we now proceed.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $T, R \in B(X)$ , where  $\sigma(R) = \{0\}$ . If  $(P_n(z))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are polynomials such that  $P_n(T) \rightarrow R$ , in the operator-norm topology, then  $P_n(z) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly for  $z \in \sigma(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Since for every  $S \in A(T)$ ,  $\sigma_{A(T)}(S) = \{\phi(S) : \phi \in M_{A(T)}\}$ , it follows from the spectral radius formula that  $\{\phi(R) : \phi \in M_{A(T)}\} = \sigma_{A(T)}(R) = \{0\}$ . Also, since  $\|P_n(T) - R\| \rightarrow 0$ , it follows that  $\phi(P_n(T)) \rightarrow \phi(R)$ , uniformly with respect to  $\phi \in M_{A(T)}$ . Taking into account the relation

$$\phi(P_n(T)) = P_n(\phi(T)) \quad (\phi \in M_{A(T)}),$$

we have  $P_n(z) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly for  $z \in \sigma_{A(T)}(T)$ . Since  $\sigma_{A(T)}(T) \supset \sigma(T)$ , we obtain that  $P_n(z) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly for  $z \in \sigma(T)$ . ■

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $T \in B(X)$ . Then,  $A(T)$  is semisimple if and only if  $A(T^*)$  is semisimple.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $A(T^*)$  is semisimple. Let  $R \in \text{Rad}A(T)$ . Then, there exists a sequence of polynomials  $(P_n(z))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\|P_n(T) - R\| \rightarrow 0$ . This implies  $\|P_n(T^*) - R^*\| \rightarrow 0$ . We see that  $R^* \in A(T^*)$  and  $\sigma(R^*) = \{0\}$ . Since  $A(T^*)$  is semisimple, we have  $R^* = 0$ , so that  $R = 0$ .

Now, assume that  $A(T)$  is semisimple. Let  $R \in \text{Rad}A(T^*)$ . Then, there exists a sequence of polynomials  $(P_n(z))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\|P_n(T^*) - R\| \rightarrow 0$ . This implies  $\|P_n(T^{**}) - R^*\| \rightarrow 0$  and therefore,  $\|P_n(T) - R^*|_X\| \rightarrow 0$ , where  $R^*|_X$  is

the restriction of  $R^*$  to  $X$ . We see that  $R^*|_X \in A(T)$ . On the other hand, since  $\sigma(R^*) = \{0\}$ , it follows from the spectral radius formula that  $\sigma(R^*|_X) = \{0\}$ . Also, since  $A(T)$  is semisimple, we have  $R^*|_X = 0$ . Further, using the fact that  $X$  is dense in  $X^{**}$  in the  $\sigma(X^{**}, X^*)$ -topology, we obtain  $R^* = 0$ , and so  $R = 0$ . ■

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $S$  be a (possibly unbounded) normal operator on a Hilbert space  $H$  and let  $Y, T \in B(H)$ , where  $Y$  has zero kernel and*

$$TYx = YSx, \quad \text{for all } x \in D(S).$$

*Then,  $\sigma(S) \subset \sigma(T)$  and consequently,  $S$  is bounded.*

*Proof.* Assume that there exists  $\xi \in \sigma(S)$ , but  $\xi \notin \sigma(T)$ . We put  $\delta = \|(T - \xi)^{-1}\|^{-1}$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < \delta$ . Let  $\Delta_\varepsilon = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \xi| < \varepsilon\}$  and let  $E(\cdot)$  be the spectral measure associated with  $S$ . Since  $\sigma(S) \cap \Delta_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $E(\Delta_\varepsilon) \neq 0$ . Let  $x \in E(\Delta_\varepsilon)H$  be such that  $\|x\| = 1$ . Then,  $x \in D(S^n)$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . From the identities

$$(S - \xi)^n x = \int_{\Delta_\varepsilon} (z - \xi)^n dE(z)x, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

we have

$$\|(S - \xi)^n x\| \leq \varepsilon^n.$$

On the other hand, we can write

$$(T - \xi)^n Yx = Y(S - \xi)^n x, \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows that

$$\|(T - \xi)^n Yx\| \leq \varepsilon^n \|Y\|.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|Yx\| \leq \|(T - \xi)^{-n}\| \|(T - \xi)^n Yx\| \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}\right)^n \|Y\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence,  $Yx = 0$ . Since  $Y$  has zero kernel, we obtain  $x = 0$ . This is a contradiction. ■

Let  $T_1, T_2 \in B(H)$ . An operator  $Y \in B(H)$  intertwines  $T_2$  and  $T_1$  if and only if  $T_1 Y = Y T_2$ .

One of the main results of this section is the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $T, S \in B(H)$ , where  $S$  is a normal operator. If there exists a quasi-affinity  $Y \in B(H)$  intertwining  $S$  and  $T$  (or  $T$  and  $S$ ), then the algebra  $A(T)$  is semisimple.*

*Proof.* Let  $R \in \text{Rad}A(T)$ . Then, there exists a sequence of polynomials  $(P_n(z))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\|P_n(T) - R\| \rightarrow 0$ . In view of Lemma 3.1,  $P_n(z) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly for  $z \in \sigma(T)$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $\sigma(S) \subset \sigma(T)$  and therefore,  $P_n(z) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly for  $z \in \sigma(S)$ . Since  $S$  is a normal operator,  $\|P_n(S)\| \rightarrow 0$ . Further, from

the identity  $TY = YS$  we can write  $P_n(T)Y = YP_n(S)$ . By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we find that  $RY = 0$ . Since  $Y$  has dense range, we obtain  $R = 0$ .

If  $Y$  intertwines  $T$  and  $S$ , then  $Y^*$  intertwines  $S^*$  and  $T^*$ . Since  $S^*$  is a normal operator and  $Y^*$  is a quasi-affinity, it follows from what we showed above that  $A(T^*)$  is semisimple. Hence, by Lemma 3.2 the algebra  $A(T)$  is semisimple. ■

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space.  $T \in B(H)$  is said to be essentially normal if  $TT^* - T^*T$  is a compact operator. We know that normal operators generate a semisimple algebra. On the other hand, the Volterra operator is essentially normal but it generates an algebra that is not semisimple.

We say that (see [10]) the sequence  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $B(H)$  slowly converges to zero if  $T_n \rightarrow 0$  in the weak operator topology and  $\inf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n x\| > 0$  for all  $x \neq 0$ .

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $T$  be an essentially normal operator. Assume that both  $\{T\}'$  and  $\{T^*\}'$  contain sequences which converge slowly to zero. Then, the algebra  $A(T)$  is semisimple.*

*Proof.* As proved in [10], under the hypotheses of the corollary,  $T$  is quasi-similar to some normal operator. It remains to apply Theorem 3.4. ■

It is a famous inequality of von Neumann that for every contraction  $T$  on a Hilbert space and every polynomial  $P$ ,  $\|P(T)\| \leq \sup_{z \in \Gamma} |P(z)|$ . Von Neumann's inequality is equivalent to the existence of a contractive disc-algebra functional calculus. It follows that  $A(T)$  (respectively  $W(T)$ ) coincides with the closure of the set  $\{f(T) : f \in A(D)\}$  in the uniform operator topology (respectively weak operator topology). Note also that for every  $\xi \in \sigma(T)$ , there exists a multiplicative functional  $\phi_\xi$  on  $A(T)$  such that  $\phi_\xi(f(T)) = f(\xi)$ ,  $f \in A(D)$ .

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $T, S \in B(H)$ , where  $S$  is a contraction and  $\Gamma \subset \sigma(T)$ . Assume that for  $S$  and  $T$  (respectively for  $T$  and  $S$ ) there exists an intertwining operator  $Y$  with dense range (respectively with zero kernel). Then, the algebra  $A(T)$  is semisimple.*

*Proof.* Let  $R \in \text{Rad}A(T)$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $(P_n(z))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of polynomials such that  $\|P_n(T) - R\| \rightarrow 0$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $P_n(z) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly for  $z \in \sigma(T)$ . Since  $\Gamma \subset \sigma(T)$ ,  $P_n(z) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly for  $z \in \Gamma$ . It follows from the von Neumann inequality that,

$$\|P_n(S)\| \leq \sup_{z \in \Gamma} |P_n(z)| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Further, from the identity  $TY = YS$  we can write  $P_n(T)Y = YP_n(S)$ . By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $RY = 0$ . Since  $Y$  has dense range, we have that  $R = 0$ . ■

A theorem of Esterle-Strouse-Zouakia ([4], Theorem 3), states that if  $T$  is a contraction on a Hilbert space and  $f \in A(D)$  vanishes on  $\sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$ , then it follows  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n f(T)\| = 0$ . We see that under these assumptions, the Lebesgue measure of  $\sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$  is necessarily zero.

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Let  $T$  be a contraction on a Hilbert space such that  $\sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$  has zero Lebesgue measure. Then, the Gelfand transform of  $R \in A(T)$  vanishes on  $\sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$  if and only if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n R\| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\|T^n R\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), for some  $R \in A(T)$ . For an arbitrary  $\xi \in \sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$  there exists a multiplicative functional  $\phi_\xi$  on  $A(T)$  such that  $\phi_\xi(T) = \xi$  and so since  $\phi_\xi$  has norm one,

$$|\widehat{R}(\xi)| = |\phi_\xi(T^n R)| \leq \|T^n R\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now let  $R \in A(T)$  be such that  $\widehat{R}(\xi) = 0$  on  $\sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $R \in A(T)$ , there exists a function  $f \in A(D)$  such that  $\|R - f(T)\| < \varepsilon$ . It follows that  $\sup_{\xi \in \sigma(T) \cap \Gamma} |f(\xi)| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$  has zero Lebesgue measure, by the Rudin-Carleson Theorem ([1], Chapter 8, Theorem 7.4), there exists a function  $g \in A(D)$  such that  $f(\xi) = g(\xi)$ , for all  $\xi \in \sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$  and  $\|g\| = \sup_{\xi \in \sigma(T) \cap \Gamma} |f(\xi)| < \varepsilon$ . By the von Neumann inequality,  $\|g(T)\| < \varepsilon$ . We put  $h = f - g$ . Then, we can write

$$\|R - h(T)\| = \|R - f(T) + g(T)\| \leq \|R - f(T)\| + \|g(T)\| < 2\varepsilon.$$

This implies

$$\|T^n R - T^n h(T)\| < 2\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

so that

$$\|T^n R\| \leq \|T^n h(T)\| + 2\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since  $h(\xi) = 0$  on  $\sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$ , by the Esterle-Strouse-Zouakia Theorem

$$\|T^n h(T)\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, we have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n R\| \leq 2\varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, the theorem is proved. ■

Recall that for the contraction  $T$  on a Hilbert space the discrete version of Corollary 2.9 can be formulated as follows: If  $R \in A(T)$  is a compact operator and if the Gelfand transform of  $R$  vanishes on  $\sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n R\| \rightarrow 0$ .

A partial converse of this fact is contained in the next theorem.

**THEOREM 3.8.** *Let  $T$  be a completely non-unitary contraction on a Hilbert space  $H$  such that  $\sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$  has zero Lebesgue measure and let*

$$\dim(I - TT^*)H = \dim(I - T^*T)H = 1.$$

*If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n R\| \rightarrow 0$ , then the Gelfand transform of  $R \in A(T)$  vanishes on  $\sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$  and  $R$  is a compact operator.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\|T^n R\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3.7 we can see that  $\widehat{R}(\xi) = 0$ , for all  $\xi \in \sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$ . It remains to show that  $R$  is a compact operator. Let us mention a theorem of Sz.-Nagy and Foias ([17], Chapter 2, Proposition 6.7) that if  $T$  is a completely non-unitary contraction with zero Lebesgue measure of  $\sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$ , then  $T^n \rightarrow 0$  and  $T^{*n} \rightarrow 0$  strongly. According to the well known model theorem of Sz.-Nagy and Foias,  $T$  is unitary equivalent to its model operator  $M_\varphi = P_\varphi S|_{K_\varphi}$ , acting on the model space  $K_\varphi = H^2 \ominus \varphi H^2$ , where  $\varphi$  is an inner function,  $Sf = zf$  is the shift operator on the Hardy space  $H^2$  and  $P_\varphi$  is the orthogonal projection of  $H^2$  onto  $K_\varphi$ . It follows that for every  $h \in A(D)$ , the operator  $h(T)$  is unitary equivalent to  $h(M_\varphi) = P_\varphi h(S)|_{K_\varphi}$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $R \in A(T)$ , there exists a function  $f \in A(D)$  such that  $\|R - f(T)\| < \varepsilon$ . It follows that  $\sup_{\xi \in \sigma(T) \cap \Gamma} |f(\xi)| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$  has zero

Lebesgue measure, by the Rudin-Carleson Theorem ([1], Chapter 8, Theorem 7.4) there exists a function  $g \in A(D)$  such that  $f(\xi) = g(\xi)$ , for all  $\xi \in \sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$  and  $\|g\| = \sup_{\xi \in \sigma(T) \cap \Gamma} |f(\xi)| < \varepsilon$ . In view of the von Neumann inequality, we

have  $\|g(T)\| < \varepsilon$ . Put  $h = f - g$ . Since  $h(\xi) = 0$  on  $\sigma(T) \cap \Gamma$ , by the Esterle-Strouse-Zouakia Theorem,  $\|T^n h(T)\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, we have that  $\|M_\varphi^n h(M_\varphi)\| \rightarrow 0$ . Now, by the Hartman-Sarason Theorem ([13], p. 235),  $h(M_\varphi)$  is a compact operator. Consequently,  $h(T)$  is a compact operator. On the other hand,

$$\|R - h(T)\| = \|R - f(T) + g(T)\| \leq \|R - f(T)\| + \|g(T)\| < 2\varepsilon.$$

It follows that  $R$  is a compact operator. ■

*Acknowledgements.* I am grateful to the Referee for his many helpful remarks, suggestions and substantial improvements to the paper.

This research has been supported by the YYUBAP Project No: 2006-FED-B12.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. BEAUZAMY, *Introduction to Operator Theory and Invariant Subspaces*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1988.
- [2] J. CONWAY, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1985.
- [3] K.J. ENGEL, R. NAGEL, *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 194, Springer-Verlag, New York 1999.
- [4] J. ESTERLE, E. STROUSE, F. ZOUAKIA, Theorems of Katznelson-Tzafriri type for contractions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **94**(1990), 273–287.
- [5] G.M. FELDMAN, The semisimplicity of an algebra generated by isometric operators [Russian], *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **8**(1974), 93–94.

- [6] I. GELFAND, D. RAIKOV, G. SHILOV, *Commutative Normed Rings*, Chelsea Publ. Company, New York 1964.
- [7] P.R. HALMOS, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1982.
- [8] L. KERCHY, V.Q. PHONG, On invariant subspaces for power-bounded operators of class  $C_1$ , *Taiwan. J. Math.* **7**(2003), 69–75.
- [9] R. LARSEN, *Banach Algebras*, Marcel-Dekker Inc., New York 1973.
- [10] V. LOMONOSOV, On a construction of an intertwining operator [Russian], *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen* **14**(1980), 67–68.
- [11] H.S. MUSTAFAYEV, A.T. GURKANLI, On semisimplicity of some operator algebras, *Doluga Mat.* **16**(1992), 192–200.
- [12] J.M.A.M. VAN NEERVEN, *The Asymptotic Behavior of Semigroups of Linear Operators*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 88, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 1996.
- [13] N.K. NIKOLSKII, *Treatise on the Shift Operator* [Russian], Nauka, Moscow 1980.
- [14] A. PAZY, *Semigroup of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1983.
- [15] V.Q. PHONG, Theorems of Katznelson-Tzafriri type for semigroups of operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **103**(1977), 74–84.
- [16] B. SZ.-NAGY, Quasi-similarity of Hilbert space operators, in *Differential equations (Proceedings of International Conference, Uppsala, 1977)*, Sympos. Univ. Upsaliensis Ann. Quingentesimum Celebrantis, vol. 7, Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm 1977, pp. 179–188.
- [17] B. SZ.-NAGY, C. FOIAS, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, North Holland, Amsterdam 1970.

H.S. MUSTAFAYEV, YUZUNCU YIL UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VAN, TURKEY  
*E-mail address:* hsmustafayev@yahoo.com

Received July 14, 2004; revised November 10, 2006.