

COMPOSITION OPERATORS FROM WEAK TO STRONG SPACES OF VECTOR-VALUED ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

JUSSI LAITILA, HANS-OLAV TYLLI, and MAOFA WANG

Communicated by Kenneth R. Davidson

ABSTRACT. Let φ be an analytic map from the unit disk into itself, X a complex infinite-dimensional Banach space and $2 \leq p < \infty$. It is shown that the composition operator $C_\varphi: f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ is bounded $wH^p(X) \rightarrow H^p(X)$ if and only if C_φ is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator $H^2 \rightarrow H^2$. Here $H^p(X)$ is the X -valued Hardy space and $wH^p(X)$ is a related weak vector-valued Hardy space. A similar result is established for vector-valued Bergman spaces.

KEYWORDS: *Composition operator, vector-valued Hardy space, vector-valued Bergman space.*

MSC (2000): Primary 47B33; Secondary 46E40.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a complex Banach space and $1 \leq p < \infty$. The vector-valued Hardy space $H^p(X)$ consists of the analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ which satisfy

$$\|f\|_{H^p(X)} := \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \|f(r\zeta)\|_X^p dm(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where \mathbb{D} is the unit disk in the complex plane and dm is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$. Analogously, the vector-valued Bergman space $B_p(X)$ consists of the analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f\|_{B_p(X)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \|f(z)\|_X^p dA(z) \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where dA is the normalized 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{D} . (The customary notation $H^p(\mathbb{C}) = H^p$ and $B_p(\mathbb{C}) = B_p$ will be used in the scalar-valued case.) These classes of vector-valued spaces have been studied quite extensively, see e.g. [2], [13] and the survey [4]. The following weak versions of these spaces were considered by e.g. Blasco [1] and Bonet, Domański and Lindström [5]: the

weak spaces $wH^p(X)$ and $wB_p(X)$ consist of the analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ for which

$$\|f\|_{wH^p(X)} := \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \|x^* \circ f\|_{H^p}, \quad \|f\|_{wB_p(X)} := \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \|x^* \circ f\|_{B_p},$$

are finite, respectively. Here $x^* \in X^*$, the dual space of X . Such weak spaces $wE(X)$ can be introduced under fairly general conditions on the Banach space E consisting of analytic maps $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, see Section 4.

Let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} into itself. There is recent interest into properties of the analytic composition maps

$$C_\varphi: f \mapsto f \circ \varphi,$$

in various vector-valued settings, see e.g. [19], [5], [15], [17], [27] and [16]. It is known (cf. p. 298 of [19]) that C_φ always defines a bounded linear operator $H^p(X) \rightarrow H^p(X)$ and $B_p(X) \rightarrow B_p(X)$ for any Banach space X and $1 \leq p < \infty$, and it is easily checked that C_φ is also bounded on the weak spaces $wH^p(X)$ and $wB_p(X)$. Hence it is a natural problem to characterize the analytic maps $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ for which C_φ is bounded from $wH^p(X)$ to $H^p(X)$, or from $wB_p(X)$ to $B_p(X)$. This problem is motivated e.g. by the fact that $H^p(X)$ and $wH^p(X)$ are completely different spaces for any infinite-dimensional Banach space X . In fact, $H^p(X) \subsetneq wH^p(X)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{wH^p(X)}$ is not equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{H^p(X)}$ on $H^p(X)$, see Corollary 12 of [11], or Example 15 of [15], Section 6 of [17]. The properties of C_φ from $wH^p(X)$ to $H^p(X)$ further reflect these differences. Note that $wH^p(\mathbb{C}) = H^p$ and $wB_p(\mathbb{C}) = B_p$, so our question does not arise for $X = \mathbb{C}$. The theory of composition operators on various spaces of scalar-valued analytic functions is very extensive, see e.g. [8] and [22] for comprehensive overviews.

Our main results establish that for $2 \leq p < \infty$ and any complex infinite-dimensional Banach space X the operator C_φ is bounded $wH^p(X) \rightarrow H^p(X)$ if and only if

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) < \infty,$$

and C_φ is bounded $wB_p(X) \rightarrow B_p(X)$ if and only if

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^2} dA(z) < \infty.$$

In (1.1) the a.e. radial limit function of φ on \mathbb{T} is also denoted $\zeta \mapsto \varphi(\zeta)$. The appearance of (1.1) and (1.2) in this context is somewhat surprising. In fact, φ satisfies (1.1) if and only if C_φ is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator $H^2 \rightarrow H^2$, while analogously φ satisfies (1.2) if and only if C_φ is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator $B_2 \rightarrow B_2$ (see Remarks 2.4 and 3.3 for a more careful discussion). As a contrasting example we observe that C_φ is bounded $wBMOA(\ell^2) \rightarrow BMOA(\ell^2)$ if and only if C_φ is bounded $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow BMOA$, where \mathcal{B} is the Bloch space. For completeness we

also include concrete examples where the norms $\|\cdot\|_{wB_p(X)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{B_p(X)}$ are not equivalent on $B_p(X)$ for any infinite-dimensional X and $1 \leq p < \infty$.

We are indebted to Sten Kaijser for asking during a conference at Oxford, Ohio, about the boundedness of composition operators from $wH^2(\ell^2)$ to $H^2(\ell^2)$, as well as to Paweł Domański for a subsequent discussion.

2. COMPOSITION OPERATORS FROM WEAK TO STRONG HARDY SPACES

We start with the following straightforward lemma which provides an upper bound for the norm of C_φ from weak to strong Hardy and Bergman spaces for any $1 \leq p < \infty$. We include both cases here, since the arguments are very similar.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let X be any complex Banach space and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \|C_\varphi: wH^p(X) \rightarrow H^p(X)\| \leq \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) \right)^{1/p}, \text{ and}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \|C_\varphi: wB_p(X) \rightarrow B_p(X)\| \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^2} dA(z) \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. For (2.1) recall that any analytic map $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad |f(z)|^p \leq \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2} \|f\|_{H^p}^p \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

(see e.g. p. 18 of [8]). Hence

$$\|f(z)\|_X^p = \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} |(x^* \circ f)(z)|^p \leq \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2} \|f\|_{wH^p(X)}^p$$

for $f \in wH^p(X)$. Consequently

$$\|C_\varphi f\|_{H^p(X)}^p = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|f(\varphi(r\zeta))\|_X^p dm(\zeta) \leq \|f\|_{wH^p(X)}^p \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta).$$

The proof of (2.2) is similar, but instead of (2.3) one uses the sharp pointwise estimate $|f(z)|^p \leq (1 - |z|^2)^{-2} \|f\|_{B_p}^p$ (see [25]). ■

We will require Dvoretzky’s well-known theorem: for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $m(n, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for any Banach space X of dimension at least $m(n, \varepsilon)$ there is a linear (into) embedding $T_n: \ell_2^n \rightarrow X$ so that

$$(2.4) \quad (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j T_n e_j \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for any scalars a_1, \dots, a_n . Here (e_1, \dots, e_n) is some fixed orthonormal basis of ℓ_2^n . For proofs see e.g. Chapter 19 of [9] or Chapter 4 of [21].

The following result is the main one of this section. Here “ \approx ” means equivalence up to constants only depending on p .

THEOREM 2.2. *Let X be any complex infinite-dimensional Banach space. Then*

$$(2.5) \quad \|C_\varphi: wH^p(X) \rightarrow H^p(X)\| \approx \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) \right)^{1/p}$$

for $2 < p < \infty$, and

$$(2.6) \quad \|C_\varphi: wH^2(X) \rightarrow H^2(X)\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that it is already hard to compute the norm of $C_\varphi: H^2 \rightarrow H^2$ (cf. [6] and its references), so the general identity (2.6) comes as a pleasant bonus. Before embarking on the proof of Theorem 2.2 we record an elementary numerical estimate that will be applied below.

LEMMA 2.3. *There is $c > 0$ such that for any $-1 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $1/2 \leq t < 1$ one has*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^\alpha t^k \geq \frac{c}{(1-t)^{\alpha+1}}.$$

Proof. Suppose first that $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$. Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^\alpha t^k \geq \int_1^{\infty} x^\alpha t^x dx$, since the map $x \mapsto x^\alpha t^x = x^\alpha e^{-x \log(1/t)}$ decreases on $[1, \infty)$. By changing variables $x = y/(\log(1/t))$, and applying $0 < \log(1/t) \leq 2(1-t)$ for $1/2 \leq t < 1$, we get that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^\alpha t^k \geq \int_1^{\infty} x^\alpha e^{-x \log(1/t)} dx = \frac{1}{(\log(1/t))^{\alpha+1}} \int_{\log(1/t)}^{\infty} y^\alpha e^{-y} dy \geq \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+1} (1-t)^{\alpha+1}} \int_{\log 2}^{\infty} y^\alpha e^{-y} dy.$$

If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then $x \mapsto x^\alpha e^{-x \log(1/t)}$ decreases for $x \geq \alpha/(\log(1/t))$. By arguing as before we obtain (with $a(t, \alpha) = \alpha/(\log(1/t) + 1)$) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^\alpha t^k \geq \int_{a(t, \alpha)}^{\infty} x^\alpha e^{-x \log(1/t)} dx \geq (2(1-t))^{-\alpha-1} \int_{\alpha + \log 2}^{\infty} y^\alpha e^{-y} dy.$$

The above calculations yield the claim with $c = 2^{-2} \int_{1+\log 2}^{\infty} y^{-1} e^{-y} dy$. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.2. It is known how to deduce the upper bound

$$(2.7) \quad \|C_\varphi\| \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) \right)^{1/p}$$

from (2.1) for $2 \leq p < \infty$. Indeed, if the right-hand side of (2.7) is finite, then $|\varphi(\zeta)| < 1$ for a.e. $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, so that $(1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|^2)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\varphi(\zeta)|^{2k}$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} . Monotone convergence and the subharmonicity of $|\varphi(\cdot)|^{2k}$ yield that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\varphi(r\zeta)|^{2k} dm(\zeta) \geq \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta).$$

We next derive the lower estimate for $\|C_\varphi\|$ in the case $2 < p < \infty$, before indicating the modifications required for (2.6). Suppose that $x \in X$ satisfies $\|x\| = 1$, and let $g: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ be the constant map $g(z) = x$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Clearly $\|g\|_{wH^p(X)} = 1$, so that $\|C_\varphi\| \geq \|g \circ \varphi\|_{H^p(X)} = \|x\| = 1$. Hence

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}: |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2 < 1/2\}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) \leq 2 \leq 2\|C_\varphi\|^p,$$

for $0 < r < 1$. Consequently it will suffice towards (2.5) to find a uniform constant $K > 0$ so that, for $0 < r < 1$,

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}: |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2 \geq 1/2\}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) \leq K\|C_\varphi\|^p.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Use Dvoretzky's theorem to fix a linear embedding $T_n: \ell_2^n \rightarrow X$ so that $\|T_n\| = 1$ and $\|T_n^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ as in (2.4). Put $x_k^{(n)} = T_n e_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$, where (e_1, \dots, e_n) is some fixed orthonormal basis of ℓ_2^n . Let $\lambda_k = k^{1/p-1/2}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the sequence (f_n) of analytic polynomials $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$f_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k z^k x_k^{(n)} = T_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k z^k e_k \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

According to a result of Duren ([10], Theorem 1) the sequence (λ_k) is a bounded coefficient multiplier from H^2 to H^p for $2 < p < \infty$. This means that there is $c_1 > 0$ so that

$$(2.10) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k a_k z^k \right\|_{H^p} \leq c_1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k z^k \right\|_{H^2} = c_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and complex polynomials $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k z^k$. We get from (2.10) for any $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^* \circ f_n\|_{H^p} &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x^*(x_k^{(n)}) z^k \right\|_{H^p} \leq c_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x^*(x_k^{(n)})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= c_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |T_n^* x^*(e_k)|^2 \right)^{1/2} = c_1 \|T_n^* x^*\| \leq c_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sup_n \|f_n\|_{wH^p(X)} \leq c_1$ and $\|C_\varphi\| \geq c_1^{-1} \limsup_n \|f_n \circ \varphi\|_{H^p(X)}$. We get from Fatou's lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi\|^p &\geq \frac{1}{c_1^p} \limsup_n \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\| T_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(r\zeta)^k e_k \right) \right\|_X^p dm(\zeta) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{c_1^p (1+\varepsilon)^p} \limsup_n \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(r\zeta)^k e_k \right\|_{\ell_2^n}^p dm(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{1}{c_1^p (1+\varepsilon)^p} \limsup_n \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k^{2/p-1} |\varphi(r\zeta)|^{2k} \right)^{p/2} dm(\zeta) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{c_1^p (1+\varepsilon)^p} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{2/p-1} |\varphi(r\zeta)|^{2k} \right)^{p/2} dm(\zeta) \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < r < 1$. Lemma 2.3, applied with $\alpha = 2/p - 1$ and $t = |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2$, yields that

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{2/p-1} |\varphi(r\zeta)|^{2k} \geq \frac{c_2}{(1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2)^{2/p}}$$

for those $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ that satisfy $|\varphi(r\zeta)|^2 \geq 1/2$. Consequently

$$\|C_\varphi\|^p \geq \frac{c_2^{p/2}}{c_1^p (1+\varepsilon)^p} \int_{\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2 \geq 1/2\}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta),$$

for $0 < r < 1$. This proves (2.9) with $K = c_1^p 2^p c_2^{-p/2}$ (and $\varepsilon = 1$). Hence, from Fatou once more, (2.8) and (2.9), there is $c_p > 0$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi : wH^p(X) \rightarrow H^p(X)\| &\geq c_p \cdot \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\geq c_p \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

so that (2.5) holds.

For (2.6) it is convenient to use the X -valued polynomials

$$g_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n z^{k-1} x_k^{(n)} = T_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n z^{k-1} e_k \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since (z^k) is orthonormal in H^2 it follows that $\|x^* \circ g_n\|_{H^2}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n |T_n^* x^*(e_k)|^2 \leq 1$ for $x^* \in B_{X^*}$, so that $\|g_n\|_{wH^2(X)} \leq 1$ for each n . We obtain as above that

$$\|C_\varphi\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} \limsup_n \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(r\zeta)^{k-1} e_k \right\|_{\ell_2^n}^2 dm(\zeta) \geq \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\varphi(r\zeta)|^{2k-2} dm(\zeta)$$

for any $0 < r < 1$. Thus

$$\|C_\varphi\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{(1 + \varepsilon)^2} \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) \geq \frac{1}{(1 + \varepsilon)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta),$$

so that (2.6) holds as $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary. ■

REMARKS 2.4. (i) The preceding argument was suggested by the case $X = \ell^2$ and $p = 2$. Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty z^k e_{k+1}$, where (e_k) is the unit vector basis of ℓ^2 . Then $\|f(\varphi(z))\|_{\ell^2}^2 = (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{-1}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f \in B_{wH^2(\ell^2)}$, so that as above

$$\|C_\varphi\|^2 \geq \|f \circ \varphi\|_{H^2(\ell^2)}^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta) \geq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|^2} dm(\zeta).$$

(ii) The boundedness of $C_\varphi : wH^p(X) \rightarrow H^p(X)$ forces φ to belong to a restricted class of symbols, but (1.1) is unexpected here. Recall that C_φ is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator on H^2 if and only if (1.1) is satisfied, see Theorem 3.1 of [23] or p. 146 of [8], where the right-hand side of (2.6) equals the Hilbert–Schmidt norm. Thus (1.1) is much stricter than the compactness condition for $C_\varphi : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$ due to J.H. Shapiro, see e.g. Theorem 3.20 of [8] or p. 26 of [22]. Moreover, if φ maps \mathbb{D} into a polygon inscribed in the unit circle, then (1.1) holds (cf. Corollary 3.2 of [23] or Proposition 3.25 of [8]) so that C_φ is bounded $wH^2(X) \rightarrow H^2(X)$. Hence there are self-maps φ so that $\|\varphi\|_\infty = 1$ and C_φ maps $wH^2(X)$ boundedly into $H^2(X)$ for any X .

(iii) (Suggested by Eero Saksman.) Let U be a bounded operator $H^2 \rightarrow H^2$. Suppose that

$$(2.11) \quad (U \otimes I_{\ell^2})(gx) = (Ug)x, \quad g \in H^2, x \in \ell^2,$$

extends to a well-defined bounded operator $U \otimes I_{\ell^2} : wH^2(\ell^2) \rightarrow H^2(\ell^2)$, where gx denotes the analytic map $z \mapsto g(z)x$ for $g \in H^2, x \in \ell^2$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then U is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator $H^2 \rightarrow H^2$, that is, $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \|Ug_n\|_{H^2}^2$ is finite, where $g_n(z) = z^n$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

To see this fact note first that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty g_n e_{n+1} \in B_{wH^2(\ell^2)}$ by orthonormality. Hence one gets from (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \|Ug_n\|_{H^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty |(Ug_n)(\zeta)|^2 \right) dm(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^\infty (Ug_n)(\zeta) e_{n+1} \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 dm(\zeta) \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n=0}^\infty (Ug_n) e_{n+1} \right\|_{H^2(\ell^2)}^2 = \left\| (U \otimes I_{\ell^2}) \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty g_n e_{n+1} \right) \right\|_{H^2(\ell^2)}^2 \\ &\leq \|U \otimes I_{\ell^2} : wH^2(\ell^2) \rightarrow H^2(\ell^2)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

An analogous comment also applies to the Bergman case in Section 3.

It remains unclear whether (2.5) holds for $1 \leq p < 2$. In this case the bounded coefficient multipliers $H^2 \rightarrow H^p$ correspond precisely to $(\lambda_k) \in \ell^\infty$, see Theorem 2 of [14]. By applying the ideas of Theorem 2.2 to $(\lambda_k) = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ one only obtains the weaker lower bound

$$\|C_\varphi: wH^p(X) \rightarrow H^p(X)\| \geq c_p \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(\zeta)|^2} \right)^{p/2} dm(\zeta) \right)^{1/p},$$

where $c_p > 0$ is independent of φ . We leave the details to the reader.

3. COMPOSITION OPERATORS FROM WEAK TO STRONG BERGMAN SPACES

Let X be an arbitrary infinite-dimensional complex Banach space and $2 \leq p < \infty$. In this section we relate the norm of $C_\varphi: wB_p(X) \rightarrow B_p(X)$ to the known condition for C_φ to be a Hilbert–Schmidt operator $B_2 \rightarrow B_2$.

We include concrete examples demonstrating that $wB_p(X)$ and $B_p(X)$ differ for any $p \in [1, \infty)$ and infinite-dimensional X , since this fact does not seem to have been made explicit in the literature. (Theorem 3.2 below also implies this for $2 \leq p < \infty$, but only indirectly.) The argument will use the following fact about lacunary series in $B_p(X)$: *let X be any complex Banach space and $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then there are $a_p, b_p > 0$ so that*

$$(3.1) \quad a_p \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|x_n\|^{p2^{-n}} \right)^{1/p} \leq \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{2^n} x_n \right\|_{B_p(X)} \leq b_p \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|x_n\|^{p2^{-n}} \right)^{1/p}$$

for any sequence $(x_n) \subset X$. (See the survey [4], Proposition 4.4 and Corollary 4.5, for a proof.)

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let X be any complex infinite-dimensional Banach space and $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then $B_p(X) \subsetneq wB_p(X)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{wB_p(X)}$ is not equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{B_p(X)}$ on $B_p(X)$.*

Proof. Fix for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a linear embedding $T_n: \ell_2^n \rightarrow X$ so that $\|T_n\| = 1$ and $\|T_n^{-1}\| \leq 2$ as in (2.4). Put $x_k^{(n)} = T_n e_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$, where (e_1, \dots, e_n) is some fixed orthonormal basis of ℓ_2^n . Consider the sequence of X -valued lacunary polynomials

$$f_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{k/p} z^{2^k} x_k^{(n)} = T_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n 2^{k/p} z^{2^k} e_k \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that

$$(3.2) \quad \|f_n\|_{B_p(X)} \approx n^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_n\|_{wB_p(X)} \leq c_p,$$

where the constants are independent of n . In fact, by applying (3.1) for $X = \ell^2$ we get that

$$\|f_n\|_{B_p(X)} \approx \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{k/p} z^{2^k} e_k \right\|_{B_p(\ell_2^n)} \approx \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|2^{k/p} e_k\|_{\ell_2^n}^p 2^{-k} \right)^{1/p} = n^{1/p}$$

uniformly in n for any fixed $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $x^* \in B_{X^*}$. The scalar version of (3.1) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^* \circ f_n\|_{B_p} &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{k/p} z^{2^k} T_n^* x^*(e_k) \right\|_{B_p} \leq b_p \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |T_n^* x^*(e_k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq b_p \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |T_n^* x^*(e_k)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq b_p. \end{aligned}$$

For $p \in [1, 2)$ Hölder’s inequality and the above estimate imply that

$$\|f_n\|_{wB_p(X)} \leq \|f_n\|_{wB_2(X)} \leq b_2.$$

Concrete functions $f \in wB_p(X) \setminus B_p(X)$ can be produced e.g. by mimicking the argument for the vector-valued Hardy spaces in Example 6.2 of [17]. Consecutive applications of Dvoretzky’s theorem as above yield embeddings $T_n: \ell_2^{2^n} \rightarrow X_n$ for each n , where $X_n = [y_{m_n+1}, \dots, y_{m_{n+1}}]$ are suitable block subspaces of some fixed Schauder basic sequence $(y_k) \subset X$. Here $(m_n) \subset \mathbb{N}$ is some rapidly enough increasing sequence. The desired analytic function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ can be chosen as

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha n/p} T_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2^n} 2^{k/p} z^{2^k} e_k \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1/2$. In fact, the series converges geometrically in $wB_p(X)$ by (3.2). Since (X_n) is a finite-dimensional Schauder decomposition in X there is $c > 0$ so that $\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \right\| \geq c \cdot \sup_n \|x_n\|$ whenever $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges in X and $x_n \in X_n$ for each n , see p. 47 of [18]. By combining these estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N 2^{-\alpha n/p} T_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2^n} 2^{k/p} z^{2^k} e_k \right) \right\|_{B_p(X)} &\geq c \cdot 2^{-\alpha N/p} \left\| T_N \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2^N} 2^{k/p} z^{2^k} e_k \right) \right\|_{B_p(X)} \\ &\geq c \cdot d_p \cdot 2^{(N/p)(1-\alpha)} \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Above $d_p > 0$ is independent of N . ■

The following result is the analogue of Theorem 2.2 in the Bergman case.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let X be any complex infinite-dimensional Banach space. Then*

$$(3.3) \quad \|C_\varphi: wB_p(X) \rightarrow B_p(X)\| \approx \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^2} dA(z) \right)^{1/p}$$

for $2 < p < \infty$ and

$$(3.4) \quad \|C_\varphi: wB_2(X) \rightarrow B_2(X)\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^2} dA(z) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. The upper estimate $\|C_\varphi\|^p \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{-2} dA(z)$ holds by (2.2) for $2 \leq p < \infty$. The strategy of the rest of the proof will be similar to that of Theorem 2.2, but involving different functions.

It will again suffice as in the Hardy case to verify for $2 < p < \infty$ that

$$\int_{\{z \in \mathbb{D}: |\varphi(z)|^2 \geq 1/2\}} \frac{1}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^2} dA(z) \leq K \|C_\varphi\|^p,$$

where $K > 0$ is a suitable constant. Fix for any given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ a linear embedding $T_n: \ell_2^n \rightarrow X$ so that $\|T_n\| = 1$ and $\|T_n^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ as in (2.4). Let $x_k^{(n)} = T_n e_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$, where (e_1, \dots, e_n) is an orthonormal basis of ℓ_2^n . Consider the X -valued polynomials

$$f_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k z^k x_k^{(n)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $\lambda_k = k^{2/p-1/2}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By a result of Vukotić ([26], Theorem 2) the sequence $(k^{2/p-1})$ is a coefficient multiplier $B_2 \rightarrow B_p$ for $2 < p < \infty$. Hence there is $c_1 > 0$ so that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k a_k z^k \right\|_{B_p} \leq c_1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{1/2} a_k z^k \right\|_{B_2} \leq c_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and complex polynomials $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k z^k$, since $(\sqrt{n+1} z^n)$ is an orthonormal sequence in B_2 . If $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ then we get that

$$\|x^* \circ f_n\|_{B_p} = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x^*(x_k^{(n)}) z^k \right\|_{B_p} \leq c_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x^*(x_k^{(n)})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq c_1,$$

so that $\|f_n\|_{wB_p(X)} \leq c_1$ for all n .

It follows that $\|C_\varphi\| \geq c_1^{-1} \limsup_n \|f_n \circ \varphi\|_{B_p(X)}$. By applying Lemma 2.3, with $\alpha = 4/p - 1 \in (-1, 1]$ and $t = |\varphi(z)|^2$, for those $z \in \mathbb{D}$ which satisfy $|\varphi(z)|^2 \geq 1/2$ we get from Fatou's lemma that

$$\|C_\varphi\|^p \geq \frac{1}{c_1^p (1 + \varepsilon)^p} \limsup_n \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \varphi(z)^k e_k \right\|_{\ell_2^n}^p dA(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{1}{c_1^p(1+\varepsilon)^p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{4/p-1} |\varphi(z)|^{2k} \right)^{p/2} dA(z) \\ &\geq \frac{c_2^{p/2}}{c_1^p(1+\varepsilon)^p} \int_{\{z \in \mathbb{D}: |\varphi(z)|^2 \geq 1/2\}} \frac{1}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^2} dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim with $K = c_1^p 2^p c_2^{-p/2}$, so that (3.3) holds.

Towards (3.4) consider instead

$$g_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sqrt{k+1} z^k x_k^{(n)} = T_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sqrt{k+1} z^k e_k \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows that $\|g_n\|_{wB_2(X)} \leq 1$ for any n , since $\|x^* \circ g_n\|_{B_2}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n |T_n^* x^*(e_k)|^2 \leq 1$ by orthonormality for any $x^* \in B_{X^*}$. We obtain as above, using some elementary calculus, that

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi\|^2 &\geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left\| T_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} \varphi(z)^k e_k \right) \right\|_X^2 dA(z) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) |\varphi(z)|^{2k} \right) dA(z) = \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^2} dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary we get the desired lower bound in (3.4). ■

REMARKS 3.3. (i) Define $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \ell_2$ by $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} z^k e_{k+1}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where (e_k) is the standard unit basis of ℓ^2 . One verifies as above that $f \in B_{wB_2(\ell_2)}$, while $\|f(z)\|_{\ell_2}^2 = (1-|z|^2)^{-2}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence the lower bound

$$\|C_\varphi: wB_2(\ell_2) \rightarrow B_2(\ell_2)\|^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^2} dA(z)$$

is also immediate in this special case.

(ii) Boyd ([7], Theorem 4.1) showed that C_φ is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator on B_2 if and only if (1.2) holds. Moreover, if φ maps \mathbb{D} into a polygon inscribed in the unit circle, then C_φ is Hilbert–Schmidt on B_2 , see Theorem 4.3 of [7]. Thus the class of self-maps φ for which $C_\varphi: wB_p(X) \rightarrow B_p(X)$ is bounded for $2 \leq p < \infty$ lies strictly between those where $\|\varphi\|_\infty < 1$ and where C_φ is compact on B_2 . Compactness was characterized by MacCluer and Shapiro in terms of the angular derivatives of φ , see Theorem 3.22 of [8].

For $1 \leq p < 2$ the preceding ideas only yield a weaker lower bound, and this case remains unresolved. In fact, here (k^α) is a bounded coefficient multiplier $B_2 \rightarrow B_p$ if and only if $\alpha < 1/p - 1/2$, see Proposition 4 of [28]. The computations

of Theorem 3.2 applied to these sequences yield that

$$\|C_\varphi: wB_p(X) \rightarrow B_p(X)\| \geq c_{p,\beta} \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^\beta} dA(z) \right)^{1/p}$$

for $1 < \beta < 1 + p/2$. The details are left to the reader.

4. OTHER WEAK AND STRONG SPACES

Suppose that $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach space consisting of analytic functions $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that E contains the constant functions, and the unit ball B_E is compact in the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . For any complex Banach space X the analytic function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ belongs to the weak vector-valued space $wE(X)$ if $\|f\|_{wE(X)} = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \|x^* \circ f\|_E$ is finite. Then $wE(X)$ is a Banach space which is isometric to the space $L(V_*, X)$ of bounded operators, where V_* is a certain predual of E , see p. 244 of [5]. Here $wE(X) = E(X)$ may occur. This is so e.g. if $E = H^\infty$ or $E = \mathcal{B}$, the Bloch space, but recall that $wH^p(X)$ and $wB_p(X)$ always differ from the respective strong spaces.

It is easy to check that C_φ is bounded $wE(X) \rightarrow wE(X)$ if and only if C_φ is bounded $E \rightarrow E$, and some results for composition operators on weak spaces of analytic (or even harmonic) functions are found in [5], [15] and [17]. We point out here as an example that the condition for C_φ to be bounded $wBMOA(\ell^2) \rightarrow BMOA(\ell^2)$ is unrelated to the Hilbert–Schmidt conditions (2.6) and (3.4). Recall that $BMOA(X)$ consists of the analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ for which

$$\|f\|_{BMOA(X)} = \|f(0)\|_X + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f \circ \sigma_a - f(a)\|_{H^2(X)} < \infty,$$

where $\sigma_a(z) = (a - z)/(1 - \bar{a}z)$ for $a \in \mathbb{D}$. The weak space $wBMOA(X)$ differs from the strong space $BMOA(X)$ for any infinite-dimensional X , see Example 15 of [15].

EXAMPLE 4.1. C_φ is bounded $wBMOA(\ell^2) \rightarrow BMOA(\ell^2)$ if and only if

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|\varphi'(z)|^2(1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^2} dA(z) < \infty.$$

Proof. The known pointwise estimates for BMOA functions (see e.g. p. 95 of [12]) imply for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ that

$$\|f(z)\|_{\ell^2} \leq M(z)\|f\|_{wBMOA(\ell^2)}, \quad \|f'(z)\|_{\ell^2} \leq \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2} \|f\|_{wBMOA(\ell^2)},$$

where $M(z) = 1 + (1/2) \log((1 + |z|)/(1 - |z|))$. If $f \in B_{w\text{BMOA}(\ell^2)}$, then ([3], Corollary 1.1) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi f\|_{\text{BMOA}(\ell^2)} &\leq \|f(\varphi(0))\|_{\ell^2} + C \cdot \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \|f'(\varphi(z))\|_{\ell^2}^2 |\varphi'(z)|^2 d\mu_a(z) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq M(\varphi(0)) + C \cdot \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|\varphi'(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^2} d\mu_a(z) \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ is a uniform constant and $d\mu_a(z) = (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2) dA(z)$.

Conversely, define $g: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \ell^2$ by $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^{-1/2} z^{k+1} e_{k+1}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. It follows that $g \in w\text{BMOA}(\ell^2)$ (e.g. use Hardy's inequality, see p. 743 of [15]) and $\|g'(z)\|_{\ell^2}^2 = (1 - |z|^2)^{-2}$ as above. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi\| &\geq c \cdot \|C_\varphi g\|_{\text{BMOA}(\ell^2)} \geq c \cdot \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \|g'(\varphi(z))\|_{\ell^2}^2 |\varphi'(z)|^2 d\mu_a(z) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= c \cdot \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|\varphi'(z)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^2} d\mu_a(z) \right)^{1/2}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 4.2. C_φ is bounded from the Bloch space \mathcal{B} to BMOA if and only if (4.1) holds, see e.g. Proposition 3.8 of [24] or Proposition 3.1 of [20].

Acknowledgements. Laitila and Tylli were partly supported by the Academy of Finland project #120970, and Wang was partly supported by the Väisälä Foundation, Finnish Academy of Science and Letters.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. BLASCO, Boundary values of vector-valued harmonic functions considered as operators, *Studia Math.* **86**(1987), 19–33.
- [2] O. BLASCO, Hardy spaces of vector-valued functions: duality, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **308**(1988), 495–507.
- [3] O. BLASCO, Remarks on vector-valued BMOA and vector-valued multipliers, *Positivity* **4**(2000), 339–356.
- [4] O. BLASCO, Introduction to vector valued Bergman spaces, in *Function Spaces and Operator Theory (Joensuu, 2003)*, Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser., vol. 8, Univ. Joensuu, Joensuu 2005, pp. 9–30.
- [5] J. BONET, P. DOMAŃSKI, M. LINDSTRÖM, Weakly compact composition operators on analytic vector-valued function spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **26**(2001), 233–248.
- [6] P.S. BOURDON, E.E. FRY, C. HAMMOND, C.H. SPOFFORD, Norms of linear-fractional composition operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356**(2004), 2459–2480.

- [7] D.M. BOYD, Composition operators on the Bergman space, *Colloq. Math.* **34**(1975/76), 127–136.
- [8] C.C. COWEN, B.D. MACCLUER, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton 1995.
- [9] J. DIESTEL, H. JARCHOW, A. TONGE, *Absolutely Summing Operators*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1995.
- [10] P.L. DUREN, On the multipliers of H^p spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22**(1969), 24–27.
- [11] F.J. FRENICHE, J.C. GARCÍA-VÁZQUEZ, L. RODRÍGUEZ-PIAZZA Operators into Hardy spaces and analytic Pettis integrable functions, in *Recent Progress in Functional Analysis (Valencia, 2000)*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 2001, pp. 349–362.
- [12] D. GIRELA, Analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation, in *Complex Function Spaces (Mekrijärvi, 1999)*, Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser., vol. 4, Univ. Joensuu, Joensuu 2001, pp. 61–170.
- [13] W. HENSGEN, On the dual space of $H^p(X)$, $1 < p < \infty$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **92**(1990), 348–371.
- [14] M. JEVIĆ, I. JOVANOVIĆ, Coefficient multipliers of mixed norm spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **36**(1993), 283–285.
- [15] J. LAITILA, Weakly compact composition operators on vector-valued BMOA, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **308**(2005), 730–745.
- [16] J. LAITILA, Composition operators and vector-valued BMOA, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **58**(2007), 487–502.
- [17] J. LAITILA, H.-O. TYLLI, Composition operators on vector-valued harmonic functions and Cauchy transforms, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **55**(2006), 719–746.
- [18] J. LINDENSTRAUSS, L. TZAFRIRI, *Classical Banach Spaces. I. Sequence Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1977.
- [19] P. LIU, E. SAKSMAN, H.-O. TYLLI, Small composition operators on analytic vector-valued function spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **184**(1998), 295–309.
- [20] S. MAKHMUTOV, M. TJANI, Composition operators on some Möbius invariant Banach spaces, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **62**(2000), 1–19.
- [21] G. PISIER, *The Volume of Convex Bodies and Banach Space Geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1989.
- [22] J.H. SHAPIRO, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1993.
- [23] J.H. SHAPIRO, P.D. TAYLOR, Compact, nuclear and Hilbert–Schmidt composition operators on H^2 , *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **23**(1973/74), 471–496.
- [24] M. TJANI, Compact composition operators on some Möbius invariant Banach spaces, Ph.D. Dissertation, Michigan State Univ., Michigan 1996.
- [25] D. VUKOTIĆ, A sharp estimate for A_α^p functions in \mathbb{C}^n , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117**(1993), 753–756.
- [26] D. VUKOTIĆ, On the coefficient multipliers of Bergman spaces, *J. London Math. Soc.* **50**(1994), 341–348.

- [27] M. WANG, Composition operators on analytic vector-valued Nevanlinna classes, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed.* **25**(2005), 771–780.
- [28] P. WOJTASZCZYK, On multipliers into Bergman spaces and Nevanlinna class, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **33**(1990), 151–161.

JUSSI LAITILA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, P.B. 68 (GUSTAF HÄLLSTRÖMIN KATU 2B), FIN-00014 UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, FINLAND

E-mail address: jussi.laitila@helsinki.fi

HANS-OLAV TYLLI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, P.B. 68 (GUSTAF HÄLLSTRÖMIN KATU 2B), FIN-00014 UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, FINLAND

E-mail address: hojtylli@cc.helsinki.fi

MAOFA WANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430072, CHINA

E-mail address: whuwmf@163.com

Received February 3, 2007.