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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathrm{d} \mu$ be the normalized Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ centered at 0 , so that

$$
\mathrm{d} \mu(z)=\pi^{-n} \mathrm{e}^{-|z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} V(z)
$$

Recall that the Segal-Bargmann space $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$ is defined as $\left\{f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)\right.$ : $f$ is analytic on $\left.\mathbb{C}^{n}\right\}$. It is well known that

$$
\left\{\left(k_{1}!\cdots k_{n}!\right)^{-1 / 2} z_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots z_{n}^{k_{n}}: k_{1} \geqslant 0, \ldots, k_{n} \geqslant 0\right\}
$$

forms an orthonormal basis for $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$ and that the orthogonal projection $P: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$ is an integral operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$ with kernel $\mathrm{e}^{\langle z, w\rangle}$. Here and in what follows, we write

$$
\langle z, w\rangle=z_{1} \bar{w}_{1}+\cdots+z_{n} \bar{w}_{n} .
$$

For each $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, let $\tau_{v}: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be the translation

$$
\tau_{v}(w)=w+v \quad w \in \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

and define

$$
\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)=\left\{f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right): f \circ \tau_{v} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right) \text { for every } v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}\right\}
$$

It is easy to see that a measurable function $f$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ if and only if the function $w \mapsto f(w) \mathrm{e}^{\langle w, v\rangle}$ belongs to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$ for every $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. This means that if $f \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, then the set $\left\{h \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right): f h \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)\right\}$ is a dense, linear subspace of $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$.

Recall that the Hankel operator $H_{f}: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$ with symbol $f$ is defined by the formula

$$
H_{f}=(I-P) M_{f} P
$$

Thus, if $f \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, then $H_{f}$ has at least a dense domain in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$.
Given a $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$, let $\mathrm{SD}(\varphi)$ denote its standard deviation with respect to the probability measure $\mathrm{d} \mu$, which is defined by

$$
\mathrm{SD}(\varphi)=\left\{\int\left|\varphi-\int \varphi \mathrm{d} \mu\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu\right\}^{1 / 2}=\left\{\int|\varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu-\left|\int \varphi \mathrm{d} \mu\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

When $f \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, it was shown in [1] that $H_{f}$ and $H_{\bar{f}}$ are simultaneously bounded if and only if $\xi \mapsto \mathrm{SD}\left(f \circ \tau_{\xi}\right)$ is a bounded function on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, and $H_{f}$ and $H_{\bar{f}}$ are simultaneously compact if and only if $\lim _{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{SD}\left(f \circ \tau_{\xi}\right)=0$ (it should be noted that the later was proved in [2] for bounded measurable symbols, where in this setting, it was also proved that $H_{f}$ is compact if and only if $H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact). Therefore, for $f \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, it is reasonable to think that the simultaneous Schatten $p$ class membership of $H_{f}$ and $H_{\bar{f}}$ for $1 \leqslant p<\infty$ would be characterized by an $L^{p}$ condition involving the standard deviation. In fact, it was shown in [6] that for $f \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ and $1 \leqslant p<\infty, H_{f}$ and $H_{\bar{f}}$ are simultaneously members of $S_{p}$ if and only if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left\{\mathrm{SD}\left(f \circ \tau_{\xi}\right)\right\}^{p} \mathrm{~d} V(\xi)<\infty .
$$

With this in mind, the following is the main result of this paper.
THEOREM 1.1. Let $0<p<1$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. Let $H_{f}$ and $H_{\bar{f}}$ be the corresponding Hankel operators from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$. Then we have the simultaneous membership of $H_{f}$ and $H_{\bar{f}}$ in $S_{p}$ if and only if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left\{S D\left(f \circ \tau_{\xi}\right)\right\}^{p} \mathrm{~d} V(\xi)<\infty
$$

In particular, we will show that the quantity $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left\{\operatorname{SD}\left(f \circ \tau_{\xi}\right)\right\}^{p} \mathrm{~d} V(\xi)$ is comparable to $\left\|H_{f}\right\|_{s_{p}}+\left\|H_{\bar{f}}\right\|_{s_{p}}$ with a constant that is independent of $f$.

We close this section with a sketch of the proof. The sufficiency direction is proved by an argument that is identical to the proof for the case $1 \leqslant p<2$, and
so we refer the reader to [6] for the details (more precisely, the proof follows from standard reproducing kernel Hilbert space techniques that are specialized to the Segal-Bargmann space.) For the other direction, let $\mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$ be treated as a lattice in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. We will first prove that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left\{\mathrm{SD}\left(f \circ \tau_{\xi}\right)\right\}^{p} \mathrm{~d} V(\xi) \leqslant C \sum_{b \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}}\left\{\int_{Q_{N}} \int_{Q_{N}}|f(z+b)-f(w+b)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \mathrm{d} V(z)\right\}^{p / 2}
$$

where $Q_{N}$ is the cube $\left[-\frac{1}{N}, \frac{2}{N}\right)^{2 n}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ and $C$ depends only on $n, N$, and $p$. The proof is very similar to the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [6], though we include it for the sake of the reader. We will next show that for large enough $N$ and each $b \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_{N}} \int_{Q_{N}}|f(z+b)-f(w+b)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \mathrm{d} V(z) \\
& \quad \leqslant C \int_{Q_{N}+b}\left|\int_{Q_{N}+b}(f(z)-f(w)) \mathrm{e}^{\langle z, w\rangle} \mathrm{e}^{-|w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \operatorname{Im}\langle b, w\rangle} \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} V(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ only depends on $n, N$, and $p$. To prove this, we will make crucial use of the fact that $N$ is chosen to be sufficiently large, and it is for this reason alone that we work with the lattice $\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$ rather than $\mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$.

Next, it is easy to see that $H_{f}$ and $H_{\bar{f}}$ are both in $S_{p}$ for all $0<p<\infty$ if and only if the first order commutator $\left[M_{f}, P\right]$ is in $S_{p}$. With this in mind, we will fix some $N$ large enough and estimate $\|W\|_{S_{p}}$ directly, where $W=A\left[M_{f}, P\right] B$ and where $A$ and $B$ are some bounded operators that will depend on our fixed $N$. This will be done by the standard general method employed to handle estimating the Schatten $p$ quasinorm of special classes of integral operators for $0<p<1$ (for example, see [4] and [5]). For each $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we will first appropriately decompose $\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$ as the disjoint union of lattices $\left\{\Lambda_{j}^{M}\right\}_{j \in\{1, \ldots, M\}^{2 n}}$, and analogously decompose $W=\underset{j \in\{1, \ldots, M\}^{2 n}}{ } W_{j}$. We will break up each $W_{j}=D_{j}+E_{j}$ where $D_{j}$ is a diagonal operator and $E_{j}$ is an off-diagonal operator, so that $\left\|W_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \geqslant\left\|D_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}-\left\|E_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}$. Finally, we will show that our choices of $A$ and $B$, and the results from above, give us that $\sum_{j \in\{1, \ldots, M\}^{2 n}}\left\|D_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}$ is bounded below by $C \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left\{\mathrm{SD}\left(f \circ \tau_{\xi}\right)\right\}^{p} \mathrm{~d} V(\xi)$, and that $\sum_{j \in\{1, \ldots, M\}^{2 n}}\left\|E_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}$ is bounded above by $C_{M} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left\{\operatorname{SD}\left(f \circ \tau_{\xi}\right)\right\}^{p} \mathrm{~d} V(\xi)$, where $C>0$ is a constant that only depends on $n, N$ and $p$, and $C_{M}$ is a constant depending on $n, N, p$, and $M$ with $\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} C_{M}=0$. Thus, with this fixed $N$, we can complete the proof by setting $M$ large enough.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$ denote the set $\left\{\left(\frac{k_{1}}{N}, \ldots, \frac{k_{2 n}}{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}: k_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. A subset $S=\left\{p_{0}, \ldots, p_{k}\right\}$ of $\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$ with $k \geqslant 1$ is said to be a discrete segment in $\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$ if there exists $j \in\{1, \ldots, 2 n\}$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$ such that

$$
p_{i}=z+\frac{i}{N} e_{j}, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k
$$

where $e_{j}$ is the standard $j^{\text {th }}$ basis vector of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$. In this setting, we say that $p_{0}$ and $p_{k}$ are the endpoints of $S$. Also, we define the length of $S$ to be $|S|=k$. Let $v=\left(\frac{v_{1}}{N}, \ldots, \frac{v_{2 n}}{N}\right)$ and $v^{\prime}=\left(\frac{v_{1}^{\prime}}{N}, \ldots, \frac{v_{2 n}^{\prime}}{N}\right)$ be elements of $\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$ where $v \neq v^{\prime}$. We can enumerate the integers $\left\{j: v_{j} \neq v_{j}^{\prime}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2 n\right\}$ as $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}$ in ascending order, so that $j_{1}<\cdots<j_{m}$ when $m>1$. Set $z_{0}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=v$, and inductively define $z_{t}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=z_{t-1}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)+\frac{v_{j_{t}^{\prime}}^{\prime}-v_{j t}}{N} e_{j_{t}}$ for $t \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Note that $z_{m}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=v^{\prime}$. Let $S_{t}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ be the discrete segment in $\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$ which has $z_{t-1}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ and $z_{t}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ as its endpoints. The union of the discrete segments $S_{1}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), \ldots, S_{m}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ will be denoted by $\Gamma\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$. We call $\Gamma\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ the discrete path in $\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$ from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$. Furthermore, we define the length $\left|\Gamma\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right|$ of $\Gamma\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ to be $\left|S_{1}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right|+\cdots+\left|S_{m}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right|$. That is, the length of $\Gamma\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ is just the sum of the lengths of the discrete segments which make up $\Gamma\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$. If $v^{\prime}=0$, we let $\Gamma(v)$ denote $\Gamma\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$. In the case $v=v^{\prime}$, we define the discrete path from $v$ to $v$ to be the singleton set $\Gamma(v, v)=\{v\}$.

Let $S_{N}$ denote the cube $S_{N}=\left[0, \frac{1}{N}\right)^{2 n}$ and let $Q_{N}$ be the cube $\left[-\frac{1}{N}, \frac{2}{N}\right)^{2 n}$. For any $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} V\right)$, write

$$
J_{N}(f)=\int_{Q_{N}} \int_{Q_{N}}|f(z)-f(w)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(z) \mathrm{d} V(w) .
$$

If $E$ is a Borel set with $0<V(E)<\infty$, we will denote the mean value of $f$ on $E$ by $f_{E}$. That is,

$$
f_{E}=\frac{1}{V(E)} \int_{E} f \mathrm{~d} V
$$

Universal constants will be denoted by $C^{1}, C^{2}, \ldots$ and will represent different values in the proofs of different results. To keep better track of the dependence of the various constants encountered, we will use subscripts to denote what a particular constant depends on (though we implicitly assume that all universal constants may depend on $n$ and $p$ ).

Finally, we conclude this section by reviewing some necessary facts about Schatten class ideals, all of which can be found in [3]. Recall that for any $0<p<$ $\infty$, the Schatten $p$ class $S_{p} \subset B(H)$ consists of operators $T$ satisfying the condition $\|T\|_{S_{p}}<\infty$, where $\|\cdot\|_{S_{p}}$ is defined by

$$
\|T\|_{S_{p}}=\left\{\operatorname{tr}\left(|T|^{p}\right)\right\}^{1 / p}=\left\{\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(T^{*} T\right)^{p / 2}\right)\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

When $p \geqslant 1,\|\cdot\|_{s_{p}}$ defines a norm. However, when $0<p<1,\|\cdot\|_{s_{p}}$ only defines a quasinorm, which means that we have the following:

Lemma 2.1. If $0<p<1$, then for any Schatten $p$ class operators $T$ and $S$, we have that

$$
\|T+S\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \leqslant\|T\|_{S_{p}}^{p}+\|S\|_{S_{p}}^{p} .
$$

For all $0<p<\infty$, it is well known that $S_{p}$ is a two sided ideal of the ring of bounded operators $B(H)$. More precisely, if $A, B \in B(H)$ and $T \in S_{p}$, then $A T B \in S_{p}$ with

$$
\|A T B\|_{S_{p}} \leqslant\|A\|_{O p}\|T\|_{S_{p}}\|B\|_{O p}
$$

If $0<p \leqslant 2$, then for any $T \in S_{p}$ and any orthonormal basis $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ of $H$ (where $H$ is a separable Hilbert space), we have that

$$
\|T\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle T f_{n}, f_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{p} .
$$

## 3. MAIN RESULT : NECESSITY FOR $0<p<1$.

We will now follow the outline discussed in the introduction. As stated before, the details for sufficiency can be found in [6]. The results and proofs of the next three lemmas are very similar to Lemmas 3.2-3.4 in [6], though we include proofs for the sake of the reader.

Lemma 3.1. For any $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} V\right)$ and $v \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v}-f_{S_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V \leqslant\left(N^{2 n}+2 \frac{N^{4 n}}{3^{2 n}}|\Gamma(v)|\right) \sum_{a \in \Gamma(v)} J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{a}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The case $v=0$ is trivial. If $v \neq 0$, enumerate the points in $\Gamma(v)$ as $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}$ with $\ell=|\gamma(v)|$ in such a way that $a_{0}=0, a_{\ell}=v$, and

$$
\left\{S_{N}+a_{j-1}\right\} \cup\left\{S_{N}+a_{j}\right\} \subset Q_{N}+a_{j-1}, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell
$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}}\right)_{S_{N}} & -\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{s_{N}} \mid \\
& \leqslant\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}}\right)_{S_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|+\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{Q_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{S_{N}}\right| \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell$. Since $V\left(S_{N}+a_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{N^{2 n}}$ and $S_{N}+a_{j} \subset Q_{N}+a_{j-1}$, we have

$$
\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}}\right)_{S_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|^{2}=N^{4 n}\left|\int_{S_{N}+a_{j}}\left\{f-f_{Q_{N}+a_{j-1}}\right\} \mathrm{d} V\right|^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant N^{2 n} \int_{Q_{N}+a_{j-1}}\left|f-f_{Q_{N}+a_{j-1}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V \\
& =N^{2 n} \int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V=\frac{1}{2} \frac{N^{4 n}}{3^{2 n}} J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{Q_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{S_{N}}\right|^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \frac{N^{4 n}}{3^{2 n}} J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)
$$

Thus, by (3.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}}\right)_{S_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{S_{N}}\right| \leqslant \frac{N^{4 n}}{3^{2 n}} J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right) \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v}-f_{S_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V \leqslant 2 \int_{S_{N}}\left\{\left|f \circ \tau_{v}-\left(f \circ \tau_{v}\right)_{S_{N}}\right|^{2}+\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{v}\right)_{S_{N}}-f_{S_{N}}\right|^{2}\right\} \mathrm{d} V \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{S_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v}-\left(f \circ \tau_{v}\right) S_{S_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V & =\frac{1}{V\left(S_{N}\right)} \int_{S_{N}} \int_{S_{N}}|f(w+v)-f(z+v)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \mathrm{d} V(z) \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{V\left(S_{N}\right)} J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{v}\right)=N^{2 n} J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{\ell}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and by (3.3),

$$
\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{v}\right)_{S_{N}}-f_{S_{N}}\right|^{2}=\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{\ell}}\right)_{S_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{0}}\right)_{S_{N}}\right|^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leqslant\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}}\right)_{S_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{S_{N}}\right|\right\}^{2}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \leqslant \ell \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}}\right)_{S_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{S_{N}}\right|^{2} \leqslant \ell \frac{N^{4 n}}{3^{2 n}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

But $\ell=|\Gamma(v)|$, so that (3.1) follows from (3.4) and (3.5).
Lemma 3.2. For $f \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, there exists $C_{N}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in S_{N}} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left|f \circ \tau_{z}-\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} f \circ \tau_{z} \mathrm{~d} \mu\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu \leqslant C_{N} \sum_{v \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}} \sum_{a \in \Gamma(v)} \mathrm{e}^{-|v|^{2} / 3} J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{a}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For any $z \in S_{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left|f \circ \tau_{z}-\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} f \circ \tau_{z} \mathrm{~d} \mu\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu & \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left|f \circ \tau_{z}-f_{S_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu \\
& =\sum_{v \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}} \frac{1}{\pi^{n}} \int_{S_{N}+v}\left|f(w)-f_{S_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|w-z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \\
& =\sum_{v \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}} \frac{1}{\pi^{n}} \int_{S_{N}}\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{v}\right)(w)-f_{S_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|(w-z)+v|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{v \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}} \frac{d(v)}{\pi^{n}} \int_{S_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v}-f_{S_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d(v)=\exp \left\{-\inf _{w, \xi \in S_{N}}|(w-\xi)+v|^{2}\right\}$. Since $|(w-\xi)+v|^{2} \geqslant|v|^{2}+$ $|w-\xi|^{2}-2|w-\xi||v| \geqslant \frac{|v|^{2}}{2}-|w-\xi|^{2}$, there exists $C_{N}^{1}>0$ such that $d(v) \leqslant$ $C_{N}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{-|v|^{2} / 2}$. Obviously, $N|v|$ dominates the length of every discrete segment in $\Gamma(v)$, so that $|\Gamma(v)| \leqslant 2 n N|v|$. Therefore, we have that

$$
\left(N^{2 n}+2 \frac{N^{4 n}}{3^{2 n}}|\Gamma(v)|\right) d(v) \leqslant C_{N}^{1}\left(N^{2 n}+4 n \frac{N^{4 n+1}}{3^{2 n}}|v|\right) \mathrm{e}^{-|v|^{2} / 2} \leqslant C_{N}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|v|^{2} / 3}
$$

and so (3.6) follows from the above inequality and plugging (3.1) into (3.7).
Lemma 3.3. For $0<p \leqslant 2$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, there exists $C_{N}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left\{S D\left(f \circ \tau_{\xi}\right)\right\}^{p} \mathrm{~d} V(\xi) \leqslant C_{N} \sum_{b \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}}\left\{J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{b}\right)\right\}^{p / 2}
$$

Proof. Since $\bigcup_{u \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}}\left\{S_{N}+u\right\}=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $V\left(S_{N}+u\right)=\frac{1}{N^{2 n}}$, it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{u \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}} \sup _{z \in S_{N}+u}\left\{S D\left(f \circ \tau_{z}\right)\right\}^{p} & =\sum_{u \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}} \sup _{z \in S_{N}}\left\{S D\left(f \circ \tau_{z} \circ \tau_{u}\right)\right\}^{p} \\
& \leqslant C_{N} \sum_{b \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}}\left\{J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{b}\right)\right\}^{p / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0<p \leqslant 2$, Hölder's inequality applied to (3.6) gives that

$$
\sup _{z \in S_{N}}\left\{S D\left(f \circ \tau_{u} \circ \tau_{z}\right)\right\}^{p} \leqslant C_{N}^{1} \sum_{v \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}} \sum_{a \in \Gamma(v)} \mathrm{e}^{-p|v|^{2} / 6}\left\{J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{u} \circ \tau_{a}\right)\right\}^{p / 2}
$$

Since $\tau_{u} \circ \tau_{a}=\tau_{u+a}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{u \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}} \sup _{z \in S_{N}}\left\{S D\left(f \circ \tau_{u} \circ \tau_{z}\right)\right\}^{p} & \leqslant C_{N}^{1} \sum_{u \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}} \sum_{v \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}} \sum_{a \in \Gamma(v)} \mathrm{e}^{-p|v|^{2} / 6}\left\{J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{u+a}\right)\right\}^{p / 2} \\
& =C_{N}^{1} \sum_{v \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}} \mathrm{e}^{-p|v|^{2} / 6} \sum_{a \in \Gamma(v)} \sum_{u \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}}\left\{J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{u+a}\right)\right\}^{p / 2} \\
& =C_{N}^{1} \sum_{v \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}} \mathrm{e}^{-p|v|^{2} / 6} \operatorname{card}(\Gamma(v)) \sum_{b \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}}\left\{J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{b}\right)\right\}^{p / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{card}(\Gamma(v))=1+|\Gamma(v)| \leqslant 1+2 n N|v|$, it is clear that Lemma 3.3 holds.
Lemma 3.4. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_{N}>0$ such that for any $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ and $v \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$, we have

$$
\int_{Q_{N}+v}\left|\int_{Q_{N}+v}(f(z)-f(w)) \mathrm{e}^{\langle z, w\rangle} \mathrm{e}^{-|w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{iIm}\langle v, w\rangle} \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} V(z) \geqslant C_{N} J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{v}\right)
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\langle z, w\rangle} \mathrm{e}^{-|w|^{2} / 2} & =\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\langle z, w\rangle / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\langle z, w\rangle / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-|w|^{2} / 2} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{-|z|^{2} / 2}\left|\mathrm{e}^{\langle z, w\rangle / 2}\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \operatorname{Im}\langle z, w\rangle}=\mathrm{e}^{-|z-w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \operatorname{Im}\langle z, w\rangle},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{N}+v} & \left|\int_{Q_{N}+v}(f(z)-f(w)) \mathrm{e}^{\langle z, w\rangle} \mathrm{e}^{-|w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \operatorname{II}\langle v, w\rangle} \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} V(z) \\
& =\int_{Q_{N}+v}\left|\int_{Q_{N}+v}(f(z)-f(w)) \mathrm{e}^{-|z-w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iIm}\langle z, w\rangle} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \operatorname{II}\langle v, w\rangle} \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(z) \\
& =\int_{Q_{N}}\left|\int_{Q_{N}}\left(f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-|z-w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \operatorname{II}\langle z, w\rangle} \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Pick some $\delta>0$ to be determined, and pick $N$ large enough so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-|z-w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \operatorname{II}\langle z, w\rangle}=1+\gamma_{z, w} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\gamma_{z, w}\right|<\delta$ for any $(z, w) \in Q_{N} \times Q_{N}$. This implies that if $z \in Q_{N}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left|\int_{Q_{N}}\left(f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right) \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|-\left|\int_{Q_{N}}\left(f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right) \gamma_{z, w} \mathrm{~d} V(w)\right|\right)^{2} \\
& \geqslant\left|\int_{Q_{N}}\left(f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right) \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2} \\
& \quad-2\left|\int_{Q_{N}}\left(f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right) \mathrm{d} V(w)\right| \int_{Q_{N}}\left(f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right) \gamma_{z, w} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \mid
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\geqslant\left|\int_{Q_{N}}\left(f \circ \tau_{\nu}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right) \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2}-2 \delta\left(\int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{\nu}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right| \mathrm{d} V(w)\right)^{2} .
$$

Therefore, (3.8) and the triangle inequality implies that

$$
\int_{Q_{N}}\left|\int_{Q_{N}}\left(f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-|z-w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iIm}(z, w\rangle} \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(z)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \geqslant \int_{Q_{N}}\left[\left|\int_{Q_{N}}\left(f \circ \tau_{\nu}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right) \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2}\right.  \tag{3.9}\\
& \left.\quad-2 \delta\left(\int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{\nu}(z)-f \circ \tau_{\nu}(w)\right| \mathrm{d} V(w)\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} V(z) .
\end{align*}
$$

However,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\left(V\left(Q_{N}\right)\right)^{2}} \int_{Q_{N}}\left|\int_{Q_{N}}\left(f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right) \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(z) \\
&=\int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v}-\left(f \circ \tau_{v}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V  \tag{3.10}\\
&=\frac{1}{2 V\left(Q_{N}\right)} \int_{Q_{N}} \int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \mathrm{d} V(z)
\end{align*}
$$

so that

$$
\int_{Q_{N}}\left|\int_{Q_{N}}\left(f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right) \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(z)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2} V\left(Q_{N}\right) \int_{Q_{N}} \int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \mathrm{d} V(z) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives us

$$
\int_{Q_{N}}\left(\int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{\nu}(z)-f \circ \tau_{\nu}(w)\right| \mathrm{d} V(w)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(z)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leqslant V\left(Q_{N}\right) \int_{Q_{N}} \int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(z) \mathrm{d} V(w) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, plugging (3.11) and (3.10) into (3.9) gives us that

$$
\int_{Q_{N}}\left|\int_{Q_{N}}\left(f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-|z-w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iIm}\langle z, w\rangle} \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(z)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geqslant\left(\frac{1}{2}-2 \delta\right) V\left(Q_{N}\right) \int_{Q_{N}} \int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \mathrm{d} V(z) \\
& =\frac{3^{2 n}}{N^{2 n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}-2 \delta\right) \int_{Q_{N}} \int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \mathrm{d} V(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $V\left(Q_{N}\right)=\frac{3^{2 n}}{N^{2 n}}$. Therefore, picking $0<\delta<\frac{1}{4}$ and $N$ corresponding to $\delta$ completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

## 4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

We can now prove our main result.
THEOREM 4.1. Let $0<p<1$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. If $H_{f}$ and $H_{\bar{f}} \in S_{p}$, then there exists a constant $C>0$ independent of $f$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left\{S D\left(f \circ \tau_{\xi}\right)\right\}^{p} \mathrm{~d} V(\xi)<C\left(\left\|H_{f}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}+\left\|H_{\bar{f}}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}\right)
$$

Proof. Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that Lemma 3.4 holds. For $M \in \mathbb{N}$ to be determined later and each $j=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{2 n}\right) \in\{1, \ldots, M\}^{2 n}$, set $\Lambda_{j}^{M}=\left\{v \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}\right.$ : $v=\left(\frac{1}{N} v_{1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{N} v_{2 n}\right)$ with each $\left.v_{\ell} \equiv j_{\ell} \bmod M\right\}$. Since $\left[M_{f}, P\right]=\left[M_{f}, P\right] P+$ $\left[M_{f}, P\right](I-P)=H_{f}-\left(H_{\bar{f}}\right)^{*}$, we have that $\left[M_{f}, P\right] \in S_{p}$ with $\left\|\left[M_{f}, P\right]\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \leqslant$ $\left\|H_{f}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}+\left\|H_{\bar{f}}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}$.

Let $\left\{e_{\nu}\right\}_{\nu \in \Lambda_{j}^{M}}$ be an orthonormal basis for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)$. Let

$$
h_{v}(w)=\mathrm{e}^{|w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \operatorname{Im}\langle v, w\rangle} \chi_{Q_{N}+v}(w) \quad \text { and } \quad \xi_{v}(z)=\frac{\chi_{Q_{N}+v}(z)\left(\left[M_{f}, P\right] h v(z)\right)}{\left\|\chi_{Q_{N}+v}\left[M_{f}, P\right] h v\right\|}
$$

Set $W_{j}=A_{j}^{*}\left[M_{f}, P\right] B_{j}$ where $A_{j} e_{v}=\xi_{v}$ and $B_{j} e_{v}=h_{v}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in\{1, \ldots, M\}^{2 n}}\left\|W_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \leqslant M^{2 n} \frac{3^{n p}}{N^{n p}}\left\|\left[M_{f}, P\right]\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \leqslant M^{2 n} \frac{3^{n p}}{N^{n p}}\left(\left\|H_{f}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}+\left\|H_{\bar{f}}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $R \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $Z=\left\{v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 n}\right) \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}\right.$ where each $\left.\left|v_{i}\right| \leqslant R\right\}$ so that for any $v, v^{\prime} \in Z$, we have $\Gamma\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \subset Z$. Let $Z_{j}=\Lambda_{j}^{M} \cap Z$ and let $P_{Z_{j}}$ denote the orthogonal projection onto span $\left\{e_{v}: v \in Z_{j}\right\}$, so that clearly $P_{Z_{j}} W_{j} P_{Z_{j}} f=$ $\sum_{v, \tilde{v} \in Z_{j}}\left\langle f, e_{v}\right\rangle\left\langle W_{j} e_{v}, e_{\widetilde{v}}\right\rangle e_{\widetilde{v}}$. Let $D_{j}$ be defined by $D_{j} f=\sum_{v \in Z_{j}}\left\langle f, e_{\nu}\right\rangle\left\langle W_{j} e_{v}, e_{v}\right\rangle e_{v}$ and set $E_{j}=P_{Z_{j}} W_{j} P_{Z_{j}}-D_{j}$ so that $\left\|W_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \geqslant\left\|P_{Z_{j}} W_{j} P_{Z_{j}}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \geqslant\left\|D_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}-\left\|E_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}$.

Thus, since $D_{j}$ is diagonal, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|D_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} & =\sum_{v \in Z_{j}}\left|\left\langle A_{j}^{*}\left[M_{f}, P\right] B_{j} e_{v}, e_{v}\right\rangle\right|^{p}=\sum_{v \in Z_{j}}\left\|\chi_{Q_{N}+v}\left[M_{f}, P\right] h v\right\|^{p} \\
\text { (4.2) } & =\sum_{v \in Z_{j}}\left(\int_{Q_{N}+v}\left|\int(f(z)-f(w)) \mathrm{e}^{\langle z, w\rangle} \mathrm{e}^{-|w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \operatorname{Im}\langle v, w\rangle} \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} V(z)\right)^{p / 2}  \tag{4.2}\\
& \geqslant C_{N}^{1} \sum_{v \in Z_{j}}\left\{J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{v}\right)\right\}^{p / 2},
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 3.4.
We now get a upper bound for $\left\|E_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}$. Since $0<p<1$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|E_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \leqslant \sum_{v \in \Lambda_{j}^{M}} \sum_{v^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{j}^{M}}\left|\left\langle E_{j} e_{v}, e_{v^{\prime}}\right\rangle\right|^{p}=\sum_{v \in Z_{j}} \sum_{v^{\prime} \in Z_{j}}^{v^{\prime} \neq v} \\
&\left|\left\langle E_{j} e_{v}, e_{v^{\prime}}\right\rangle\right|^{p} \\
&=\sum_{\substack{v \in Z_{j}}} \sum_{\substack{v^{\prime} \in Z_{j} \\
v^{\prime} \neq v}}\left|\frac{\left\langle\left[M_{f}, P\right] h_{v}, \chi_{Q_{N}+v^{\prime}}\left[M_{f}, P\right] h_{v^{\prime}}\right\rangle}{\left\|\chi_{Q_{N}+v^{\prime}}\left[M_{f}, P\right] h_{\nu^{\prime}}\right\|}\right|^{p} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{\substack{v \in Z_{j}}} \sum_{\substack{v^{\prime} \in Z_{j} \\
v^{\prime} \neq v}}\left\|\chi_{Q_{N}+v^{\prime}}\left[M_{f}, P\right] h_{v}\right\|^{p}  \tag{4.3}\\
&=\sum_{v \in Z_{j}} \sum_{\substack{v^{\prime} \in Z_{j} \\
v^{\prime} \neq v}}\left(\int_{Q_{N}+v^{\prime} Q_{N}+v} \mid \int(f(z)-f(w)) \mathrm{e}^{\langle z, w\rangle} \mathrm{e}^{-|w|^{2} / 2}\right. \\
&\left.\left.\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \operatorname{IIm}\langle v, w\rangle} \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} V(z)\right)^{p / 2} .
\end{align*}
$$

But by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{v \in Z_{j}} \sum_{\substack{v^{\prime} \in Z_{j} \\
v^{\prime} \neq v}}\left(\int_{Q_{N}+v^{\prime}}\left|\int_{Q_{N}+v}(f(z)-f(w)) \mathrm{e}^{\langle z, w\rangle} \mathrm{e}^{-|w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \operatorname{Im}\langle v, w\rangle} \mathrm{d} V(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} V(z)\right)^{p / 2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{3^{n p}}{N^{n p}} \sum_{\substack{v \in Z_{j}}} \sum_{\substack{v^{\prime} \in Z_{j} \\
v^{\prime} \neq v}}\left(\int_{Q_{N}+v^{\prime}} \int_{Q_{N}+v}|f(z)-f(w)|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|z|^{2}}\right. \\
&\left.\left|\mathrm{e}^{\langle z, w\rangle}\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|w|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \mathrm{d} V(z)\right)^{p / 2} \\
&=\frac{3^{n p}}{N^{n p}} \sum_{v \in Z_{j}} \sum_{\substack{v^{\prime} \in Z_{j} \\
v^{\prime} \neq v}}\left(\int_{Q_{N}+v^{\prime} Q_{N}+v} \int|f(z)-f(w)|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|z-w|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \mathrm{d} V(z)\right)^{p / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{-(n p / 4)((M-3) / N)^{2}} \frac{3^{n p}}{N^{n p}}  \tag{4.4}\\
& \sum_{\substack{v \in Z_{j} v^{\prime} \in Z_{j} \\
v^{\prime} \neq v}}\left(\int_{Q_{N}+v^{\prime} Q_{N}+v} \int_{\substack{ \\
}}|f(z)-f(w)|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-|z-w|^{2} / 2} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \mathrm{d} V(z)\right)^{p / 2} \\
& \leqslant C_{N}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-(n p / 4)((M-3) / N)^{2}} \sum_{v \in Z_{j}} \sum_{\substack{v^{\prime} \in Z_{j} \\
v^{\prime} \neq v}} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 5} \\
&\left(\int_{Q_{N} Q_{N}} \int\left|f \circ \tau_{v^{\prime}}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \mathrm{d} V(z)\right)^{p / 2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, if $z$ and $w$ are both in $Q_{N}$, then enumerating the points in $\Gamma\left(v^{\prime}, v\right) \subset Z$ as $\left\{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right\}$ in such a way that $a_{0}=v^{\prime}$ and $a_{\ell}=v$,

$$
\left\{S_{N}+a_{j-1}\right\} \cup\left\{S_{N}+a_{j}\right\} \subset Q_{N}+a_{j-1}, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell,
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid f \circ \tau_{v^{\prime}}(z) & -f \circ \tau_{v}(w) \mid \\
\leqslant & \left|f \circ \tau_{\nu^{\prime}}(z)-\left(f \circ \tau_{\nu^{\prime}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|+\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{v}\right)_{Q_{N}}-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right|  \tag{4.5}\\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{Q_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f \circ \tau_{\nu^{\prime}}(z)-\left(f \circ \tau_{v^{\prime}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{Q_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|+\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{v}\right)_{Q_{N}}-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right| \\
& \leqslant\left\{2 n N\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|+2\right\}^{1 / 2}\left(\left|f \circ \tau_{\nu^{\prime}}(z)-\left(f \circ \tau_{v^{\prime}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|^{2}+\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{v}\right)_{Q_{N}}-f \circ \tau_{v(w)}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{Q_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{Q_{N}} \int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{\nu^{\prime}}(z)-f \circ \tau_{v}(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V(w) \mathrm{d} V(z)\right)^{p / 2} \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{3^{n p}}{N^{n p}}\left(\left(2 n N\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|+2\right) \int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{\nu^{\prime}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{\nu^{\prime}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V\right)^{p / 2} \\
& \quad+\frac{3^{2 n p}}{N^{2 n p}}\left(\left(2 n N\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|+2\right) \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{Q_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \quad+\frac{3^{n p}}{N^{n p}}\left(\left(2 n N\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|+2\right) \int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v}-\left(f \circ \tau_{v}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V\right)^{p / 2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now plug the first term of (4.4) into (4.3) and noting that

$$
\left\{2 n N\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|+2\right\}^{p / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 5} \leqslant C_{N}^{5} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 6}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{N}^{6} \mathrm{e}^{-(n p / 4)((M-3) / N)^{2}} \sum_{\substack{v \in Z_{j} v^{\prime} \in Z_{j} \\
v^{\prime} \neq v}} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 5} \\
& \quad\left(\left(2 n N\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|+2\right) \int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v^{\prime}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{\nu^{\prime}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V\right)^{p / 2} \\
& \leqslant C_{N}^{7} \mathrm{e}^{-(n p / 4)((M-3) / N)^{2}} \sum_{\substack{v \in Z_{j}}} \sum_{\substack{v^{\prime} \in Z_{j} \\
v^{\prime} \neq v}} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 6}  \tag{4.7}\\
& \quad\left(\int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v^{\prime}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{\nu^{\prime}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V\right)^{p / 2} \\
& =C_{N}^{8} \mathrm{e}^{-(n p / 4)((M-3) / N)^{2}} \sum_{\nu^{\prime} \in Z_{j}}\left(\int_{Q_{N}}\left|f \circ \tau_{v^{\prime}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{v^{\prime}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} V\right)^{p / 2} \\
& =C_{N}^{9} \mathrm{e}^{-(n p / 4)((M-3) / N)^{2}} \sum_{\nu^{\prime} \in Z_{j}}\left\{J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{\nu^{\prime}}\right)\right\}^{p / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

and by symmetry, we get the exact same estimate by plugging the third term of (4.4) into (4.3).

Now we plug in the second term of (4.4) into (4.3). Since $0<p \leqslant 1$, we only need to estimate the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-(n p / 4)((M-3) / N)^{2}} \sum_{\substack{v \in Z_{j} \\ \sum_{v^{\prime} \in Z_{j}} \\ v^{\prime} \neq v}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell\left(v^{\prime}, v\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 6}\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{Q_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|^{p} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for each $v^{\prime}$ and $v$ in the above sum, $\Gamma\left(v^{\prime}, v\right)=\left\{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{\ell\left(v^{\prime}, v\right)}\right\}$.
As in the computation from equation (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j-1}}\right)_{Q_{N}}-\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}}\right)_{Q_{N}}\right|^{p} & =\left|f_{Q_{N}+a_{j-1}}-f_{Q_{N}+a_{j}}\right|^{p} \\
& \leqslant\left|f_{Q_{N}+a_{j-1}}-f_{S_{N}+a_{j}}\right|^{p}+\left|f_{S_{N}+a_{j}}-f_{Q_{N}+a_{j}}\right|^{p} \\
& \leqslant C_{N}^{10}\left[\left(J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}-1}\right)\right)^{p / 2}+\left(J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{a_{j}}\right)\right)^{p / 2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, if $v=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{2 n}\right)$ and $v^{\prime}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{2 n}\right)$ with $v \neq v^{\prime}$, then by definition,

$$
\Gamma\left(v^{\prime}, v\right)=\bigcup_{\ell=1}^{2 n}\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\ell-1}, u, z_{\ell+1}, \ldots, z_{2 n}\right) \in Z: \min \left\{y_{\ell}, z_{\ell}\right\} \leqslant u \leqslant \max \left\{y_{\ell}, z_{\ell}\right\}\right\}
$$

Therefore, combining the three summations in (4.5) into one single sum, this sum is taken over the set $\left\{u, v, v^{\prime}: u \in Z,\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma_{u}\right\}$ where

$$
\Gamma_{u} \subseteq \bigcup_{\ell^{\prime}=1}^{2 n} \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{2 n} \Gamma_{u}^{\ell_{+}^{\prime}} \cup \Gamma_{u}^{\ell_{-}},
$$

with

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Gamma_{u}^{\ell-}=\left\{v, v^{\prime} \in Z: u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 n}\right), v=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{\ell-1}, u^{\prime}, x_{\ell+1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)\right. \\
\left.v^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\ell-1}, u^{\prime \prime}, u_{\ell+1}, \ldots, u_{2 n}\right) \text { where } u^{\prime} \geqslant u_{\ell} \geqslant u^{\prime \prime}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Gamma_{u}^{\ell_{+}}=\left\{v, v^{\prime} \in Z: u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 n}\right), v=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{\ell-1}, u^{\prime}, x_{\ell+1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)\right. \\
\left.v^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\ell-1}, u^{\prime \prime}, u_{\ell+1}, \ldots, u_{2 n}\right) \text { where } u^{\prime} \leqslant u_{\ell} \leqslant u^{\prime \prime}\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Thus, after switching the order of summation, (4.5) is smaller than

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{N}^{11} \mathrm{e}^{-(n p / 4)((M-3) / N)^{2}} \sum_{u \in Z}\left\{J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{u}\right)\right\}^{p / 2} \sum_{\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma_{u}} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 6} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 n}\right)$ and $v^{\prime}=\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{2 n}^{\prime}\right)$, and let $\pi_{i}: \mathbb{C}^{n} \leftarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the canonical projection onto the $i^{\text {th }}$ factor, then

$$
\sum_{\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma_{u}} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 6}=\left(\sum_{\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in \pi_{1} \times \pi_{1}\left(\Gamma_{u}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v_{1}-v_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 6}\right) \cdots\left(\sum_{\left(v_{2 n}, v_{2 n}^{\prime}\right) \in \pi_{2 n} \times \pi_{2 n}\left(\Gamma_{u}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v_{2 n}-v_{2 n}^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 6}\right) .
$$

For some $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, 2 n\}$, we now get an estimate on

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{\left(v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in \pi_{1} \times \pi_{1}\left(\Gamma_{u}^{\ell+}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v_{1}-v_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 6}\right) \cdots\left(\sum_{\left(v_{2 n}, v_{2 n}^{\prime}\right) \in \pi_{2 n} \times \pi_{2 n}\left(\Gamma_{u}^{\ell+}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v_{2 n}-v_{2 n}^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 6}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for fixed $u$. The terms that involve some $\Gamma_{u}^{\ell_{-}}$s are treated similarly. Assume $\ell$ is neither 1 nor $2 n$, since the case where $\ell=1$ and $\ell=2 n$ is very similar. If $j \in\{1, \ldots, \ell-1\}$, then $\left|v_{j}-v_{j}^{\prime}\right|=\left|u_{j}-v_{j}^{\prime}\right|$, and if $j \in\{\ell+1, \ldots, 2 n\}$, then $\left|v_{j}-v_{j}^{\prime}\right|=\left|u_{j}-v_{j}\right|$. Moreover, since $v_{\ell} \leqslant u_{\ell} \leqslant v_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ (4.7) is smaller than

$$
\left(\sum_{v_{1}^{\prime} \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|u_{1}-v_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 6}\right) \cdots\left(\sum_{v_{\ell} \leqslant u_{\ell} \leqslant v_{\ell}^{\prime}} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v_{\ell}-v_{\ell^{\prime}}\right|^{2} / 6}\right) \cdots\left(\sum_{v_{2 n} \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v_{2 n}-u_{2 n}\right|^{2} / 6}\right) .
$$

Thus, as we are holding $u$ fixed, each of the factors corresponding to $j \neq \ell$ in the product above converge to a positive number that depends only on $N$ and $p$. For the factor that corresponds to $j=\ell$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v_{\ell} \leqslant u_{\ell} \leqslant v_{\ell}^{\prime}} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|v_{\ell}-v_{\ell}\right|^{2} / 6} & =\sum_{v_{\ell}=-\infty}^{u_{\ell}} \sum_{k=\left(u_{\ell}-v_{\ell}\right)}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-p k^{2} / 6 \mathrm{~N}^{2}} \leqslant \sum_{v_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=\left|u_{\ell}-v_{\ell}\right|}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-p k^{2} / 6 \mathrm{~N}^{2}} \\
& \leqslant C_{N}^{12} \sum_{v_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|u_{\ell}-v_{\ell}\right|^{2} / 12 N^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since each $\sum_{v_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{e}^{-p\left|u_{\ell}-v_{\ell}\right|^{2} / 12 N^{2}}$ converges to a number that only depends on $p$ and $N$, we have that (4.6) is smaller than

$$
C_{N}^{13} \mathrm{e}^{-(n p / 4)((M-3) / N)^{2}} \sum_{u \in Z}\left\{J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{u}\right)\right\}^{p / 2}
$$

which therefore gives us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \leqslant C_{N}^{14} \mathrm{e}^{-(n p / 4)((M-3) / N)^{2}} \sum_{u \in Z}\left\{J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{u}\right)\right\}^{p / 2} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Going back to (4.1) and combining (4.2) with (4.8), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{2 n} \frac{3^{n p}}{N^{n p}}\left(\left\|H_{f}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}+\left\|H_{\bar{f}}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}\right) & \geqslant\left\|\left[M_{f}, P\right]\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \geqslant \sum_{j \in\{1, \ldots, M\}^{2 n}}\left\|W_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \geqslant \sum_{j \in\{1, \ldots, M\}^{2 n}}\left\|P_{Z} W_{j} P_{Z}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \\
& \geqslant \sum_{j \in\{1, \ldots, M\}^{2 n}}\left(\left\|D_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}-\left\|E_{j}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}\right) \\
& \geqslant\left(C_{N}^{3}-C_{N}^{14} M^{2 n} \mathrm{e}^{-(n p / 4)((M-3) / N)^{2}}\right) \sum_{u \in Z}\left\{J_{N}\left(f \circ \tau_{u}\right)\right\}^{p / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Pick $M>0$ large enough so that $C_{N}^{3}-C_{N}^{14} M^{2 n} \mathrm{e}^{-(n p 4)((M-3) / N)^{2}}>0$. Thus, since the above estimate holds for any $R \in \mathbb{N}$ (and recalling that $Z=\{v=$ $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 n}\right) \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$ with each $\left.\left.\left|v_{i}\right| \leqslant R\right\}\right)$, Lemma 3.3 gives us

$$
\left(\left\|H_{f}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}+\left\|H_{\bar{f}}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p}\right) \geqslant C_{N}^{15} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left\{\mathrm{SD}\left(f \circ \tau_{\xi}\right)\right\}^{p} \mathrm{~d} V(\xi)
$$

For some constants $C_{N}^{15}>0$, which proves the theorem.
Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank L. Coburn for the interesting discussions regarding this work, and J. Xia for his extremely valuable comments.

## REFERENCES

[1] W. BaUER, Mean oscillation and Hankel operators on the Segal-Bargmann space, Integral Eqnuations Operator Theory 52(2005), 1-15.
[2] C.A. Berger, L.A. Coburn, Toeplitz operators on the Segal-Bargmann space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 301(1987), 813-829.
[3] I.C. Gohberg, M.G. Krein, Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators, Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1969.
[4] L. Peng, Paracommutators of Schatten-von Neumann class $S_{p}, 0<p<1$, Math. Scand. 61(1987), 68-92.
[5] D. Timotin, $C_{p}$ estimates for certain kernels: the case $0<p<1$, J. Funct. Anal. 72(1987), 368-380.
[6] J. XIA, D. Zheng, Standard deviation and Schatten class Hankel operators on the Segal-Bargmann space, Indiana Univ. Math J. 53(2004), 1381-1399.
J. ISRALOWITZ, Mathematics Department, University at Buffalo, BufFALO, 14260, U.S.A.

E-mail address: jbi2@buffalo.edu

Received October 22, 2008.

