# CROSSED PRODUCTS BY $\alpha$-SIMPLE AUTOMORPHISMS ON $C^{*}$-ALGEBRAS $C(X, A)$ 

JIAJIE HUA

## Communicated by William Arveson


#### Abstract

Let $X$ be a Cantor set, and let $A$ be a unital separable simple amenable $C^{*}$-algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem. We use $C(X, A)$ to denote the set of all continuous functions from $X$ to $A$; let $\alpha$ be an automorphism on $C(X, A)$. Suppose that $C(X, A)$ is $\alpha$ simple and $\left[\left.\alpha\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]=\left[\left.\mathrm{id}\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]$ in $K L(1 \otimes A, C(X, A))$. We show that $C(X, A)$ $\rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ has tracial rank zero.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

That the transformation group $C^{*}$-algebras of minimal homeomorphisms of the Cantor set are AT algebras (direct limits of circle algebras) with real rank zero is implicit in Section 8 of [10], with the main step having been done in [22]. Elliott and Evans proved in [4] that the irrational rotation algebras are AT algebras with real rank zero. Furthermore, Lin proved in [14] that irrational higher dimensional noncommutative tori of the form $C\left(T^{k}\right) \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}$ are in fact AT algebras. Recently Phillips constructs an inductive proof that every simple higher noncommutative torus is an AT algebra ([|20]). More generally, Lin and Phillips proved the following result ( $[19])$ : Let $X$ be an infinite compact metric space with finite covering dimensional and let $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ be a minimal homeomorphism, the associated crossed product $C^{*}$-algebra $A=C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ has tracial rank zero whenever the image of $K_{0}(A)$ in $\operatorname{Aff}(T(A))$ is dense. In particular, these algebras all belong to the class known currently to be classifiable by K-theoretic invariants in the sense of the Elliott classification program ([3]).

Let $A$ be a unital separable simple $C^{*}$-algebra with tracial rank zero, and let $\alpha$ be an automorphism on $A$. Lin proved in [18] that if $\alpha$ has certain Rokhlin property and there is an integer $J \geqslant 1$ such that $\left[\alpha^{J}\right]=\left[\mathrm{id}_{A}\right]$ in $K L(A, A)$. Then $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ has tracial rank zero.

In present, we do not know if $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ belongs to the class known currently to be classifiable by K -theoretic invariants when $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ is neither commutative nor simple. In this paper we consider the $C^{*}$-algebra $C(X, A)$, all continuous functions from $X$ to $A$, and the associated crossed product $C^{*}$-algebra $C(X, A) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$, where $X$ is a Cantor set and $A$ is a unital separable simple amenable $C^{*}$-algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem. When $A$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, it is just the case in [22]. When $A$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}, C(X, A)$ is neither commutative nor simple.

In this paper, we prove the following result: let $X$ be a Cantor set, let $A$ be a unital separable simple amenable $C^{*}$-algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, and let $\alpha$ be an automorphism on $C(X, A)$. Suppose that $C(X, A)$ is $\alpha$-simple and $\left[\left.\alpha\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]=\left[\left.\mathrm{id}\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]$ in $K L(1 \otimes$ $A, C(X, A))$, then $C(X, A) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ has tracial rank zero, therefore it belongs to the class known currently to be classifiable by K-theoretic invariants in the sense of the Elliott classification program [3]. Let us analysis two conditions we need in our result. The first condition is a necessary condition such that $C(X, A) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ is simple. The second condition is $\left[\left.\alpha\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]=\left[\left.\mathrm{id}\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]$ in $K L(1 \otimes A, C(X, A))$ which implys that the action on each fiber of $X$ is a trivial element of $K L(A, A)$. An early version of the second condition is weaker and can not ensure our result which was pointed to us by Hiroki Matui.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce notation and give some elementary properties of $\alpha$-simple automorphisms on $C^{*}$-algebras $C(X, A)$. In Section 3, we prove that, under our hypotheses, the $C^{*}$-subalgebra $B_{\{y\}}=C^{*}\left(C(X, A), u C_{0}(X \backslash\{y\}, A)\right) \subset C(X, A) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ has tracial rank zero. Section 4 contains the proof that $C(X, A) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ has tracial rank zero.
2. PREMIMINARIES AND $\alpha$-SIMPLE AUTOMORPHISMS ON $C(X, A)$

We will use the following convention:
(i) Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra, let $a \in A$ be a positive element and let $p \in A$ be a projection. We write $[p] \leqslant[a]$ if there is a projection $q \in \overline{a A a}$ and a partial isometry $v \in A$ such that $v^{*} v=p$ and $v v^{*}=q$.
(ii) Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra. We denote by $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$ the automorphism group of $A$. If $A$ is unital and $u \in A$ is a unitary, we denote by ad $u$ the inner automorphism defined by ad $u(a)=u^{*}$ au for all $a \in A$.
(iii) Let $x \in A, \varepsilon>0$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset A$. We write $x \in_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{F}$, if $\operatorname{dist}(x, \mathcal{F})<\varepsilon$, or there is $y \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\|x-y\|<\varepsilon$.
(iv) Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra and $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$. We say $A$ is $\alpha$-simple if $A$ does not have any non-trivial $\alpha$-invariant closed two-sided ideals.
(v) Let $A$ be a unital $C^{*}$-algebra and $T(A)$ the compact convex set of tracial states of $A$. If $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $A$, we use $T^{\alpha}(A)$ to denote the $\alpha$-invariant
tracial states, which is again a compact convex set. We define an affine mapping $r$ of $T\left(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ into $T^{\alpha}(A)$ by the restriction $r(\tau)=\tau \mid A$. Denote by $\operatorname{Aff}(T(A))$ the normed space of all real affine continuous functions on $T(A)$. Denote by $\rho_{A}: K_{0}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aff}(T(A))$ the homomorphism induced by $\rho_{A}([p])(\tau)=\tau(p)$ for $\tau \in T(A)$.

We recall the definition of tracial topological rank of $C^{*}$-algebras.
Definition 2.1 ([13]). Let $A$ be a unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra. Then $A$ is said to have tracial (topological) rank zero if for any $\varepsilon>0$, any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any nonzero positive element $a \in A$, there exists a finite dimensional $C^{*}$-subalgebra $B \subset A$ with $\mathrm{id}_{B}=p$ such that:
(i) $\|p x-x p\|<\varepsilon$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$.
(ii) $p x p \in_{\varepsilon} B$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$.
(iii) $[1-p] \leqslant[a]$.

If $A$ has tracial rank zero, we write $\operatorname{TR}(A)=0$.
Definition 2.2. Let $X$ be a compact metric space and let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra, we say a map $\beta: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(A)$, denoted by $x$ to $\beta_{x}$, is strongly continuous if for any $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ with $\mathrm{d}\left(x_{n}, x\right) \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\left\|\beta_{x_{n}}(a)-\beta_{x}(a)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in A$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $X$ be a compact metric space, let $A$ be a unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra and $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(C(X, A))$. Then $C(X, A)$ is $\alpha$-simple if and only if there is a minimal homeomorphism $\sigma$ from $X$ to $X$ and a strongly continuous map $\beta$ from $X$ to $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$, denote by $x$ to $\beta_{x}$, such that $\alpha(f)(x)=\beta_{\sigma^{-1}(x)}\left(f\left(\sigma^{-1}(x)\right)\right)$.

The proof is a straightforward exercise, we omit it.
Lemma 2.4. Let $X$ be an infinite compact metric space, let $A$ be a unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra and $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(C(X, A))$. Then $C(X, A)$ is $\alpha$-simple if and only if the crossed product $C(X, A) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ is simple.

Proof. Let $I$ be an $\alpha$-invariant norm closed two-sided ideal of $C(X, A)$. Then $I \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ is a norm closed two-sided ideal of $C(X, A) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 1 of [6].

Conversely, for any positive element $f$ of the $C^{*}$-algebra $C(X, A)$, any finite set $\mathcal{F}=\left\{f_{i} ; i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\} \subset C(X, A)$, any $s_{i} \subset \mathbb{N}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and any $\varepsilon>0$, we claim that there exists a positive element $g \in C(X, A)$ with $\|g\|=1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g f g\| \geqslant\|f\|-\varepsilon, \quad\left\|g f_{i} \alpha^{s_{i}}(g)\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $X$ is a compact set, we can get a point $x \in X$ such that $\|f(x)\|=$ $\|f\|$. By Lemma 2.3 we can get a minimal homeomorphism $\sigma$ of $X$. Since $\sigma$ is the minimal homeomorphism of $X$, there exists a neighborhood $O(x)$ of $x$ such that $\sigma^{i}(O(x))$ are disjoint for $i=1, \ldots, s$, where $s=\max \left\{s_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ and $\|f(y)-f(x)\|<\varepsilon$ for all $y \in O(x)$. It is easy to find a continuous function $g$ from
$X$ to $[0,1]$ such that $g(x)=1$ and $g(z)=0$ for all $z \notin O(x)$. Then $g$ satisfies the conditions (2.1). The claim follows.

Use the condition (2.1) and the fact that $C(X, A)$ is $\alpha$-simple. We can complete the proof as the same as Theorem 3.1 in [9] and we omit it.

Let $u$ be the unitary implementing the action of $\alpha$ in the transformation group $C^{*}$-algebra $C(X, A) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$, then $u f u^{*}=\alpha(f)$. For a nonempty closed subset $Y \subset X$, we define the $C^{*}$-subalgebra $B_{Y}$ to be

$$
B_{Y}=C^{*}\left(C(X, A), u C_{0}(X \backslash Y, A)\right) \subset C(X, A) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}
$$

We will often let $B$ denote the transformation group $C^{*}$-algebra $C(X, A) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$. If $Y_{1} \supset Y_{2} \supset \cdots$ is a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of $X$ with $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_{n}=\{y\}$, then $B_{\{y\}}=\lim B_{Y_{n}}$.

Let $Y \subset X$, and let $x \in Y$. If $C(X, A)$ is $\alpha$-simple, by Lemma 2.3 we have a minimal homeomorphism $\sigma$ of $X$. The first return time $\lambda_{Y}(x)$ (or $\lambda(x)$ if $Y$ is understood) of $x$ to $Y$ is the smallest integer $n \geqslant 1$ such that $\sigma^{n}(x) \in Y$.

The following result is well known in the area, and is easily proved:
LEMMA 2.5. If $Y$ is a nonempty clopen subset and $\sigma$ is a minimal homeomorphism of $X$. Then $\sup _{x \in Y}\left\{\lambda_{Y}(x)\right\}<\infty$.

Let $Y \subset X$ is a nonempty clopen subset. Let $n(0)<n(1)<\cdots<n(l)$ be the distinct values of $\lambda(x)$ for $x \in Y$. The Rokhlin tower based on a subset $Y \subset X$ with $Y \neq \varnothing$ consist of the partition

$$
Y=\coprod_{k=0}^{l}\{x \in Y: \lambda(x)=n(k)\}
$$

of $Y$ (the sets here are the base sets), and the corresponding partition of $X$ :

$$
X=\coprod_{k=0}^{l} \coprod_{j=0}^{n(k)-1} \sigma^{j}(\{x \in Y: \lambda(x)=n(k)\}) .
$$

Actually, for our purposes it is more convenient to use the partition

$$
X=\coprod_{k=0}^{l} \coprod_{j=1}^{n(k)} \sigma^{j}(\{x \in Y: \lambda(x)=n(k)\})
$$

Note that

$$
Y=\coprod_{k=0}^{l} \sigma^{n(k)}(\{x \in Y: \lambda(x)=n(k)\})
$$

Since $Y$ is both closed and open, the following sets are all closed and open:

$$
Y_{k}=\{x \in Y: \lambda(x)=n(k)\}
$$

The proof of the following theorem is the same as the one of Theorem 2.4 in [19] after we apply Lemma 2.3. so we omit it.

Theorem 2.6. Let $X$ be a Cantor set, let $A$ be a unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra and $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(C(X, A))$. Suppose $C(X, A)$ is $\alpha$-simple, let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty clopen subset. Then there exists a unique isomorphism

$$
\gamma_{Y}: B_{Y} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^{l} C\left(Y_{k}, M_{n(k)}(A)\right)
$$

such that if $f \in C(X, A)$, then

$$
\gamma_{Y}(f)_{k}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\left.\alpha^{-1}(f)\right|_{\gamma_{k}},\left.\alpha^{-2}(f)\right|_{\gamma_{k}}, \ldots,\left.\alpha^{-n(k)}(f)\right|_{\gamma_{k}}\right)
$$

and if $f \in C_{0}(X \backslash Y, A)$, then

$$
\left(\gamma_{Y}(u f)\right)_{k}=s_{k} \gamma_{Y}(f)_{k}
$$

where $s_{k} \in M_{n(k)} \subset C\left(Y_{k}, M_{n(k)}(A)\right)$ is defined by

$$
s_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## 3. THE TRACIAL RANK OF $B_{\{y\}}$

The following lemma is similar to Proposition 2.5 in [19]. We adapt their proof to establish the simplicity of $B_{\{y\}}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $X$ be an infinite compact metric space, let $A$ be a unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra and $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(C(X, A))$. If $C(X, A)$ is $\alpha$-simple, then for any $y \in X, B_{\{y\}}$ is simple.

Proof. Let $I \subset B_{\{y\}}$ be a nonzero ideal. Since $X$ is an infinite compact metric space, $A$ is a unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra and $C(X, A)$ is $\alpha$-simple, we have a minimal homeomorphism $\sigma$ of $X$ by Lemma 2.3. Then $I \cap C(X, A)$ is an ideal in $C(X, A)$. So we can write $I \cap C(X, A)=C_{0}(U, A)$ for some open set $U \subset X$ by the proof of Lemma 2.3. which is necessarily given by,

$$
U=\{x \in X: \text { there is } f \in I \cap C(X, A) \text { such that } f(x) \neq 0\}
$$

We first claim that $U \neq \varnothing$,
Write

$$
B_{\{y\}}=\lim _{\rightarrow} B_{Y_{m}}
$$

for some decreasing sequence $Y_{1} \supset Y_{2} \supset \cdots$ of closed subsets of $X$ with $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_{n}$ $=\{y\}$, and $\operatorname{int}\left(Y_{m}\right) \neq \varnothing$,

Then there exists $m$ such that $B_{Y_{m}} \cap I \neq\{0\}$ by Lemma 3.5.10 of [11]. Let $a$ be a nonzero element of this intersection. Using Theorem 2.6, one can fairly easily prove that there is $N$ such that every element of $B_{Y_{m}}$ can be written in the form $\sum_{n=-N}^{N} f_{n} u^{n}$, with $f_{n} \in C(X, A)$ for $-N \leqslant n \leqslant N$. Moreover, if $a \neq 0$, and one writes $a^{*} a=\sum_{n=-N}^{N} f_{n} u^{n}$, then $f_{0} \neq 0$. Choose $x \in X$ such that $f_{0}(x) \neq 0$, choose a neighborhood $V$ of $x$ such that the sets $h^{n}(V)$, for $-N \leqslant n \leqslant N$, are disjoint, and choose $g \in C(X)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(g) \in V$ and $g(x) \neq 0$. Then $g \in B_{\{y\}}$, and one checks that

$$
g a^{*} a g=\sum_{n=-N}^{N} g f_{n} u^{n} g=\sum_{n=-N}^{N} g\left(g \circ \sigma^{n}\right) f_{n} u^{n}=g^{2} f_{0} .
$$

So $g^{2} f_{0}$ is a nonzero element of $I \cap C(X, A)$, proving the claim.
We next claim that $\sigma^{-1}(U \backslash\{\sigma(y)\}) \subset U$. So let $x \in U \backslash\{\sigma(y)\}$. Choose $f \in$ $I \cap C(X, A)$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$, and choose $g \in C_{0}(X \backslash\{y\})$ such that $g\left(\sigma^{-1}(x)\right) \neq$ 0 . Then $u g \in B_{\{y\}}$, and

$$
(u g)^{*} f(u g)=\bar{g} u^{*} f u g=|g|^{2}\left(u^{*} f u\right)
$$

Thus $|g|^{2}\left(u^{*} f u\right) \in I \cap C(X, A)$ and is nonzero at $\sigma^{-1}(x)$. This proves the claim.
We further claim that $\sigma(U \backslash\{y\}) \subset U$. The proof is similar: let $x \in U \backslash\{y\}$, let $f \in I \cap C(X, A)$ and $g \in C_{0}(X \backslash\{y\})$ be nonzero at $x$, and consider $u g \in B_{\{y\}}$,

$$
(u g) f(u g)^{*}=u g f \bar{g} u^{*}=\left|g \circ \sigma^{-1}\right|^{2}\left(u f u^{*}\right)
$$

Thus $\left|g \circ \sigma^{-1}\right|^{2}\left(u f u^{*}\right) \in I \cap C(X, A)$ and is nonzero at $\sigma(x)$. So $\sigma(U \backslash\{y\}) \subset U$.
Now set $Z=X \backslash U$. The last two claims above imply that if $x \in X$ and $x$ is not in the orbit of $y$, then $\sigma^{k}(x) \in Z$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\sigma$ is minimal, $Z$ is closed, and $Z \neq X$, this is impossible. If $\sigma^{n}(y) \in Z$ for some $n>0$, then $\sigma^{-1}(U \backslash\{\sigma(y)\}) \subset U \backslash\{\sigma(y)\}$ implies $h^{k}(y) \in Z$ for all $k \geqslant n$. Since $\sigma$ is dense by minimality, this is also a contradiction. Similarly, if $\sigma^{n}(y) \in Z$ for some $n \leqslant 0$, then $Z$ would contain the dense set $\left\{\sigma^{k}(y): k \leqslant n\right\}$, again a contradiction.

So $U=X$ and $1 \in I$. Then $B_{\{y\}}$ is simple.
To prove Lemma 3.6, we recall some notions and results.
Decomposition rank is a topological property, originally defined by Kirchberg and Winter, that in its lowest instance captures, like real rank, the covering dimension of the underlying space.

DEFINITION 3.2 ([8]). (i) A completely positive map $\varphi: F \rightarrow A$ has order zero if it maps orthogonal elements to orthogonal elements.
(ii) If $F$ is a finite dimensional algebra, a c.p. $\operatorname{map} \varphi: F \rightarrow A$ is $n$-decomposable if there is a decomposition $F=F_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus F_{n}$ such that $\varphi \mid F_{i}$ has order zero for each $i$.
(iii) We say that A has decomposition rank $n$, in symbols, $\operatorname{dr}(A)=n$, if $n$ is the smallest integer such that: for any finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there are a finite dimensional algebra $F$ and c.p. contractive maps $\varphi: F \rightarrow A$ and $\psi: A \rightarrow F$ such that $\varphi$ is $n$-decomposable and $\|\varphi \circ \psi(a)-a\|<\varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$.

A $C^{*}$-algebra has finite decomposition rank if $\operatorname{dr}(A)<+\infty$.
The following proposition shows that decomposition rank has nice permanence properties.

Proposition 3.3 (3.3 of [8]). For any two $C^{*}$-algebras $A$ and $B$, we have:
(i) $\operatorname{dr}(A \oplus B)=\max \{\operatorname{dr}(A), \operatorname{dr}(B)\}$.
(ii) $\operatorname{dr}(A) \leqslant \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dr}\left(A_{n}\right)$ if $A=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n}$.
(iii) $\operatorname{dr}(A / J) \leqslant \operatorname{dr}(A)$ if $J \triangleleft A$ is an ideal.
(iv) $\operatorname{dr}(B) \leqslant \operatorname{dr}(A)$ if $B \subset_{\text {her }} A$ is a hereditary subalgebra.
(v) $\operatorname{dr}(A \otimes B) \leqslant(\operatorname{dr}(A)+1) \cdot(\operatorname{dr}(B)+1)-1$, if $B$ is AF algebra, we have $\operatorname{dr}(A \otimes$ $B) \leqslant \operatorname{dr}(A)$.

The Jiang-Su algebra, denoted by $\mathcal{Z}([7])$, occupies a central position in the structure theory of separable amenable $C^{*}$-algebras. The property of absorbing the Jiang-Su algebra tensorially ( $\mathcal{Z}$-stable) is a necessary, and, in considerable generality, sufficient condition for the confirmation of Elliott's K-theoretic rigidity conjecture for simple separable amenable $C^{*}$-algebras ([23], [26]).

Winter has proved the following two remarkable results:
THEOREM 3.4 (Theorem 4.1 of [24]). Let $A$ be a separable simple unital $C^{*}$ algebra with finite decomposition rank $n$. Suppose $A$ is $\mathcal{Z}$-stable and has real rank zero. Then A has tracial rank zero.

THEOREM 3.5 (Theorem 5.1 of [25]). Let A be a finite, non elementary, simple, unital $C^{*}$-algebra. If $\operatorname{dr}(A)<+\infty$, then $A$ is $\mathcal{Z}$-stable.

Lemma 3.6. Let $X$ be a Cantor set, let $A$ be a unital separable simple amenable $C^{*}-$ algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the UCT (Universal Coefficient Theorem), and let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(C(X, A))$. Suppose $C(X, A)$ is $\alpha$-simple. Then, for any $y \in X$, the $C^{*}$-algebra $B_{\{y\}}$ has tracial rank zero.

Proof. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty clopen subset, applying Theorem 2.6, we have

$$
B_{Y} \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{l} C\left(Y_{k}, M_{n(k)}(A)\right)=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{l} C\left(Y_{k}\right) \otimes M_{n(k)}(A)
$$

Since $A$ is a unital separable simple amenable $C^{*}$-algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, by [15] $A$ is an AH-algebra of slow dimension growth with real rank zero, furthermore, $A$ is an AH-algebra
of bounded dimension growth by [2], then by 6.1(2) of [8], $A$ has finite decomposition rank and $\operatorname{dr}(\mathrm{A})$ is bounded by the dimensions of the base spaces. Since $C\left(Y_{k}\right)$ is an AF-algebra, by Proposition 3.3(5), $\operatorname{dr}\left(C\left(Y_{k}\right) \otimes M_{n(k)}(A)\right) \leqslant \operatorname{dr}(A)$ for $k=0, \ldots, l$, then by Proposition 3.3 i$), \operatorname{dr}\left(B_{Y}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{dr}(A)$.

Let $y \in X$, then there exists a decreasing sequence $Z_{1} \supset Z_{2} \supset \cdots$ of clopen subsets of $X$ with $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_{n}=\{y\}$, we have $B_{\{y\}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{Z_{n}}$. By Proposition 3.3(ii), $\operatorname{dr}\left(B_{\{y\}}\right) \leqslant \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dr}\left(B_{Z_{n}}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{dr}(A)<+\infty$. By Theorem 3.5, $B_{\{y\}}$ absorbs the Jiang-Su algebra $\mathcal{Z}$ tensorially.

Since $A$ is a unital separable simple $C^{*}$-algebra with tracial rank zero, by Theorem 3.6.11 of [11] $A$ has real rank zero. Notice that $C\left(Y_{k}\right)$ is an AF-algebra, it is easy to see that $B_{Y}$ has real rank zero. So for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, B_{Z_{n}}$ has real rank zero, by Proposition 3.2.2 of [11] $B_{\{y\}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{Z_{n}}$ has also real rank zero. So the $C^{*}$-algebra $B_{\{y\}}$ has tracial rank zero by Theorem 3.4 .

Lemma 3.7. Any trace on $B_{\{y\}}$ is restricted to $C(X)$ is a $\sigma$-invariant measure on $X$.

Proof. Let $\tau$ be a normalized trace on $B_{\{y\}}$, and let $f \in C(X)$. Set

$$
a=u|f-f(y)|^{1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad b=u(f-f(y) \cdot 1)|f-f(y)|^{-1 / 2}
$$

Then $a$ and $b$ are both in $B_{\{y\}}$. Moreover,
$\tau\left(f \circ \sigma^{-1}-f(y) \cdot 1\right)=\tau\left(u(f-f(y) \cdot 1) u^{*}\right)=\tau\left(a b^{*}\right)=\tau\left(b^{*} a\right)=\tau(f-f(y) \cdot 1)$. Cancelling $\tau(f(y) \cdot 1)$, we get $\tau\left(f \circ \sigma^{-1}\right)=\tau(f)$.

## 4. MAIN RESULT

To prove Lemma 4.3. we firstly recall two results:
THEOREM 4.1 (Theorem 3.6 of [17]). Let C be a unital AH-algebra and let $A$ be a unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra with tracial rank zero. Suppose that $h_{1}, h_{2}: C \rightarrow A$ are two monomorphisms such that
$\left[h_{1}\right]=\left[h_{2}\right] \quad$ in $K L(C, A)$ and $\quad \tau \circ h_{1}(a)=\tau \circ h_{2}(a) \quad$ for all $a \in C$ and $\tau \in T(A)$.
Then $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ are approximately unitarily equivalent, i.e., there exists a sequence of unitaries $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset A$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{ad} u_{n} \circ h_{1}(a)=h_{2}(a) \quad \text { for all } a \in C
$$

Lemma 4.2 (Lemma 4.1 of [18]). Let A be a unital separable simple C*-algebra with real rank zero and stable rank one and let $\beta \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$. Suppose that there is a subgroup $G \subset K_{0}(A)$ such that $\rho_{A}(G)$ is dense in $\rho_{A}\left(K_{0}(A)\right)$ and $\left.(\beta)_{* 0}\right|_{G}=\left.\mathrm{id}\right|_{G}$. Then $\tau(a)=\tau(\beta(a))$ for all $a \in A$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $X$ be a Cantor set, let $A$ be a unital separable simple amenable $C^{*}$-algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the UCT, and let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(C(X, A))$. Suppose $C(X, A)$ is $\alpha$-simple and $\left[\left.\alpha\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]=\left[\left.\mathrm{id}\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]$ in $K L(1 \otimes A, C(X, A))$. Let $B=C(X, A) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$, and let $y \in X$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ and finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset B$, there is a projection $p \in B_{\{y\}}$ such that:
(i) $\|p a-a p\|<\varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.
(ii) pap $\in p B_{\{y\}} p$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.
(iii) $\tau(1-p)<\varepsilon$ for all $\tau \in T\left(B_{\{y\}}\right)$.

Proof. We may assume that $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{G} \cup\{u\}$ for some finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset C(X, A)$.
By Lemma 2.3, there is a minimal homomorphism $\sigma$ from $X$ to $X$ and a strongly continuous map from $X$ to $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$, denote by $x$ to $\beta_{x}$, such that $\alpha(f)(x)$ $=\beta_{\sigma^{-1}(x)}\left(f\left(\sigma^{-1}(x)\right)\right)$.

Choose $N_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $4 \pi / N_{0}<\varepsilon$. Choose $\delta_{0}>0$ with $\delta_{0}<(1 / 2) \varepsilon$ and so small that $d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)<4 \delta_{0}$ implies $\left\|f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)\right\|<(1 / 4) \varepsilon$ for all $f \in$ $\bigcup_{i=0}^{N_{0}} \alpha^{-i}(\mathcal{G})$. Choose $\delta>0$ with $\delta \leqslant \delta_{0}$ and such that whenever $\mathrm{d}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)<\delta$ and $0 \leqslant n \leqslant N_{0}$, then $\mathrm{d}\left(\sigma^{-n}\left(x_{1}\right), \sigma^{-n}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)<\delta_{0}$.

Since $\sigma$ is minimal, there is $N>N_{0}+1$ such that $\mathrm{d}\left(\sigma^{N}(y), y\right)<\delta$. Since $\sigma$ is free, there is a clopen neighborhood $Y$ of $y$ in $X$ such that

$$
\sigma^{-N_{0}}(Y), \sigma^{-N_{0}+1}(Y), \ldots, Y, \sigma(Y), \ldots, \sigma^{N}(Y)
$$

are disjoint and all have diameter less than $\delta$, and furthermore $\mu(Y)<\varepsilon /(N+$ $N_{0}+1$ ) for every $\sigma$-invariant Borel probability measure $\mu$.

Define continuous functions $q_{0}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & x \in Y, \\ 0 & x \in X \backslash Y .\end{cases}$
For $-N_{0} \leqslant n \leqslant N$, set $q_{n}=\alpha^{n}\left(q_{0}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}1 & x \in \sigma^{n}(Y), \\ 0 & x \in X \backslash \sigma^{n}(Y),\end{array}\right.$, so the $q_{n}$ are mutually orthogonal projections in $B_{\{y\}}$.

We now have a sequence of projections:

$$
q_{-N_{0}}, \ldots, q_{-1}, q_{0}, \ldots, q_{N-N_{0}}, \ldots, q_{N-1}, q_{N}
$$

The projections $q_{0}$ and $q_{N}$ live over clopen sets which are disjoint but close to each other, and similarly for the pairs $q_{-1}$ and $q_{N-1}$ down to $q_{-N_{0}}$ and $q_{N-N_{0}}$. We are now going to use Berg's technique [1] to splice this sequence along the pairs of indices $\left(-N_{0}, N-N_{0}\right)$ through $(0, N)$, obtaining a loop of length $N$ on which conjugation by $u$ is approximately the cyclic shift.

We claim that there is a partial isometry $w \in B_{\{y\}}$ such that $w^{*} w=q_{0}$, $w w^{*}=q_{N}$ and $\left\|\left.w f\right|_{Y}-\left.f\right|_{\sigma^{N}(Y)} w\right\|<\varepsilon / 4 \quad$ for all $f \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{N_{0}} \alpha^{-i}(\mathcal{G})$.

Let $x \in Y$. The first return time $\lambda_{Y}(x)$ (or $\lambda(x)$ if $Y$ is understood) of $x$ to $Y$ is the smallest integer $n \geqslant 1$ such that $\sigma^{n}(x) \in Y$. By Lemma 2.5, we let $n(0)<n(1)<\cdots<n(l)$ be the distinct values of $\lambda(x)$ for $x \in Y$.

We denote $Y(k, j)=\sigma^{j}\left(\lambda^{-1}(n(k))\right)$, So

$$
X=\coprod_{k=0}^{l} \coprod_{j=1}^{n(k)} \sigma^{j}(\{x \in Y: \lambda(x)=n(k)\})=\coprod_{k=0}^{l} \coprod_{j=1}^{n(k)} Y(k, j) .
$$

Define continuous functions $\chi_{Y(k, j)}=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}1 & x \in Y(k, j), \\ 0 & x \in X \backslash Y(k, j) .\end{array}\right.$
Set $w^{\prime}=\sum_{k=0}^{l} \chi_{Y(k, N)} u^{N-n(k)}$, then $w^{\prime} \in B_{\{y\}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w^{\prime *} w^{\prime}=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l} u^{-N+n(k)} \chi_{Y(k, N)}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l} \chi_{Y(k, N)} u^{N-n(k)}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{l} \chi_{Y(k, n(k))}=q_{0} \\
& w^{\prime} w^{\prime *}=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l} \chi_{Y(k, N)} u^{N-n(k)}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l} u^{-N+n(k)} \chi_{Y(k, N)}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{l} \chi_{Y(k, N)}=q_{N} . \\
& \text { Let }\left.a\right|_{Y}=\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
a & x \in Y, \\
0 & x \in X \backslash Y,
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A$ is a unital separable simple amenable $C^{*}$-algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the UCT, by the classification theorem of [16], [15] and [5], $A$ is a unital separable simple AH-algebra. For any $x \in X$, if $\mathrm{ev}_{x}$ is the evaluation map at $X$, then the composition

$$
A \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mathrm{id}} C(X, A) \xrightarrow{\alpha} C(X, A) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{\sigma(x)}} A
$$

$\operatorname{map} a$ to $\beta_{x}(a)$ for all $a \in A$, the composition

$$
A \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mathrm{id}} C(X, A) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} C(X, A) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{\sigma(x)}} A
$$

map $a$ to $a$ for all $a \in A$. By the assumption $\left[\left.\alpha\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]=\left[\left.\mathrm{id}\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]$ in $K L(1 \otimes$ $A, C(X, A))$, so $\left[\left.\mathrm{ev}_{\sigma(x)} \circ \alpha\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]=\left[\mathrm{ev}_{\sigma(x)} \circ\right.$ id $\left.\left.\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]$ in $K L(1 \otimes A, A)$, i.e., $\left[\beta_{x}\right]$ gives rise to a trivial element of $K L(A, A)$. Hence $\left.\left(\beta_{x}\right)_{* 0}\right|_{K_{0}(A)}=\left.\mathrm{id}\right|_{K_{0}(A)}$ because $A$ is a unital separable simple amenable $C^{*}$-algebra which satisfies the UCT. Moreover, Theorem 3.6.11 of [11] implies $A$ have real rank zero and stable rank one. Applying Lemma 4.2, we get $\tau\left(\beta_{x}(a)\right)=\tau(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Now we can use Theorem 4.1 to get that $\beta_{x}$ is approximately unitary equivalent to the identity map for any $x \in X$, so there are a clopen neighborhood $Y_{x}$ of $x$ and an unitary $u_{j}^{\prime} \in A$ such that $\left.\left.\left.\left.u_{j}^{\prime}\right|_{\sigma^{j}\left(Y_{x}\right)} u^{j} a\right|_{\gamma_{x}} u^{* j} u_{j}^{\prime *}\right|_{\sigma^{j}\left(Y_{x}\right)} \approx_{\varepsilon / 8} a\right|_{\sigma^{j}\left(Y_{x}\right)}$ for all $a \in\{f(y)$ : $\left.f \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{N_{0}} \alpha^{-i}(\mathcal{G})\right\}$. Because $Y$ is compact subset, we can get $u_{j} \in C(X, A)$ such that $\left.\left.\left.\left.u_{j}\right|_{\sigma j(Y)} u^{j} a\right|_{Y} u^{* j} u_{j}^{*}\right|_{\sigma j(Y)} \approx_{\varepsilon / 8} a\right|_{\sigma j(Y)}$ for all $a \in\left\{f(y): f \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{N_{0}} \alpha^{-i}(\mathcal{G})\right\}$.

Define $w=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l} u_{N-n(k)} \chi_{Y(k, N)}\right) w^{\prime}$, then $w \in B_{\{y\}}, w^{*} w=q_{0}, w w^{*}=q_{N}$ and

$$
\left.w a\right|_{Y} w^{*}=\left.\left.\sum_{k=0}^{l} u_{N-n(k)} \chi_{Y(k, N)} u^{N-n(k)} a\right|_{Y} u^{-N+n(k)} \chi_{Y(k, N)} u_{N-n(k)}^{*} \approx_{\varepsilon / 8} a\right|_{\sigma^{N}(Y)}
$$

$\left.\left.w a\right|_{Y} \approx_{\varepsilon / 8} a\right|_{\sigma^{N}(Y)} w$, so $\left\|\left.w f\right|_{Y}-\left.f\right|_{\sigma^{N}(Y)} w\right\|<\varepsilon / 4$ for all $f \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{N_{0}} \alpha^{-i}(\mathcal{G})$. The claim follows.

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define $v(t)=\cos (\pi t / 2)\left(q_{0}+q_{N}\right)+\sin (\pi t / 2)\left(w-w^{*}\right)$. Then $v(t)$ is a unitary in the corner $\left(q_{0}+q_{N}\right) B_{\{y\}}\left(q_{0}+q_{N}\right)$ whose matrix with respect to the obvious block decomposition is

$$
v(t)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos (\pi t / 2) & -\sin (\pi t / 2) \\
\sin (\pi t / 2) & \cos (\pi t / 2)
\end{array}\right)
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| v(t)\left(\left.f\right|_{Y}+\right. & \left.\left.f\right|_{\sigma^{N}(Y)}\right)-\left(\left.f\right|_{Y}+\left.f\right|_{\sigma^{N}(Y)}\right) v(t) \| \\
= & \|\left(\cos (\pi t / 2)\left(q_{0}+q_{N}\right)+\sin (\pi t / 2)\left(w-w^{*}\right)\right)\left(\left.f\right|_{Y}+\left.f\right|_{\sigma^{N}(Y)}\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\left.f\right|_{Y}+\left.f\right|_{\sigma^{N}(Y)}\right)\left(\cos (\pi t / 2)\left(q_{0}+q_{N}\right)+\sin (\pi t / 2)\left(w-w^{*}\right)\right) \| \\
= & \left\|\sin (\pi t / 2)\left(\left.w f\right|_{Y}-\left.w^{*} f\right|_{\sigma^{N}(Y)}\right)-\sin (\pi t / 2)\left(\left.f\right|_{\sigma^{N}(Y)} w-\left.f\right|_{Y} w^{*}\right)\right\| \\
4.1) \quad & =\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text { for all } f \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{N_{0}} \alpha^{-i}(\mathcal{G}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $0 \leqslant k \leqslant N_{0}$ define $w_{k}=u^{-k_{v}}\left(k / N_{0}\right) u^{k}$, so $w_{k} \in\left(q_{-k}+q_{N-k}\right) B_{\{y\}}\left(q_{-k}+\right.$ $\left.q_{N-k}\right)\left\|u w_{k+1} u^{*}-w_{k}\right\|=\left\|v\left(k / N_{0}\right)-v(k-1) / N_{0}\right\| \leqslant 2 \pi / N_{0}<(1 / 2) \varepsilon$.

Now define $e_{n}=q_{n}$ for $0 \leqslant n \leqslant N-N_{0}$, and for $N-N_{0} \leqslant n \leqslant N$ write $k=$ $N-n$ and set $e_{n}=w_{k} q_{-k} w_{k}^{*}$. The two definitions for $n=N-N_{0}$ agree because $w_{N_{0}} q_{-N_{0}} w_{N_{0}}^{*}=q_{N-N_{0}}$, and moreover $e_{N}=e_{0}$. Therefore $\left\|u e_{n-1} u^{*}-e_{n}\right\|=0$ for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant N-N_{0}$, and also $u e_{N} u^{*}=e_{1}$, while for $N-N_{0}<n \leqslant N$ we have

$$
\left\|u e_{n-1} u^{*}-e_{n}\right\| \leqslant 2\left\|u w_{N-n+1} u^{*}-w_{N-n}\right\|<\varepsilon .
$$

Also, clearly $e_{n} \in B_{\{y\}}$ for all $n$.
Set $e=\sum_{n=1}^{N} e_{n}$ and $p=1-e$. We verify that $p$ satisfies (i) through (iii).
First,

$$
p-u p u^{*}=u e u^{*}-e=\sum_{n=N_{0}+1}^{N}\left(u e_{n-1} u^{*}-e_{n}\right)
$$

The terms in the sum are orthogonal and have norm less than $\varepsilon$, so $\left\|u p u^{*}-p\right\|<\varepsilon$.
Furthermore, pup $\in B_{\{y\}}$.
Next, let $g \in \mathcal{G}$. The sets $U_{0}, U_{1}, \ldots, U_{N}$ all have diameter less than $\delta$. We have $\mathrm{d}\left(\sigma^{N}(y), y\right)<\delta$, so the choice of $\delta$ implies that $\mathrm{d}\left(\sigma^{n}(y), \sigma^{n-N}(y)\right)<\delta_{0}$ for
$N-N_{0} \leqslant n \leqslant N$. Also, $U_{n-N}=\sigma^{n-N}\left(U_{0}\right)$ has diameter less than $\delta$. Therefore $U_{n-N} \cup U_{n}$ has diameter less than $2 \delta+\delta_{0} \leqslant 3 \delta_{0}$. Since $g$ varies by at most $(1 / 4) \varepsilon$ on any set with diameter less than $4 \delta_{0}$, and since the sets $\sigma(Y), \sigma^{2}(Y), \sigma^{N-N_{0}-1}(Y)$, $\sigma^{N-N_{0}}(Y) \cup \sigma^{-N_{0}}(Y), \sigma^{N-N_{0}+1}(Y) \cup \sigma^{-N_{0}+1}(Y), \ldots, \sigma^{N}(Y) \cup Y$ are disjoint.

For $0 \leqslant n \leqslant N-N_{0}$, we have $g e_{n}=g q_{n}=q_{n} g=e_{n} g$.
For $N-N_{0}<n \leqslant N$ and any $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we use $e_{n} \in\left(q_{n-N}+q_{n}\right) B_{\{y\}}\left(q_{n-N}+\right.$ $q_{n}$ ) and (4.1) to get $\left\|g e_{n}-e_{n} g\right\|=\left\|g w_{k} q_{-k} w_{k}^{*}-w_{k} q_{-k} w_{k}^{*} g\right\|=\| w_{k}^{*} g w_{k} q_{-k}-$ $q_{-k} w_{k}^{*} g w_{k} \|<\varepsilon$. It follows that $\|p g-g p\|=\|g e-e g\|<\varepsilon$. That $p g p \in B_{\{y\}}$ follows from the fact that $g$ and $p$ are in this subalgebra. So we also have (ii) for $g$.

It remains only to verify (iii). Let $\tau \in T\left(B_{\{y\}}\right)$, and let $\mu$ be the corresponding $\sigma$-invariant probability measure on $X$ by Lemma 3.7. We have

$$
1-p=e \leqslant \sum_{n=-N_{0}}^{N} q_{n}
$$

so we have the following that completes the proof:

$$
\tau(1-p) \leqslant \sum_{n=-N_{0}}^{N} \mu\left(\sigma^{n}(Y)\right)=\left(N+N_{0}+1\right) \mu(Y)<\varepsilon
$$

We recall two results from Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 of [19].
Lemma 4.4. Let $A$ be a unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra. Suppose that for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a unital $C^{*}$-subalgebra $B \subset A$ which has tracial rank zero and a projection $p \in B$ such that

$$
\|p a-a p\|<\varepsilon \text { and } \operatorname{dist}(p a p, p B p)<\varepsilon
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $A$ has the local approximation property of Popa [21], that is for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a nonzero projection $q \in A$ and a finite dimensional unital $C^{*}$-subalgebra $D \subset q A q$ such that, for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$
\|q a-a q\|<\varepsilon \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dist}(q a q, D)<\varepsilon .
$$

Lemma 4.5. Let $A$ be a unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra. Suppose that for every finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, every $\varepsilon>0$, and every nonzero positive element $c \in A$, there exists a projection $p \in A$ and a unital simple subalgebra $B \subset p A p$ with tracial rank zero such that:
(i) $\|[a, p]\|<\varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$;
(ii) $\operatorname{dist}($ pap,$B)<\varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$;
(iii) $1-p$ is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a projection in $\overline{c A c}$.

Then A has tracial rank zero.
Theorem 4.6. Let $X$ be a Cantor set, and let $A$ be a unital separable simple amenable $C^{*}$-algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfies the UCT. Let $C(X, A)$ denote all continuous functions from $X$ to $A$ and $\alpha$ be an automorphism of $C(X, A)$. Suppose that $C(X, A)$ is $\alpha$-simple and $\left[\left.\alpha\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]=\left[\left.\mathrm{id}\right|_{1 \otimes A}\right]$ in $\operatorname{KL}(1 \otimes A, C(X, A))$. Then $C(X, A) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ is a unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra with tracial rank zero.

Proof. Let $B=C(X, A) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$, then $B$ is a unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra by Lemma 2.4

We verify the conditions of Lemma 4.5
For any given point $y \in X, B_{\{y\}}=C^{*}\left(C(X, A), u C_{0}(X \backslash\{y\}, A)\right)$ has tracial rank zero by Lemma3.6. Thus, let $\mathcal{F} \subset B$ be a finite subset, let $\varepsilon>0$, and let $c \in B$ be a nonzero positive element. Beyond Lemma 4.3, the main step of the proof is to find a nonzero projection in $B_{\{y\}}$ which is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to a projection in $\overline{c B c}$.

The algebra $B$ is simple, so Lemma 4.3. Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 2.12 of [12] imply that $B$ has property (SP). Therefore there is a nonzero projection $e \in \overline{c B c}$. Set

$$
\delta_{0}=\frac{1}{18} \inf _{\tau \in T(B)} \tau(e) \leqslant \frac{1}{18}
$$

By Lemma 4.3. there is a projection $q \in B_{\{y\}}$ and an element $b_{0} \in q B_{\{y\}} q$ such that

$$
\|q e-e q\|<\delta_{0}, \quad\left\|q e q-b_{0}\right\|<\delta_{0}, \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{\tau \in T\left(B_{\{y\}}\right)} \tau(1-q) \leqslant \delta_{0}
$$

Then $\sup _{\tau \in T(B)} \tau(1-q) \leqslant \sup _{\tau \in T\left(B_{\{y\}}\right)} \tau(1-q) \leqslant \delta_{0}$. Replacing $b_{0}$ by $(1 / 2)\left(b_{0}+b_{0}^{*}\right)$, we may assume that $b_{0}$ is self-adjoint. We have $-\delta_{0} \leqslant b_{0} \leqslant 1+\delta_{0}$, so applying continuous functional calculus we may find $b \in q B_{\{y\}} q$ such that $0 \leqslant b \leqslant 1$ and $\|q e q-b\|<2 \delta_{0}$. Using $\|q e-e q\|<\delta_{0}$ on the last term in the second expression, we get

$$
\left\|b^{2}-b\right\| \leqslant 3\|b-q e q\|+\left\|(q e q)^{2}-q e q\right\|<3 \cdot 2 \delta_{0}+\delta_{0}=7 \delta_{0}<\frac{1}{4}
$$

Therefore there is a projection $e_{1} \in q B_{\{y\}} q$ such that $\left\|e_{1}-b\right\|<14 \delta_{0}$, giving $\| e_{1}-$ $q e q \|<16 \delta_{0}$. Similarly (actually, one gets a better estimate) there is a projection $e_{2} \in(1-q) B(1-q)$ such that $\left\|e_{2}-(1-q) e(1-q)\right\|<16 \delta_{0}$. Therefore $\| e_{1}+$ $e_{2}-[q e q+(1-q) e(1-q)] \|<16 \delta_{0}$ and, using $\|q e-e q\|<\delta_{0}$ again, we have $\left\|e_{1}+e_{2}-e\right\|<18 \delta_{0} \leqslant 1$. It follows that $e_{1} \precsim e$. Also, for $\tau \in T(B)$, we have

$$
\tau\left(e_{1}\right)>\tau(q e q)-16 \delta_{0}=\tau(e)-\tau((1-q) e(1-q))-16 \delta_{0} \geqslant \tau(e)-\tau(1-q)-16 \delta_{0}>0,
$$ so $e_{1} \neq 0$.

Now set $\varepsilon_{0}=\inf \left\{\tau\left(e_{1}\right): \tau \in T\left(B_{\{y\}}\right)\right\}$. By Lemma 4.3 , there is a projection $p \in B_{\{y\}}$ such that:
(i) $\|p a-a p\|<\varepsilon$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$;
(ii) pap $\in p B_{\{y\}} p$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$;
(iii) $\tau(1-p)<\varepsilon_{0}$ for all $\tau \in T\left(B_{\{y\}}\right)$.

Since $B_{\{y\}}$ has tracial rank zero which implies that the order on projections is determined by traces (Theorem 3.7.2 of [11]), it follows that $1-p \precsim e_{1} \precsim e$. Since $p B_{\{y\}} p$ also has tracial rank zero, we have verified the hypotheses of Lemma 4.5 Thus $B$ has tracial rank zero.

Corollary 4.7. For $j=1,2$ let $X$ be a Cantor set, and let $A_{j}$ be a unital separable simple amenable $C^{*}$-algebra with tracial rank zero which satisfy the UCT. Let $C\left(X, A_{j}\right)$ denote all continuous functions from $X$ to $A_{j}$, and let $\alpha_{j}$ be an automorphism of $C\left(X, A_{j}\right)$. Suppose that $C\left(X, A_{j}\right)$ is $\alpha_{j}$-simple and $\left[\left.\alpha_{j}\right|_{1 \otimes A_{j}}\right]=\left[\left.\mathrm{id}\right|_{1 \otimes A_{j}}\right]$ in $K L\left(1 \otimes A_{j}, C\left(X, A_{j}\right)\right)$. Let $B_{j}=C\left(X, A_{j}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha_{j}} \mathbb{Z}$ for $j=1,2$. Then $B_{1} \cong B_{2}$ if and only if

$$
\left(K_{0}\left(B_{1}\right), K_{0}\left(B_{1}\right)_{+},\left[1_{B_{1}}\right], K_{1}\left(B_{1}\right)\right) \cong\left(K_{0}\left(B_{2}\right), K_{0}\left(B_{2}\right)_{+},\left[1_{B_{2}}\right], K_{1}\left(B_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

The proof is immediate from Theorem 5.2 of [16] and Theorem 4.6
Acknowledgements. The author is thankful to Professor Huaxin Lin for valuable discussions and suggestions. The author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 10771069, 10771161, 11071188) and Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. LQ12A01015).

## REFERENCES

[1] I.D. BERG, On approximation of normal operators by weighted shifts, Michigan Math. J. 21(1974), 377-383.
[2] M. Dadarlat, G. Gong, A classification result for approximately homogeneous $C^{*}$-algebras of real rank zero, Geom. Funct. Anal. 7(1997), 646-711.
[3] G.A. Elliott, The classification problem for amenable $C^{*}$-algebras, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematications (Zürich, 1994), Birkhäuser, Basel 1995, pp. 922-932.
[4] G.A. Elliott, D.E. Evans, The structure of the irrational rotation algebra, Ann. of Math. (2) 138(1993), 477-501.
[5] G.A. Elliott, G. Gong, On the classification of $C^{*}$-algebras of real rank zero. II, Ann. of Math. (2) 144(1996), 497-610.
[6] S. Jang, S. Lee, Simplicity of crossed products of $C^{*}$-algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118(1993), 823-826.
[7] X. Jiang, H. Su, On a simple unital projectionless $C^{*}$-algebra, Amer. J. Math. 121(1999), 359-413.
[8] E. Kirchberg, W. Winter, Covering dimension and quasidiagonality, Internat. J. Math. 15(2004), 63-85.
[9] A. Kishimoto, Outer automorphisms and reduced crossed products of simple $C^{*}$ algebra, Comm. Math. Phys. 81(1981), 429-435.
[10] R.H. Herman, I.F. Putnam, C.F. Skau, Ordered Bratteli diagrams, dimension groups, and topological dynamics, Internat. J. Math. 3(1992), 827-864.
[11] H. Lin, An Introduction to the Classification of Amenable C*-algebra, World Sci., New Jersey-London-Singapore-Hong Kong-Bangalore 2001.
[12] H. Lin, Tracially AF C*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353(2001), 693-722.
[13] H. Lin, Tracial topological ranks of C*-algebra, Proc. London Math. Soc. 83(2001), 199234.
[14] H. Lin, Classification of simple $C^{*}$-algebras and higher dimensional tori, Ann. of Math. (2) 157(2003), 521-544.
[15] H. Lin, Simple AH-algebras of real rank zero, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131(2003), 38133819 (electronic).
[16] H. Lin, Classification of simple $C^{*}$-algebra with tracial topological rank zero, Duke Math. J. 125(2004), 91-119.
[17] H. Lin, AF-embedding of crossed products of AH-algebras by $\mathbb{Z}$ and asympototic AF-embedding, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 57(2008), 891-944.
[18] H. Lin, The Rokhlin property for automorphisms on simple $C^{*}$-algebras, arXiv:math/0602513v2[math.OA] 27 Jan 2010.
[19] H. Lin, N.C. Phillips, Crossed products by minimal homeomorphisms, J. Reine Angew. Math. 641(2010), 95-122.
[20] N.C. Phillips, Every simple higher dimensional noncommutative torus is an AT algebra, preprint (arXiv: math.OA/0609783).
[21] S. Popa, On local finite dimensional approximation of $C^{*}$-algebras, Pacific J. Math. 181(1997), 141-158.
[22] I.F. Putnam, On the topological stable rank of certain transformation group $C^{*}$ algebras, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems 10(1990), 197-207.
[23] M. RøRDAM, A simple C*-algebra with a finite and an infinite projection, Acta Math. 191(2003), 109-142.
[24] W. Winter, On the classification of simple $\mathcal{Z}$-stable $C^{*}$-algebras with real rank zero and finite decomposition rank, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 74(2006), 167-183.
[25] W. Winter, Decomposition rank and $\mathcal{Z}$-stability, Invent. Math. 179(2010), 229-301.
[26] W. WinTER, Localizing the Elliott conjecture at strongly-self absorbing $C^{*}$-algebras, electronic preprint, arXiv: math.OA/0708.0283v3.

JIAJIE HUA, Department of Mathematics Physics and Information Engineering, Jiaxing University, Jiaxing, 314001, P.R. China<br>E-mail address: huajiajie2006@hotmail.com

Received June 21, 2010; revised December 30, 2010; posted on February 8, 2013.

