

A FAMILY OF NON-COCYCLE CONJUGATE E_0 -SEMIGROUPS OBTAINED FROM BOUNDARY WEIGHT DOUBLES

CHRISTOPHER JANKOWSKI

Communicated by William Arveson

ABSTRACT. Let $\rho \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^*$ and $\rho' \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})^*$ be states, and define unital q -positive maps ϕ and ψ by $\phi(A) = \rho(A)I_n$ and $\psi(D) = \rho'(D)I_{n'}$ for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $D \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$. We show that if ν and η are type II Powers weights, then the boundary weight doubles (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, η) induce non-cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups if ρ and ρ' have different eigenvalue lists. We then classify the q -corners and hyper maximal q -corners from ϕ to ψ , finding that if ν is a type II Powers weight of the form $\nu(\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}B\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}) = (f, Bf)$, where $\Lambda(1) \in B(L^2(0, \infty))$ is the operator of multiplication by e^{-x} , then the E_0 -semigroups induced by (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, ν) are cocycle conjugate if and only if $n = n'$ and ϕ and ψ are conjugate.

KEYWORDS: E_0 -semigroup, completely positive map, q -positive map.

MSC (2010): 46L57, 46L07.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a separable Hilbert space, denoting its inner product by the symbol (\cdot, \cdot) which is conjugate-linear in its first entry and linear in its second. An E_0 -semigroup $\alpha = \{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a semigroup of unital $*$ -endomorphisms of $B(H)$ which is weakly continuous in t . E_0 -semigroups are divided into three types, depending on the existence and structure of their units. More specifically, if α is an E_0 -semigroup and there is a strongly continuous semigroup $U = \{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of bounded operators acting on H such that $\alpha_t(A)U_t = U_tA$ for all $A \in B(H)$ and $t \geq 0$, then we say that U is a unit for α . An E_0 -semigroup is said to be spatial if it has at least one unit, and a spatial E_0 -semigroup is called completely spatial if, in essence, its units can reconstruct H . We say an E_0 -semigroup α is type I if it is completely spatial and type II if it is spatial but not completely spatial. If α has no units, we say it is of type III. Every spatial E_0 -semigroup α is assigned an index $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ which corresponds to the dimension of a particular Hilbert space associated to its units. The type I E_0 -semigroups have been classified up

to cocycle conjugacy by their index in [2]: If α is of type I_n (type I, index n) for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, then α is cocycle conjugate to the CCR/CAR flow of rank n , while if α is of type I_0 , then it is a semigroup of $*$ -automorphisms. Arveson’s comprehensive book on E_0 -semigroups [4] provides a detailed exploration of the index (Sections 2.5, 2.6, 3.6, and others), the CCR and CAR flows (Section 2.1), and other fundamental results in the theory of E_0 -semigroups, along with many relatively recent results in the subject.

In contrast to the type I case, uncountably many examples of non-cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups of types II and III are known (see, for example, [8], [7], [14], [13], [12], and [16]). Bhat’s dilation theorem [5] and developments in the theory of CP-flows ([15] and [14]) have led to the introduction of boundary weight doubles and related cocycle conjugacy results for E_0 -semigroups in [9]. A boundary weight double is a pair (ϕ, ν) , where $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is q -positive (that is, $\phi(I + t\phi)^{-1}$ is completely positive for all $t \geq 0$) and ν is a positive boundary weight over $L^2(0, \infty)$. If ϕ is unital and ν is normalized and unbounded (in which case we say ν is a type II Powers weight), then (ϕ, ν) induces a unital CP-flow whose Bhat minimal dilation is a type II_0 E_0 -semigroup α^d . If $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is unital and q -positive and $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is unitary, then the map $\phi_U(A) = U^*\phi(UAU^*)U$ is also unital and q -positive. The relationship between ϕ and ϕ_U is analogous to the definition of conjugacy for E_0 -semigroups. With this in mind, we say that q -positive maps $\phi, \psi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ are *conjugate* if $\psi = \phi_U$ for some unitary $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. If ν is a type II Powers weight of the form $\nu(\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}B\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}) = (f, Bf)$, where $\Lambda(1) \in B(L^2(0, \infty))$ is defined by $(\Lambda(1)g)(x) = e^{-x}g(x)$ for all $g \in L^2(0, \infty)$ and $x > 0$, then (ϕ, ν) and (ϕ_U, ν) induce cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups (for details, see Proposition 2.11 of [10] and the discussion preceding it).

Suppose $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi : M_{n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$ are unital rank one q -positive maps, so for some states $\rho \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^*$ and $\rho' \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})^*$, we have $\phi(A) = \rho(A)I_n$ and $\psi(D) = \rho'(D)I_{n'}$ for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), D \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$. Let ν and η be type II Powers weights. We prove three main results. First, we find that if (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, η) induce cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups, then ρ and ρ' have identical eigenvalue lists (Definition 2.13 and Proposition 3.4). We then find all q -corners and hyper maximal q -corners from ϕ to ψ (see Remark 3.3 and Theorems 3.8 and 3.9). With this result in hand, we complete the cocycle conjugacy comparison theory for E_0 -semigroups α^d and β^d induced by (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, ν) in the case that ν is of the form $\nu(\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}B\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}) = (f, Bf)$, finding that α^d and β^d are cocycle conjugate if and only if $n = n'$ and ϕ is conjugate to ψ (Theorem 3.10).

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. q -POSITIVE AND q -PURE MAPS. Let $\phi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be a linear map between unital C^* -algebras. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\phi_n : M_n(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathfrak{B})$ by

$$\phi_n \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{n1} & \cdots & A_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(A_{11}) & \cdots & \phi(A_{1n}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi(A_{n1}) & \cdots & \phi(A_{nn}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We say that ϕ is completely positive if ϕ_n is positive for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From the work of Choi [6] and Arveson [1], we know that every normal completely positive map $\phi : B(H) \rightarrow B(K)$ (H, K separable Hilbert spaces) can be written in the form

$$\phi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i A S_i^*$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and bounded operators $S_i : H \rightarrow K$ which are linearly independent over $\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$.

We will be interested in a particular kind of completely positive map:

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linear map with no negative eigenvalues. We say ϕ is q -positive (and write $\phi \geq_q 0$) if $\phi(I + t\phi)^{-1}$ is completely positive for all $t \geq 0$.

Powers introduced the term “ q -positive” in Definition 4.28 of [15] in order to describe boundary weight maps which give rise to CP-flows. Our definition of q -positivity serves the same purpose with regard to constructing unital CP-flows through boundary weight doubles, as we will see in Proposition 2.7. We make two observations in light of Definition 2.1. First, it is not uncommon for a completely positive map to have negative eigenvalues. Second, there is no “slowest rate of failure” for q -positivity: For every $s \geq 0$, there exists a linear map ϕ with no negative eigenvalues such that $\phi(I + t\phi)^{-1}$ ($t \geq 0$) is completely positive if and only if $t \leq s$. These observations are discussed in detail in Section 2.1 of [10].

There is a natural order structure for q -positive maps. If $\phi, \psi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ are q -positive, we say ϕ q -dominates ψ (i.e. $\phi \geq_q \psi$) if $\phi(I + t\phi)^{-1} - \psi(I + t\psi)^{-1}$ is completely positive for all $t \geq 0$. It is not always true that $\phi \geq_q \lambda\phi$ if $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ (for a large family of counterexamples, see Theorem 6.11 of [9]). However, if ϕ is q -positive, then for every $s \geq 0$, we have $\phi \geq_q \phi(I + s\phi)^{-1} \geq_q 0$ ([9], Proposition 4.1). If these are the only nonzero q -subordinates of ϕ , we say ϕ is q -pure. The unital q -pure maps which are either rank one or invertible have been classified ([9], Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 6.11).

If ϕ is a unital q -positive map, then as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the maps $t\phi(I + t\phi)^{-1}$ converge to an idempotent completely positive map L_ϕ which has interesting properties (see Lemma 3.1 of [10]):

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is q -positive and $\|t\phi(I + t\phi)^{-1}\| < 1$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then the maps $t\phi(I + t\phi)^{-1}$ have a unique norm limit L_ϕ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and L_ϕ is completely positive. Furthermore,

- (i) $\phi = \phi \circ L_\phi = L_\phi \circ \phi$,
- (ii) $L_\phi^2 = L_\phi$,

- (iii) $\text{range}(L_\phi) = \text{range}(\phi)$, and
- (iv) $\text{nullspace}(L_\phi) = \text{nullspace}(\phi)$.

2.2. E_0 -SEMIGROUPS AND CP-FLOWS. From a celebrated result of Wigner [17], we know that every one-parameter group $\alpha = \{\alpha_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $*$ -automorphisms of $B(H)$ arises from a strongly continuous unitary group $\{V_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ in the sense that $\alpha_t(A) = V_t A V_t^*$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A \in B(H)$.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let H be a separable Hilbert space. We say a family $\alpha = \{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of $*$ -endomorphisms of $B(H)$ is an E_0 -semigroup if:

- (i) $\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_{s+t}$ for all $s, t \geq 0$ and $\alpha_0(A) = A$ for all $A \in B(H)$;
- (ii) for each $f, g \in H$ and $A \in B(H)$, the inner product $(f, \alpha_t(A)g)$ is continuous in t ;
- (iii) $\alpha_t(I) = I$ for all $t \geq 0$.

We have two notions of equivalence for E_0 -semigroups:

DEFINITION 2.4. Let α and β be E_0 -semigroups acting on $B(H_1)$ and $B(H_2)$, respectively. We say α and β are *conjugate* if there is a $*$ -isomorphism θ from $B(H_1)$ onto $B(H_2)$ such that $\theta \circ \alpha_t = \beta_t \circ \theta$ for all $t \geq 0$.

We say α and β are *cocycle conjugate* if α is conjugate to β' , where β' is an E_0 -semigroup of $B(H_2)$ satisfying the following condition: For some strongly continuous family of unitaries $W = \{W_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ acting on H_2 and satisfying $W_t \beta_t(W_s) = W_{t+s}$ for all $s \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$, we have $\beta'_t(A) = W_t \beta_t(A) W_t^*$ for all $A \in B(H_2)$ and $t \geq 0$.

Let K be a separable Hilbert space, and form $H = K \otimes L^2(0, \infty)$, which we identify with the space of K -valued measurable functions on $(0, \infty)$ which are square integrable. Let $U = \{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the right shift semigroup on H , so for all $t \geq 0, f \in H$, and $x > 0$, we have

$$(U_t f)(x) = f(x - t) \quad \text{if } x > t; \quad (U_t f)(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } x \leq t.$$

A strongly continuous semigroup $\alpha = \{\alpha_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of completely positive contractions from $B(H)$ into itself is called a *CP-flow* over K if $\alpha_t(A)U_t = U_t A$ for all $A \in B(H)$ and $t \geq 0$. A result of Bhat in [5] shows that if α is unital, then it minimally dilates to a unique (up to conjugacy) E_0 -semigroup α^d . We may naturally construct a CP-flow $\beta = \{\beta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ over K using the right shift semigroup by defining

$$\beta_t(A) = U_t A U_t^*$$

for all $A \in B(H), t \geq 0$. In fact, if α is any CP-flow over K , then α dominates β in the sense that $\alpha_t - \beta_t$ is completely positive for all $t \geq 0$.

Define $\Lambda : B(K) \rightarrow B(H)$ by

$$(\Lambda(A)f)(x) = e^{-x} A f(x)$$

for all $A \in B(K), f \in H$, and $x \in (0, \infty)$, and let $\mathfrak{A}(H)$ be the algebra

$$\mathfrak{A}(H) = \sqrt{I - \Lambda(I_K)}B(H)\sqrt{I - \Lambda(I_K)}.$$

We say a linear functional τ acting on $\mathfrak{A}(H)$ is a *boundary weight* (denoted $\tau \in \mathfrak{A}(H)_*$) if the functional ℓ defined on $B(H)$ by

$$\ell(A) = \tau\left(\sqrt{I - \Lambda(I_K)}A\sqrt{I - \Lambda(I_K)}\right)$$

satisfies $\ell \in B(H)_*$. Boundary weights were first defined in Definition 4.16 of [15], where their relationship to CP-flows was explored in depth. For an additional discussion of boundary weights and their properties, we refer the reader to Definition 1.10 of [11] and the remarks that follow it.

Every CP-flow over K corresponds to a *boundary weight map* $\rho \rightarrow \omega(\rho)$ from $B(K)_*$ to $\mathfrak{A}(H)_*$ ([15]). On the other hand, it is an extremely important and non-trivial fact that, under certain conditions, a map from $B(K)_*$ to $\mathfrak{A}(H)_*$ can induce a CP-flow (see Theorems 4.17, 4.23, and 4.27 of [15]):

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $\rho \rightarrow \omega(\rho)$ be a completely positive mapping from $B(K)_*$ into $\mathfrak{A}(H)_*$ satisfying $\omega(\rho)(I - \Lambda(I_K)) \leq \rho(I_K)$ for all positive ρ . Let $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the right shift semigroup acting on H . For each $t > 0$, define a truncated boundary weight map $\rho \in B(K)_* \rightarrow \omega_t(\rho) \in B(H)_*$ by*

$$\omega_t(\rho)(A) = \omega(\rho)(U_t U_t^* A U_t U_t^*)$$

for all $A \in B(H)$. If the maps

$$\hat{\pi}_t := \omega_t(I + \hat{\Lambda}\omega_t)^{-1}$$

are completely positive contractions from $B(K)_*$ into $B(H)_*$ for all $t > 0$, then $\rho \rightarrow \omega(\rho)$ is the boundary weight map of a CP-flow over K . The CP-flow is unital if and only if $\omega(\rho)(I - \Lambda(I_K)) = \rho(I_K)$ for all $\rho \in B(K)_*$.

If α is a CP-flow over \mathbb{C} , then we identify its boundary weight map $c \rightarrow \omega(c)$ with the single positive boundary weight $\omega := \omega(1)$, so ω has the form

$$\omega\left(\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}A\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k (f_i, A f_i)$$

for some mutually orthogonal nonzero L^2 -functions $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$) with $\sum_{i=1}^k \|f_i\|^2 < \infty$. We call ω a *positive boundary weight over $L^2(0, \infty)$* , and, following the notation of [11], we write $\omega \in \mathfrak{A}(L^2(0, \infty))_*$. We say ω is bounded if there exists some $r > 0$ such that $|\omega(B)| \leq r\|B\|$ for all $B \in \mathfrak{A}(H)$. Otherwise, we say ω is unbounded. Suppose $\omega(I - \Lambda(1)) = 1$ (i.e. ω is *normalized*), so α is unital and therefore dilates to an E_0 -semigroup α^d . Results from [15] show that α^d is of type I_k if ω is bounded but of type II_0 if ω is unbounded, leading us to make the following definition:

DEFINITION 2.6. A boundary weight $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}(L^2(0, \infty))_*$ is called a *Powers weight* if ν is positive and normalized. We say a Powers weight ν is *type I* if it is bounded and *type II* if it is unbounded.

We note that if ν is a type II Powers weight, then for the weights ν_t defined by $\nu_t(A) = \nu(U_t U_t^* A U_t U_t^*)$ for $A \in B(L^2(0, \infty))$ and $t > 0$, both $\nu_t(I)$ and $\nu_t(\Lambda(1))$ approach infinity as $t \rightarrow 0+$. We can combine unital q -positive maps with type II Powers weights to obtain E_0 -semigroups (see Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 of [9]):

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let $H = \mathbb{C}^n \otimes L^2(0, \infty)$. Let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a unital q -positive map, and let ν be a type II Powers weight. Let $\Omega_\nu : \mathfrak{A}(H) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the map that sends $A = (A_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathfrak{A}(L^2(0, \infty))) \cong \mathfrak{A}(H)$ to the matrix $(\nu(A_{ij})) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. The map $\rho \rightarrow \omega(\rho)$ from $M_n(\mathbb{C})^*$ into $\mathfrak{A}(H)_*$ defined by

$$\omega(\rho)(A) = \rho(\phi(\Omega_\nu(A)))$$

is the boundary weight map of a unital CP-flow α over \mathbb{C}^n whose Bhat minimal dilation α^d is a type II₀ E_0 -semigroup.

In the notation of the previous proposition, we call α^d the E_0 -semigroup induced by the *boundary weight double* (ϕ, ν) . In order to compare E_0 -semigroups induced by boundary weight doubles, we appeal to results of [15], where Powers defined corners between CP-semigroups and showed that two E_0 -semigroups are cocycle conjugate if and only if there is a corner from one to the other ([15], Definition 3.7 and Lemma 3.8). Furthermore, if α and β are unital CP-flows which induce type II₀ E_0 -semigroups α^d and β^d , then α^d and β^d are cocycle conjugate if and only if there is a hyper maximal flow corner from α to β ([15], Definition 4.53 and Theorem 4.56).

In [14], Powers defined q -corners and hyper maximal q -corners ([14], Definition 3.11) between Powers weights. As a consequence of Theorem 4.56 of [15], type II Powers weights ν and η induce cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups if and only if there is a hyper maximal q -corner from ν to η . Powers also found a condition involving the trace density operators for ν and η which was necessary and sufficient for ν and η to induce cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups ([14], Theorem 3.23). Motivated by the above results, we define corners, q -corners, and hyper maximal q -corners in an analogous context ([9], Definitions 3.4 and 4.4):

DEFINITION 2.8. Suppose $\phi : B(H_1) \rightarrow B(K_1)$ and $\psi : B(H_2) \rightarrow B(K_2)$ are normal completely positive maps. Write each $A \in B(H_1 \oplus H_2)$ as $A = (A_{ij})$, where $A_{ij} \in B(H_j, H_i)$ for each $i, j = 1, 2$. We say a linear map $\gamma : B(H_2, H_1) \rightarrow B(K_2, K_1)$ is a *corner* from α to β if $\Theta : B(H_1 \oplus H_2) \rightarrow B(K_1 \oplus K_2)$ defined by

$$\Theta \left(\begin{array}{cc} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \phi(A_{11}) & \gamma(A_{12}) \\ \gamma^*(A_{21}) & \psi(A_{22}) \end{array} \right)$$

is normal and completely positive.

Suppose $H_1 = K_1 = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $H_2 = K_2 = \mathbb{C}^m$. We say $\gamma : M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$ is a q -corner from ϕ to ψ if $\Theta \geq_q 0$. A q -corner γ is *hyper maximal* if, whenever

$$\Theta \geq_q \Theta' = \begin{pmatrix} \phi' & \gamma \\ \gamma^* & \psi' \end{pmatrix} \geq_q 0;$$

we have $\Theta = \Theta'$.

Hyper maximal q -corners between unital q -positive maps ϕ and ψ allow us to compare E_0 -semigroups induced by (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, ν) if ν is a particular kind of type II Powers weight ([9], Proposition 4.6):

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi : M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_k(\mathbb{C})$ be unital q -positive maps, and let ν be a type II Powers weight of the form*

$$\nu\left(\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}B\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}\right) = (f, Bf).$$

The boundary weight doubles (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, ν) induce cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups if and only if there is a hyper maximal q -corner from ϕ to ψ .

From [9], we know that a unital rank one map $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is q -positive if and only if it has the form $\phi(A) = \rho(A)I$ for a state $\rho \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^*$, and that ϕ is q -pure if and only if ρ is *faithful*. We also have the following comparison result ([9], Theorem 5.4), which we will extend in this paper to all unital rank one q -positive maps (Theorem 3.10):

THEOREM 2.10. *Let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi : M_{n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$ be rank one unital q -pure maps, so for some faithful states $\rho \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^*$ and $\rho' \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})^*$, we have*

$$\phi(A) = \rho(A)I_n \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(D) = \rho'(D)I_{n'}$$

for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $D \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$. Let ν be a type II Powers weight of the form

$$\nu\left(\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}B\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}\right) = (f, Bf).$$

The E_0 -semigroups induced by (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, ν) are cocycle conjugate if and only if $n = n'$ and for some unitary $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ we have $\rho'(A) = \rho(UAU^)$ for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$.*

2.3. CONJUGACY FOR q -POSITIVE MAPS. We will consider equivalence classes of q -positive maps up to a relation we call conjugacy. More specifically, if $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a unital q -positive map and $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is any unitary matrix, the map $\phi_U(A) := U^*\phi(UAU^*)U$ is also unital and q -positive. We have the following definition from [10]:

DEFINITION 2.11. *Let $\phi, \psi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be q -positive maps. We say ϕ is conjugate to ψ if $\psi = \phi_U$ for some unitary $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$.*

Conjugacy is clearly an equivalence relation, and its definition is analogous to that of conjugacy for E_0 -semigroups. Indeed, since every $*$ -isomorphism of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is implemented by unitary conjugation, it follows that two q -positive maps $\phi, \psi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ are conjugate if and only if $\theta \circ \phi = \psi \circ \theta$ for some $*$ -isomorphism θ of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. If ν is a type II Powers weight of the form

$$\nu\left(\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}B\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}\right) = (f, Bf),$$

then conjugacy between unital q -positive maps ϕ and ψ is always a sufficient condition for (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, ν) to induce cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups. To see this, we note that if $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is unital and q -positive, then the map $\gamma : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $\gamma(A) = \phi(AU^*)U$ is a hyper maximal q -corner from ϕ to ϕ_U (for details, see the discussion preceding Proposition 2.11 of [10]), whereby Proposition 2.9 gives us:

PROPOSITION 2.12. *Let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be unital and q -positive, and suppose ψ is conjugate to ϕ . If ν is a type II Powers weight of the form*

$$\nu\left(\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}B\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}\right) = (f, Bf),$$

then (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, ν) induce cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups.

In the case that ϕ and ψ are unital rank one q -pure maps and ν is a type II Powers weight of the form $\nu(\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}B\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}) = (f, Bf)$, Theorem 2.10 states that conjugacy between ϕ and ψ is both necessary and sufficient for (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, ν) induce cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups.

Let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a unital rank one q -positive map, so $\phi(A) = \rho(A)I$ for some state $\rho \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^*$. It is well-known that we can write ρ in the form

$$(2.1) \quad \rho(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{k \leq n} \lambda_i (g_i, Ag_i),$$

for some mutually orthogonal unit vectors $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and some positive numbers $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1$. With the conditions of the previous sentence satisfied, the number k and the monotonically decreasing set $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^k$ are unique.

DEFINITION 2.13. Assume the notation of the previous paragraph. We call $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^k$ the *eigenvalue list* for ρ .

We should note that our definition differs from a previous definition of eigenvalue list in the literature (for example, [3]) in that our eigenvalue lists do not include zeros.

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the standard basis for \mathbb{C}^n . If ρ has the form (2.1) and $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is any unitary matrix such that $Ue_i = g_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, then

$$\rho(UAU^*) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(g_i, UAU^*g_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(U^*g_i, AU^*g_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(e_i, Ae_i)$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \phi_U(A) = U^*\phi(UAU^*)U = U^*\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(e_i, Ae_i)\right)I\right]U = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i a_{ii}\right)I$$

for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. We will use this fact repeatedly.

3. OUR RESULTS

We begin with the following observation:

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi : M_{n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$ be unital q -positive maps, and let ν and η be type II Powers weights. If the boundary weight doubles (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, η) induce cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups, there is a corner γ from L_ϕ to L_ψ such that $\|\gamma\| = 1$.*

Proof. This is a slight generalization of Lemma 5.3 of [9] (where ϕ and ψ were assumed to have rank one and be q -pure), but its proof is identical. The exact same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.3 shows that there is a corner γ from $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \nu_t(\Lambda(1))\phi(I + \nu_t(\Lambda(1))\phi)^{-1}$ to $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \eta_t(\Lambda(1))\psi(I + \eta_t(\Lambda(1))\psi)^{-1}$ (if the limits exist) such that $\|\gamma\| = 1$. We observe that the former limit is L_ϕ and the latter limit is L_ψ . Indeed, the values $\{\nu_t(\Lambda(1))\}_{t>0}$ and $\{\eta_t(\Lambda(1))\}_{t>0}$ are monotonically decreasing in t , and since ν and η are unbounded, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \nu_t(\Lambda(1)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \eta_t(\Lambda(1)) = \infty. \quad \blacksquare$$

We have the following lemma (a consequence of Lemma 3.5 of [9]):

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_r(\mathbb{C})$, $\psi : M_{n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{r'}(\mathbb{C})$ be completely positive maps, so for some $k, k' \in \mathbb{N}$ and sets of linearly independent matrices $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset M_{r,n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{k'} \subset M_{r',n'}(\mathbb{C})$, we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \phi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k S_i A S_i^*, \quad \psi(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{k'} T_i D T_i^*$$

for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $D \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$.

A linear map $\gamma : M_{n,n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{r,r'}(\mathbb{C})$ is a corner from ϕ to ψ if and only if, for some $C = (c_{ij}) \in M_{k,k'}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\|C\| \leq 1$, we have, for all $B \in M_{n,n'}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\gamma(B) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{k'} c_{ij} S_i B T_j^*.$$

REMARK 3.3. Suppose γ is a q -corner from ϕ to ψ . Let $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $V \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$ be arbitrary unitary matrices, and let

$$\vartheta = \begin{pmatrix} \phi & \gamma \\ \gamma^* & \psi \end{pmatrix} \geq_q 0.$$

For the unitary matrix

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0_{n,n'} \\ 0_{n',n} & V \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C}),$$

we have $\vartheta_Z \geq_q 0$ (since $\vartheta \geq_q 0$), where

$$\vartheta_Z \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_U(A) & U^* \gamma(UBV^*)V \\ V^* \gamma^*(VCU^*)U & \psi_V(D) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $B \rightarrow U^* \gamma(UBV^*)V$ is a q -corner from ϕ_U to ψ_V . By Proposition 4.5 of [9], we know that if $\Phi : M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C})$ is a linear map, then $\vartheta \geq_q \Phi \geq_q 0$ if and only if $\vartheta_Z \geq_q \Phi_Z \geq_q 0$. It follows that γ is a hyper maximal q -corner from ϕ to ψ if and only if $B \rightarrow U^* \gamma(UBV^*)V$ is a hyper maximal q -corner from ϕ_U to ψ_V . The same argument gives us a bijection between norm one corners from ϕ to ψ and norm one corners from ϕ_U to ψ_V .

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi : M_{n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$ be unital rank one q -positive maps, so for some states $\ell \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^*$ and $\ell' \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})^*$ with eigenvalue lists $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^{k'}$, respectively, we have

$$\phi(A) = \ell(A)I_n, \quad \psi(D) = \ell'(D)I_{n'}$$

for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $D \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$. Let ν and η be type II Powers weights.

If the boundary weight doubles (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, η) induce cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups α^d and β^d , then $k = k'$ and $\lambda_i = \mu_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. Our proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.4 of [9]. Suppose α^d and β^d are cocycle conjugate. For some unitaries $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $V \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\phi_U(A) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i a_{ii} \right) I_n, \quad \psi_V(D) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k'} \mu_i d_{ii} \right) I_{n'}$$

for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $D \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{e'_i\}_{i=1}^{n'}$ be the standard bases for \mathbb{C}^n and $\mathbb{C}^{n'}$, respectively, and let $\rho \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^*$ and $\rho' \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})^*$ be the functionals

$$(3.2) \quad \rho(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i e_i^* A e_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i a_{ii}, \quad \rho'(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \mu_i e_i'^* D e_i' = \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \mu_i d_{ii},$$

so $\phi_U(A) = \rho(A)I_n$ and $\psi_V(D) = \rho'(D)I_{n'}$ for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $D \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$. Note that $L_\phi = \phi$ and $L_\psi = \psi$, so by Lemma 3.1, there is a norm one corner from

ϕ to ψ . Therefore, by Remark 3.3, there is a norm one corner γ from ϕ_U to ψ_V , so the map $\Theta : M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\Theta \begin{pmatrix} A_{n,n} & B_{n,n'} \\ C_{n',n} & D_{n',n'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(A)I_n & \gamma(B) \\ \gamma^*(C) & \rho'(D)I_{n'} \end{pmatrix}$$

is completely positive.

Since $\|\gamma\| = 1$, there is some $X \in M_{n,n'}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\|X\| = 1$ and some unit vector $g \in \mathbb{C}^{n'}$ such that $\|\gamma(X)g\|^2 = (\gamma(X)g, \gamma(X)g) = 1$. Let $\tau \in M_{n,n'}(\mathbb{C})^*$ be the functional defined by

$$\tau(B) = (\gamma(X)g, \gamma(B)g).$$

Letting

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(X)g & 0_{n,1} \\ 0_{n',1} & g \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n+n',2}(\mathbb{C}),$$

we observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho(A) & \tau(B) \\ \tau^*(C) & \rho'(D) \end{pmatrix} = S^* \Theta \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} S \quad \text{for all } \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C}),$$

hence τ is a corner from ρ to ρ' . Note that $\|\tau\| = \tau(X) = 1$.

Let $D_\lambda \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $D_\mu \in M_{k'}(\mathbb{C})$ be the diagonal matrices whose ii entries are $\sqrt{\lambda_i}$ and $\sqrt{\mu_i}$, respectively. Since τ is a corner from ρ to ρ' , equation (3.2) and Lemma 3.2 imply that τ has the form $\tau(B) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \sqrt{\lambda_i \mu_j} (e_i, B e'_j)$ for some $C = (c_{ij}) \in M_{k,k'}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\|C\| \leq 1$. For each $B \in M_{n,n'}(\mathbb{C})$, let $\tilde{B} \in M_{k',k}(\mathbb{C})$ be the top left $k' \times k$ minor of B^T , observing that

$$\tau(B) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{k'} c_{ij} \sqrt{\lambda_i \mu_j} b_{ij} = \text{tr}(CD_\mu \tilde{B} D_\lambda) = \text{tr}(CD_\mu (D_\lambda (\tilde{B})^*)^*).$$

Let $M = \tilde{X} \in M_{k',k}(\mathbb{C})$. Applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to the inner product $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(BA^*)$ on $M_{k,k'}(\mathbb{C})$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= |\tau(X)|^2 = |\text{tr}(CD_\mu (D_\lambda M^*)^*)|^2 = |\langle D_\lambda M^*, CD_\mu \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \|CD_\mu\|_{\text{tr}}^2 \|D_\lambda M^*\|_{\text{tr}}^2 = \text{tr}(D_\mu C^* CD_\mu) \text{tr}(D_\lambda M^* MD_\lambda) \\ (3.3) \quad &\leq \text{tr}(D_\mu I_{k'} D_\mu) \text{tr}(D_\lambda I_k D_\lambda) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k'} \mu_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \right) = 1 * 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since equality holds in Cauchy–Schwarz, it follows that for some $m \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$(3.4) \quad mCD_\mu = D_\lambda M^*,$$

where $|m| = 1$ since $\|CD_\mu\|_{\text{tr}} = \|D_\lambda M^*\|_{\text{tr}} = 1$. In fact, $m = 1$ since $\tau(X) = 1$.

Since equality holds in (3.3) and the trace map is faithful, we have $C^*C = I_{k'}$ and $M^*M = I_k$. Note that

$$\min\{k, k'\} \geq \text{rank}(C) = k', \quad \min\{k, k'\} \geq \text{rank}(M) = k,$$

hence $k = k'$ and the previous sentence shows that C and M are unitary. Therefore, from (3.4) we have

$$D_\mu = C^* D_\lambda M^* = C^* M^* (M D_\lambda M^*),$$

whereby uniqueness of the right polar decomposition for the invertible positive matrix D_μ implies $D_\mu = M D_\lambda M^*$. Since the eigenvalues of D_μ and D_λ are listed in decreasing order, we have $D_\mu = D_\lambda$, hence $\lambda_i = \mu_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. ■

REMARK 3.5. Let $\phi : M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_k(\mathbb{C})$ be a unital rank one q -pure map, and suppose γ is a nonzero q -corner from ϕ to ϕ , so the map $\Theta : M_{2k}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{2k}(\mathbb{C})$ below is unital and q -positive:

$$\Theta \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \phi(A) & \gamma(B) \\ \gamma^*(C) & \phi(D) \end{array} \right).$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 to Θ yields the idempotent completely positive map

$$L_\Theta = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\phi(I + t\phi)^{-1} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\gamma(I + t\gamma)^{-1} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\gamma^*(I + t\gamma^*)^{-1} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\phi(I + t\phi)^{-1} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \phi & \sigma \\ \sigma^* & \phi \end{array} \right),$$

so $\sigma := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\gamma(I + t\gamma)^{-1}$ is a corner from ϕ to ϕ satisfying $\sigma^2 = \sigma$. We note that $\|\sigma\| = 1$. Indeed, since $\sigma^2 = \sigma$ and $\text{range}(\sigma) = \text{range}(\gamma) \supsetneq \{0\}$, we have $\|\sigma\| \geq 1$, while the fact that σ is a corner between norm one completely positive maps implies $\|\sigma\| \leq 1$, hence $\|\sigma\|=1$. The following lemma gives us the form of σ :

LEMMA 3.6. Let $\phi : M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_k(\mathbb{C})$ be a unital q -positive map of the form $\phi(A) = \rho(A)I$. Assume ρ is a faithful state of the form

$$\rho(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i a_{ii},$$

where μ_1, \dots, μ_k are positive numbers and $\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i = 1$. Let D_μ be the diagonal matrix with ii entries $\sqrt{\mu_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, so $\Omega := (D_\mu)^2$ is the trace density matrix for ρ .

Let $\sigma : M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_k(\mathbb{C})$ be a nonzero linear map such that $\sigma^2 = \sigma$. Then σ is a corner from ϕ to ϕ if, and only if, for some unitary $X \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ that commutes with Ω , we have

$$\sigma(B) = \text{tr}(X^* B \Omega) X$$

for all $B \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. For the forward direction, suppose that σ is a nonzero corner from ϕ to ϕ and $\sigma^2 = \sigma$, so the map $\Theta : M_{2k}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{2k}(\mathbb{C})$ defined below is completely positive:

$$\Theta \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \phi(A) & \sigma(B) \\ \sigma^*(C) & \phi(D) \end{array} \right).$$

Note that $\|\sigma\| = 1$ by Remark 3.5. We first show that σ has rank one. If $\text{rank}(\sigma) \geq 2$, then there is a non-zero non-invertible element $A \in \text{range}(\sigma)$. Scaling A if necessary, we may assume $\|A\| = 1$. Let P be the orthogonal projection onto the range of A , so $PA = A$ and $A^* = A^*P$. Since $P \neq I$ and ρ is faithful, we have $\phi(P) = \rho(A)I = aI$ for some $a < 1$. We note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & A \\ A^* & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P & PA \\ A^*P & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P & A \\ A^* & I \end{pmatrix} \geq 0,$$

so by complete positivity of Θ and the fact that $\sigma^2 = \sigma$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi(P) & \sigma(A) \\ \sigma^*(A^*) & \phi(I) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aI & A \\ A^* & I \end{pmatrix} \geq 0,$$

which is impossible since $a < 1$ and $\|A\| = 1$. This shows that not only does σ have rank one, but that every non-zero element of its range is invertible. In other words, for some linear functional $\tau \in M_k(\mathbb{C})^*$ and some invertible matrix $X \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ with $\|X\| = 1$, we have $\sigma(B) = \tau(B)X$ for all $B \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Since σ fixes its range and $\|\sigma\| = 1$, we have $\|\tau\| = \tau(X) = 1$.

Let $g \in \mathbb{C}^k$ be a unit vector such that $\|Xg\| = 1$. We observe that τ is merely the functional $\tau(B) = (\sigma(X)g, \sigma(B)g)$ for all $B \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$, and an argument analogous to the one given in the proof of Proposition 3.4 shows that τ is a corner from ρ to ρ . By Lemma 3.2, there is some $C \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ with $\|C\| \leq 1$ such that

$$\tau(B) = \sum_{i,j=1}^k c_{ij} \sqrt{\mu_i \mu_j} (e_i, B e_j) = \text{tr}(C D_\mu B^\top D_\mu)$$

for all $A \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$. By the above equation and the fact that $\tau(X) = 1$, we may use the exact same Cauchy–Schwarz argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.4 to conclude that C and X^\top are unitary and that

$$D_\mu = C^* D_\mu (X^\top)^* = C^* (X^\top)^* (X^\top D_\mu (X^\top)^*).$$

Uniqueness of the polar decomposition for the invertible positive matrix D_μ gives us $C^* (X^\top)^* = I$ and $X^\top D_\mu (X^\top)^* = D_\mu$, where the transpose of the last equality is $X^* D_\mu X = D_\mu$. Therefore, $C = (X^*)^\top$ and X commutes with Ω , so for all $B \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$\tau(B) = \text{tr} \left((X^*)^\top D_\mu B^\top D_\mu \right) = \text{tr}(D_\mu B D_\mu X^*) = \text{tr}(X^* B \Omega)$$

and $\sigma(B) = \tau(B)X = \text{tr}(X^* B \Omega)X$.

Now assume the hypotheses of the backward direction and define $\tau \in M_k(\mathbb{C})^*$ by $\tau(B) = \text{tr}(X^* B \Omega)$, noting that $\sigma^2 = \sigma$ and $\sigma(B) = \tau(B)X$ for all $B \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\eta, \eta' : M_{2k}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{2k}(\mathbb{C})$ be the maps

$$\eta \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(A)I & \tau(B)X \\ \tau^*(C)X^* & \rho(D)I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta' \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(A)I & \tau(B)I \\ \tau^*(C)I & \rho(D)I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define $Y : M_{2k}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{2k}(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$Y \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X^* & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that Y and Y^{-1} are completely positive, $Y \circ \eta = \eta'$, and $Y^{-1} \circ \eta' = \eta$. Therefore, η is completely positive if and only if η' is completely positive. Since a complex matrix $(m_{ij}) \in M_r(\mathbb{C})$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$) is positive if and only if $(m_{ij}I_n) \in M_r(M_n(\mathbb{C})) = M_{rn}(\mathbb{C})$ is positive for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that η' is completely positive if and only if η'' below is completely positive:

$$\eta'' \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(A) & \tau(B) \\ \tau^*(C) & \rho(D) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, η is completely positive if and only if η'' is. In other words, σ is a corner from ϕ to ϕ if and only if τ is a corner from ρ to ρ . But for all $B \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\tau(B) = \sum_{i,j=1}^k c_{ij} \sqrt{\mu_i \mu_j} (e_i, B e_j)$$

for the unitary matrix $C = (X^*)^T$, so τ is a corner from ρ to ρ by Lemma 3.2. ■

We will make use of the following standard result regarding completely positive maps, providing a proof here for the sake of completeness:

LEMMA 3.7. *Let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a completely positive map. If $\phi(E) = 0$ for a projection E , then $\phi(A) = \phi(FAF)$ for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, where $F = I - E$.*

Proof. We know from [6] and [1] that ϕ can be written $\phi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^p S_i A S_i^*$ for some $p \leq n^2$ and $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^p \subset M_n(\mathbb{C})$. If $\phi(E) = 0$ for a projection E , then

$$0 = S_i E S_i^* = S_i E E S_i^* = (S_i E) (S_i E)^*$$

for all i , so $S_i E = E S_i^* = 0$ for all i . Therefore, $\phi(EAE) = \phi(EAF) = \phi(FAE) = 0$ for every $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Letting $F = I - E$, we observe that for every $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\phi(A) = \phi(EAE + EAF + FAE + FAF) = \phi(FAF). \quad \blacksquare$$

Let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi : M_{n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$ be unital rank one q -positive maps. We ask two very important questions: Is there a q -corner from ϕ to ψ ? If so, can we find all such q -corners, and, even further, determine which q -corners are hyper maximal? The following two theorems give us a complete answer to both questions when ϕ and ψ are implemented by diagonal states. This suffices, since for any unital rank one q -positive maps ϕ and ψ , there are always unitaries $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $V \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$ such that ϕ_U and ψ_V are implemented by diagonal states, where Remark 3.3 tells us exactly how to transform the q -corners and hyper maximal q -corners from ϕ_U to ψ_V into those from ϕ to ψ .

THEOREM 3.8. *Let $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{k'}$ be monotonically decreasing sequences of strictly positive numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k'} r_i = 1$. Define unital q -positive maps $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi : M_{n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$ (where $n \geq k$ and $n' \geq k'$) by*

$$(3.5) \quad \phi(A) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i a_{ii} \right) I_n \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(D) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k'} r_i d_{ii} \right) I_{n'}$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $D = (d_{ij}) \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\Omega \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ be the trace density matrix for the faithful state $\rho \in M_k(\mathbb{C})^*$ defined by $\rho(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i a_{ii}$.

If there is a nonzero q -corner from ϕ to ψ , then $k = k'$ and $\mu_i = r_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. In that case, a linear map $\gamma : M_{n,n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n,n'}(\mathbb{C})$ is a q -corner from ϕ to ψ if and only if: for some unitary $X \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ that commutes with Ω , some contraction $E \in M_{n-k,n'-k}(\mathbb{C})$, and some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda|^2 \leq \text{Re}(\lambda)$, we have

$$\gamma \left(\begin{array}{cc} B_{k,k} & W_{k,n'-k} \\ Q_{n-k,k} & Y_{n-k,n'-k} \end{array} \right) = \lambda \text{tr}(X^* B_{k,k} \Omega) \left(\begin{array}{cc} X & 0_{k,n'-k} \\ 0_{n-k,k} & E \end{array} \right)$$

for all

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} B_{k,k} & W_{k,n'-k} \\ Q_{n-k,k} & Y_{n-k,n'-k} \end{array} \right) \in M_{n,n'}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. Suppose that γ is a nonzero q -corner from ϕ to ψ , so $\vartheta : M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C})$ below is q -positive:

$$\vartheta \left(\begin{array}{cc} A_{n,n} & B_{n,n'} \\ C_{n',n} & D_{n',n'} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \phi(A_{n,n}) & \gamma(B_{n,n'}) \\ \gamma^*(C_{n',n}) & \psi(D_{n',n'}) \end{array} \right).$$

We observe that

$$L_\vartheta \left(\begin{array}{cc} A_{n,n} & B_{n,n'} \\ C_{n',n} & D_{n',n'} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \phi(A_{n,n}) & \sigma(B_{n,n'}) \\ \sigma^*(C_{n',n}) & \psi(D_{n',n'}) \end{array} \right),$$

where by Lemma 2.2, the map $\sigma := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\gamma(I + t\gamma)^{-1}$ is a corner of norm one from ϕ to ψ satisfying $\sigma^2 = \sigma$, $\text{range}(\sigma) = \text{range}(\gamma)$, and $\gamma \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \gamma = \gamma$. Since $\|\sigma\| = 1$, the proof of Proposition 3.4 implies $k = k'$ and $r_i = \mu_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

We observe that $L_\vartheta(P) = 0$ for the projection

$$P = \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n e_{ii} + \sum_{i=n+k+1}^{n+n'} e_{ii} \right) \in M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Therefore, $L_\vartheta(A) = L_\vartheta((I - P)A(I - P))$ for all $A \in M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C})$ by Lemma 3.7. In particular, σ satisfies

$$\sigma \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0_{k,k} & W_{k,n'-k} \\ Q_{n-k,k} & Y_{n-k,n'-k} \end{array} \right) \equiv 0.$$

In other words, σ depends only on its top left $k \times k$ minor, so for some $\tilde{\sigma} : M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and some maps ℓ_i from $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ into the appropriate matrix spaces, we have

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} B_{k,k} & W_{k,n'-k} \\ Q_{n-k,k} & Y_{n-k,n'-k} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\sigma}(B_{k,k}) & \ell_1(B_{k,k}) \\ \ell_2(B_{k,k}) & \ell_3(B_{k,k}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the facts $\sigma^2 = \sigma$ and $\|\sigma\| = 1$, it follows that $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \tilde{\sigma}$ and $\|\tilde{\sigma}\| = 1$.

Let $\tilde{\phi} : M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_k(\mathbb{C})$ be the map

$$\tilde{\phi}(A) = \rho(A)I_k = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i a_{ii} \right) I_k$$

for all $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Define $\Theta : M_{2k}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{2k}(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\Theta \begin{pmatrix} A_{k,k} & B_{k,k} \\ C_{k,k} & D_{k,k} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}(A_{k,k}) & \tilde{\sigma}(B_{k,k}) \\ \tilde{\sigma}^*(C_{k,k}) & \tilde{\phi}(D_{k,k}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and let

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} I_{k,k} & 0_{k,n-k} & 0_{k,k} & 0_{k,n'-k} \\ 0_{k,k} & 0_{k,n-k} & I_{k,k} & 0_{k,n'-k} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2k,n+n'}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Note that

$$\Theta(N) = SL_{\theta}(S^*NS)S^*$$

for all $N \in M_{2k}(\mathbb{C})$, so Θ is completely positive. Therefore, $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a norm one corner from $\tilde{\phi}$ to $\tilde{\phi}$. Since $\|\tilde{\sigma}\| = 1$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \tilde{\sigma}$, Lemma 3.6 implies that for some unitary $X \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ that commutes with Ω , we have

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{\sigma}(B) = \text{tr}(X^*B\Omega)X$$

for all $B \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$. For simplicity of notation in what follows, let $\tau \in M_k(\mathbb{C})^*$ be the functional $\tau(B) = \text{tr}(X^*B\Omega)$.

We claim that $\ell_1 = \ell_2 \equiv 0$. For this, let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} B_{k,k} & W_{k,n'-k} \\ Q_{n-k,k} & Y_{n-k,n'-k} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n,n'}(\mathbb{C})$$

be arbitrary. We will suppress the subscripts for B, Q, W , and Y for the remainder of the proof. From (3.6) and the fact that $\sigma^2(M) = \sigma(M)$, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \ell_i(B) = \ell_i(\tilde{\sigma}(B)) = \ell_i(\tau(B)X) = \tau(B)\ell_i(X)$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Since σ is a contraction, it follows that

$$1 \geq \left\| \sigma \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} X & \ell_1(X) \\ \ell_2(X) & \ell_3(X) \end{pmatrix} \right\|.$$

But X is unitary, so the line above implies that $\ell_1(X) = \ell_2(X) = 0$, hence $\ell_1 = \ell_2 \equiv 0$ by (3.7). Let $E = \ell_3(X) \in M_{n-k,n'-k}(\mathbb{C})$, noting that $\|E\| \leq 1$ since σ is a contraction. Therefore, σ has the form

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} B & W \\ Q & Y \end{pmatrix} = \tau(B) \begin{pmatrix} X & 0_{k,n'-k} \\ 0_{n-k,k} & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\gamma = \gamma \circ \sigma$ and

$$\text{range}(\gamma) = \text{range}(\sigma) = \left\{ c \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} : c \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \begin{pmatrix} B & W \\ Q & Y \end{pmatrix} &= \gamma \left(\sigma \begin{pmatrix} B & W \\ Q & Y \end{pmatrix} \right) = \gamma \left(\tau(B) \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \tau(B) \gamma \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} = \tau(B) \left[\lambda \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \right] = \lambda \tau(B) \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Since γ is a nonzero q -corner between unital completely positive maps and is thus necessarily a contraction with no negative eigenvalues, we have $\lambda \not\leq 0$ and $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

In summary: we have proved that if γ is a nonzero q -corner, then it is of the form

$$\gamma \begin{pmatrix} B & W \\ Q & Y \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \text{tr}(X^* B \Omega) \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $\lambda \not\leq 0$ with $|\lambda| \leq 1$, where X and E satisfy the conditions stated in the theorem. To complete the proof, we show that such a map γ is a q -corner if and only if $|\lambda|^2 \leq \text{Re}(\lambda)$.

Straightforward computations show that for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (I + t\gamma)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B & W \\ Q & Y \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} B - \frac{t\lambda\tau(B)}{1+t\lambda} X & W \\ Q & Y - \frac{t\lambda\tau(B)}{1+t\lambda} E \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and} \\ \gamma(I + t\gamma)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B & W \\ Q & Y \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda\tau(B)}{1+t\lambda} X & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda\tau(B)}{1+t\lambda} E \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{1+t\lambda} \gamma \begin{pmatrix} B & W \\ Q & Y \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

For each $t \geq 0$, define maps $\Theta_t : M_{2k}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{2k}(\mathbb{C})$, $L_t : M_{2k}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n+n'-2k}(\mathbb{C})$, and $Y_t : M_{2k}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n+n'-2k}(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$(3.8) \quad \Theta_t \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+t} \rho(A) I_{k,k} & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} \tau(B) X \\ \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} \tau^*(C) X^* & \frac{1}{1+t} \rho(D) I_{k,k} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(3.9) \quad L_t \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+t} \rho(A) E E^* & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} \tau(B) E \\ \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} \tau^*(C) E^* & \frac{1}{1+t} \rho(D) I_{n'-k, n'-k} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.10) \quad Y_t \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = L_t \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+t} \rho(A) (I_{n-k, n-k} - E E^*) & 0_{n-k, n'-k} \\ 0_{n'-k, n-k} & 0_{n'-k, n'-k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n-k, k} & I_{n-k, n-k} & 0_{n-k, k} & 0_{n-k, n'-k} \\ 0_{n'-k, k} & 0_{n'-k, n-k} & 0_{n'-k, k} & I_{n'-k, n'-k} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n+n'-2k, n+n'}(\mathbb{C}),$$

and let $M \in M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C})$ be arbitrary, writing

$$(3.11) \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} A_{k,k} & q_{k,n-k} & B_{k,k} & r_{k,n'-k} \\ s_{n-k,k} & t_{n-k,n-k} & u_{n-k,k} & v_{n-k,n'-k} \\ C_{k,k} & w_{k,n-k} & D_{k,k} & c_{k,n'-k} \\ d_{n'-k,k} & e_{n'-k,n-k} & f_{n'-k,k} & g_{n'-k,n'-k'} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{so } SMS^* = \begin{pmatrix} A_{k,k} & B_{k,k} \\ C_{k,k} & D_{k,k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For every $t \geq 0$, $\vartheta(I + t\vartheta)^{-1}(M)$ is equal to the quantity below:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+t}\rho(A)I_{k,k} & 0_{k,n-k} & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda}\tau(B)X & 0_{k,n'-k} \\ 0_{n-k,k} & \frac{1}{1+t}\rho(A)I_{n-k,n-k} & 0_{n-k,k} & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda}\tau(B)E \\ \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{1+t\bar{\lambda}}\tau^*(C)X^* & 0_{k,n-k} & \frac{1}{1+t}\rho(D)I_{k,k} & 0_{k,n'-k} \\ 0_{n'-k,k} & \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{1+t\bar{\lambda}}\tau^*(C)E^* & 0_{n'-k,k} & \frac{1}{1+t}\rho(D)I_{n'-k,n'-k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words,

$$(3.12) \quad \vartheta(I + t\vartheta)^{-1}(M) = S^*\Theta_t(SMS^*)S + T^*Y_t(SMS^*)T.$$

Note also that for all $N \in M_{2k}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$(3.13) \quad \Theta_t(N) = S(\vartheta(I + t\vartheta)^{-1}(S^*NS))S^*, \quad Y_t(N) = T(\vartheta(I + t\vartheta)^{-1}(S^*NS))T^*.$$

It follows from (3.12) and (3.13) that ϑ is q -positive if and only if Θ_t and Y_t are completely positive for all $t \geq 0$.

We may easily argue as in the proof of Lemma 3.6 to conclude that Θ_t is completely positive for all $t \geq 0$ if and only if the maps $\eta_t'' : M_{2k}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$ below are completely positive for all $t \geq 0$:

$$\eta_t'' \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+t}\rho(A) & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda}\tau(B) \\ \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{1+t\bar{\lambda}}\tau^*(C) & \frac{1}{1+t}\rho(D) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that in the proof of Lemma 3.6, we showed that τ is a corner from ρ to ρ . Since $\|\rho\| = \|\tau\| = 1$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $c\tau$ is a corner from ρ to ρ if and only if $|c| \leq 1$. Since

$$(1+t)\eta_t'' \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(A) & \frac{\lambda(1+t)}{1+t\lambda}\tau(B) \\ \frac{\bar{\lambda}(1+t)}{1+\bar{\lambda}}\tau^*(C) & \rho(D) \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that η_t'' is completely positive for all $t \geq 0$ if and only if

$$\left| \frac{\lambda(1+t)}{1+t\lambda} \right| \leq 1 \quad (\text{where we already know } \lambda \not\leq 0 \text{ and } |\lambda| \leq 1)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Squaring both sides of the above equation and then cross multiplying gives us

$$|\lambda|^2(1 + 2t + t^2) \leq 1 + 2t \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) + |\lambda|^2 t^2, \quad (\lambda \not\leq 0, |\lambda| \leq 1)$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.14) \quad |\lambda|^2 \leq \frac{1 + 2t \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)}{1 + 2t} \quad (\lambda \not\leq 0, |\lambda| \leq 1)$$

for all nonnegative t . Note that if $|\lambda|^2 \leq \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$, then $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \leq 1$ and equation (3.14) holds for $t \geq 0$. On the other hand, suppose that λ is any complex number that satisfies (3.14) for all $t \geq 0$. We conclude immediately that $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0$, whereby the fact that $|\lambda| \leq 1$ implies $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \in (0, 1]$. A computation shows that the net $\left\{ \frac{1+2t \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)}{1+2t} \right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is monotonically decreasing and converges to $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$, hence $|\lambda|^2 \leq \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$ by (3.14). We have now shown that η_t'' (and thus Θ_t) is completely positive for all $t \geq 0$ if and only if $|\lambda|^2 \leq \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$. Therefore, if $|\lambda|^2 > \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$ then (3.13) implies that ϑ is not q -positive, which is to say that γ is not a q -corner from ϕ to ψ .

Suppose that $|\lambda|^2 \leq \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$. Then from above, the maps $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ are all completely positive. Let

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} E & 0_{n-k, n'-k} \\ 0_{n'-k, n'-k} & I_{n'-k} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n+n'-2k, 2n'-2k}(\mathbb{C}).$$

We observe that

$$(1+t)L_t \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = G \begin{pmatrix} \rho(A)I_{n'-k} & \frac{\lambda(1+t)}{1+t\lambda} \tau(B)I_{n'-k} \\ \frac{\bar{\lambda}(1+t)}{1+t\bar{\lambda}} \tau^*(C)I_{n'-k} & \rho(D)I_{n'-k} \end{pmatrix} G^*,$$

where we have already shown that the map in the middle is completely positive since $|\lambda|^2 \leq \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$. Thus, L_t is completely positive for every $t \geq 0$. Also, $Y_t - L_t$ has the form

$$(Y_t - L_t) \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(A)(I_{n-k} - EE^*) & 0_{n-k, n'-k} \\ 0_{n'-k, n-k} & 0_{n'-k, n'-k} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the right hand side is completely positive since $\|E\| \leq 1$. Therefore, the maps $\{Y_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ are all completely positive, so (3.12) implies that $\vartheta(I + t\vartheta)^{-1}$ is completely positive for all $t \geq 0$, hence γ is a q -corner from ϕ to ψ . ■

THEOREM 3.9. *Assume the notation of the previous theorem, and suppose that $k = k'$ and $\mu_i = r_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. A q -corner $\gamma : M_{n, n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n, n'}(\mathbb{C})$ from ϕ to ψ is hyper maximal if and only if $n = n'$, $0 < |\lambda|^2 = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$, and E is unitary.*

Proof. We first show that γ is not hyper maximal if $n \neq n'$, regardless of the assumptions for λ or E . If $n > n'$, then $EE^* \in M_{n-k}(\mathbb{C})$ is a positive contraction of rank at most $n' - k$, so $EE^* \neq I_{n-k}$.

Define $\phi' : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\phi'(R) = \phi(R) \begin{pmatrix} I_{k, k} & 0_{k, n-k} \\ 0_{n-k, k} & EE^* \end{pmatrix},$$

observing that $\phi'(I + t\phi')^{-1} = \frac{1}{1+t}\phi'$ for all $t \geq 0$. Define $\vartheta' : M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\vartheta' = \begin{pmatrix} \phi' & \gamma \\ \gamma^* & \psi \end{pmatrix},$$

noting that ϑ' has no negative eigenvalues. Define maps $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, $\{L_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, and $\{Y_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ as in equations (3.8), (3.9), and (3.10). Writing each $M \in M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C})$ in the form (3.11), we see that $\vartheta'(I + t\vartheta')^{-1}(M)$ is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+t}\rho(A)I_{k,k} & 0_{k,n-k} & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda}\tau(B)X & 0_{k,n'-k} \\ 0_{n-k,k} & \frac{1}{1+t}\rho(A)EE^* & 0_{n-k,k} & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda}\tau(B)E \\ \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{1+t\bar{\lambda}}\tau^*(C)X^* & 0_{k,n-k} & \frac{t}{1+t}\rho(D)I_{k,k} & 0_{k,n'-k} \\ 0_{n'-k,k} & \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{1+t\bar{\lambda}}\tau^*(C)E^* & 0_{n'-k,k} & \frac{1}{1+t}\rho(D)I_{n'-k,n'-k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words,

$$(3.15) \quad \vartheta'(I + t\vartheta')^{-1}(M) = S^*\Theta_t(SMS^*)S + T^*L_t(SMS^*)T$$

for every $t \geq 0$ and $M \in M_{n+n'}(\mathbb{C})$, hence ϑ' is q -positive. By (3.12) and (3.15), we have

$$(\vartheta(I + t\vartheta)^{-1} - \vartheta'(I + t\vartheta')^{-1})(M) = T^*((Y_t - L_t)(SMS^*))T.$$

Since $Y_t - L_t$ is completely positive for all $t \geq 0$ (as shown in the previous proof), the above equation implies that $\vartheta \geq_q \vartheta'$. However, $\vartheta \neq \vartheta'$ since $EE^* \not\leq I_{n-k}$, so γ is not hyper maximal.

If $n < n'$, then since $E^*E \leq I_{n'-k}$, we may replace $\{L_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ with the maps $\{R_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ below and argue analogously (this time cutting down ψ using E^*E) to show that γ is not hyper maximal:

$$R_t \begin{pmatrix} A_{k,k} & B_{k,k} \\ C_{k,k} & D_{k,k} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+t}\rho(A)I_{n-k} & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda}\tau(B)E \\ \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{1+t\bar{\lambda}}\tau^*(C)E^* & \frac{1}{1+t}\rho(D)E^*E \end{pmatrix}.$$

Of course, if $n = n'$ but E is not unitary, then $EE^* \leq I_{n-k}$, and the same argument given in the case that $n > n'$ shows that γ is not hyper maximal.

Therefore, we may suppose for the remainder of the proof that $n = n'$ and E is unitary. Note that $\phi = \psi$ since $n = n'$. For some $a \in (0, 1]$, we have $|\lambda|^2 = a \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$. We first show that γ is not hyper maximal if $a \neq 1$. We claim that the map $\vartheta'' : M_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\vartheta'' \begin{pmatrix} A_{n,n} & B_{n,n} \\ C_{n,n} & D_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a\phi(A_{n,n}) & \gamma(B_{n,n}) \\ \gamma^*(C_{n,n}) & a\phi(D_{n,n}) \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies $\vartheta'' \geq_q 0$. For each $t \geq 0$, let $\eta_t^{(a)} : M_{2k}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the map

$$\eta_t^{(a)} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{1+at}\rho(A) & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda}\tau(B) \\ \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{1+t\bar{\lambda}}\tau^*(C) & \frac{a}{1+at}\rho(D) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is routine to check that since τ is a corner from ρ to ρ , the condition $|\lambda|^2 = a \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$ implies that $\frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda}\tau$ is a corner from $\frac{a}{1+at}\rho$ to $\frac{a}{1+at}\rho$ for every $t \geq 0$, so $\eta_t^{(a)}$

is completely positive for all $t \geq 0$. Defining $\Theta_t^{(a)}$ and $\Upsilon_t^{(a)}$ for each $t \geq 0$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_t^{(a)} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{1+at} \rho(A) I_k & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} \tau(B) X \\ \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} \tau^*(C) X^* & \frac{a}{1+at} \rho(D) I_k \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and} \\ \Upsilon_t^{(a)} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} &= G \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{1+at} \rho(A) I_{n-k} & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} \tau(B) I_{n-k} \\ \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} \tau^*(C) I_{n-k} & \frac{a}{1+at} \rho(D) I_{n-k} \end{pmatrix} G^* \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{1+at} \rho(A) I_{n-k} & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} \tau(B) E \\ \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} \tau^*(C) E^* & \frac{a}{1+at} \rho(D) I_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

we observe that the maps $\{\Theta_t^{(a)}\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{\Upsilon_t^{(a)}\}_{t \geq 0}$ are all completely positive since $\eta_t^{(a)}$ is completely positive for all $t \geq 0$. Note that

$$(a\phi)(I + ta\phi)^{-1} = \frac{a}{1+at} \phi$$

for all $t \geq 0$, so for every $M \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\vartheta''(I + t\vartheta'')^{-1}(M) = S^*(\Theta_t^{(a)}(SMS^*))S + T^*(\Upsilon_t^{(a)}(SMS^*))T.$$

Therefore, $\vartheta'' \geq_q 0$, and trivially $\vartheta \geq_q \vartheta''$. If $a \neq 1$, then $\vartheta'' \neq \vartheta$, hence γ is not hyper maximal. To finish the proof, it suffices to show that γ is hyper maximal if $a = 1$ (of course, maintaining our assumption that E is unitary).

Suppose $a = 1$, and let ϕ' be any q -subordinate of ϕ such that

$$\chi := \begin{pmatrix} \phi' & \gamma \\ \gamma^* & \phi \end{pmatrix} \geq_q 0.$$

If $L_{\phi'}(I) \neq I$, then $L_{\phi'}(I) = R \prec I$ for some positive $R \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Recall that $\sigma = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\gamma(I + t\gamma)^{-1}$, so applying Lemma 2.2 to χ gives us

$$L_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} L_{\phi'} & \sigma \\ \sigma^* & \phi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Letting Z be the unitary matrix

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0_{k,n-k} \\ 0_{n-k,k} & E \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

we observe that $\sigma(Z) = Z$, so by complete positivity of L_χ ,

$$(3.16) \quad 0 \leq L_\chi \begin{pmatrix} I & Z \\ Z^* & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R & Z \\ Z^* & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $R \prec I$, we have $(f, Rf) < 1$ for some unit vector $f \in \mathbb{C}^n$. A quick calculation shows that

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} f \\ -Z^*f \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} R & Z \\ Z^* & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ -Z^*f \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = (f, Rf) - 1 < 0,$$

contradicting (3.16).

Therefore, $L_{\phi'}(I) = I$. Since $\phi \geq_q \phi'$, it follows that $L_\phi - L_{\phi'}$ is completely positive, so

$$\|L_\phi - L_{\phi'}\| = \|L_\phi(I) - L_{\phi'}(I)\| = 0,$$

hence $L_{\phi'}(A) = L_\phi(A) = \phi(A) = \ell(A)I$ for the state $\ell \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^*$ defined by $\ell(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i a_{kk}$. But $\text{range}(\phi') = \text{range}(L_{\phi'}) = \{cI : c \in \mathbb{C}\}$ and $\phi' = \phi' \circ L_{\phi'}$, so $\phi'(I) = rI$ for some $r \leq 1$ and

$$\phi'(A) = \phi'(L_{\phi'}(A)) = \phi(\ell(A)I) = \ell(A)\phi'(I) = r\ell(A)I = r\phi(A)$$

for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

We claim that $r = 1$. To prove this, we define $V_t : M_{2k}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{2k}(\mathbb{C})$ for each $t \geq 0$ by

$$\begin{aligned} V_t \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} &= S \left(\chi(I + t\chi)^{-1} \left[S^* \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} S \right] \right) S^* \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r}{1+rt} \rho(A) I_k & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} \tau(B) X \\ \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} \tau^*(C) X^* & \frac{1}{1+t} \rho(D) I_k \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\chi \geq_q 0$, each V_t is completely positive. Therefore,

$$0 \leq \begin{pmatrix} X^* & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \left[V_t \begin{pmatrix} I & X \\ X^* & I \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r}{1+rt} I & \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} I \\ \frac{\lambda}{1+t\lambda} I & \frac{1}{1+t} I \end{pmatrix},$$

hence

$$\frac{r}{(1+rt)(1+t)} \geq \frac{|\lambda|^2}{|1+t\lambda|^2} = \frac{\text{Re}(\lambda)}{1+(t^2+2t)\text{Re}(\lambda)}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. This is equivalent to

$$(3.17) \quad r \geq \frac{(1+t)\text{Re}(\lambda)}{1+t\text{Re}(\lambda)}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. We take the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.17) and observe $r \geq 1$. Since $r \leq 1$ we have $r = 1$, so $\phi' = \phi$.

We have shown that if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi & \gamma \\ \gamma^* & \phi \end{pmatrix} \geq_q \begin{pmatrix} \phi' & \gamma \\ \gamma^* & \phi \end{pmatrix} \geq_q 0,$$

then $\phi = \phi'$. An analogous argument shows that if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi & \gamma \\ \gamma^* & \phi \end{pmatrix} \geq_q \begin{pmatrix} \phi & \gamma \\ \gamma^* & \phi' \end{pmatrix} \geq_q 0,$$

then $\phi = \phi'$. Therefore, γ is hyper maximal. ■

We are now ready to prove the following:

THEOREM 3.10. *Let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi : M_{n'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$ be rank one unital q -positive maps, and let ν be a type II Powers weight of the form*

$$\nu\left(\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}B\sqrt{I - \Lambda(1)}\right) = (f, Bf).$$

The E_0 -semigroups induced by (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, ν) are cocycle conjugate if and only if $n = n'$ and ϕ is conjugate to ψ .

Proof. The backward direction follows trivially from Proposition 2.12. For the forward direction, suppose (ϕ, ν) and (ψ, ν) induce cocycle conjugate E_0 -semigroups α^d and β^d . For some sets $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{k'}$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.8 and some unitaries $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $V \in M_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$, ϕ_U and ψ_V have the form of (3.5). Let α_U^d and β_V^d be the E_0 -semigroups induced by (ϕ_U, ν) and (ψ_V, ν) , respectively. Since $\alpha_U^d \simeq \alpha^d$ and $\beta_V^d \simeq \beta^d \simeq \alpha^d$, we have $\alpha_U^d \simeq \beta_V^d$, so by Proposition 2.9, there is a hyper maximal q -corner from ϕ_U to ψ_V . Theorems 3.8 and 3.9 imply that $n = n'$, $k = k'$, and $\mu_i = r_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. In other words, $\phi_U = \psi_V$. Therefore, $\phi = \psi_{(VU^*)}$, so ϕ and ψ are conjugate. ■

Acknowledgements. The author's research was partially supported by the Skirball Foundation via the Center for Advanced Studies in Mathematics at Ben-Gurion University of the Negev.

REFERENCES

- [1] W.B. ARVESON, The index of a quantum dynamical semigroup, *J. Funct. Anal.* **146**(1997), 557–588.
- [2] W.B. ARVESON, Continuous analogues of Fock space, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80**(1989), no. 409.
- [3] W.B. ARVESON, Four lectures on noncommutative dynamics, in *Advances in Quantum Dynamics*, Contemp. Math., vol. 335, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2003, pp. 1–55.
- [4] W.B. ARVESON, *Noncommutative Dynamics and E-semigroups*, Springer Monographs in Math., Springer-Verlag, New York 2003.
- [5] B.V.R. BHAT, An index theory for quantum dynamical semigroups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348**(1996), 561–583.
- [6] M. CHOI, Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **10**(1975), 285–290.
- [7] M. IZUMI, A perturbation problem for the shift semigroup, *J. Funct. Anal.* **251**(2007), 498–545.
- [8] M. IZUMI, R. SRINIVASAN, Generalized CCR flows, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **281**(2008), 529–571.
- [9] C. JANKOWSKI, On type II₀ E_0 -semigroups induced by boundary weight doubles, *J. Funct. Anal.* **258**(2010), 3413–3451.

- [10] C. JANKOWSKI, Unital q -positive maps on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and cocycle conjugacy of E_0 -semigroups, *Houston J. Math.*, to appear.
- [11] D. MARKIEWICZ, R.T. POWERS, Local unitary cocycles of E_0 -semigroups, *J. Funct. Anal.* **256**(2009), 1511–1543.
- [12] R.T. POWERS, A nonspatial continuous semigroup of $*$ -endomorphisms of $B(\mathfrak{H})$, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **23**(1987), 1053–1069.
- [13] R.T. POWERS, New examples of continuous spatial semigroups of $*$ -endomorphisms of $B(\mathfrak{H})$, *Internat. J. Math.* **10**(1999), 215–288.
- [14] R.T. POWERS, Construction of E_0 -semigroups of $B(\mathfrak{H})$ from CP-flows, in *Advances in Quantum Dynamics*, Contemp. Math., vol. 335, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2003, pp. 57–97.
- [15] R.T. POWERS, Continuous spatial semigroups of completely positive maps of $B(H)$, *New York J. Math.* **9**(2003), 165–269.
- [16] B. TSIRELSON, Non-isomorphic product systems, in *Advances in Quantum Dynamics*, Contemp. Math., vol. 335, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2003, pp. 273–328.
- [17] E.P. WIGNER, On unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group, *Ann. of Math.* **40**(1939), 149–204.

CHRISTOPHER JANKOWSKI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION
UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, P.O. BOX 653, BEER SHEVA, 84105 ISRAEL
E-mail address: chrisj@cims.nyu.edu

Received October 6, 2010; revised November 10, 2010; posted on February 8, 2013.