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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the commutant of unbounded operators affiliated with finite von Neumann algebras. We prove an unbounded Fuglede-Putnam type theorem and present examples of closed operators affiliated with some $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor with trivial relative commutant in the factor.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The celebrated Fuglede theorem ([4], Theorem I) states that if a bounded operator acting on a Hilbert space commutes with a normal (maybe unbounded) operator, then it also commutes with any function of the normal operator, e.g. the adjoint of the normal operator. Putnam generalized this fact in 1951 ([13], Lemma). The proof of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem cited in many textbooks is given by Rosenblum [14]. Since then, there have been some attempts to extend the Fuglede-Putnam theorem. We refer the interested reader to the survey [10] by M.H. Mortad. One purpose of this paper is to prove a version of Fuglede-Putnam theorem for unbounded operators affiliated with finite von Neumann algebras.

Given a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, we will use $\mathfrak{A}^{\prime}$ to denote its commutant. A densely defined closed operator $T$ is affiliated with $\mathfrak{A}$, denoted by $T \eta \mathfrak{A}$, if $T U=U T$ for any unitary $U$ in $\mathfrak{A}^{\prime}$. Murray and von Neumann showed that all densely defined closed operators affiliated with a $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $\mathfrak{A}$ form a *algebra under the operations of addition $\widehat{+}$ and multiplication $\widehat{\cdot}$ (see Section 2). We will use $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$ to denote this algebra and prove that if $T \widehat{\cdot}=M \uparrow T$, then $T \hat{\cdot} N^{*}=M^{*} \uparrow T$ where $T, M, N \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $M, N$ are normal. As a consequence, we deduce that there exists a $T \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$ for any separable $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $T$ commutes with no non-scalar normal operators in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Since $\{T\}^{\prime} \cap \mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})(\supseteq\{T\})$ is never trivial, it would be interesting to investigate whether the relative commutant of $T \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ could be trivial, i.e., $\{T\}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{A}=\mathbb{C} I$. By using the group-measure space construction, we can provide some examples of closed operators with trivial relative commutant. As a corollary, we show the existence of relative transitive subspace lattices consisting of four nontrivial projections in some $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors. This answers one of the problems listed in [1] on the number of nontrivial projections in relative transitive lattices in $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors.

This paper is organized as follows. We first recall some definitions and basic properties of the group-measure space construction and the algebra $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$ in Section 2. The unbounded version of Fuglede-Putnam theorem for the elements in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$ is proved in Section 3. The examples of closed operators with trivial relative commutant and transitive subspace lattices of projections consisting of four nontrivial elements in some $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors are given in Section 4.

## 2. PREMIMINARIES

If not explicitly stated otherwise, we will use $\mathcal{H}$ to denote a separable Hilbert space throughout this paper. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on $\mathcal{H}$. A von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that is closed in the weak operator topology and contains the identity operator $I$. If the center $\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{A}^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ is trivial, then $\mathfrak{A}$ is called a factor. A von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is called finite if there is a faithful normal tracial state on it. An infinite dimensional finite factor is called a $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ factor.

The left regular representation of icc (infinite conjugacy classes) groups provide us ample examples of $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors. Given a discrete group $G$, let $l^{2}(G)=\{\xi$ : $\left.\left.G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left|\sum_{g \in G}\right| \xi(g)\right|^{2}<+\infty\right\}$ be the Hilbert space with inner product defined by

$$
\langle\xi, \beta\rangle=\sum_{g \in G} \xi(g) \overline{\beta(g)}
$$

For any $h \in G$, we define a unitary operator $l_{h}$ by $\left(l_{h} \xi\right)(g)=\xi\left(h^{-1} g\right)$. The group von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{L}_{G}$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by the unitary operators $l_{h}, h \in G$. If $G$ is an icc group, then $\mathcal{L}_{G}$ is a factor of type $I_{1}$.

The crossed product (or group-measure space construction) is a generalization of the above construction. Suppose $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is a non-atomic probability space and $G$ is a countable group. Let $L^{2}(X)$ be the Hilbert space of all square integrable complex functions on $X . L^{\infty}(X)$, the space of all essentially bounded measurable complex functions on $X$, is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(X)\right)$. For notational simplicity, we will use $f$ to denote the multiplication operator on $L^{2}(X)$, i.e., $(f \xi)(x)=f(x) \xi(x), f \in L^{\infty}(X)$ and $\xi \in L^{2}(X)$.

Let $G$ act as a group of transformations on $X$ preserving measurability, i.e., for any $g \in G, g(S) \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $S \in \mathcal{B}$. For the sake of simplicity, we only consider the action that also keep the measure invariant, i.e., $\mu \circ g(S)=\mu(S)$ for any $S \in \mathcal{B}$. For the general case, we refer the reader to the Section 8.6 of [8]. It is clear that the mapping $g \rightarrow \alpha_{g}$, where $\alpha_{g}$ is defined as $\alpha_{g}(f)(x)=f\left(g^{-1} x\right)$ for every measurable function $f$, induces a homomorphism from $G$ into the group of $*$-automorphisms of the von Neumann algebra $L^{\infty}(X)$. Furthermore this representation is unitarily implemented. Indeed, let $U_{g}$ be the unitary defined by $U_{g} \xi(x)=\xi\left(g^{-1} x\right)$ where $\xi \in L^{2}(X)$. Then $\alpha_{g}(f)=U_{g} f U_{g}^{*}$.

The crossed product of the von Neumann algebra $L^{\infty}(X)$ by the action $\alpha$ of $G$ is the von Neumann algebra $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G$, acting on the Hilbert space $L^{2}(X) \otimes$ $l^{2}(G)$, generated by the operators

$$
\Psi(f)=\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g}^{-1}(f) \otimes E_{g}, \quad L_{g}=I \otimes l_{g} \quad \forall f \in L^{\infty}(X), g \in G
$$

where $E_{g}$ is the orthogonal projection from $l^{2}(G)$ onto the one-dimensional subspace spanned by the vector $e_{g} \in l^{2}(G)$, i.e., $e_{g}(h)=\delta_{g, h}, g, h \in G$. We say that $G$ acts ergodically if $S \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\mu(g S \backslash S)=0$ for each $g \in G$ implies $\mu(S)=0$ or $\mu(X \backslash S)=0$. If we further assume that $G$ acts freely, i.e., $\{x \in X: g(x)=x\}$ is a null set for each $g \in G \backslash\{e\}$, then $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ is a factor of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ (see Proposition 8.6.10 of [8]).

Recall that a densely defined operator $T$ acting on $\mathcal{H}$ is closed if its graph $\mathscr{G}(T)=\{(\xi, T \xi): \xi \in \mathscr{D}(T)\}$ is closed in $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathscr{D}(T)$ is the domain of $T$. A densely defined operator $T$ is called closable if the closure of $\mathscr{G}(T)$ is the graph of an operator. Murray and von Neumann proved the following maximality result for the closed operators in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$, the set of operators affiliated with a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.

Proposition 2.1 ([11], Theorem 16.4.2). Let $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. If $T_{1} \subseteq T_{2}$, i.e., $\mathscr{D}\left(T_{1}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{D}\left(T_{2}\right)$ and $T_{1} \xi=T_{2} \xi$ for any $\xi \in \mathscr{D}\left(T_{1}\right)$, then $T_{1}=T_{2}$.

Furthermore if two elements $T_{1}, T_{2}$ of $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$ agree on a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}$, then $T_{1}=T_{2}$ (see Lemma 3.3 of [15]). By Lemma 16.4.3 of [11], for any two elements $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A}), T_{1}+T_{2}$ and $T_{1} T_{2}$ are densely defined and closable. And the closed extensions of $T_{1}+T_{2}$ and $T_{1} T_{2}$ are in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. We will use $T_{1} \widehat{+} T_{2}$ and $T_{1} \widehat{\cdot} T_{2}$ to denote these closures. Then $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$, provided with the operations $\hat{+}$ and $\hat{r}$, is a $*$-algebra. For the simplicity of notations and without special statement, we still use $T_{1}+T_{2}$ and $T_{1} T_{2}$ to denote the sum $T_{1} \widehat{+} T_{2}$ and the product $T_{1}$ 〔 $T_{2}$.

## 3. AN UNBOUNDED VERSION FUGLEDE-PUTNAM THEOREM

The celebrated Fuglede-Putnam theorem in its classical form is as follows:

THEOREM 3.1 (Fuglede-Putnam theorem [4], [13]). If $T$ is a bounded operator acting on a Hilbert space and $M$ and $N$ are (maybe unbounded) normal operators, then

$$
T N \subseteq M T \Rightarrow T N^{*} \subseteq M^{*} T
$$

Throughout this section, we will assume that $\mathfrak{A}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra and $\tau$ is a faithful normal tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}$. We will prove the following Fuglede-Putnam type theorem for elements in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$.

THEOREM 3.2. Let $T \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. If $N, M$ are normal operators in $\mathfrak{A}$ and $T N=$ $M T$, then $T N^{*}=M^{*} T$.

The following fact is well-known. We include the proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 3.3. Let $N$ be a normal operator in $\mathfrak{A}$. If $P$ is a projection in $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $(I-P) N P=0$, then $P N=N P$.

Proof. Let $N_{1}=P N P, N_{2}=P N(I-P)$ and $N_{3}=(I-P) N(I-P)$. We need to show that $N_{2}=0$. Since $N=N_{1}+N_{2}+N_{3}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{1} N_{1}^{*}+N_{2} N_{2}^{*} & =P\left(N_{1}+N_{2}+N_{3}\right)\left(N_{1}^{*}+N_{2}^{*}+N_{3}^{*}\right) P \\
& =P N N^{*} P=P N^{*} N P=N_{1}^{*} N_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\tau\left(N_{1} N_{1}^{*}+N_{2} N_{2}^{*}\right)=\tau\left(N_{1}^{*} N_{1}+N_{2} N_{2}^{*}\right)=\tau\left(N_{1}^{*} N_{1}\right) .
$$

Thus $\tau\left(N_{2} N_{2}^{*}\right)=0$ and $N_{2}=0$.
To prove Theorem 3.2, we first show the following technical lemma.
Lemma 3.4. Let $H$ be a positive element in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. If $M$ and $N$ are normal operators in $\mathfrak{A}$ and $N H=H M$, then $N^{*} H=H M^{*}, N H=H N$ and $M H=H M$. Furthermore, if $\operatorname{Ker}(H)=\{0\}$, then $M=N$.

Proof. Let $E_{0}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\operatorname{Ker}(H)$. If $E_{0} \neq\{0\}$, then it is not hard to check that $\left(I-E_{0}\right) M E_{0}=0$. By Lemma 3.3, $E_{0} M=M E_{0}$. Note that $H N^{*}=M^{*} H$. The same argument shows that $E_{0} N^{*}=N^{*} E_{0}$. Thus $E_{0} N=N E_{0}$.

By considering $\left(I-E_{0}\right) H,\left(I-E_{0}\right) N$ and $\left(I-E_{0}\right) M$, we could assume $\operatorname{Ker}(H)=\{0\}$. Let $\left\{E_{\lambda}\right\}$ be the resolution of the identity for $H$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$
H=\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda \mathrm{d} E_{\lambda}
$$

For fixed $\lambda>0$, let $P_{1}=E_{\lambda}, P_{2}=I-P_{1}$ and

$$
H=H_{1}+H_{2}:=P_{1} H+P_{2} H \quad \text { and } \quad H^{-1}=H_{1}^{-1}+H_{2}^{-1}=P_{1} H^{-1}+P_{2} H^{-1} .
$$

Note that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}^{-1}$ are bounded and $H_{i} H_{i}^{-1}=P i, i=1,2$. Let $N=$ $\sum_{i=j=1}^{2} N_{i j}:=\sum_{i=j=1}^{2} P_{i} N P_{j}$ and $M=\sum_{i=j=1}^{2} M_{i j}:=\sum_{i=j=1}^{2} P_{i} M P_{j}$.

Since $H^{-1} N H=M$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M_{11}=H_{1}^{-1} N_{11} H_{1}, & M_{12}=H_{1}^{-1} N_{12} H_{2} \\
M_{21}=H_{2}^{-1} N_{21} H_{1}, & M_{22}=H_{2}^{-1} N_{22} H_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Since $M$ is normal, we have $\tau\left(P_{1} M^{*} M P_{1}\right)=\tau\left(P_{1} M M^{*} P_{1}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(H_{1} N_{11}^{*} H_{1}^{-2} N_{11} H_{1}\right. & \left.+H_{1} N_{21}^{*} H_{2}^{-2} N_{21} H_{1}\right) \\
& =\tau\left(H_{1}^{-1} N_{11} H_{1}^{2} N_{11}^{*} H_{1}^{-1}+H_{1}^{-1} N_{12} H_{2}^{2} N_{12}^{*} H_{1}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\tau\left(H_{1} N_{11}^{*} H_{1}^{-2} N_{11} H_{1}\right)=\tau\left(M_{11}^{*} M_{11}\right)=\tau\left(M_{11} M_{11}^{*}\right)=\tau\left(H_{1}^{-1} N_{11} H_{1}^{2} N_{11}^{*} H_{1}^{-1}\right)
$$

We have

$$
\tau\left(H_{1} N_{21}^{*} H_{2}^{-2} N_{21} H_{1}\right)=\tau\left(H_{1}^{-1} N_{12} H_{2}^{2} N_{12}^{*} H_{1}^{-1}\right)
$$

Since $\left\|H_{1}\right\| \leqslant \lambda$ and $\left\|H_{2}^{-1}\right\| \leqslant 1 / \lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(H_{1} N_{21}^{*} H_{2}^{-2} N_{21} H_{1}\right) & \leqslant \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \tau\left(H_{1} N_{21}^{*} N_{21} H_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \tau\left(N_{21} H_{1}^{2} N_{21}^{*}\right) \\
& \leqslant \tau\left(N_{21} N_{21}^{*}\right)=\tau\left(N_{21}^{*} N_{21}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Q=E_{\beta}-E_{\lambda}$ where $\beta>\lambda$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(H_{1}^{-1} N_{12} H_{2}^{2} N_{12}^{*} H_{1}^{-1}\right) & \geqslant \beta^{2} \tau\left(H_{1}^{-1} N_{12}(I-Q) N_{12}^{*} H_{1}^{-1}\right)+\lambda^{2} \tau\left(H_{1}^{-1} N_{12} Q N_{12}^{*} H_{1}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\beta^{2} \tau\left((I-Q) N_{12}^{*} H_{1}^{-2} N_{12}(I-Q)\right)+\lambda^{2} \tau\left(Q N_{12}^{*} H_{1}^{-2} N_{12} Q\right) \\
& \geqslant \frac{\beta^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \tau\left((I-Q) N_{12}^{*} N_{12}(I-Q)\right)+\tau\left(Q N_{12}^{*} N_{12} Q\right) \\
& =\frac{\beta^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \tau\left(N_{12}(I-Q) N_{12}^{*}\right)+\tau\left(N_{12} Q N_{12}^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By $N^{*} N=N N^{*}$, it is not hard to check that $\tau\left(N_{12} N_{12}^{*}\right)=\tau\left(N_{21}^{*} N_{21}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\frac{\beta^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \tau\left(N_{12}(I-Q) N_{12}^{*}\right)+\tau\left(N_{12} Q N_{12}^{*}\right) \leqslant \tau\left(N_{12} N_{12}^{*}\right) .
$$

It is clear that

$$
\frac{\beta^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \tau\left(N_{12}(I-Q) N_{12}^{*}\right) \leqslant \tau\left(N_{12}(I-Q) N_{12}^{*}\right)
$$

implies $N_{12}(I-Q) N_{12}^{*}=0$. Since $E_{\lambda}=\bigwedge_{\alpha>\lambda} E_{\alpha}$, we have $N_{12} N_{12}^{*}=0$. By Lemma 3.3. we have $E_{\lambda} N=N E_{\lambda}$. Since this is true for any $\lambda>0, N$ commutes with any element in the abelian von Neumann algebra generated by the projections $\left\{E_{\lambda}\right\}$. Specially, $N(I+H)^{-1}=(I+H)^{-1} N$. It is now clear that $N H=H N$. Recall that $\operatorname{Ker}(H)=\{0\}$. Thus $H(N-M)=0$ implies $N=M$.

With the help of the preceding lemma we can now prove Theorem 3.2.
Proof of Theorem 3.2 Let $T=U H$ be the polar decomposition of $T$ (since $\mathfrak{A}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra, we can assume that $U$ is unitary). $T N=M T$ is equivalent to $H N=\left(U^{*} M U\right) H$. By Lemma 3.4. $H N^{*}=\left(U^{*} M^{*} U\right) H$. Thus $T N^{*}=M^{*} T$.

We can extend Theorem 3.2 to make it work for normal elements in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$.
COROLLARY 3.5. Let $T$ and $N$ be closed operators in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. If $N$ is normal and $N T=T N$, then $N^{*} T=T N^{*}$.

Proof. For each positive integer $n$, let $E_{n}$ be the spectral projection for $N$ corresponding to the set $\{z:|z| \leqslant n\}$. It is clear that $\left\{E_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of projections which converges to $I$ in the strong operator topology. Since $N T=T N$, we have

$$
\left(E_{n} N E_{n}\right)\left(E_{n} T E_{n}\right)=\left(E_{n} T E_{n}\right)\left(E_{n} N E_{n}\right) .
$$

Note that $E_{n} N E_{n}$ is bounded. By Theorem 3.2,

$$
E_{n} N^{*} T E_{n}=\left(E_{n} N^{*} E_{n}\right)\left(E_{n} T E_{n}\right)=\left(E_{n} T E_{n}\right)\left(E_{n} N^{*} E_{n}\right)=E_{n} T N^{*} E_{n}
$$

Note that $E_{n} \leqslant E_{m}$ if $n \leqslant m$. Multiplying both sides of the equation $E_{m} N^{*} T E_{m}=E_{m} T N^{*} E_{m}$ from right by $E_{n}(n \leqslant m)$, we have

$$
E_{m} N^{*} T E_{n}=E_{m} T N^{*} E_{n} .
$$

Let $m$ tend to infinity, we get $N^{*} T E_{n}=T N^{*} E_{n}$. Thus, $E_{n} T^{*} N=E_{n} N T^{*}$. Now, let $n$ tend to infinity, we have $T^{*} N=N T^{*}$ and $N^{*} T=T N^{*}$.

Corollary 3.6. Let $T, N$ and $M$ be closed operators in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. If $N$ and $M$ are normal and $M T=T N$, then $M^{*} T=T N^{*}$.

Proof. Note that

$$
\mathfrak{A} \otimes M_{2}(\mathbb{C})=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right): A_{i j} \in \mathfrak{A}\right\}
$$

is also a finite von Neumann algebra. Consider the matrices of operators

$$
N_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
N & 0 \\
0 & M
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad T_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
T & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

$N_{1}$ and $T_{1}$ are in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. It is well-known that $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes M_{2}(C) \cong$ $\mathscr{A}_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}}\left(\mathfrak{A} \otimes M_{2}(\mathbb{C})\right)$. The operator $N_{1}$ is normal and $N_{1} T_{1}=T_{1} N_{1}$. By Corollary 3.5. we have $N_{1}^{*} T_{1}=T_{1} N_{1}^{*}$. Comparing the (2,1)-entry then gives $M^{*} T=T N^{*}$.

Recall that the numerical range of a closed operator $T$, denoted by $W(T)$, is defined as

$$
W(T)=\left\{\langle T \xi, \xi\rangle: \xi \in \mathscr{D}(T),\|\xi\|_{2}=1\right\} .
$$

In [3], Embry proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7 ([3], Theorem 1). Let $N$ and $M$ be two commuting bounded normal operators and $T$ a bounded operator such that $0 \notin W(T)$. If $M T=T N$, then $N=M$.

We will obtain similar result for unbounded operators in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. For the proof of this fact we give first the following few useful facts.

Lemma 3.8. Let $T$ be an element in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. If $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $A \cdot T=T \widehat{\cdot} A$, then $\mathscr{D}(T) \subseteq \mathscr{D}(T A)=\{\beta: A \beta \in \mathscr{D}(T)\}$. Thus $A T \subseteq T A$.

Proof. Let $\xi \in \mathscr{D}(T) \subseteq \mathscr{D}(A \prec T)=\mathscr{D}(T \prec A)$. By the definition of $T \prec A$, for any $1 \leqslant n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $\xi_{n} \in \mathscr{D}(T A)$ such that

$$
\left\|\xi_{n}-\xi\right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{n}, \quad\left\|T\left(A \xi_{n}\right)-T \widehat{\cdot} A \xi\right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{n}
$$

Since $A \xi_{n} \rightarrow A \xi$ and $T$ is closed, we have $T(A \xi)=(T \prec A) \xi$. Thus $A \xi \in$ $\mathscr{D}(T)$.

Corollary 3.9. Let $T$ be an element in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. If $N$ is a normal operator in $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $N T=T N$, then $A T=T A$ for each $A$ affiliated with the abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $N$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 5.6 .13 of [8], we have $T A=$ $A T$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. Let $B=U H \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$ where $U$ is a unitary in $\mathfrak{A}$ and $H$ is a positive element in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. Since $(I+H)^{-1} T=T(I+H)^{-1}$, we have $H T=T H$. Therefore $B T=T B$.

The next corollary follows easily from Corollary 3.9 and the argument of Corollary 3.6. and we leave it to the reader to supply the reasonably easy proof.

Corollary 3.10. Let $T$ be an element in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. If $N$ is a normal operator in $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $N T=T N$, then $A T=T A$ for each $A$ affiliated with the abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by $N$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 5.6.13 of [], we have TA = $A T$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $B=U H \in \mathscr{A}_{\widehat{F}}(\mathcal{A})$ where $U$ is a unitary in $\mathcal{A}$ and $H$ is a positive element in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathcal{A})$. Since $(I+H)^{-1} T=T(I+H)^{-1}$, we have $H T=T H$. Therefore $B T=T B$.

By Corollary 3.10 and an argument parallel to that used in [3], we have the following fact.

Corollary 3.11. Let $T, N$ and $M$ be closed operators in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. Suppose that $N$ and $M$ are two commuting normal elements and $M T=T N$. If $0 \notin W(T)$, then $N=M$.

Proof. Let $N=N_{1}+i N_{2}$ and $M=M_{1}+i M_{2}$ where $N_{1}, N_{2}, M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are selfadjoint elements in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. Note that $M T=T N$ implies that $M^{*} T=T N^{*}$ by Corollary 3.6 Thus we know $M_{i} T=T N_{i}, i=1,2$. Similarly, as $N M=M N$
and $N M^{*}=M^{*} N$, we have $N M_{i}=M_{i} N, i=1,2$. Therefore, $N_{i} M_{j}=M_{j} N_{i}$, $i, j \in\{1,2\}$.

To show $N=M$, we only need to prove that $N_{i}=M_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. By the above argument, we could assume that $N$ and $M$ are two commuting selfadjoint elements. If $N$ and $M$ are selfadjoint, then $(i I+M)^{-1}$ and $(i I+N)^{-1}$ are bounded. Note that $M T=T N$ if and only if $(i I+M)^{-1} T=T(i I+N)^{-1}$. Let $\chi$ be the characteristic function for a Borel subset of $\mathbb{C}$. By Corollary 3.10, we know $E T=T F$ where $E=\chi\left((i I+M)^{-1}\right)$ and $F=\chi\left((i I+N)^{-1}\right)$. Noting that $E F=F E$, we have

$$
\left[F T^{*}(I-F)\right] T[(I-F) T F]=T^{*} E(I-F) T(I-F) T F=T^{*}(I-F) T F(I-F) T F=0,
$$

and

$$
\left[(I-F) T^{*} F\right] T[F T(I-F)]=T^{*}(I-E) F T F T(I-F)=T^{*} F T(I-F) F T(I-F)=0
$$

Since $0 \notin W(T)$, the above equations imply that $(I-F) T F=0$ and $F T(I-$ $F)=0$. Thus $T F=F T$. Consequently, $T(i I+N)^{-1}=(i I+N)^{-1} T$ by Lemma 5.6.13 of [8]). Note that $0 \notin W(T)$ implies that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(T^{*}\right)=\{0\}$. Therefore $(i I+N)^{-1} T=(i I+M)^{-1} T$ implies $(i I+N)^{-1}=(i I+M)^{-1}$ and $N=M$.

The following result is well-known. For the sake of completeness, we give the proof here.

Lemma 3.12. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a separable $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor. There exist two maximal abelian selfadjoint subalgebras $\mathfrak{M}_{1}, \mathfrak{M}_{2}$ such that $\mathfrak{M}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{2}=\mathbb{C} I$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.1 in [12], there is a hyperfinite subfactor $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{A}=\mathbb{C} I$. Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{2}$ be two orthogonal maximal abelian selfadjoint subalgebras which generate $\mathcal{R}$. There exist two maximal abelian selfadjoint subalgebras $\mathfrak{M}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{2}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ containing $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{2}$ respectively. If $T \in \mathfrak{M}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{2}$, then $T$ commutes with all elements in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{2}$. Hence $T \in \mathcal{R}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{A}=\mathbb{C} I$.

COROLLARy 3.13. If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a separable $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor, then there exists a closed operator $T \in \mathscr{A}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $N T \neq T N$ for any normal element $N \in \mathscr{A}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}}(\mathfrak{A}) \backslash \mathbb{C} I$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.12, there exist two maximal abelian selfadjoint subalgebras $\mathfrak{M}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{2}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\mathfrak{M}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{2}=\mathbb{C}$. Let $T=H_{1}+i H_{2}$ where $H_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ are two positive invertible (the inverse is a bounded positive operator in $\mathfrak{A}$ ) operators that generate $\mathfrak{M}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{2}$ respectively. Suppose that $N$ is a nontrivial normal operator in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $N T=T N$. By Corollary 3.5, $N^{*} T=T N^{*}$. Hence $N T^{*}=T^{*} N$. This implies that $N H_{1}=H_{1} N$ and $N H_{2}=N H_{2}$. Note that $\left(I+N^{*} N\right)^{-1}$ is in $\mathfrak{M}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{2}=\mathbb{C} I$. Thus $N^{*} N$ must be a scalar and $N=c U$ where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $U$ is a unitary. If $N$ is a unitary, then $N$ is in $\mathfrak{M}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{2}=\mathbb{C} I$.

## 4. UNBOUNDED OPERATOR WITH TRIVIAL RELATIVE COMMUTANT

In this section, we will construct unbounded operators affiliated with some $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors with trivial relative commutant in the factors.

As in Section 2, let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a non-atomic probability space. Consider the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{A}=L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ where $G$ is a countable discrete group acting on $X$ and leaving $\mu$ invariant. Suppose that $G$ acts ergodically and freely, then $\mathfrak{A}$ is a factor of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$. Recall that $\mathfrak{A}$, as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(X) \otimes l^{2}(G)\right)$, is generated by the operators

$$
\Psi(f)=\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g}^{-1}(f) \otimes E_{g}, \quad L_{g}=I \otimes l_{g}, \quad \forall f \in L^{\infty}(X), g \in G
$$

where $E_{g}$ is the orthogonal projection from $l^{2}(G)$ onto the subspace spanned by the vector $e_{g} \in l^{2}(G)$.

Fix $n(\in \mathbb{N})$ different elements $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}$ in $G$. Let $\left\{h_{s_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be $n$ measurable functions on $X$ satisfying $\mu\left(\left\{x: h_{s_{i}}(x)=0\right.\right.$ or $\left.\left.\infty\right\}\right)=0$. It is easy to see that $\Psi\left(h_{s_{i}}\right)$ is affiliated with the von Neumann algebra $\left\{\Psi(f): f \in L^{\infty}(X)\right\}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Psi\left(h_{s_{i}}\right) L_{s_{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{s_{i}} \Psi\left(\alpha_{s_{i}}^{-1}\left(h_{s_{i}}\right)\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an element in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. Let $\left\{\chi_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \in L^{\infty}(X)$ be a sequence of characteristic functions satisfies the following three conditions:
(I) $\chi_{m_{1}} \chi_{m_{2}}=\chi_{m_{1}}$ for $m_{1} \leqslant m_{2}$;
(II) $\bigcup_{m} \chi_{m} L^{2}(X)$ is dense in $L^{2}(X)$;
(III) for each $m, h_{s_{i}} \chi_{m}, \alpha_{s_{i}}^{-1}\left(h_{s_{i}}\right) \chi_{m}$ are bounded, $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}=\Psi\left(\chi_{m}\right)=\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g}^{-1}\left(\chi_{m}\right) \otimes E_{g} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it is not hard to check that $T P_{m}$ and $T^{*} P_{m}$ are both bounded. Thus $P_{m} \mathcal{H} \subseteq$ $\mathscr{D}(T) \cap \mathscr{D}\left(T^{*}\right)$. By Proposition 2.1. it is easy to see that $\bigcup_{m} P_{m} \mathcal{H}$ is a common core for $T$ and $T^{*}$. To proceed further, we will need the following technical result.

Lemma 4.1. With the above notations, let $A=\sum_{s} \Psi\left(f_{s}\right) L_{s} \in L^{\infty}(X) \times_{\alpha} G$. If $A T=T A\left(T\right.$ is the element in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$ defined by equation (4.1)), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g}^{-1}\left(f_{s s_{i}^{-1}}\right) \alpha_{s_{i} s^{-1} g}^{-1}\left(h_{s_{i}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g}^{-1}\left(h_{s_{i}}\right) \alpha_{s_{i}^{-1} g}^{-1}\left(f_{s_{i}^{-1} s}\right), \quad \forall g, s \in G \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $A T=T A, \mathscr{D}(T A)=\mathscr{D}(A T) \supseteq \mathscr{D}(T) \cap \mathscr{D}\left(T^{*}\right) \supseteq \bigcup_{m} P_{m} \mathcal{H}$. Note that by the definition of $P_{m}$ (see equation (4.2)), there is a dense linear subspace

$$
\mathscr{D}_{g}=\bigcup_{m} \alpha_{g}^{-1}\left(\chi_{m}\right) L^{2}(X) \subseteq L^{2}(X)
$$

such that $\mathscr{D}_{g} \otimes e_{g}=\left\{\xi \otimes e_{g}: \xi \in \mathscr{D}_{g}\right\} \subseteq \bigcup_{m} P_{m} \mathcal{H}$. Since $\left\{\chi_{m}\right\}$, as projections in $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(X)\right)$, tend to $I$ in the strong operator topology, it is not hard to see that $\mathscr{D}_{g} \cap \mathscr{D}_{l}$ is also a dense subspace for $g$ and $l$ in $G$. For $\xi, \beta \in \mathscr{D}_{g} \cap \mathscr{D}_{l}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle T A \xi \otimes e_{l}, \beta \otimes e_{g}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\left(\sum_{s} \Psi\left(f_{s}\right) L_{s}\right) \xi \otimes e_{l},\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{s_{i}^{-1}} \Psi\left(\bar{h}_{s_{i}}\right)\right) \beta \otimes e_{g}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{s} \alpha_{s l}^{-1}\left(f_{s}\right) \xi \otimes e_{s l}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g}^{-1}\left(\bar{h}_{s_{i}}\right) \beta \otimes e_{s_{i}^{-1} g}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g}^{-1}\left(h_{s_{i}}\right) \alpha_{s_{i}^{-1} g}^{-1}\left(f_{s_{i}^{-1} g l^{-1}}\right) \xi, \beta\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle A T \xi \otimes e_{l}, \beta \otimes e_{g}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Psi\left(h_{s_{i}}\right) L_{s_{i}}\right) \xi \otimes e_{l},\left(\sum_{s} L_{s^{-1}} \Psi\left(\bar{f}_{s}\right)\right) \beta \otimes e_{g}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{s_{i} l}^{-1}\left(h_{s_{i}}\right) \xi \otimes e_{s_{i} l}, \sum_{s} \alpha_{g}^{-1}\left(\bar{f}_{s}\right) \beta \otimes e_{s^{-1} g}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g}^{-1}\left(f_{g l-1} s_{s_{i}^{-1}}\right) \alpha_{s_{i} l}^{-1}\left(h_{s_{i}}\right) \xi, \beta\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathscr{D}_{g} \cap \mathscr{D}_{l}$ is dense in $L^{2}(X)$, the above two equations imply

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g}^{-1}\left(h_{s_{i}}\right) \alpha_{s_{i}^{-1} g}^{-1}\left(f_{s_{i}^{-1} g l^{-1}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{g}^{-1}\left(f_{g l^{-1} s_{i}^{-1}}\right) \alpha_{s_{i} l}^{-1}\left(h_{s_{i}}\right)
$$

Let $g l^{-1}=s$, we obtain the desired equation (4.3).
With the help of the preceding lemma, we can now give some unbounded operators affiliated with some $I_{1}$ factor $\mathfrak{A}$ with trivial relative commutant in $\mathfrak{A}$.
4.1. Hyperfinite case. Let $X=[0,1]$ be the unit interval endowed with the normalized Lebesgue measure and $G=\mathbb{Z}$. Fix an irrational number $r$ in $[0,1]$, we consider the action of $G$ on $X$ given by $n(x)=(x-n r) \bmod 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly the action satisfies $\mu \circ n=\mu$. Let $\alpha_{n}(f)(x)=f((x+n r) \bmod 1)$ for any measurable function $f$ on $X$. It is well-known that the action is free, ergodic and $\mathcal{R}=L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ is the hyperfinite $I_{1}$ factor. In the following, we will use $\alpha$ to denote $\alpha_{1}$. Note that $\alpha_{n}=\alpha^{n}$.

Let $T=\Psi\left(h_{1}\right) L_{1} \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathcal{R})$ and $A=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Psi\left(f_{k}\right) L_{k} \in \mathcal{R}$. If $A T=T A$ then let $n=1, s_{1}=1, g=n, s=m+1$ in equation (4.3). We have

$$
\alpha_{-n}\left(f_{m}\right) \alpha_{m-n}\left(h_{1}\right)=\alpha_{-n}\left(h_{1}\right) \alpha_{1-n}\left(f_{m}\right)
$$

Applying $\alpha_{n}$ to both side of the above equation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{m} \alpha_{m}\left(h_{1}\right)=h_{1} \alpha\left(f_{m}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $h_{1}$ is a measurable function on $X$ such that $\mu\left(\left\{x: h_{1}(x)=0\right.\right.$ or $\left.\left.\infty\right\}\right)=0$.

Let

$$
k_{m}= \begin{cases}h_{1} \alpha_{1}\left(h_{1}\right) \cdots \alpha_{m-1}\left(h_{1}\right) & \text { if } m>0 \\ 1 & \text { if } m=0, \\ \alpha_{-1}\left(1 / h_{1}\right) \alpha_{-2}\left(1 / h_{1}\right) \cdots \alpha_{m}\left(1 / h_{1}\right) & \text { if } m<0\end{cases}
$$

Then equation (4.4) implies that $\alpha\left(f_{m} / k_{m}\right)=f_{m} / k_{m}$.
By Lemma 8.6.6 of [8]), there exist constants $c_{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $f_{m}=c_{m} k_{m}$ almost everywhere. If we choose $h_{1}$ such that $k_{m}$ is unbounded for each $m \neq 0$ (for example $h_{1}=(1-x) / x$ satisfies the condition), then $A$ is bounded if and only if $c_{m}=0$ for all $m \neq 0$. Thus the relative commutant of $T$ in $\mathcal{R}$ is trivial.

Recall that a Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{M}$ in a $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $\mathfrak{A}$ is a maximal abelian $*-$ subalgebra with normalizer $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{M})=\left\{U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}): U^{*} \mathcal{M} U=\mathcal{M}\right\}$ generating $\mathfrak{A}$, where $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$ is the group of all unitary operators in $\mathfrak{A}$. By the above discussion, we have the following fact.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\mathcal{R}=L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ be the hyperfinite $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor. There exists a closed operator $T \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $\{T\}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{R}=\mathbb{C} I$ and $T$ generates $\mathcal{R}$, i.e., $U$ and $(I+H)^{-1}$ generate $\mathcal{R}$, where $T=H U$ is the polar decomposition of $T$. Furthermore, $(I+H)^{-1}$ generates a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}$.

Proof. As stated above, let $h_{1}=(1-x) / x$, then $T=\Psi\left(h_{1}\right) L_{1}$ is an element in $\mathscr{A}_{\widetilde{F}}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $\{T\}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{R}=\mathbb{C} I$. Note that $\left\{\Psi(f): f \in L^{\infty}(X)\right\}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}$ since the action is free (see Theorem 8.6.1 of [8])). It is clear that $\left(I+h_{1}\right)^{-1}=x$ generates $\left\{\Psi(f): f \in L^{\infty}(X)\right\}$. And $\left(I+h_{1}\right)^{-1}$ and $L_{1}$ generate $\mathcal{R}$.
4.2. $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{II}_{1}$ FACTOR With abelian central sequence algebra. We now consider the factor studied in [16]. Let $X=[0,1]$ be the unit interval endowed with the normalized Lebesgue measure and $G=F_{2}$ be the free group generated by two generators $a, b$. The action of $G$ on $X$ is determined by $\alpha_{a}(h)(x)=h\left(a^{-1}(x)\right)=$ $h((x+r) \bmod 1)$ and $\alpha_{b}(h)(x)=h(x)$ for any $h \in L^{\infty}(X)$, where $r \in[0,1]$ is a fixed irrational number.

It is proved in Proposition 3.1 of [16] that $\mathfrak{A}=L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} F_{2}$ is a prime $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor with nontrivial abelian central sequence algebra.

Proposition 4.3. With the above notations, there exists $T \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$ with trivial relative commutant in the factor $\mathfrak{A}=L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes_{\alpha} F_{2}$.

$$
\text { Proof. Let } h_{a}(x)=(1-x) / x \text { and } T=\Psi\left(h_{a}\right) L_{a} \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A}) \text {. If } A=\sum_{s} \Psi\left(f_{s}\right) L_{s}
$$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ commutes with $T$, then by equation (4.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{g}^{-1}\left(h_{a}\right) \alpha_{a^{-1} g}^{-1}\left(f_{a^{-1} s}\right)=\alpha_{g}^{-1}\left(f_{s a^{-1}}\right) \alpha_{a s^{-1} g}^{-1}\left(h_{a}\right), \quad \forall g, s \in F_{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity of notation, we will use $\alpha^{n}$ to denote $\alpha_{a^{n}}$. Let $\rho$ be the group homomorphism from $F_{2}$ to $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\rho(a)=1, \rho(b)=0$. Substitute $g$ and $s$ in
equation (4.5) with $a$ and sa respectively. If $\rho(s)=m$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{-1}\left(h_{a}\right) f_{a^{-1} s a}=\alpha^{-1}\left(f_{s}\right) \alpha^{m-1}\left(h_{a}\right), \quad \forall s \in G \text { and } \rho(s)=m \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
k_{m}= \begin{cases}h_{a} \alpha^{1}\left(h_{a}\right) \alpha^{2}\left(h_{a}\right) \cdots \alpha^{m-1}\left(h_{a}\right) & \text { if } m>0 \\ 1 & \text { if } m=0 \\ \alpha^{-1}\left(1 / h_{a}\right) \alpha^{-2}\left(1 / h_{a}\right) \cdots \alpha^{m}\left(1 / h_{1}\right) & \text { if } m<0\end{cases}
$$

The equation (4.6) implies that

$$
\frac{f_{a^{-1} s a}}{k_{m}}=\alpha^{-1}\left(f_{s} / k_{m}\right)
$$

An easy induction gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a^{-n}} a_{a^{n}}=\alpha^{-n}\left(f_{s} / k_{m}\right) k_{m}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall s \in G \text { with } \rho(s)=m \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $f_{s}=0$ if $s$ contains $b^{ \pm 1}$ in its reduced form. To prove this statement, we will use the Furstenberg's multiple recurrence theorem which we quote below for the convenience of the reader.

THEOREM 4.4 ([2], Theorem 7.4). Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a probability space and $\alpha$ : $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a measure preserving map, i.e., $\mu\left(\alpha^{-1}(B)\right)=\mu(B)$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$. If $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(B)>0$, then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu\left(B \cap \alpha^{-n}(B) \cap \alpha^{-2 n}(B) \cap \cdots \cap \alpha^{-k n}(B)\right)>0
$$

Since $\mu\left(\left\{x: k_{m}(x)=\infty\right\}\right)=0$, we only need to show that $f_{s} / k_{m}=0$. This can be proved by contradiction. If $h:=f_{s} / k_{m} \neq 0$, note that $\mu\left(\left\{x: k_{m}(x)=\right.\right.$ $0\})=0$, then there exist two constants $c>0$ and $\delta>0$ such that the measure of the set

$$
S=\left\{x:|h(x)|>c \text { and }|k m(x)| \geqslant \frac{\delta}{c}\right\}
$$

is non zero.
By the Furstenberg's multiple recurrence theorem, there is $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu\left(S \cap a^{-n}(S)\right)=\varepsilon>0
$$

Let $\left\{n_{i}\right\}_{i}$ be a subsequence such that $\mu\left(S \cap a^{-n_{i}}(S)\right) \geqslant \varepsilon$. If $x \in S \cap a^{-n_{i}}(S)$, then $\left|f_{a^{-n_{i s a^{n}}}}(x)\right|=\left|h\left(a^{n_{i}}(x)\right)\right|\left|k_{m}(x)\right| \geqslant \delta$ by equation (4.7). Therefore

$$
\infty=\sum_{i} \delta^{2} \varepsilon \leqslant \sum_{i} \int_{S \cap a^{-n_{i}}(S)}\left|f_{a^{-n_{i s a^{n}}}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu \leqslant \sum_{i} \int_{X}\left|f_{a^{-n_{i s a^{n_{i}}}}}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu<\infty .
$$

It is a contradiction and $f_{s}$ must equals 0 .

Hence, if $A=\sum_{s} \Psi\left(f_{s}\right) L_{s}$ commutes with $T$, then $f_{s}=0$ if $s$ contains $b^{ \pm 1}$ in the reduced form. Now using the same argument as in proof of the hyperfinite case, we can easily deduce that $f_{s}=0$ if $s$ is not the unit of $F_{2}$ and $A$ is a scalar.

### 4.3. Relative transitive subspace lattices in a $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ Factor. For a subset $\mathcal{L}$

 of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ where $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is the set of orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let $\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L})=$ $\{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}):(I-P) T P=0, \forall P \in \mathcal{L}\}$. If $\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L})=\mathbb{C} I$, then $\mathcal{L}$ is called a transitive family of projections. It is easy to see that any pair of subspaces is not transitive. Halmos gave an example of a transitive lattice with 5 nontrivial projections in [5]. Harrison, Radjavi and Rosenthal presented an example of a transitive quadruple of projections in [6]. The existence of transitive triples, that is transitive family with only three nontrivial projections, is proved recently by V. Lomonosov and F. Nazarov in [9].Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor and $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ be a family of projections in $\mathfrak{A}$. $\mathcal{L}$ is said to be transitive relative to $\mathfrak{A}$ if the only elements in $\mathfrak{A}$ that leave all projections in $\mathcal{L}$ invariant are scalars. In [1], J. Bannon showed that if $\mathfrak{A}$ is a $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor generated by two selfadjoint elements, then there is a transitive family of projections in $\mathfrak{A} \otimes$ $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ with 5 nontrivial projections.

With the help of unbounded operators with trivial relative commutant, we can construct relative transitive quadruples of projections.

Proposition 4.5. Suppose $T=H U$ is a closed operator in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$ with trivial relative commutant in the $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $\mathfrak{A}$, where $U$ is unitary and $H$ is a positive element in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{A})$. Then the following family of projections

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I / 2 & I / 2 \\
I / 2 & I / 2
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
K & \sqrt{K(I-K)} U \\
U^{*} \sqrt{K(I-K)} & I-U^{*} K U
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is a relative transitive quadruple of projections in $\mathfrak{A} \otimes M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, where $K=H^{2}(I+$ $\left.H^{2}\right)^{-1}$.

Proof. An easy computation shows that if $A \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ such that ( $I-$ $\left.P_{i}\right) A P_{i}=0, i=1,2,3$, then $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{1}\end{array}\right)$ where $A_{1} \in \mathfrak{A}$. Note that the range of $P_{4}$ is $S=\{(T \xi, \xi): \xi \in \mathscr{D}(T)\}$. Thus $\left(I-P_{4}\right) A P_{4}=0$ implies that $\left(\left(A_{1} T\right) \xi, A_{1} \xi\right) \in S$. This is true only if $A_{1} T=T A_{1}$. Since the relative commutant of $T$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ is trivial, $A_{1}$ must be a scalar.

Therefore, by Proposition 4.5 and the discussion in Section 4.1 and Section 4.2, we know that transitive quadruples of projections do exist in some $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factors.

We conclude this section by pointing out that any family of projections in a $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor with less than four nontrivial elements is not transitive relative to the
factor. If $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are two nontrivial projections in a $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor, then the partial isometry from $E_{1}$ onto $E_{2}$ leaves $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ invariant, where $E_{1} \leqslant I-P_{1}$ and $E_{2} \leqslant P_{2}$. For a family of projections with three nontrivial elements, we have the following fact.

Proposition 4.6. If $\mathcal{L}=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right\}$ is a subset of three projections in a $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ factor $\mathfrak{A}$, then $\mathcal{L}$ is not transitive relative to $\mathfrak{A}$.

Proof. We first show that if $\mathcal{L}$ is transitive then $P_{i} \vee P_{j}=I$ and $P_{i} \wedge P_{j}=0$, $i \neq j$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\tau\left(P_{1}\right) \leqslant 1 / 2$ and $\tau\left(P_{2}\right) \leqslant$ $1 / 2$, where $\tau$ is the faithful normal trace on $\mathfrak{A}$. Let $E=I-P_{1} \vee P_{2}$. If $E \neq 0$, then it is not hard to check that any partial isometry $V$ satisfying $V^{*} V \leqslant E$ and $V V^{*} \leqslant P_{3}$ is in $\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L})$. $\operatorname{As} \operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L})=\mathbb{C} I$, we have $E=0$. By the Kaplansky formula $\tau\left(P_{1}\right)+\tau\left(P_{2}\right)=\tau\left(P_{1} \vee P_{2}\right)+\tau\left(P_{1} \wedge P_{2}\right)$, we have $\tau\left(P_{1}\right)=\tau\left(P_{2}\right)=1 / 2$ and $\tau\left(P_{1} \wedge P_{2}\right)=0$. Hence, $P_{1} \wedge P_{2}=0$.

If $\tau\left(P_{3}\right)>1 / 2$, we may consider $I-\mathcal{L}=\left\{I-P_{1}, I-P_{2}, I-P_{3}\right\}$ instead (note that $\mathcal{L}$ is transitive if and only if $I-\mathcal{L}$ is transitive). And the exact same argument shows that $P_{3} \wedge P_{i}=0$ and $P_{3} \vee P_{i}=I, i=1,2$. From Theorem 2.1. of [7], we have $\operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) \neq \mathbb{C} I$ and the proof is complete.
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