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#### Abstract

Let $n \geqslant 1$ and let $c_{F, G}$ be given real numbers defined for all pairs of disjoint subsets $F, G \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$. We characterize commuting $n$ tuples of operators $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ acting on a Hilbert space $H$ which have a commuting unitary dilation $U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right) \in B(K)^{n}, K \supset H$ such that $\left.P_{H} U^{* \beta} U^{\alpha}\right|_{H}=c_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha, \operatorname{supp} \beta} T^{* \beta} T^{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}, \operatorname{supp} \alpha \cap \operatorname{supp} \beta=\varnothing$. This unifies and generalizes the concepts of $\rho$-dilations of a single operator and of regular unitary dilations of commuting $n$-tuples. We discuss also other interesting cases.
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## INTRODUCTION

There are many successful generalizations of the dilation theory of Hilbert space contractions.

Let $\rho>0$. An operator $T$ on a Hilbert space $H$ is said to have a $\rho$-dilation if there exists a Hilbert space $K \supset H$ and a unitary operator $U \in B(K)$ such that $T^{k}=\left.\rho P_{H} U^{k}\right|_{H}$ for all $k \geqslant 1$, where $P_{H}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto $H$. It is known [4] that $T$ has a $\rho$-dilation if and only if

$$
\|h\|^{2}+2\left(\frac{1}{\rho}-1\right) \operatorname{Re}\langle z T h, h\rangle+\left(1-\frac{2}{\rho}\right)\|z T h\|^{2} \geqslant 0
$$

for all $h \in H$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$.
The most important particular cases are $\rho=1$ (which reduces to the classical dilation theory of Hilbert space contractions) and $\rho=2$. An operator has a 2dilation if and only if its numerical range is contained in the closed unit disc, see [1], [4].

Let $n \geqslant 1$ and let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in B(H)^{n}$ be a commuting $n$-tuple of operators. $T$ is said to have a unitary dilation if there exists a Hilbert space $K$ $H$ and an $n$-tuple of commuting unitary operators $U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right) \in B(K)^{n}$
such that $T^{\alpha}=\left.P_{H} U^{\alpha}\right|_{H}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$. It is well known that every pair of commuting contractions has a unitary dilation (the Ando dilation). However, the Ando dilation is not unique, its structure is not clear and in general such a dilation does not exist for more than two commuting contractions. The main difficulty is that the values of compressions $\left.P_{H} U^{\alpha}\right|_{H}$ for $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, \min \alpha_{j}<0$, $\max \alpha_{j}>0$ are not prescribed and can be chosen arbitrarily.

The theory of regular unitary dilations overcomes this difficulty by requiring that $\left.P_{H} U^{* \beta} U^{\alpha}\right|_{H}=T^{* \beta} T^{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$, supp $\alpha \cap \operatorname{supp} \beta=\varnothing$. It is known that an $n$-tuple $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ has a regular unitary dilation if and only if

$$
\sum_{A \subset B}(-1)^{|A|}\left\|\left(\prod_{j \in A} T_{j}\right) h\right\|^{2} \geqslant 0
$$

for all $B \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $h \in H$, see [2], [4].
The aim of this paper is to unify and generalize these two approaches.
Let $n \geqslant 1$ and let $c_{F, G}$ be a system of real numbers defined for pairs of disjoint subsets $F, G \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ satisfying natural conditions $c_{\varnothing, \varnothing}=1$ and $c_{G, F}=c_{F, G}$ for all $F, G$. We characterize the $n$-tuples of commuting operators $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in B(H)^{n}$ for which there exists a commuting unitary dilation $U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right) \in B(K)^{n}$ satisfying

$$
\left.P_{H} U^{* \beta} U^{\alpha}\right|_{H}=c_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha, \operatorname{supp} \beta} \cdot T^{* \beta} T^{\alpha}
$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}, \operatorname{supp} \alpha \cap \operatorname{supp} \beta=\varnothing$. This includes the above described cases of $\rho$-dilations of a single operator and of regular unitary dilations. We describe also other interesting cases.

## 1. NOTATIONS

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$the set of all integers and non-negative integers, respectively. Denote by $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ the open unit disc and the unit circle in the complex plane, respectively. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We use the standard multiindex notation. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ we write $\alpha \leqslant \beta$ if $\alpha_{j} \leqslant \beta_{j}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, n,|\alpha|=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}, \alpha+\beta=$ $\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{supp} \alpha=\left\{j: \alpha_{j} \neq 0\right\}$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ write $\alpha_{+}=$ $\left(\max \left\{\alpha_{1}, 0\right\}, \ldots, \max \left\{\alpha_{n}, 0\right\}\right)$ and $\alpha_{-}=\left(\max \left\{-\alpha_{1}, 0\right\}, \ldots, \max \left\{-\alpha_{n}, 0\right\}\right)$.

For $F \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ we define $e_{F} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ by $\left(e_{F}\right)_{j}=1(j \in F)$ and $\left(e_{F}\right)_{j}=$ $0(j \notin F)$. We denote by $|F|$ the cardinality of $F$.

Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in B(H)^{n}$ be a commuting $n$-tuple of operators acting on a Hilbert space $H$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ we write $T^{\alpha}=\prod_{j=1}^{n} T_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}$. For $F \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ write $T_{F}=\prod_{j \in F} T_{j}$. In particular, $T_{\varnothing}=I$, the identity operator on $H$.

Let $c_{F, G}(F, G \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}, F \cap G=\varnothing)$ be a system of real numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\varnothing, \varnothing}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad c_{G, F}=c_{F, G} \quad \text { for all } F, G \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in B(H)^{n}$ be a commuting system of operators. We say that $T$ has a dilation determined by the system $\left(c_{F, G}\right)$ if there exist a Hilbert space $K \supset H$ and an $n$-tuple $U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right) \in B(K)^{n}$ of commuting unitary operators such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle U^{\alpha} h, U^{\beta} g\right\rangle=c_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha, \operatorname{supp} \beta} \cdot\left\langle T^{\alpha} h, T^{\beta} g\right\rangle \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h, g \in H$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ with supp $\alpha \cap \operatorname{supp} \beta=\varnothing$. In particular,

$$
\left\langle U^{\alpha} h, g\right\rangle=c_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha, \varnothing}\left\langle T^{\alpha} h, g\right\rangle
$$

for all $h, g \in H$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$. Clearly 1.2 is equivalent to

$$
\left.P_{H} U^{\alpha-\beta}\right|_{H}=c_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha, \operatorname{supp} \beta} T^{* \beta} T^{\alpha}
$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}, \operatorname{supp} \alpha \cap \operatorname{supp} \beta=\varnothing$.
This definition includes the $\rho$-dilations of a single operator $T_{1}$ (for $n=1$ and $c_{\{1\}, \varnothing}=\rho^{-1}$ ) and the regular unitary dilations (for $c_{F, G}=1$ for all $F, G$ ) of $n$-tuples of commuting operators.

If we assume the natural minimality condition $K=\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} U^{\alpha} H$ then it is easy to see that conditions $\sqrt{1.2}$ determine the dilation uniquely up to a unitary equivalence.

The aim of this paper is to characterize the $n$-tuples $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ which have dilation determined by (1.2). This will generalize the cases of $\rho$-dilations of single operators as well as the case of regular unitary dilations of commuting contractions.

## 2. NECESSARY CONDITIONS

In this section we fix a Hilbert space $H$, an $n$-tuple $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in B(H)^{n}$ of commuting operators, real numbers $c_{F, G}(F, G \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}, F \cap G=\varnothing)$ and a dilation $U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right) \in B(K)^{n}$ satisfying (1.1) and (1.2).

For $A \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ define $D_{A}: H \rightarrow K$ by $D_{A}=\sum_{F \subset A}(-1)^{|F|} U_{A \backslash F} T_{F}$. Thus $D_{\varnothing}$ is the isometrical embedding of $H$ into $K$ and $D_{\{j\}}=U_{j}-T_{j}$ for all $j \in$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. If $j \in A$ then $D_{A}=U_{j} D_{A \backslash\{j\}}-D_{A \backslash\{j\}} T_{j}$.

Write for short $[1, n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
Note that in the classical dilation theory for $n=1$ the space $\overline{\left(U_{1}-T_{1}\right) H}$ plays an important role - it is a copy of the defect space $\overline{\left(I-T_{1}^{*} T_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} H}$ and it is a wandering subspace for the unitary dilation $U_{1}$. For $\rho$-dilations this space is
not exactly wandering any more but it is "almost" wandering: $U_{1}^{j} \overline{\left(U_{1}-T_{1}\right) H} \perp$ $U_{1}^{k} \overline{\left(U_{1}-T_{1}\right) H}$ if $|j-k| \geqslant 2$, see [3].

In our situation the space $\overline{D_{[1, n]} H}$ may be viewed as an analogy of this defect space.

Note that if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}, \operatorname{supp} \alpha \cap \operatorname{supp} \beta=\varnothing, j \in[1, n], \alpha_{j} \geqslant 2$ and $h, g \in H$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle U^{\alpha} h, U^{\beta} g\right\rangle & =c_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha, \operatorname{supp} \beta}\left\langle T^{\alpha} h, T^{\beta} g\right\rangle=c_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha, \operatorname{supp} \beta}\left\langle T^{\alpha-e_{j}} T_{j} h, T^{\beta} g\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle U^{\alpha-e_{j}} T_{j} h, U^{\beta}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle U^{\alpha} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} h, U^{\beta} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} g\right\rangle=\left\langle U^{\alpha-e_{j}} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} T_{j} h, U^{\beta} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} g\right\rangle \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\alpha_{j} \geqslant 2$.
The next proposition shows that in our situation the space $D_{[1, n]} H$ is also "almost" wandering in the following sense.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ satisfy supp $\alpha \cap \operatorname{supp} \beta=\varnothing$ and $\max \left\{\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}\right.$ : $j=1, \ldots, n\} \geqslant 2$. Then

$$
\left\langle U^{\alpha} D_{[1, n]} h, U^{\beta} D_{[1, n]} g\right\rangle=0
$$

for all $h, g \in H$.
Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\alpha_{j} \geqslant 2$ for some $j \in$ $[1, n]$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle U^{\alpha} D_{[1, n]} h, U^{\beta} D_{[1, n]} g\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle U^{\alpha}\left(U_{j} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}}-D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} T_{j}\right) h, U^{\beta}\left(U_{j} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}}-D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} T_{j}\right) g\right\rangle \\
& = \\
& =\left\langle U^{\alpha} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} h, U^{\beta} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} g\right\rangle-\left\langle U^{\alpha-e_{j}} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} T_{j} h, U^{\beta} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} g\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\left\langle U^{\alpha+e_{j}} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} h, U^{\beta} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} T_{j} g\right\rangle+\left\langle U^{\alpha} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} T_{j} h, U^{\beta} D_{[1, n] \backslash\{j\}} T_{j} g\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

by 2.1.
Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ and $\max \left\{\alpha_{j}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n\right\} \leqslant 1$. Then $U^{\alpha}=U_{F}$, where $F=$ $\operatorname{supp} \alpha$. For $F, G \subset[1, n], F \cap G=\varnothing$ the spaces $U_{F} D_{[1, n]} H$ and $U_{G} D_{[1, n]} H$ are not orthogonal in general. However, we can express their "angle".

Lemma 2.2. Let $F, G, A \subset[1, n], F \cap G=\varnothing, h, g \in H$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle U_{F} D_{A} h, U_{G} D_{A} g\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\sum_{\substack{F_{1} \subset F \cap A, G_{1} \subset G \cap A}}(-1)^{\left|F_{1}\right|+\left|G_{1}\right|}\left\langle U_{F \backslash F_{1}} D_{A \backslash(F \cup G)} T_{F_{1}} h, U_{G \backslash G_{1}} D_{A \backslash(F \cup G)} T_{G_{1}} g\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The statement is trivial if $(F \cup G) \cap A=\varnothing$. We prove it by induction on $|(F \cup G) \cap A|$.

Let $(F \cup G) \cap A \neq \varnothing$ and suppose that the statement is true for all $F^{\prime}, G^{\prime}, A^{\prime}$ with $F^{\prime} \cap G^{\prime}=\varnothing$ and $\left|\left(F^{\prime} \cup G^{\prime}\right) \cap A^{\prime}\right|<|(F \cup G) \cap A|$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $F \cap A \neq \varnothing$. Let $j \in F \cap A$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle U_{F} D_{A} h\right. & \left.U_{G} D_{A} g\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle U_{F}\left(U_{j} D_{A \backslash\{j\}}-D_{A \backslash\{j\}} T_{j}\right) h, U_{G}\left(U_{j} D_{A \backslash\{j\}}-D_{A \backslash\{j\}} T_{j}\right) g\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle U_{F} D_{A \backslash\{j\}} h, U_{G} D_{A \backslash\{j\}} g\right\rangle-\left\langle U_{F} D_{A \backslash\{j\}} T_{j} h, U_{G} U_{j} D_{A \backslash\{j\}} g\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\left\langle U_{F} U_{j} D_{A \backslash\{j\}} h, U_{G} D_{A \backslash\{j\}} T_{j} g\right\rangle+\left\langle U_{F} D_{A \backslash\{j\}} T_{j} h, U_{G} D_{A \backslash\{j\}} T_{j} g\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle U_{F} D_{A \backslash\{j\}} h, U_{G} D_{A \backslash\{j\}} g\right\rangle-\left\langle U_{F \backslash\{j\}} D_{A \backslash\{j\}} T_{j} h, U_{G} D_{A \backslash\{j\}} g\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the induction assumption this is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{F_{1} \subset(F \cap A) \backslash\{j\} \\
G_{1} \subset(G \cap A) \backslash\{j\}}}(-1)^{\left|F_{1}\right|+\left|G_{1}\right|}\left\langle U_{F \backslash F_{1}} D_{A \backslash(F \cup G \cup\{j\})} T_{F_{1}} h, U_{G \backslash G_{1}} D_{A \backslash(F \cup G \cup\{j\})} T_{G_{1}} g\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\sum_{\substack{F_{1} \subset(F \cap A) \backslash\{j\} \\
G_{1} \subset(G \cap A) \backslash\{j\}}}(-1)^{\left|F_{1}\right|+\left|G_{1}\right|}\left\langle U_{F \backslash\left(F_{1} \cup\{j\}\right)} D_{A \backslash(F \cup G \cup\{j\})} T_{F_{1}} T_{j} h, U_{G \backslash G_{1}} D_{A \backslash(F \cup G \cup\{j\})} T_{G_{1}} g\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{\substack{F_{1} \subset F \cap A \\
G_{1} \subset G \cap A}}(-1)^{\left|F_{1}\right|+\left|G_{1}\right|}\left\langle U_{F \backslash F_{1}} D_{A \backslash(F \cup G)} T_{F_{1}} h, U_{G \backslash G_{1}} D_{A \backslash(F \cup G)} T_{G_{1}} g\right\rangle . \quad \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $R, S, B \subset[1, n]$ be mutually disjoint sets, $h, g \in H$. Then

$$
\left\langle U_{R} D_{B} h, U_{S} D_{B} g\right\rangle=\sum_{A \subset B}\left\langle T_{R \cup A} h, T_{G \cup A}\right\rangle \sum_{\substack{C_{1}, C_{2} \subset A \\ C_{1} \cap C_{2}=\varnothing}}(-1)^{\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{2}\right|} \mathcal{C}_{R \cup C_{1}, S \cup C_{2}} .
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle U_{R} D_{B} h, U_{S} D_{B} g\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\sum_{B_{1}, B_{2} \subset B}(-1)^{\left|B \backslash B_{1}\right|+\left|B \backslash B_{2}\right|}\left\langle U_{R} U_{B_{1}} T_{B \backslash B_{1}} h, U_{S} U_{B_{2}} T_{B \backslash B_{2}} g\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\sum_{B_{1}, B_{2} \subset B}(-1)^{\left|B_{1}\right|+\left|B_{2}\right|}\left\langle U_{R} U_{B_{1} \backslash B_{2}} T_{B \backslash B_{1}} h, U_{S} U_{B_{2} \backslash B_{1}} T_{\left.B \backslash B_{2} g\right\rangle} g\right. \\
& \quad=\sum_{B_{1}, B_{2} \subset B}(-1)^{\left|B_{1}\right|+\left|B_{2}\right|}\left\langle T_{R} T_{B \backslash\left(B_{1} \cap B_{2}\right)} h, T_{S} T_{\left.B \backslash\left(B_{1} \cap B_{2}\right) g\right\rangle c_{R \cup\left(B_{1} \backslash B_{2}\right), S \cup\left(B_{2} \backslash B_{1}\right)}}^{\quad=} \sum_{A \subset B}\left\langle T_{R \cup A} h, T_{S \cup A} g\right\rangle \sum_{\substack{B_{1}, B_{2} \subset B \\
A=B \backslash\left(B_{1} \cap B_{2}\right)}}(-1)^{\left|B_{1}\right|+\left|B_{2}\right|} c_{R \cup\left(B_{1} \backslash B_{2}\right), S \cup\left(B_{2} \backslash B_{1}\right) .} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $C_{1}=B_{1} \backslash B_{2}=B_{1} \cap A$ and $C_{2}=B_{2} \backslash B_{1}=B_{2} \cap A$ we have

$$
\left\langle U_{R} D_{B} h, U_{S} D_{B} g\right\rangle=\sum_{A \subset B}\left\langle T_{R \cup A} h, T_{G \cup A}\right\rangle \sum_{\substack{C_{1}, C_{2} \subset A \\ C_{1} \cap C_{2}=\varnothing}}(-1)^{\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{2}\right|_{C_{R \cup C_{1}}, S \cup C_{2}} .}
$$

Proposition 2.4. Let $F, G \subset[1, n], F \cap G=\varnothing$. Let $h, g \in H$. Then

$$
\left\langle U_{F} D_{[1, n]} h, U_{G} D_{[1, n]} g\right\rangle=\sum_{A \subset[1, n] \backslash(F \cup G)}\left\langle T_{F \cup A} h, T_{G \cup A} g\right\rangle \widetilde{r}_{F, G, A},
$$

where

$$
\tilde{r}_{F, G, A}=\sum_{\substack{F^{\prime} \subset F \\ G^{\prime} \subset G}} \sum_{\substack{C_{1}, C_{2} \subset A \\ C_{1} \cap C_{2}=\varnothing}}(-1)^{\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{2}\right|+\left|F \backslash F^{\prime}\right|+\left|G \backslash G^{\prime}\right|} C_{F^{\prime} \cup C_{1}, G^{\prime} \cup C_{2}}
$$

(note that the subsets $F, G, A \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ are mutually disjoint).
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle U_{F} D_{[1, n]} h, U_{G} D_{[1, n]} g\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\sum_{\substack{F_{1} \subset F \\
G_{1} \subset G}}(-1)^{\left|F_{1}\right|+\left|G_{1}\right|}\left\langle U_{F \backslash F_{1}} D_{[1, n] \backslash(F \cup G)} T_{F_{1}} h, U_{G \backslash G_{1}} D_{[1, n] \backslash(F \cup G)} T_{G_{1}} g\right\rangle \\
& = \\
& \quad \sum_{\substack{F_{1} \subset F \\
G_{1} \subset G}}(-1)^{\left|F_{1}\right|+\left|G_{1}\right|} \sum_{A \subset[1, n] \backslash(F \cup G)}\left\langle T_{\left(F \backslash F_{1}\right) \cup A} T_{F_{1}} h, T_{\left(G \backslash G_{1}\right) \cup A} T_{\left.G_{1} g\right\rangle}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot \sum_{\substack{C_{1}, C_{2} \subset A \\
C_{1} \cap C_{2}=\varnothing}}(-1)^{\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{2}\right|} C_{\left(F \backslash F_{1}\right) \cup C_{1},\left(G \backslash G_{1}\right) \cup C_{2}} \\
& =\sum_{A \subset[1, n] \backslash(F \cup G)}\left\langle T_{F \cup A} h, T_{G \cup A} g\right\rangle \widetilde{r}_{F, G, A},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{r}_{F, G, A}=\sum_{\substack{F^{\prime} \subset F \\ G^{\prime} \subset G}} \sum_{\substack{C_{1}, C_{2} \subset A \\ C_{1} \cap C_{2}=\varnothing}}(-1)^{\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{2}\right|+\left|F \backslash F^{\prime}\right|+\left|G \backslash G^{\prime}\right|} C_{F^{\prime} \cup C_{1}, G^{\prime} \cup C_{2}}
$$

THEOREM 2.5. Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in B(H)^{n}$ be a commuting $n$-tuple of operators having a dilation $U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right) \in B(K)^{n}$ satisfying 1.2. Then

$$
\sum_{\substack{F, G, A \subset[1, n] \\ \text { mut.disjoint }}} \widetilde{r}_{F, G, A}\left\langle T_{F \cup A} h, T_{G \cup A} h\right\rangle \geqslant 0
$$

for all $h \in H$.
Proof. Let $h \in H$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the element

$$
x=\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n} \\ \alpha \leqslant(N, \ldots, N)}} U^{\alpha} D_{[1, n]} h \in K
$$

Then

$$
0 \leqslant N^{-n}\|x\|^{2}=N^{-n} \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n} \\ \alpha, \beta \leqslant(N, \ldots, N)}}\left\langle U^{(\alpha-\beta)_{+}} D_{[1, n]} h, U^{(\beta-\alpha)_{+}} D_{[1, n]} h\right\rangle .
$$

Setting $\gamma=\min \{\alpha, \beta\}$ one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leqslant N^{-n} \sum_{\substack{F, G \in[1, n] \\
F \cap G=\varnothing}}\left\langle U_{F} D_{1, n]} h, U_{G} D_{[1, n]} h\right\rangle \cdot\left|\left\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}: \gamma+e_{F}, \gamma+e_{G} \leqslant(N, \ldots, N)\right\}\right| \\
& =N^{-n} \sum_{\substack{F, G \subset[1, n] \\
F \cap G=\varnothing}}\left\langle U_{F} D_{[1, n]} h, U_{G} D_{[1, n]} h\right\rangle \cdot N^{|F \cup G|}(N+1)^{n-|F \cup G|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
0 \leqslant \sum_{\substack{F, G \subset[1, n] \\ F \cap G=\varnothing}}\left\langle U_{F} D_{[1, n]} h, U_{G} D_{[1, n]} h\right\rangle=\sum_{\substack{F, G, A \subset[1, n] \\ \text { mut.disjoint }}} \widetilde{r}_{F, G, A}\left\langle T_{F \cup A} h, T_{G \cup A} h\right\rangle .
$$

Instead of considering triples $F, G, A$ of pairwise disjoint subsets of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ it is possible to simplify the notation by considering two sets $F \cup A$ and $G \cup A$ in a general position.

For $F, G \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{F, G}=\widetilde{r}_{F \backslash G, G \backslash F, F \cap G}=\sum_{\substack{F^{\prime} \subset F, G^{\prime} \subset G \\ F^{\prime} \cap G^{\prime}=\varnothing}}(-1)^{|F|+|G|+\left|F^{\prime} \cup G^{\prime}\right|} c_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}, G^{\prime}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the condition from the previous theorem becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{F, G \subset[1, n]} r_{F, G}\left\langle T_{F} h, T_{G} h\right\rangle \geqslant 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in H$.
Let $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$. The $n$-tuple $\varepsilon T=\left(\varepsilon_{1} T_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n} T_{n}\right)$ has dilation $\varepsilon U=\left(\varepsilon_{1} U_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n} U_{n}\right)$ satisfying (1.2). Thus we have

THEOREM 2.6. Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in B(H)^{n}$ be a commuting $n$-tuple of operastors having a dilation $U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right) \in B(K)^{n}$ satisfying (1.2). Then

$$
\sum_{F, G \subset[1, n]} r_{F, G}\left\langle(\varepsilon T)_{F} h,(\varepsilon T)_{G} h\right\rangle \geqslant 0
$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$ and $h \in H$.
Note that $r_{F, G}=r_{G, F}$ for all subsets $F, G \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$. So one can write

$$
\left.r_{F, G}\langle\varepsilon T)_{F} h,(\varepsilon T)_{G} h\right\rangle+r_{G, F}\left\langle(\varepsilon T)_{G} h,(\varepsilon T)_{F} h\right\rangle=2 r_{F, G} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle(\varepsilon T)_{F} h,(\varepsilon T)_{G} h\right\rangle
$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$ and $h \in H$.

## 3. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS

We show that if the operators $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}$ satisfy the vanishing condition $T_{j}^{k} \rightarrow 0$ in the strong operator topology for $j=1, \ldots, n$, then the condition in Theorem 2.6 is also sufficient.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in B(H)^{n}$ be a commuting $n$-tuple of operators satisfying SOT- $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} T_{j}^{k}=0$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Let $c_{F, G}(F, G \subset\{1, \ldots\},, F \cap G=$ $\varnothing)$ be real numbers satisfying $c_{\varnothing, \varnothing}=1$ and $c_{G, F}=c_{F, G}$ for all $F, G$. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $T$ has a dilation $U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right) \in B(K)^{n}$ such that

$$
\left.P_{H} U^{* \beta} U^{\alpha}\right|_{H}=c_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha, \operatorname{supp} \beta} T^{* \beta} T^{\alpha}
$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}, \operatorname{supp} \alpha \cap \operatorname{supp} \beta=\varnothing$;
(ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{F, G \subset[1, n]} r_{F, G}\left\langle(\varepsilon T)_{F} h,(\varepsilon T)_{G} h\right\rangle \geqslant 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$ and $h \in H$.
Proof. The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) was proved in the previous section.
Let $T$ satisfy (ii). It is sufficient to show that the function $\Phi: \mathbb{Z}^{n} \rightarrow B(H)$ defined by $\Phi(\alpha)=c_{\text {supp } \alpha_{+}, \operatorname{supp} \alpha_{-}} T^{* \alpha_{-}} T^{\alpha_{+}}$is a positive definite function on the group $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, i.e., for all finite subsets $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and systems $\left(h_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of vectors in $H$ we have

$$
\sum_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in \Lambda}\left\langle\Phi\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right) h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle \geqslant 0
$$

see [4].
Let $\left(h_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a finite system of vectors in $H$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $N>$ $2 \max \left\{\left|\alpha_{j}\right|: \alpha \in \Lambda, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}$.

For $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$ consider the vector

$$
x_{N}(\varepsilon)=\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \\ \beta \leqslant(N, \ldots, N)}} \varepsilon^{\beta-\alpha} T^{\beta} h_{\alpha} .
$$

Let $m$ be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$. Using (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leqslant \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} \sum_{F, G \subset[1, n]} r_{F, G}\left\langle(\varepsilon T)_{F} x_{N}(\varepsilon),(\varepsilon T)_{G} x_{N}(\varepsilon)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} m(\varepsilon) \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} \sum_{F, G \subset[1, n]} r_{F, G} \sum_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in \Lambda} \sum_{\substack{\beta, \beta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n} \\
\beta, \beta^{\prime} \leqslant(N, \ldots, N)}} \varepsilon^{\beta-\alpha+e_{F}} \bar{\varepsilon}^{\beta^{\prime}-\alpha^{\prime}+e_{G}}\left\langle T_{F} T^{\beta} h_{\alpha}, T_{G} T^{\beta^{\prime}} h_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} m(\varepsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

All terms with $\beta-\alpha+\varepsilon_{F} \neq \beta^{\prime}-\alpha^{\prime}+e_{G}$ will disappear in the integration. For the remaining terms let $\gamma=\beta-\alpha+\varepsilon_{F}=\beta^{\prime}-\alpha^{\prime}+e_{G}$.

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leqslant \sum_{F, G \subset[1, n]} r_{F, G} \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in \Lambda}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma:(0, \ldots, 0) \leqslant \gamma+\alpha-e_{F} \leqslant(N, \ldots, N) \\
(0, \ldots, 0) \leqslant \gamma+\alpha^{\prime}-e_{G} \leqslant(N, \ldots, N)}}\left\langle T^{\gamma+\alpha} h_{\alpha}, T^{\gamma+\alpha^{\prime}} h_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in \Lambda}\left\langle Q_{N}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right) h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
Q_{N}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{F, G \subset[1, n]} r_{F, G} \sum_{\substack{\gamma: e_{F} \leqslant \gamma+\alpha \leqslant(N, \ldots, N)+e_{F} \\ e_{G} \leqslant \gamma+\alpha^{\prime} \leqslant(N, \ldots, N)+e_{G}}} T^{* \gamma+\alpha^{\prime}} T^{\gamma+\alpha} .
$$

Write $\widetilde{\alpha}=\min \left\{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\alpha=\widetilde{\alpha}+\delta, \alpha^{\prime}=\widetilde{\alpha}+\delta^{\prime}$. Then $\delta, \delta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ and supp $\delta \cap$ $\operatorname{supp} \delta^{\prime}=\varnothing$. Setting $\eta=\gamma+\widetilde{\alpha}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{N}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{F, G \subset[1, \eta]} r_{F, G} \sum_{\substack{\eta: e_{F} \leqslant \eta+\delta \leqslant(N, \ldots, N)+e_{F} \\
e_{G} \leqslant \eta+\delta^{\prime} \leqslant(N, \ldots, N)+e_{G}}} T^{* \eta+\delta^{\prime}} T^{\eta+\delta} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n} \\
\eta+\delta+\delta^{\prime} \leqslant(N+1, \ldots, N+1)}} T^{* \eta+\delta^{\prime}} T^{\eta+\delta_{S_{\eta}},}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\eta}=\sum_{\substack{\left\{j: \eta_{j}+\delta_{j}=N+1\right\} \subset F \subset \operatorname{supp}(\eta+\delta) \\\left\{j: \eta_{j}+\delta_{j}^{\prime}=N+1\right\} \subset G \subset \operatorname{supp}\left(\eta+\delta^{\prime}\right)}} r_{F, G} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need the following lemma.
LEMMA 3.2. Let $\eta, \delta, \delta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}, \operatorname{supp} \delta \cap \operatorname{supp} \delta^{\prime}=\varnothing, \max \left\{\eta_{j}+\delta_{j}, \eta_{j}+\delta_{j}^{\prime}, j=\right.$ $1, \ldots, n\} \leqslant N+1$. Write for short $D=\operatorname{supp}(\eta+\delta), D^{\prime}=\operatorname{supp}\left(\eta+\delta^{\prime}\right), E=\{j:$ $\left.\eta_{j}+\delta_{j}=N+1\right\}, E^{\prime}=\left\{j: \eta_{j}+\delta_{j}^{\prime}=N+1\right\}$. Then:
(i) if there exists $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $j \in\left(D \cap D^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(E \cup E^{\prime}\right)$ then

$$
\sum_{\substack{E \subset \subset \subset D \\ E^{\prime} \subset G \subset D^{\prime}}} r_{F, G}=0 ;
$$

(ii) if $D \cap D^{\prime}=\varnothing$ then

$$
\sum_{\substack{F \subset D \\ G \subset D^{\prime}}} r_{F, G}=c_{D, D^{\prime}}
$$

Proof. (i) Using (2.2) we have

$$
\sum_{\substack{E \subset F \subset D \\ E^{\prime} \subset G \subset D^{\prime}}} r_{F, G}=\sum_{\substack{M \subset D, L \subset D^{\prime} \\ M \cap L=\varnothing}} c_{M, L} a_{M, L}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{M, L} & =\sum_{\substack{M \cup E \subset F \subset D \\
L \cup E^{\prime} \subset G \subset D^{\prime}}}(-1)^{|M \cup L|}(-1)^{|F|+|G|} \\
& =(-1)^{|M \cup L|}\left(\sum_{M \cup E \subset F \subset D}(-1)^{|F|}\right)\left(\sum_{L \cup E^{\prime} \subset G \subset D^{\prime}}(-1)^{|G|}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $j \in\left(D \cap D^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(E \cup E^{\prime}\right)$. Since $M \cap L=\varnothing$, either $j \notin M$ or $j \notin L$. If $j \notin M$ then $\sum_{M \cup E \subset F \subset D}(-1)^{|F|}=0$, and so $a_{M, L}=0$. Similarly, if $j \notin L$ then
$\sum_{L \cup E^{\prime} \subset G \subset D^{\prime}}(-1)^{|G|}=0$, and so $a_{M, L}=0$. Hence

$$
\sum_{\substack{E \subset \subset \subset D \\ E^{\prime} \subset G \subset D^{\prime}}} r_{F, G}=0 .
$$

(ii) Let $D \cap D^{\prime}=\varnothing$. Again

$$
\sum_{\substack{F \subset D \\ G \subset D^{\prime}}} r_{F, G}=\sum_{\substack{M \subset D, L \subset D^{\prime} \\ M \cap L=\varnothing}} c_{M, L} a_{M, L}
$$

where

$$
a_{M, L}=(-1)^{|M \cup L|}\left(\sum_{M \subset F \subset D}(-1)^{|F|}\right)\left(\sum_{\left.L \subset G \subset D^{\prime}\right)}(-1)^{|G|}\right)
$$

If $M \neq D$ then $\sum_{M \subset F \subset D}(-1)^{|F|}=0$ and so $a_{M, L}=0$. Similarly, if $L \neq D^{\prime}$ then $\sum_{L \subset G \subset D^{\prime}}(-1)^{|G|}=0$, and so $a_{M, L}=0$. If $M=D$ and $L=D^{\prime}$ then $a_{M, L}=1$. So

$$
\sum_{\substack{F \subset D \\ G \subset D^{\prime}}} r_{F, G}=c_{D, D^{\prime}} .
$$

Recall that

$$
Q_{N}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\substack{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^{\eta} \\ \eta+\delta+\delta^{\prime} \leqslant(N+1, \ldots, N+1)}} T^{* \eta+\delta^{\prime}} T^{\eta+\delta_{S_{\eta}}},
$$

where

$$
s_{\eta}=\sum_{\substack{\left\{j: \eta_{j}+\delta_{j}=N+1\right\} \subset F \subset \operatorname{supp}(\eta+\delta) \\\left\{j: \eta_{j}+\delta_{j}^{\prime}=N+1\right\} \subset G \subset \operatorname{supp}\left(\eta+\delta^{\prime}\right)}} r_{F, G} .
$$

If there exists $j \in \operatorname{supp} \eta$ with $\max \left\{\eta_{j}+\delta_{j}, \eta_{j}+\delta_{j}^{\prime}\right\} \leqslant N$, then $s_{\eta}=0$ by Lemma 3.2(i). So

$$
Q_{N}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right)=\sum T^{* \eta+\delta^{\prime}} T^{\eta+\delta_{S_{\eta}}}
$$

where the sum is taken over all $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ such that $\max \left\{\eta_{j}+\delta_{j}, \eta_{j}+\delta_{j}^{\prime}\right\}=N+1$ for all $j \in \operatorname{supp} \eta$. Note that the number of nonzero terms in this sum does not depend on $N$ (for $N$ large enough). Moreover, the coefficients $s_{\eta}$ are bounded independently of $N$. Since $T_{j}^{N} \rightarrow 0$ in the strong operator topology for $j=1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle Q_{N}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right) h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle=s_{(0, \ldots, 0)}\left\langle T^{* \delta^{\prime}} T^{\delta} h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle=c_{\operatorname{supp} \delta, \operatorname{supp} \delta^{\prime}}\left\langle T^{* \delta^{\prime}} T^{\delta} h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leqslant \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in \Lambda}\left\langle Q_{N}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right) h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in \Lambda} c_{\operatorname{supp}}\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right)_{+}, \operatorname{supp}\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right)_{-}\left\langle T^{*\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right)-} T^{\left(\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right)_{+}} h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the function

$$
\Phi(\alpha)=c_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha_{+}, \operatorname{supp} \alpha_{-}} T^{* \alpha_{-}} T^{\alpha_{+}}
$$

defined on the group $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is positive definite and there exists a unitary dilation $U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right) \in B(K)^{n}$ such that

$$
\left\langle U^{\alpha} h, U^{\beta} g\right\rangle=c_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha, \operatorname{supp} \beta}\left\langle T^{\alpha} h, T^{\beta} g\right\rangle
$$

for all $h, g \in H$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ with supp $\alpha \cap \operatorname{supp} \beta=\varnothing$.
REmARK 3.3. Conditions $T_{j}^{k} \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) in Theorem 3.1 are necessary. Even in the classical case of regular unitary dilations condition 3.1) is not sufficient (for details see below).

If we do not assume that $T_{j}^{k} \rightarrow 0$, then it is possible to modify condition (3.1) in the following way.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $c_{F, G}(F, G \subset\{1, \ldots\},, F \cap G=\varnothing)$ be real numbers satisfying (1.1). Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in B(H)^{n}$ be a commuting $n$-tuple of operators. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{F, G \subset[1, n]} r_{F, G}\left\langle(z T)_{F} h,(z T)_{G} h\right\rangle \geqslant 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in H, z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{D}^{n}$. Then $T$ has a dilation $U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right) \in$ $B(K)^{n}$ such that

$$
\left\langle U^{\alpha} h, U^{\beta} g\right\rangle=c_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha, \operatorname{supp} \beta}\left\langle T^{\alpha} h, T^{\beta} g\right\rangle
$$

for all $h, g \in H, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$, $\operatorname{supp} \alpha \cap \operatorname{supp} \beta=\varnothing$.
Proof. Let $0<r<1$. The $n$-tuple $r T=\left(r T_{1}, \ldots, r T_{n}\right)$ satisfies conditions of Theorem 3.1 Therefore the function $\Phi_{r}(\alpha)=c_{\text {supp } \alpha_{+}, \operatorname{supp} \alpha_{-}}(r T)^{* \alpha_{-}}(r T)^{\alpha_{+}}$is positive definite on the group $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Letting $r \rightarrow 1_{-}$we get that the function

$$
\Phi(\alpha)=c_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha_{+}, \operatorname{supp} \alpha_{-}} T^{* \alpha_{-}} T^{\alpha_{+}}
$$

is positive definite on the group $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. So $T$ has a unitary dilation satisfying

$$
\left\langle T^{\alpha} h, T^{\beta} g\right\rangle=c_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha, \operatorname{supp} \beta}\left\langle U^{\alpha} h, U^{\beta} g\right\rangle
$$

for all $h, g \in H, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}, \operatorname{supp} \alpha \cap \operatorname{supp} \beta=\varnothing$.
Recall that a commuting $n$-tuple $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in B(H)^{n}$ is polynomially bounded if there exists a constant $K>0$ such that

$$
\|p(T)\| \leqslant K\|p\|
$$

for all polynomials $p$ of $n$ variables, where $\|p\|=\sup \left\{|p(z)|: z \in \mathbb{D}^{n}\right\}$.
THEOREM 3.5. Let $c_{F, G}(F, G \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}, F \cap G=\varnothing)$ be real numbers satisfying $c_{\varnothing, \varnothing}=1, c_{F, \varnothing} \neq 0$ and $c_{G, F}=c_{F, G}$ for all $F, G$. Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in B(H)^{n}$ be a commuting $n$-tuple of operators having a unitary dilation $U$ determined by the system $\left(c_{F, G}\right)$. Then $T$ is polynomially bounded.

Proof. Let $p(z)=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$ be a polynomial in $n$ variables. For $F \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ let

$$
p_{F}(z)=\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n} \\ \operatorname{supp} \propto \subset F}} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} .
$$

Clearly $\left\|p_{F}\right\| \leqslant\|p\|$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{F \subset[1, n]} & p_{F}(U) \\
& =\sum_{F \subset G \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|G \backslash F|} c_{G, \varnothing}^{-1} \\
& \sum_{F \subset[1, n]} \sum_{F^{\prime} \subset F \operatorname{supp} \alpha=F^{\prime}} a_{\alpha} U^{\alpha} \sum_{G: F \subset G \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|G \backslash F|} c_{G, \varnothing}^{-1} \\
& =\sum_{F^{\prime} \subset[1, n]} \sum_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha=F^{\prime}} a_{\alpha} U^{\alpha}\left(\sum_{G: F^{\prime} \subset G \subset[1, n]} c_{G, \varnothing}^{-1} \sum_{F: F^{\prime} \subset F \subset G}(-1)^{|G \backslash F|}\right) \\
& =\sum_{F^{\prime} \subset[1, n]} \sum_{\alpha: \operatorname{supp} \alpha=F^{\prime}} a_{\alpha} U^{\alpha} c_{F^{\prime}, \varnothing}^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|p(T)\| & =\left\|P_{H} \sum_{F^{\prime} \subset[1, n] \operatorname{supp} \alpha=F^{\prime}} a_{\alpha} U^{\alpha} c_{\text {supp } \alpha, \varnothing}^{-1} \mid H\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left\|\sum_{F^{\prime} \subset[1, n]} \sum_{\operatorname{supp} \alpha=F^{\prime}} a_{\alpha} U^{\alpha} c_{\text {supp } \alpha, \varnothing}^{-1}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\sum_{F \subset[1, n]} p_{F}(U) \sum_{G: F \subset G \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|G \backslash F|} C_{G, \varnothing}^{-1}\right\| \\
& \leqslant\|p\| \cdot \sum_{F \subset[1, n]}\left|\sum_{G: F \subset G \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|G \backslash F|} c_{G, \varnothing}^{-1}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $T$ is polynomially bounded with the polynomial bound

$$
K \leqslant \sum_{F \subset[1, n]}\left|\sum_{G: F \subset G \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|G \backslash F|} c_{G, \varnothing}^{-1}\right| .
$$

## 4. EXAMPLES

4.1. Let $n=1$ and $\rho>0$. Set $c_{\{1\}, \varnothing}=c_{\varnothing,\{1\}}=\rho^{-1}$. We have $r_{\{1\}, \varnothing}=r_{\varnothing,\{1\}}=$ $c_{\{1\}, \varnothing}-c_{\varnothing, \varnothing}=(1 / \rho)-1$ and $r_{\{1\},\{1\}}=1-(2 / \rho)$. Clearly $r_{\varnothing, \varnothing}=1$. Hence condition (3.1) becomes

$$
\|h\|^{2}+2\left(\frac{1}{\rho}-1\right) \operatorname{Re}\langle\varepsilon T h, h\rangle+\left(1-\frac{2}{\rho}\right)\|T h\|^{2} \geqslant 0
$$

for all $h \in H,|\varepsilon|=1$. Similarly condition (3.3) becomes

$$
\|h\|^{2}+2\left(\frac{1}{\rho}-1\right) \operatorname{Re}\langle z T h, h\rangle+\left(1-\frac{2}{\rho}\right)\|z T h\|^{2} \geqslant 0
$$

for all $h \in H, z \in \mathbb{D}$, which is the well-known characterization of $\rho$-contractions.
The condition becomes simpler for either $\rho=1$ or $\rho=2$. For $\rho=1$ it reduces to $\|h\|^{2}-\|T h\|^{2} \geqslant 0$, i.e., $T$ is a contraction. For $\rho=2$ it reduces to $\|h\|^{2}-\operatorname{Re}\langle z T h, h\rangle \geqslant 0$, i.e., the numerical range of $T$ is contained in the closed unit disc.
4.2. Let $n=1$. The parameter $c_{\{1\}, \varnothing}$ may be any real number, not only positive. The case $c_{\{1\}, \varnothing}=0$ is rather trivial. In this case $r_{F, G}=(-1)^{|F|+|G|}$. Condition (3.3) then becomes

$$
\|h\|^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re}\langle z T h, h\rangle+\|z T h\|^{2} \geqslant 0
$$

which is satisfied for any operator $T \in B(H)$. The corresponding dilation is $U=I_{H} \otimes S$ acting in the space $H \otimes \ell_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, where $S$ is the bilateral shift in $\ell_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

If $c_{\{1\}, \varnothing}<0$, then $r_{\{1\}, \varnothing}=c_{\{1\}, \varnothing}-1$ and $r_{\{1\},\{1\}}=1-2 c_{\{1\}, \varnothing}$. Thus 3.3) becomes

$$
\|h\|^{2}+2\left(c_{\{1\}, \varnothing}-1\right) \operatorname{Re}\langle z T h, h\rangle+\left(1-2 c_{\{1\}, \varnothing}\right)\|z T h\|^{2} \geqslant 0
$$

This enables to define $\rho$-contractions for negative values of $\rho:=c_{\{1\}, \varnothing}^{-1}$.
4.3. Let $n \geqslant 1$ and $c_{F, G}=0$ for all $F, G$ with $F \cup G \neq \varnothing$. This case is again trivial. We have $r_{F, G}=(-1)^{|F|+|G|}$ and condition 3.3 becomes

$$
\sum_{F, G \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|+|G|}\left\langle(z T)_{F} h,(z T)_{G} h\right\rangle \geqslant 0
$$

for all $h \in H$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}^{n}$. However, this condition is satisfied for any commuting $n$-tuple $T$ since the left-hand side of the condition is equal to

$$
\left\|\sum_{F \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|}(z T)_{F} h\right\|^{2}
$$

4.4. Let $n \geqslant 1$ and $c_{F, G}=1$ for all $F, G \subset[1, n], F \cap G=\varnothing$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{F, G} & =(-1)^{|F|+|G|} \sum_{\substack{F^{\prime} \prime \mathcal{F , G ^ { \prime } \subset G} \\
F^{\prime} \cap G^{\prime}=\varnothing}}(-1)^{\left|F^{\prime} \cup G^{\prime}\right|} \\
& =(-1)^{|F|+|G|}\left(\sum_{F_{1} \subset F \backslash G}(-1)^{\left|F_{1}\right|}\right)\left(\sum_{G_{1} \subset G \backslash F}(-1)^{\left|G_{1}\right|}\right)\left(\sum_{\substack{F_{2}, G_{2} \subset F \cap G \\
F_{2} \cap G_{2}=\varnothing}}(-1)^{\left|F_{2} \cup G_{2}\right|}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $F \neq G$ then either $F \backslash G \neq \varnothing$ or $G \backslash F \neq \varnothing$. In both cases $r_{F, G}=0$.
Furthermore,

$$
r_{F, F}=\sum_{\substack{F_{2}, G_{2} \subset F \\ F_{2} \cap G_{2}=\varnothing}}(-1)^{\left|F_{2} \cup G_{2}\right|}=(-1)^{|F|} .
$$

Hence condition (3.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{F \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|}\left\|T_{F} h\right\|^{2} \geqslant 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in H$. So if $T$ saisfies 4.1 and $T_{j}^{k} \rightarrow 0$ in the strong operator topology for all $j$, then $T$ has the regular unitary dilation. However, condition 4.1) is satisfied for example if one of the operators $T_{j}$ is an isometry and the remaining operators are arbitrary. The classical Brehmer conditions state that $T$ has a regular unitary dilation if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{F \subset B}(-1)^{|F|}\left\|T_{F} h\right\|^{2} \geqslant 0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in H, B \subset[1, n]$. This is in fact equivalent to (3.3) which becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{F \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|}\left\|(r T)_{F} h\right\|^{2} \geqslant 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in H, r \in[0,1]^{n}$. Indeed, if 4.3 is satisfied and $B \subset[1, n]$, then set $r_{j}=1$ for all $j \in B$ and $r_{j}=0$ for all $j \notin B$. Thus one gets (4.2).

Conversely, suppose that $T$ satisfy (4.2). Let $r_{n} \in[0,1]$ and $S$ be the $n$-tuple of operators defined by $S=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}, r_{n} T_{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{F \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|}\left\|S_{F} h\right\|^{2} & =\sum_{n \notin F \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|}\left\|T_{F} h\right\|^{2}+\sum_{n \in F \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|} r_{n}^{2}\left\|T_{F} h\right\|^{2} \\
& =a+r_{n}^{2} b,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
a=\sum_{n \notin F \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|}\left\|T_{F} h\right\|^{2} \geqslant 0
$$

and

$$
b=\sum_{n \in F \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|}\left\|T_{F} h\right\|^{2}=\sum_{F \subset[1, n-1]}(-1)^{|F|+1}\left\|T_{F} T_{n} h\right\|^{2} \leqslant 0
$$

Since $T$ satisfies 4.2, we have $a+b \geqslant 0$, and so $a+r_{n}^{2} b \geqslant 0$ for all $r_{n} \in[0,1]$. Thus (4.3) is satisfied for $r=\left(1, \ldots, 1, r_{n}\right)$. Inductively, we get that $T$ satisfies 4.3) for any $r \in[0,1]^{n}$.
4.5. Let $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{n}>0$. Set $c_{F, G}=\prod_{j \in F \cup G} \rho_{j}^{-1}(F, G \subset[1, n], F \cap G=\varnothing)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{|F|+|G|} r_{F, G}= & \sum_{\substack{F^{\prime} \subset F F, G^{\prime} \subset G \\
F^{\prime} \cap G^{\prime}=\varnothing}}(-1)^{\left|F^{\prime} \cup G^{\prime}\right|} \prod_{j \in F^{\prime} \cup G^{\prime}} \rho_{j}^{-1} \\
= & \left(\sum_{F_{1} \subset F \backslash G}(-1)^{\left|F_{1}\right|} \prod_{j \in F_{1}} \rho_{j}^{-1}\right)\left(\sum_{G_{1} \subset G \backslash F}(-1)^{\left|G_{1}\right|} \prod_{j \in G_{1}} \rho_{j}^{-1}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\sum_{\substack{F_{2}, G_{2} \subset F \cap G \\
F_{2} \cap G_{2}=\varnothing}}(-1)^{\left|F_{2} \cup G_{2}\right|} \prod_{j \in F_{2} \cup G_{2}} \rho_{j}^{-1}\right) \\
= & \prod_{j \in F \div G}\left(1-\frac{1}{\rho_{j}}\right) \cdot \prod_{j \in F \cap G}\left(1-\frac{2}{\rho_{j}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F \div G=(F \backslash G) \cup(G \backslash F)$ denotes the symmetrical difference of $F$ and $G$. Hence

$$
r_{F, G}=\prod_{j \in F \div G}\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{j}}-1\right) \cdot \prod_{j \in F \cap G}\left(1-\frac{2}{\rho_{j}}\right) .
$$

Conditions (3.1) and (3.3) then unify the characterizations of $\rho$-dilations of single contractions and of regular unitary dilations of $n$-tuples.
4.6. The previous conditions get simplified if $\rho_{1}=\cdots=\rho_{n}=1$ : this is the case of regular unitary dilations. Another interesting case is for $\rho_{1}=\cdots=\rho_{n}=2$. In this case $r_{F, G}=0$ if $F \cap G \neq \varnothing$. If $F \cap G=\varnothing$ then

$$
r_{F, G}=\prod_{j \in F \cup G}\left(\frac{1}{\rho_{j}}-1\right)=\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|F \cup G|}
$$

Condition 3.1 then becomes

$$
\sum_{F, G \subset[1, n], F \cap G=\varnothing}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|F \cup G|}\left\langle(\varepsilon T)_{F} h,(\varepsilon T)_{G} h\right\rangle \geqslant 0
$$

for all $h \in H$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$.
4.7. Let $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{n}>0$, let $c_{F, G}=0$ if $F \neq \varnothing \neq G$, and $c_{F, \varnothing}=\prod_{j \in F} \rho_{j}^{-1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{|F|+|G|} r_{F, G} & =\sum_{F^{\prime} \subset F}(-1)^{\left|F^{\prime}\right|} \prod_{j \in F^{\prime}} \rho_{j}^{-1}+\sum_{G^{\prime} \subset G}(-1)^{\left|G^{\prime}\right|} \prod_{j \in G^{\prime}} \rho_{j}^{-1}-1 \\
& =\prod_{j \in F}\left(1-\frac{1}{\rho_{j}}\right)+\prod_{j \in G}\left(1-\frac{1}{\rho_{j}}\right)-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Condition 3.1 becomes simpler if $\rho_{1}=\cdots=\rho_{n}=1$ (note that this is not the case of regular unitary dilations). Then $r_{F, G}=(-1)^{|F|+|G|+1}$ if $F \neq \varnothing \neq G$, $r_{F, \varnothing}=0$ if $F \neq \varnothing$ and $r_{\varnothing, \varnothing}=1$. For details see Subsection 4.10 below.
4.8. Let $\rho>0$ and $c_{F, G}=\rho^{-1}$ for all $F, G \subset[1, n], F \cap G=\varnothing, F \cup G \neq \varnothing$. Then

$$
(-1)^{|F|+|G|} r_{F, G}=\rho^{-1} \sum_{\substack{F^{\prime} \subset F, G^{\prime} \subset G \\ F^{\prime} \cap G^{\prime}=\varnothing}}(-1)^{\left|F^{\prime}\right|+\left|G^{\prime}\right|}+\left(1-\rho^{-1}\right)
$$

If $F \backslash G \neq \varnothing$ or $G \backslash F \neq \varnothing$ then $r_{F, G}=\left(1-\rho^{-1}\right)(-1)^{|F|+|G|}$. If $F \neq \varnothing$ then $r_{F, F}=\rho^{-1}(-1)^{|F|}+\left(1-\rho^{-1}\right)$. Then

$$
\sum_{F, G \subset[1, n]} r_{F, G}\left\langle T_{F} h, T_{G} h\right\rangle=\left(1-\rho^{-1}\right)\left\|\sum_{F \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|} T_{F} h\right\|^{2}+\rho^{-1} \sum_{F \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|}\left\|T_{F} h\right\|^{2} .
$$

Condition 3.1 then becomes

$$
\left(1-\rho^{-1}\right)\left\|\sum_{F \subset[1, n]}(\varepsilon T)_{F} h\right\|^{2}+\rho^{-1} \sum_{F \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|}\left\|T_{F} h\right\|^{2} \geqslant 0
$$

for all $h \in H$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$.

In particular, if $\rho=1$ then this reduces to

$$
\sum_{F \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|}\left\|T_{F} h\right\|^{2} \geqslant 0
$$

for all $h \in H$, which is again condition (4.1) for regular unitary dilations.
4.9. Let $\rho>0$ and $c_{F, \varnothing}=\rho^{-1}(F \neq \varnothing), c_{F, G}=0(F \neq \varnothing \neq G)$. Then

$$
(-1)^{|F|+|G|} r_{F, G}=\rho^{-1} \sum_{F^{\prime} \subset F}(-1)^{\left|F^{\prime}\right|}+\rho^{-1} \sum_{G^{\prime} \subset G}(-1)^{\left|G^{\prime}\right|}+\left(1-2 \rho^{-1}\right) .
$$

If $F \neq \varnothing \neq G$ then $(-1)^{|F|+|G|} r_{F, G}=1-2 \rho^{-1}$. If $F \neq \varnothing$ then $(-1)^{|F|} r_{F, \varnothing}=$ $1-\rho^{-1}$. Finally, $r_{\varnothing, \varnothing}=1$ as in all cases.

Hence condition (3.1) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|h\|^{2} & +2\left(1-\rho^{-1}\right) \sum_{\varnothing \neq F \subset[1, n]}(-1)^{|F|} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle(\varepsilon T)_{F} h, h\right\rangle \\
& +\left(1-2 \rho^{-1}\right) \sum_{\substack{F, G \subset[1, n] \\
F \neq \varnothing \neq G}}(-1)^{|F|+|G|}\left\langle(\varepsilon T)_{F} h,(\varepsilon T)_{G} h\right\rangle \geqslant 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h\|^{2}+2\left(1-\rho^{-1}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\sum_{\varnothing \neq F \subset[1, n]}(\varepsilon T)_{F} h, h\right\rangle+\left(1-2 \rho^{-1}\right)\left\|_{F \subset[1, n], F \neq \varnothing}(\varepsilon T)_{F} h\right\|^{2} \geqslant 0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in H$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$. Clearly 4.4 is the multivariable analogy of the characterization of $\rho$-dilations of single operators.
4.10. Condition (4.4) becomes simpler for $\rho=1$ and $\rho=2$.

For $\rho=1$ we have the following characterization.
THEOREM 4.1. Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in B(H)^{n}$ be a commuting $n$-tuple of operators. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) there exists a unitary dilation $U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right) \in B(K)^{n}, K \supset H$ such that

$$
T^{\alpha}=\left.P_{H} U^{\alpha}\right|_{H} \quad\left(\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left.P_{H} U^{* \beta} U^{\alpha}\right|_{H}=0 \quad\left(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}, \operatorname{supp} \alpha \cap \operatorname{supp} \beta=\varnothing,|\alpha| \neq 0 \neq|\beta|\right)
$$

(ii) $\left\|_{F \subset[1, n], F \neq \varnothing}(\varepsilon T)_{F} h\right\| \leqslant\|h\|$ for all $h \in H$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$.

Clearly (ii) is equivalent to $\left\|_{F \subset[1, n], F \neq \varnothing}(z T)_{F} h\right\| \leqslant\|h\|$ for all $h \in H$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}^{n}$, so it is possible to omit the condition $T_{j}^{k} \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) for $j=1, \ldots, n$.

For $\rho=2$ condition (4.4) becomes

$$
\|h\|^{2}+\sum_{F \subset[1, n], F \neq \varnothing} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle(\varepsilon T)_{F} h, h\right\rangle \geqslant 0
$$

for all $h \in H$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$. Equivalently,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\left(I+\varepsilon_{1} T_{1}\right) \cdots\left(I+\varepsilon_{n} T_{n}\right) h, h\right\rangle \geqslant 0
$$

for all $h \in H$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$. Similarly, (3.3) becomes

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\left(I+z_{1} T_{1}\right) \cdots\left(I+z_{n} T_{n}\right) h, h\right\rangle \geqslant 0
$$

for all $h \in H$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}^{n}$, which is equivalent to the previous condition.
This condition seems to be the proper generalization of operators with 2dilation, i.e., with numerical radius $\leqslant 1$.

Thus we have the following theorem.
THEOREM 4.2. Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in B(H)^{n}$ be a commuting $n$-tuple of operators. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) there exists a unitary dilation $U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}\right) \in B(K)^{n}, K \supset H$ such that

$$
T^{\alpha}=\left.2 P_{H} U^{\alpha}\right|_{H} \quad\left(\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left.P_{H} U^{* \beta} U^{\alpha}\right|_{H}=0 \quad\left(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}, \operatorname{supp} \alpha \cap \operatorname{supp} \beta=\varnothing,|\alpha| \neq 0 \neq|\beta|\right) ;
$$

(ii) $\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\left(I+\varepsilon_{1} T_{1}\right) \cdots\left(I+\varepsilon_{n} T_{n}\right) h, h\right\rangle \geqslant 0$ for all $h \in H$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$.

## 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

REMARK 5.1. It is possible to consider complex values of numbers $c_{F, G}$, such that $c_{\varnothing, \varnothing}=1$ and $c_{G, F}=\bar{c}_{F, G}$ for all $F, G$. One can show that in this case $r_{G, F}=\bar{r}_{F, G}$. Conditions (3.1) and (3.3) remain unchanged.

REMARK 5.2. The vanishing conditions $T_{j}^{k} \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) appear frequently in the dilation theory and usually simplify the situation. In our situation, without this assumption we proved that conditions 3.3 are sufficient for the existence of the unitary dilation satisfying $\sqrt{1.2}$. We do not know whether 3.3 is also necessary. Equivalently, suppose that $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ has a dilation $U$ satisfying 1.2 and $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in[0,1]^{n}$. Does it follow that $r T=\left(r_{1} T_{1}, \ldots, r_{n} T_{n}\right)$ has also a unitary dilation satisfying (1.2)? This is the case for $\rho$-dilations of single operators as well as for regular unitary dilations. We do not know if it is true in general in our setting.

Another possibility is to consider condition 3.1 for all subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{F, G \subset B} r_{F, G}\left\langle(\varepsilon T)_{F} h,(\varepsilon T)_{G} g\right\rangle \geqslant 0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $B \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}, h \in H, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{T}^{n}$. Such a condition is usually considered for regular unitary dilations. Condition (5.1) is clearly necessary. We do not know if it is also sufficient.
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