

TOPOLOGICAL CONJUGACY OF TOPOLOGICAL MARKOV SHIFTS AND RUELLE ALGEBRAS

KENGO MATSUMOTO

Communicated by Marius Dădărlat

ABSTRACT. We will characterize topological conjugation for two-sided topological Markov shifts $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ in terms of the associated asymptotic Ruelle C^* -algebra \mathcal{R}_A and its commutative C^* -subalgebra $C(\bar{X}_A)$ and the canonical circle action. We will also show that the extended Ruelle algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$, which is a unital and purely infinite version of \mathcal{R}_A , together with its commutative C^* -subalgebra $C(\bar{X}_A)$ and the canonical torus action γ^A is a complete invariant for topological conjugacy of $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$. The diagonal action of γ^A has a unique KMS-state on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$, which is an extension of the Parry measure on \bar{X}_A .

KEYWORDS: *Topological Markov shift, topological conjugacy, étale groupoid, Ruelle algebra, Cuntz–Krieger algebra, K-group, KMS-state.*

MSC (2010): Primary 46L55; Secondary 37B10, 46L35.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Smale space (X, ϕ) is a hyperbolic dynamical system having a local product structure (cf. [2], [35]). A two-sided topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ gives a typical example of Smale space. D. Ruelle in [33], [34] introduced C^* -algebras for a Smale space (X, ϕ) . After Ruelle’s work, I. Putnam in [22], [23] initiated the study of the structure of these C^* -algebras by using groupoid technique (for further studies, see [13], [24], [25], [26], [36], etc.). For a Smale space (X, ϕ) , Putnam considered three kinds of C^* -algebras $S(X, \phi)$, $U(X, \phi)$ and $A(X, \phi)$ and their crossed products $S(X, \phi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, $U(X, \phi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ and $A(X, \phi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ induced by the original homeomorphisms ϕ , respectively. The algebras $S(X, \phi)$, $U(X, \phi)$ and $A(X, \phi)$ are the C^* -algebras of the groupoids of the stable equivalence relation on X , the unstable equivalence relation on X and the asymptotic equivalence relation on X , respectively. I. Putnam has pointed out that if the Smale space (X, ϕ) is a two-sided topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ defined by an irreducible matrix A , the C^* -algebras $S(X, \phi)$, $U(X, \phi)$ are isomorphic to AF-algebras $\mathcal{F}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{F}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{K}$,

and $S(X, \phi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}, U(X, \phi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ are isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ where $\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{F}_A$ are the Cuntz–Krieger algebra, the canonical AF-subalgebra of \mathcal{O}_A , respectively for the matrix A , and $\mathcal{F}_{A^t}, \mathcal{O}_{A^t}$ are those ones for the transposed matrix A^t of A , and \mathcal{K} is the C^* -algebra of compact operators on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.

In [18], the author has introduced notions of asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence and asymptotic conjugacy of Smale spaces, and studied relationship with the crossed product $A(X, \phi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ of the asymptotic Ruelle C^* -algebra. In this paper, we will restrict our interest to Smale spaces that arise from two-sided topological Markov shifts. Let A be an $N \times N$ irreducible non-permutation matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. The shift space \bar{X}_A of the two-sided topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ is defined to be the compact metric space of bi-infinite sequences $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying $A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with shift transformation $\bar{\sigma}_A((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (x_{i+1})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where the metric d on \bar{X}_A is defined by

$$d((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x_0 \neq y_0, \\ (\lambda_0)^{k+1} & \text{if } k = \max\{|n| : x_i = y_i \text{ for all } i \text{ with } |i| \leq n\}, \end{cases}$$

for some fixed real number $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$. Let G_A^a be the asymptotic étale groupoid for $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ defined by the asymptotic equivalence relation

$$G_A^a = \left\{ (x, z) \in \bar{X}_A \times \bar{X}_A : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\bar{\sigma}_A^n(x), \bar{\sigma}_A^n(z)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\bar{\sigma}_A^{-n}(x), \bar{\sigma}_A^{-n}(z)) = 0 \right\}.$$

There are natural groupoid operations on G_A^a and a topology which makes the groupoid G_A^a étale (see [22], [23]). For the general theory of étale groupoids, see [1], [27], [28], [29], etc. As in [23], the C^* -algebra $A(\bar{X}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}, \bar{\sigma}_A) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is realized as the C^* -algebra $C^*(G_A^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ of the étale groupoid

$$G_A^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} = \{ (x, n, z) \in \bar{X}_A \times \mathbb{Z} \times \bar{X}_A : (\bar{\sigma}_A^k(x), \bar{\sigma}_A^l(z)) \in G_A^a, n = k - l \}.$$

The C^* -algebra of $G_A^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is denoted by \mathcal{R}_A and called the asymptotic Ruelle algebra in this paper. Let $d_A : G_A^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the groupoid homomorphism defined by $d_A(x, n, z) = n$. As the unit space $(G_A^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ$ of $G_A^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is homeomorphic to \bar{X}_A , the commutative C^* -algebra $C(\bar{X}_A)$ can in a natural way be regarded as a subalgebra of \mathcal{R}_A . As the algebra \mathcal{R}_A is a crossed product $A(\bar{X}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}, \bar{\sigma}_A) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, it has the dual action ρ_i^A of $t \in \mathbb{T}$. In [18], an extended version $G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ of the groupoid $G_A^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ was introduced by setting

$$G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 = \{ (x, p, q, z) \in \bar{X}_A \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \bar{X}_A : (\bar{\sigma}_A^p(x), z) \in G_A^s, (\bar{\sigma}_A^q(x), z) \in G_A^u \}$$

where

$$G_A^s = \left\{ (x, z) \in \bar{X}_A \times \bar{X}_A : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\bar{\sigma}_A^n(x), \bar{\sigma}_A^n(z)) = 0 \right\},$$

$$G_A^u = \left\{ (x, z) \in \bar{X}_A \times \bar{X}_A : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\bar{\sigma}_A^{-n}(x), \bar{\sigma}_A^{-n}(z)) = 0 \right\}.$$

There are natural groupoid operations on $G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ and a topology which makes $G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ étale (see [18]). Let $c_A : G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the groupoid homomorphism defined by $c_A(x, p, q, z) = (p, q)$. The groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ is denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ (it was denoted by $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$ in [18]). Since the unit space $(G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^\circ$ of $G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ is $\{(x, 0, 0, x) \in G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 : x \in \bar{X}_A\}$, which is homeomorphic to \bar{X}_A , the algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ includes $C(\bar{X}_A)$ as a subalgebra in natural way. There is a projection E_A in the tensor product $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ is naturally isomorphic to $E_A(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)E_A$. Hence the algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ might be regarded as a bilateral Cuntz–Krieger algebra. The tensor product $\alpha_r^{A^t} \otimes \alpha_s^A$ of the gauge actions $\alpha_r^{A^t}$ on \mathcal{O}_{A^t} and α_s^A on \mathcal{O}_A gives rise to an action $\gamma_{(r,s)}^A$ of $(r, s) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$. It has been shown in [18] that the fixed point algebra $(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A)^{\delta^A}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ under the diagonal action $\delta_t^A = \gamma_{(t,t)}^A, t \in \mathbb{T}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{R}_A .

In [8] (cf. [6]), Cuntz–Krieger proved that the stabilized Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ with its diagonal C^* -subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_A \otimes \mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where \mathcal{C} denotes the maximal abelian C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{K} consisting of diagonal operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, and the stabilized gauge action $\alpha^A \otimes \text{id}$, is invariant under topological conjugacy of the two-sided topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ for irreducible non-permutation matrix A . T.M. Carlsen and J. Rout have recently proved in [5] that the converse also holds even for more general matrices without irreducibility and non-permutation. As a consequence, the stabilized Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ with its diagonal C^* -subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_A \otimes \mathcal{C}$ and the stabilized gauge action $\alpha^A \otimes \text{id}$ is a complete invariant of the topological conjugacy of the two-sided topological Markov shift. Inspired by this fact, we will in this paper show that the Ruelle algebra \mathcal{R}_A with its subalgebra $C(\bar{X}_A)$ and the dual action ρ^A is a complete invariant of the topological conjugacy class of the two-sided topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$. We will also see that the C^* -algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ with its subalgebra $C(\bar{X}_A)$ and the action γ^A of \mathbb{T}^2 is a complete invariant of the topological conjugacy class of $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$. We will show the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let A, B be irreducible, non-permutation matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. The following six conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the topological Markov shifts $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are topologically conjugate;*
- (ii) *the topological Markov shifts $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are asymptotically conjugate;*
- (iii) *there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : G_A^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G_B^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ of étale groupoids such that $d_B \circ \varphi = d_A$;*
- (iv) *there exists an isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ of étale groupoids such that $c_B \circ \tilde{\varphi} = c_A$;*
- (v) *there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_B$ of C^* -algebras such that $\Phi(C(\bar{X}_A)) = C(\bar{X}_B)$ and $\Phi \circ \rho_t^A = \rho_t^B \circ \Phi$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}$;*

(vi) *there exists an isomorphism $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_B$ of C^* -algebras such that $\tilde{\Phi}(C(\overline{X}_A)) = C(\overline{X}_B)$ and $\tilde{\Phi} \circ \gamma_{(r,s)}^A = \gamma_{(r,s)}^B \circ \tilde{\Phi}$ for $(r, s) \in \mathbb{T}^2$.*

The equivalences among (ii), (iii) and (v) come from [18]. The main assertion is the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) which will be proved in Theorem 3.3. The other implications are not difficult and will be proved in Section 4.

Since the algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ is a unital, simple, purely infinite, nuclear C^* -algebra satisfying UCT, its isomorphism class is completely determined by its K-theory data by a general classification theorem ([15], [21], [31]). It follows from the Künneth formulas and the universal coefficient theorem that

$$K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A) \cong KK^1(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}, \mathcal{O}_A), \quad K_1(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A) \cong KK(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}, \mathcal{O}_A).$$

It follows from Theorem 1.1 that the group $K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A)$ and the position of the class $[1_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A}]$ of the unit $1_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ in $K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A)$ is invariant under topological conjugacy of $(\overline{X}_A, \overline{\sigma}_A)$. We see that $(K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A), [1_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A}])$ is isomorphic to $(K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A), [E_A])$ and the class $[E_A]$ of the projection E_A actually lives in the group $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$.

We set the vector $e_i = [0, \dots, 0, \overset{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0] \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. We have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose that A is an $N \times N$ irreducible, non-permutation matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. The position $[E_A]$ of the projection E_A in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ is $\sum_{i=1}^N [e_i] \otimes [e_i]$ in the group $\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$. Hence it is invariant under topological conjugacy of the two-sided topological Markov shift $(\overline{X}_A, \overline{\sigma}_A)$.*

We put

$$e_A = \sum_{i=1}^N [e_i] \otimes [e_i] \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N.$$

We will actually see that the pair $(\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N, e_A)$ is a shift equivalence invariant (Proposition 5.4, Proposition 5.7). We will present an example of pairs of matrices $A = [A(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^N, B = [B(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^M$ such that $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_B), \det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - B)$, but the invariants $(\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N, e_A)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}^M / (\text{id} - B)\mathbb{Z}^M \otimes \mathbb{Z}^M / (\text{id} - B^t)\mathbb{Z}^M, e_B)$ are different (Proposition 5.5). Hence the invariant $(\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N, e_A)$ is strictly stronger than the Bowen–Franks group $\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N$ and not invariant under flow equivalence of $(\overline{X}_A, \overline{\sigma}_A)$.

J. Cuntz in [7] studied the homotopy groups $\pi_n(\text{End}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ of the space $\text{End}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K})$ of endomorphisms of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. He proved that natural maps $\epsilon_n : \pi_n(\text{End}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K})) \rightarrow KK^n(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_A)$ yield isomorphisms, and defined an element denoted by $\epsilon_1(\lambda^A)$ in $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{O}_A) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$, where λ^A denotes the gauge action α^A on \mathcal{O}_A . His observation shows that the element $\epsilon_1(\lambda^A)$ is nothing but the above element e_A under the natural identification between

$\text{Ext}(\mathcal{O}_A) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ and $\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$. He already states in [7] that the position $\epsilon_1(\lambda^A)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$ is invariant under topological conjugacy of the topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$.

We will finally study KMS states for the diagonal action $\delta_t^A = \gamma_{(t,t)}^A$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$, and prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.3. *Assume that the matrix A is aperiodic. A KMS state on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ for the action δ^A at the inverse temperature $\log \gamma$ exists if and only if γ is the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue β of A . The admitted KMS state is unique. The restriction of the admitted KMS state to the subalgebra $C(\bar{X}_A)$ is the state defined by the Parry measure on \bar{X}_A .*

The Parry measure is the measure of maximal entropy (cf. [37]). Since $\log \beta$ is the topological entropy of the Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$, the inverse temperature expresses the entropy. This exactly corresponds to the result obtained by Enomoto–Fujii–Watatani in [10] on KMS states for the gauge action on the Cuntz–Krieger algebras \mathcal{O}_A .

Throughout the paper, we denote by \mathbb{Z}_+ the set of nonnegative integers and by \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers.

This paper is a continuation of the paper [18].

2. PRELIMINARIES

We fix an irreducible, non-permutation matrix $A = [A(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^N$ with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. Let \mathcal{O}_A and \mathcal{O}_{A^t} be the Cuntz–Krieger algebras for the matrices A and its transpose A^t , respectively. We may take generating partial isometries $S_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ of \mathcal{O}_A and $T_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ of \mathcal{O}_{A^t} such that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N S_i S_i^* = 1, \quad S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A(i, j) S_j S_j^*,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N T_i T_i^* = 1, \quad T_i^* T_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A^t(i, j) T_j T_j^*.$$

In the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$ of the tensor product, let us denote by E_A the projection defined by

$$(2.3) \quad E_A = \sum_{i=1}^N T_i^* T_i \otimes S_i S_i^*.$$

By using the relations (2.1) and (2.2), it is easy to see that $E_A = \sum_{i=1}^N T_i T_i^* \otimes S_i^* S_i$.

The C^* -algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ is defined as the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G_A^{S,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ which is realized as the C^* -algebra ([18])

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A = E_A(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)E_A.$$

The C^* -algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ was denoted by $\mathcal{R}_A^{S,u}$ in [18]. Since both the algebras $\mathcal{O}_{A^t}, \mathcal{O}_A$ are simple and purely infinite, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, the C^* -algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ is simple and purely infinite under the condition that A is irreducible and non-permutation (cf. Proposition 5.5 of [26]).

Let $B_n(\overline{X}_A)$ be the set of admissible words in \overline{X}_A of length n . Let us denote by $B_*(\overline{X}_A)$ the set $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty B_n(\overline{X}_A)$, where $B_0(\overline{X}_A)$ denotes the empty word.

For a word $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k), \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in B_*(\overline{X}_A)$, we denote by $\overline{\zeta} = (\zeta_k, \dots, \zeta_1) \in B_k(\overline{X}_{A^t})$ and set $T_{\overline{\zeta}} = T_{\zeta_k} \cdots T_{\zeta_1}$ and $S_\mu = S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m}$. Let α^A, α^{A^t} be the gauge actions of \mathcal{O}_A and \mathcal{O}_{A^t} , respectively, which are defined by

$$\alpha_i^A(S_i) = \exp(\sqrt{-1}t)S_i, \quad \alpha_i^{A^t}(T_i) = \exp(\sqrt{-1}t)T_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{T}$. The fixed point algebras $(\mathcal{O}_A)^{\alpha^A}, (\mathcal{O}_{A^t})^{\alpha^{A^t}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_{A^t}$ under the gauge actions α^A, α^{A^t} are known to be AF-algebras, which are denoted by $\mathcal{F}_A, \mathcal{F}_{A^t}$, respectively.

We first note the following facts which were seen in [18].

PROPOSITION 2.1. (i) *The groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G_A^a)$ of the groupoid G_A^a is isomorphic to the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{F}_A$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(T_{\overline{\zeta}} T_{\overline{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^* \in \mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A : \\ \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m), \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_*(\overline{X}_A), \\ \overline{\zeta} = (\zeta_k, \dots, \zeta_1), \overline{\eta} = (\eta_l, \dots, \eta_1) \in B_*(\overline{X}_{A^t}), \\ A(\zeta_k, \mu_1) = A(\eta_l, \nu_1) = 1, k = l, m = n). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *The C^* -algebra \mathcal{R}_A is isomorphic to the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(T_{\overline{\zeta}} T_{\overline{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^* \in \mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A : \\ \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m), \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_*(\overline{X}_A), \\ \overline{\zeta} = (\zeta_k, \dots, \zeta_1), \overline{\eta} = (\eta_l, \dots, \eta_1) \in B_*(\overline{X}_{A^t}), \\ A(\zeta_k, \mu_1) = A(\eta_l, \nu_1) = 1, k - l = n - m). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *The C^* -algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ is isomorphic to the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(T_{\overline{\zeta}} T_{\overline{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^* \in \mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A : \\ \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m), \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_*(\overline{X}_A), \\ \overline{\zeta} = (\zeta_k, \dots, \zeta_1), \overline{\eta} = (\eta_l, \dots, \eta_1) \in B_*(\overline{X}_{A^t}), \\ A(\zeta_k, \mu_1) = A(\eta_l, \nu_1) = 1). \end{aligned}$$

We note that for $i = 1, \dots, N$ the identity

$$T_i \otimes S_i^* = \sum_{j,k=1}^N T_i T_j T_j^* \otimes S_k S_k^* S_i^* = \sum_{j,k=1}^N A(j, i) A(i, k) T_{ij} T_j^* \otimes S_k S_{ik}^*$$

holds. Since $A(j, i)A(i, k)T_{ij}T_j^* \otimes S_kS_{ik}^*$ belongs to \mathcal{R}_A , we see that $T_i \otimes S_i^*$ and hence $T_i^* \otimes S_i$ belong to \mathcal{R}_A .

Define the diagonal action δ^A on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ by setting

$$\delta_t^A = \alpha_t^{A^t} \otimes \alpha_t^A, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{T}.$$

Since $\delta_t^A(E_A) = E_A$, the automorphisms $\delta_t^A, t \in \mathbb{T}$ define an action of \mathbb{T} on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$. For

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m), \quad \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_*(\bar{X}_A), \\ \bar{\xi} &= (\xi_k, \dots, \xi_1), \quad \bar{\eta} = (\eta_l, \dots, \eta_1) \in B_*(\bar{X}_{A^t}) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying $A(\xi_k, \mu_1) = A(\eta_l, \nu_1) = 1$, we see that

$$\delta_t^A(T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^*) = \exp(\sqrt{-1}(k - l + m - n)t)T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^*$$

so that the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 2.2. *Keep the above notation. The element $T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^*$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ belongs to \mathcal{R}_A if and only if $k - l = n - m$.*

Hence we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.3 ([18], Theorem 9.6). *The fixed point algebra $(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A)^{\delta^A}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ under δ^A is the asymptotic Ruelle algebra \mathcal{R}_A .*

As in Lemma 9.5 of [18], the C^* -subalgebra of $C^*(G_A^a)$ generated by elements $T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\xi}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\mu^*, \bar{\xi} = (\xi_k, \dots, \xi_1) \in B_*(\bar{X}_{A^t}), \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in B_*(\bar{X}_A)$ with $A(\xi_k, \mu_1) = 1$ is canonically isomorphic to the commutative C^* -algebra $C(\bar{X}_A)$ of continuous functions on \bar{X}_A . In what follows, we identify the subalgebra with the algebra $C(\bar{X}_A)$ so that $C(\bar{X}_A)$ is a C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{R}_A and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$.

3. ASYMPTOTIC CONJUGACY AND TOPOLOGICAL CONJUGACY

For $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bar{X}_A$, we set $x_+ = (x_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ and $x_- = (x_{-n})_{n=0}^\infty$. Let us denote by X_A the compact Hausdorff space of right infinite sequences $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{Z}_+}$ satisfying $A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The right one-sided topological Markov shift (X_A, σ_A) is defined by a topological dynamical system of shift transformation $\sigma_A((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+}) = (x_{i+1})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ on X_A . For $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \in X_A$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we set $x_{[k, \infty)} = \sigma_A^k(x) = (x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots) \in X_A$.

In [18], a notion of asymptotic conjugacy in Smale spaces was introduced. We apply the notion for topological Markov shifts and rephrase it in the following way.

DEFINITION 3.1 ([18]). Two topological Markov shifts $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are said to be *asymptotically conjugate* if there exists a homeomorphism $h : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow \bar{X}_B$ satisfying the following three conditions:

(i) There exists a nonnegative integer $K \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that:

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{\sigma}_B^{K+1}(h(x))_+ = \bar{\sigma}_B^K(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)))_+ \quad \text{for } x \in \bar{X}_A,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{\sigma}_B^{-K+1}(h(x))_- = \bar{\sigma}_B^{-K}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)))_- \quad \text{for } x \in \bar{X}_A,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{\sigma}_A^{K+1}(h^{-1}(y))_+ = \bar{\sigma}_A^K(h^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B(y)))_+ \quad \text{for } y \in \bar{X}_B,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{\sigma}_A^{-K+1}(h^{-1}(y))_- = \bar{\sigma}_A^{-K}(h^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B(y)))_- \quad \text{for } y \in \bar{X}_B.$$

(ii) There exists a continuous function $m_1 : G_A^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\bar{\sigma}_B^{m_1(x,z)}(h(x))_+ = \bar{\sigma}_B^{m_1(x,z)}(h(z))_+ \quad \text{for } (x, z) \in G_A^a,$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_B^{-m_1(x,z)}(h(x))_- = \bar{\sigma}_B^{-m_1(x,z)}(h(z))_- \quad \text{for } (x, z) \in G_A^a.$$

(iii) There exists a continuous function $m_2 : G_B^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\bar{\sigma}_A^{m_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y))_+ = \bar{\sigma}_A^{m_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(w))_+ \quad \text{for } (y, w) \in G_B^a,$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_A^{-m_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y))_- = \bar{\sigma}_A^{-m_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(w))_- \quad \text{for } (y, w) \in G_B^a.$$

Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^N, B = [B(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^M$ be irreducible matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. The following proposition is key in this section.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If the topological Markov shifts $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are asymptotically conjugate, then they are topologically conjugate.*

Proof. Let $h : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow \bar{X}_B$ be a homeomorphism and $K \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ a nonnegative integer satisfying (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4). We define two continuous maps $h_+ : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow X_B$ and $h_+^{-1} : \bar{X}_B \rightarrow X_A$ by setting

$$h_+(x) = \bar{\sigma}_B^K(h(x))_+, \quad x \in \bar{X}_A,$$

$$h_+^{-1}(y) = \bar{\sigma}_A^K(h^{-1}(y))_+, \quad y \in \bar{X}_B.$$

It then follows that by (3.1),

$$h_+(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)) = \bar{\sigma}_B^K(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)))_+ = \bar{\sigma}_B^{K+1}(h(x))_+ = [\bar{\sigma}_B^{K+1}(h(x))]_{[0,\infty)} = [\bar{\sigma}_B(h(x))]_{[K,\infty)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sigma_B(h_+(x)) = \sigma_B([\bar{\sigma}_B^K(h(x))]_{[0,\infty)}) = [\bar{\sigma}_B^K(h(x))]_{[1,\infty)} = [\bar{\sigma}_B(h(x))]_{[K,\infty)}.$$

Therefore we have

$$h_+(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)) = \sigma_B(h_+(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in \bar{X}_A.$$

Hence the continuous map $h_+ : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow X_B$ is a sliding block code (cf. [16]). Therefore there exists a block map $\Phi : B_{m+n+1}(\bar{X}_A) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$h_+((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \Phi([x_{i-m}, \dots, x_{i+n}])_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \quad \text{for } x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bar{X}_A.$$

Similarly the continuous map $h_+^{-1} : \bar{X}_B \rightarrow X_A$ satisfies $h_+^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B(y)) = \sigma_A(h_+^{-1}(y))$ for $y \in \bar{X}_B$ and there exists a block map $\Psi : B_{m'+n'+1}(\bar{X}_B) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ for some $m', n' \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$h_+^{-1}((y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \Psi([y_{i-m'}, \dots, y_{i+n'}]_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+}) \quad \text{for } y = (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bar{X}_B.$$

By using these block maps

$$\Phi : B_{m+n+1}(\bar{X}_A) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, M\}, \quad \Psi : B_{m'+n'+1}(\bar{X}_B) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, N\},$$

we define two sliding block codes $\Phi_\infty : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow \bar{X}_B$ and $\Psi_\infty : \bar{X}_B \rightarrow \bar{X}_A$ by setting

$$\Phi_\infty((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \Phi([x_{i-m}, \dots, x_{i+n}]_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) \in \bar{X}_B \quad \text{for } x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bar{X}_A,$$

$$\Psi_\infty((y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \Psi([y_{i-m'}, \dots, y_{i+n'}]_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) \in \bar{X}_A \quad \text{for } y = (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bar{X}_B.$$

We note that

$$\Phi_\infty((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})_+ = h_+((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) \in X_B \quad \text{for } x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bar{X}_A,$$

$$\Psi_\infty((y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})_+ = h_+^{-1}((y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) \in X_A \quad \text{for } y = (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bar{X}_B.$$

For $y = (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bar{X}_B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\Psi_\infty(y)]_{[K, \infty)} &= [\bar{\sigma}_A^K(\Psi_\infty(y))]_{[0, \infty)} = [\Psi_\infty(\bar{\sigma}_B^K(y))]_{[0, \infty)} = h_+^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B^K(y)) \\ &= [\bar{\sigma}_A^K(h_+^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B^K(y)))]_{[0, \infty)} = [h_+^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B^K(y))]_{[K, \infty)}. \end{aligned}$$

As Φ_∞ is a sliding block code with memory m , the condition $[\Psi_\infty(y)]_{[K, \infty)} = [h_+^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B^K(y))]_{[K, \infty)}$ implies

$$[\Phi_\infty(\Psi_\infty(y))]_{[K+m, \infty)} = [\Phi_\infty(h_+^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B^K(y)))]_{[K+m, \infty)}.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi_\infty(\Psi_\infty(y))]_{[K+m, \infty)} &= [\Phi_\infty(h_+^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B^K(y)))]_{[K+m, \infty)} = [h_+(h_+^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B^K(y)))]_{[K+m, \infty)} \\ &= [(\bar{\sigma}_B^K \circ h)(h_+^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B^K(y)))]_{[K+m, \infty)} \\ &= [\bar{\sigma}_B^K(\bar{\sigma}_B^K(y))]_{[K+m, \infty)} = [\bar{\sigma}_B^{2K}(y)]_{[K+m, \infty)} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$[\Phi_\infty(\Psi_\infty(y))]_{[K+m, \infty)} = [\bar{\sigma}_B^{2K}(y)]_{[K+m, \infty)} \quad \text{for } y \in \bar{X}_B.$$

Since $\Phi_\infty \circ \Psi_\infty$ is a sliding block code, we obtain that

$$\Phi_\infty \circ \Psi_\infty = \bar{\sigma}_B^{2K}.$$

Hence Φ_∞ is surjective. Similarly we have that $\Psi_\infty \circ \Phi_\infty = \bar{\sigma}_A^{2K}$ so that Φ_∞ is injective. Therefore we have a topological conjugacy $\Phi_\infty : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow \bar{X}_B$. ■

We remark that the above proof needs only the equalities (3.1) and (3.3).

We thus conclude the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. *Two topological Markov shifts $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are asymptotically conjugate if and only if they are topologically conjugate.*

For the proof it is direct to see that topological conjugacy implies asymptotic conjugacy. Hence the assertion follows from the preceding proposition.

4. CONJUGACY, GROUPOID ISOMORPHISM AND C^* -ALGEBRAS

We consider the groupoid $G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ and its C^* -algebra written $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$. Recall that an action γ^A of \mathbb{T}^2 on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A = E_A(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)E_A$ is defined by setting

$$\gamma_{(r,s)}^A = \alpha_r^{A^t} \otimes \alpha_s^A \quad \text{on } \mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A \text{ for } (r,s) \in \mathbb{T}^2.$$

Since $\gamma_{(r,s)}^A(E_A) = E_A$, we have an action γ^A of \mathbb{T}^2 on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$, which defines two kinds of actions of \mathbb{T} on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ such that

$$\delta_t^A = \gamma_{(t,t)}^A \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_t^A = \gamma_{(-t/2,t/2)}^A \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

We regard the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G_A^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ as the crossed product C^* -algebra $C^*(G_A^a) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ in a natural way. Let us denote by $\hat{\sigma}^A$ the dual action on $C^*(G_A^a) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. In the following lemma, the C^* -algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ is regarded as a C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$ as in Proposition 2.1(ii).

LEMMA 4.1. *There exists an isomorphism $\Psi : C^*(G_A^a) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_A$ such that*

$$\Psi(C(\bar{X}_A)) = C(\bar{X}_A) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi \circ \hat{\sigma}_t^A = \rho_t^A \circ \Psi, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Proof. Let U_A be the unitary in \mathcal{R}_A defined by $U_A = \sum_{i=1}^N T_i^* \otimes S_i$. As in Proposition 9.9 of [18], $\text{Ad}(U_A)$ corresponds to the shift operation on $C(\bar{X}_A)$. Since

$$\rho_t^A(U_A) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{-t/2}(T_i^*) \otimes \alpha_{t/2}(S_i) = \exp(\sqrt{-1}t) \sum_{i=1}^N T_i^* \otimes S_i = \exp(\sqrt{-1}t)U_A,$$

we have the assertion. \blacksquare

Now we will give a proof of Theorem 1.1 stated in Introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The equivalence between (i) and (ii) is proved in Theorem 3.3. The equivalences among (ii), (iii) and (v) are shown in [18]. We will prove the three implications (i) \Rightarrow (iv), (iv) \Rightarrow (vi), (vi) \Rightarrow (v).

(i) \Rightarrow (iv) Suppose that there exists a topological conjugacy $h : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow \bar{X}_B$ so that $h \circ \bar{\sigma}_A = \bar{\sigma}_B \circ h$. For $(x, p, q, z) \in G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$, the conditions $(\bar{\sigma}_A^p(x), z) \in G_A^s$ and $(\bar{\sigma}_A^q(x), z) \in G_A^u$ imply $(\bar{\sigma}_B^p(h(x)), h(z)) \in G_B^s$ and $(\bar{\sigma}_B^q(h(x)), h(z)) \in G_B^u$, so that we have $(h(x), p, q, h(z)) \in G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$. It is routine to show that the correspondence

$$\tilde{\varphi} : (x, p, q, z) \in G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow (h(x), p, q, h(z)) \in G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$$

yields an isomorphism of étale groupoids. It is then clear that $c_B \circ \tilde{\varphi} = c_A$. This shows the condition (iv).

(iv) \Rightarrow (vi) Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ of étale groupoids such that $c_B \circ \tilde{\varphi} = c_A$. Since both groupoids $G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ are amenable and étale by Proposition 7.2 and Lemma 7.3 of [18], the C^* -algebras $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_B$ are represented on the Hilbert C^* -modules $\ell^2(G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ and $\ell^2(G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$, respectively as in [18]. As $\tilde{\varphi} : G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ is an isomorphism of étale groupoids, there exist a homeomorphism $h : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow \bar{X}_B$ and a continuous groupoid homomorphism $c : G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that

$$\varphi(x, p, q, z) = (h(x), c(x, p, q, z), h(z)), \quad (x, p, q, z) \in G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

The condition $c_B \circ \tilde{\varphi} = c_A$ implies that $c(x, p, q, z) = (p, q)$ so that we have

$$\varphi(x, p, q, z) = (h(x), p, q, h(z)), \quad (x, p, q, z) \in G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Let us consider the unitaries $V_h : \ell^2(G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow \ell^2(G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ and $V_{h^{-1}} : \ell^2(G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow \ell^2(G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ defined by

$$[V_h \zeta](x, p, q, z) = \zeta(h(x), p, q, h(z)), \quad [V_{h^{-1}} \xi](y, m, n, w) = \xi(h^{-1}(y), m, n, h^{-1}(w))$$

for $\zeta \in \ell^2(G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2), (x, p, q, z) \in G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2, \xi \in \ell^2(G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2), (y, m, n, w) \in G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$. Put $\tilde{\Phi} = \text{Ad}(V_h)$ which satisfies $\tilde{\Phi}(C_c(G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)) = C_c(G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ so that $\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A) = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_B$. Since \bar{X}_A, \bar{X}_B are identified with the unit spaces

$$\begin{aligned} (G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^\circ &= \{(x, 0, 0, x) \in G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 : x \in \bar{X}_A\}, \\ (G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^\circ &= \{(y, 0, 0, y) \in G_B^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 : y \in \bar{X}_B\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, it follows that $\tilde{\Phi}(C(\bar{X}_A)) = C(\bar{X}_B)$. It is also direct to see that the identity $\tilde{\Phi} \circ \gamma_{(r,s)}^A = \gamma_{(r,s)}^B \circ \tilde{\Phi}$ for $(r, s) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ holds, because of the equality $c_B \circ \tilde{\varphi} = c_A$.

(vi) \Rightarrow (v) Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_B$ of C^* -algebras such that $\tilde{\Phi}(C(\bar{X}_A)) = C(\bar{X}_B)$ and $\tilde{\Phi} \circ \gamma_{(r,s)}^A = \gamma_{(r,s)}^B \circ \tilde{\Phi}$ for $(r, s) \in \mathbb{T}^2$. As the action $\delta_t^A = \gamma_{(t,t)}^A$ of $t \in \mathbb{T}$ act on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ and its fixed point algebra $(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A)^{\delta^A}$ is \mathcal{R}_A . Let us denote by Φ the restriction of $\tilde{\Phi}$ to the fixed point algebra \mathcal{R}_A . It induces an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_B$. Then it is clear that the action $\rho_t^A = \gamma_{(-t/2,t/2)}^A$ on \mathcal{R}_A satisfies $\Phi \circ \rho_t^A = \rho_t^B \circ \Phi$. This shows the condition (v). ■

5. K-THEORETIC INVARIANTS

It follows from Theorem 1.1 that the isomorphism classes of the C^* -algebras \mathcal{R}_A and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ are invariant under topological conjugacy of two-sided topological Markov shifts. Concerning the asymptotic Ruelle algebra \mathcal{R}_A , its K-group formula has been obtained by Putnam ([22], p. 129; cf. [11], [14]). We focus on studying the K-group $K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A)$ of the latter algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$. Under the assumption that the

matrix A is irreducible and non-permutation, the algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ is a unital, simple, purely infinite, nuclear C^* -algebra satisfying UCT, so that its isomorphism class is completely determined by its K-theory data by a general classification theory of Kirchberg ([15]) and Phillips ([21]). Hence the following is a corollary of Theorem 1.1.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *The pair $(K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A), [1_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A}])$ of the K_0 -group of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ and the position of the unit $1_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ in $K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A)$ is invariant under topological conjugacy of two-sided topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$.*

Recall that the projection E_A is defined in (2.3). We have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *There exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that the induced isomorphism $\Phi_* : K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)$ satisfies $\Phi_*([1_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A}]) = [E_A]$.*

Proof. Since the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$ is unital and simple, the projection E_A in (2.3) is a full projection in $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$. Brown’s theorem [4] tells us that there exists an isometry v_A in the multiplier algebra $M(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K})$ of $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $v_A^* v_A = 1$ and $v_A v_A^* = E_A \otimes 1$. Define an isomorphism $\Phi : \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ by $\Phi = \text{Ad}(v_A^*)$. Let p_0 be a rank one projection in \mathcal{K} . We then have

$$\Phi_*([1_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A}]) = \Phi_*([E_A \otimes p_0]) = [v_A^*(E_A \otimes p_0)v_A] = [E_A \otimes p_0] = [E_A]$$

in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)$. ■

Hence the position $[E_A]$ in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)$ as well as the group $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)$ are invariant under topological conjugacy of $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$. By the Künneth formulas [32] of the K-groups of the tensor product C^* -algebras, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A) &\cong (K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)) \oplus (K_1(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \otimes K_1(\mathcal{O}_A)), \\ K_1(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A) &\cong (K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \otimes K_1(\mathcal{O}_A)) \oplus (K_1(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)) \\ &\quad \oplus \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}), K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)). \end{aligned}$$

By the universal coefficient theorem for KK-groups, the K-group $K_i(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)$ is isomorphic to the KK-group $KK^{i+1}(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}, \mathcal{O}_A)$ for $i = 0, 1$, so that

$$K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A) \cong KK^1(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}, \mathcal{O}_A), \quad K_1(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A) \cong KK(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}, \mathcal{O}_A).$$

Since $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t})$ is isomorphic to $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ and $K_1(\mathcal{O}_A)$ is the torsion free part of $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$, the groups $K_i(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A), i = 0, 1$ do not have any further information than the group $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ by the above Künneth formulas. As $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) = \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$, it is a direct sum $\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T_A$ of its torsion free part \mathbb{Z}^n and its torsion part $T_A = \mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m_k\mathbb{Z}$, where $m_i | m_{i+1}$ with $m_i \geq 2, i = 1, \dots, k - 1$. It is

easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}^{n^2} \oplus (T_A)^n \oplus (T_A)^n \oplus (T_A \otimes T_A) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}^{n^2} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z})^{2n+2k-1} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z})^{2n+2k-3} \oplus \dots \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_k\mathbb{Z})^{2n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the groups $K_i(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A), i = 0, 1$ give us the same information as the group $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$.

The position $[E_A]$ in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)$ however gives us more information than the group $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$. In the above Künneth formula for $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)$, the element $[E_A]$ lives in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ as the element $\sum_{i=1}^N [T_i^* T_i] \otimes [S_i S_i^*]$ by definition of E_A . Therefore the position $[E_A]$ of the projection E_A in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ is invariant under topological conjugacy of $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$. We set the vector $e_i = [0, \dots, 0, \overset{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0]$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. We summarize the above discussion as Theorem 1.2 in Introduction and give its proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^N, B = [B(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^M$ be irreducible non-permutation matrices such that $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are topologically conjugate. By William’s theorem [38], the matrices A, B are strong shift equivalent. Therefore there exist two rectangular nonnegative integer matrices C, D such that $A = CD, B = DC$. By Theorem 4.6 of [17], there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ of C^* -algebras such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_*} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_B) \\ \epsilon_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_B \\ \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N & \xrightarrow{m_{C^t}} & \mathbb{Z}^M / (\text{id} - B^t)\mathbb{Z}^M \end{array}$$

commutes, where m_{C^t} is the isomorphism induced by multiplying the matrix C^t from the left and $\epsilon_A : K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$ is an isomorphism defined by $\epsilon_A([S_i S_i^*]) = [e_i]$ the class of the vector e_i in \mathbb{Z}^N . Since the identities $A^t = D^t C^t, B^t = C^t D^t$ also hold, we similarly have an isomorphism $\Phi^t : \mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B^t} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ of C^* -algebras such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_*^t} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{B^t}) \\ \epsilon_{A^t} \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_{B^t} \\ \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N & \xrightarrow{m_D} & \mathbb{Z}^M / (\text{id} - B)\mathbb{Z}^M \end{array}$$

commutes. We then have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) & \xrightarrow{\Phi^t \otimes \Phi_*} & K_0(\mathcal{O}_{B^t}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_B) \\
 \epsilon_{A^t} \otimes \epsilon_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_{B^t} \otimes \epsilon_B \\
 \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N & \xrightarrow{m_D \otimes m_{C^t}} & \mathbb{Z}^M / (\text{id} - B)\mathbb{Z}^M \otimes \mathbb{Z}^M / (\text{id} - B^t)\mathbb{Z}^M.
 \end{array}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_{A^t}([T_i^* T_i]) \otimes \epsilon_A([S_i S_i^*]) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_{A^t}([T_i T_i^*]) \otimes \epsilon_A([S_i S_i^*]) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N [e_i] \otimes [e_i] \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N,
 \end{aligned}$$

and set the specific element as

$$(5.1) \quad e_A = \sum_{i=1}^N [e_i] \otimes [e_i] \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N.$$

We will show that $(m_D \otimes m_{C^t})(e_A) = e_B$. In the computation below, the vectors e_i , and f_j denote the $N \times 1$ matrix in \mathbb{Z}^N whose i th component is one and zero elsewhere, and the $M \times 1$ matrix in \mathbb{Z}^M whose j th component is one and zero elsewhere, respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i=1}^N D e_i \otimes C^t e_i \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} D(1, i) \\ D(2, i) \\ \vdots \\ D(M, i) \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} C(i, 1) \\ C(i, 2) \\ \vdots \\ C(i, M) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} D(1, i) \\ D(2, i) \\ \vdots \\ D(M, i) \end{bmatrix} \otimes \sum_{j=1}^M C(i, j) f_j \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \begin{bmatrix} D(1, i) C(i, j) \\ D(2, i) C(i, j) \\ \vdots \\ D(M, i) C(i, j) \end{bmatrix} \otimes f_j = \sum_{j=1}^M \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^N D(1, i) C(i, j) \\ \sum_{i=1}^N D(2, i) C(i, j) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^N D(M, i) C(i, j) \end{bmatrix} \otimes f_j \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^M \begin{bmatrix} B(1, j) \\ B(2, j) \\ \vdots \\ B(N, j) \end{bmatrix} \otimes f_j = \sum_{j=1}^M B f_j \otimes f_j.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N D e_i \otimes C^t e_i - \sum_{j=1}^M f_j \otimes f_j = \sum_{j=1}^M (B - \text{id}) f_j \otimes f_j$$

so that

$$(m_D \otimes m_{C^t})(e_A) = \sum_{i=1}^N [De_i] \otimes [C^t e_i] = \sum_{j=1}^M [f_j] \otimes [f_j] = e_B$$

thus proving Theorem 1.2. ■

REMARK 5.3. (i) The pair $(\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N, e_A)$ is a complete invariant for the isomorphism class of the C^* -algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$, because the group structure of $\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$ determines the groups $K_i(\mathcal{O}_A), K_i(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}), i = 0, 1$ and also the pair determines the position $[E_A]$ in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)$. Hence by Proposition 5.2, the pair $(K_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A), [E_A])$ and the group $K_1(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A)$ are determined by the pair $(\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N, e_A)$.

(ii) Since the projection E_A is regarded as an element of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{F}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{F}_A$ such that $C^*(G_A^a) = E_A(\mathcal{F}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{F}_A)E_A$, we have another topological conjugacy invariant $(K_0(\mathcal{F}_{A^t}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{F}_A), [E_A])$, the position $[E_A]$ in the group $K_0(\mathcal{F}_{A^t}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{F}_A)$. We discuss this kind of invariants in [19].

(iii) J. Cuntz in [7] studied the homotopy groups $\pi_n(\text{End}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ of the space of endomorphisms $\text{End}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K})$ of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. He proved that natural maps $\epsilon_n : \pi_n(\text{End}(\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K})) \rightarrow KK^n(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_A)$ yield isomorphisms, and defined an element denoted by $\epsilon_1(\lambda^A)$ in the group $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{O}_A) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$, where λ^A denotes the gauge action α^A on \mathcal{O}_A . By the Kaminker–Putnam’s K-theoretic duality between $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{O}_A)$ and $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t})$ ([12]), the element $\epsilon_1(\lambda^A)$ can be regarded as an element in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^t}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$. Cuntz’s observation in [7] shows that the element $\epsilon_1(\lambda^A)$ is nothing but the above element e_A under the identification between $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{O}_A) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ and $\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$. He already states in [7] that the position $\epsilon_1(\lambda^A)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$ is invariant under topological conjugacy of the topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$.

In [38], Williams introduced an equivalence relation of nonnegative integral square matrices called shift equivalence. It is weaker than strong shift equivalence. The shift equivalence relation has played a crucial rôle in the classification theory of symbolic dynamical systems (cf. [16]). Two matrices A, B are said to be shift equivalent if there exist a positive integer ℓ and rectangular nonnegative integer matrices R, S such that

$$(5.3) \quad AR = RB, \quad SA = BS, \quad A^\ell = RS, \quad B^\ell = SR.$$

We strengthen Theorem 1.2 in the following way.

PROPOSITION 5.4. *The pair $(\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N, e_A)$ is invariant under shift equivalence.*

Proof. Suppose that matrices $A = [A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N, B = [B(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^M$ are shift equivalent. Let ℓ be a positive integer and R, S rectangular nonnegative integer matrices satisfying (5.3). Then the map $m_S : \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^M / (\text{id} - B)\mathbb{Z}^M$ defined by the left multiplication of the matrix S yields an isomorphism of the

abelian groups. We similarly see that $m_{R^t} : \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^M / (\text{id} - B^t)\mathbb{Z}^M$ defined by the left multiplication of the matrix R^t yields an isomorphism of the abelian groups. A similar computation proving the equality (5.2) in the proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that the equality

$$\sum_{i=1}^N S e_i \otimes R^t e_i - \sum_{j=1}^M f_j \otimes f_j = \sum_{j=1}^M (SR - \text{id}) f_j \otimes f_j = \sum_{j=1}^M (B^\ell - \text{id}) f_j \otimes f_j$$

holds. As $B^\ell - \text{id} = (B - \text{id})(B^{\ell-1} + \dots + B + \text{id})$, we know $(m_S \otimes m_{R^t})(e_A) = e_B$ so that the map

$$m_S \otimes m_{R^t} : \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^M / (\text{id} - B)\mathbb{Z}^M \otimes \mathbb{Z}^M / (\text{id} - B^t)\mathbb{Z}^M$$

gives rise to an isomorphism between $(\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N, e_A)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}^M / (\text{id} - B)\mathbb{Z}^M \otimes \mathbb{Z}^M / (\text{id} - B^t)\mathbb{Z}^M, e_B)$. ■

We will present an example showing that the invariant $(\mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N, e_A)$ is strictly finer than the K-group $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$. We note that Enomoto–Fujii–Watatani in [9] listed a complete classification table of Cuntz–Krieger algebras \mathcal{O}_A in terms of their K-groups for which the sizes of matrices are three.

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Since $(\text{id} - A) \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -m - n \\ -l - n \\ -l - m \end{bmatrix}$, the map

$$\varphi : \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow [a + b + c] \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

induces an isomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : \mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence we have an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\varphi} := \bar{\varphi} \otimes \bar{\varphi} : \mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^3 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $\tilde{\varphi}(e_i \otimes e_i) = \bar{\varphi}(e_i) \otimes \bar{\varphi}(e_i) = 1 \otimes 1$, we then have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(e_A) = [1 \otimes 1] + [1 \otimes 1] + [1 \otimes 1] = [1] \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

so that

$$(\mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^3 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^3, e_A) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, [1]).$$

On the other hand, let $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and hence $B^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Since

$$(\text{id} - B) \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -m - n \\ -l \\ -l - m + n \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{id} - B^t) \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -m - n \\ -l - n \\ -l + n \end{bmatrix},$$

the maps

$$\psi : \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow [a + b + c] \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad \psi^t : \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow [b + c] \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

satisfy

$$\psi \left((\text{id} - B) \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \right) = 2(-l - m), \quad \psi^t \left((\text{id} - B^t) \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \right) = -2l$$

so that they induce isomorphisms

$$\bar{\psi} : \mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - B)\mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad \bar{\psi}^t : \mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - B^t)\mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\tilde{\psi} := \bar{\psi} \otimes \bar{\psi}^t : \mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - B)\mathbb{Z}^3 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - B^t)\mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since

$$\tilde{\psi}(e_i \otimes e_i) = \bar{\psi}(e_i) \otimes \bar{\psi}^t(e_i) = \begin{cases} [1 \otimes 0] = [0] & \text{if } i = 1, \\ [1 \otimes 1] = [1] & \text{if } i = 2, 3, \end{cases}$$

we then have

$$\tilde{\psi}(e_A) = [1 \otimes 0] + [1 \otimes 1] + [1 \otimes 1] = [0] \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

so that

$$(\mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - B)\mathbb{Z}^3 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - B^t)\mathbb{Z}^3, e_B) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, [0]).$$

We thus have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.5. *Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_B) (\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and $\det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - B) (= -2)$. However*

$$(\mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^3 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^3, e_A) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, [1]),$$

$$(\mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - B)\mathbb{Z}^3 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^3 / (\text{id} - B^t)\mathbb{Z}^3, e_B) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, [0]).$$

In the rest of this section, we will deal with square matrices with nonnegative integers. Such matrices are called nonnegative integral matrices. A nonnegative integral matrix is said to be essential if none of its rows or columns is zero vector. Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^N$ be an $N \times N$ essential nonnegative integral matrix. The matrix defines a finite directed graph $G_A = (V_A, E_A)$ with N vertices $V_A = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ and $A(i, j)$ directed edges from the vertex v_i to the vertex v_j for $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. The directed edges are denoted by $\{a_1, \dots, a_{N_A}\} = E_A$. For an edge $a_k \in E_A$, denote by $s(a_k), t(a_k)$ its source vertex, terminal vertex,

respectively. The directed graph G_A has the $N_A \times N_A$ transition matrix $A^G = [A^G(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^{N_A}$ of edges defined by

$$A^G(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t(a_i) = s(a_j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N_A.$$

As in Remark 2.16 of [8] and Section 4 of [30], the Cuntz–Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A for the nonnegative integral matrix A is defined to be the Cuntz–Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_{A^G} for the matrix A^G with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. It is well-known that there exist rectangular nonnegative integral matrices R, S such that $A = RS, A^G = SR$ (cf. [16]). As in Lemma 4.5 of [17], the left multiplication of the matrix S^t induces an isomorphism $m_{S^t} : \mathbb{Z}^{N_A}/(\text{id} - (A^G)^t)\mathbb{Z}^{N_A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N/(\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $m_{S^t}([1_{N_A}]) = [1_N]$, where $1_{N_A} = [1, \dots, 1] \in \mathbb{Z}^{N_A}, 1_N = [1, \dots, 1] \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. Let $1_{\mathcal{O}_A}$ be the unit of the Cuntz–Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A . By Proposition 3.1 of [6], there exists an isomorphism from $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{A^G})$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{N_A}/(\text{id} - (A^G)^t)\mathbb{Z}^{N_A}$ that sends the class $[1_{\mathcal{O}_A^G}]$ of $1_{\mathcal{O}_A^G}$ to the class $[1_{N_A}]$ of 1_{N_A} . Hence for a nonnegative integral matrix A , there exists an isomorphism from $K_0(\mathcal{O}_A)$ to $\mathbb{Z}^N/(\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$ that sends the class of the unit $[1_{\mathcal{O}_A}]$ of \mathcal{O}_A to the class $[1_N]$ of 1_N . We define the element $[e_A]$ in the group $\mathbb{Z}^N/(\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N/(\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$ by the same formula (5.1) as that for matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. We then have the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.6. *There exists an isomorphism Φ of groups from $\mathbb{Z}^{N_A}/(\text{id} - A^G)\mathbb{Z}^{N_A} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{N_A}/(\text{id} - (A^G)^t)\mathbb{Z}^{N_A}$ onto $\mathbb{Z}^N/(\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N/(\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $\Phi(e_{A^G}) = e_A$.*

Proof. Let R, S be rectangular nonnegative integral matrices R, S satisfying $A = RS, A^G = SR$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, the isomorphism $m_R \otimes m_{S^t} : \mathbb{Z}^{N_A}/(\text{id} - A^G)\mathbb{Z}^{N_A} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{N_A}/(\text{id} - (A^G)^t)\mathbb{Z}^{N_A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N/(\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N/(\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N$ satisfies $m_R \otimes m_{S^t}(e_{A^G}) = e_A$. ■

The following proposition can be proved in a similar way to how Proposition 5.4 was proved.

PROPOSITION 5.7. *Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N$ be an $N \times N$ essential nonnegative integral matrix. The pair $(\mathbb{Z}^N/(\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N/(\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^N, e_A)$ is invariant under shift equivalence.*

We will present an example of nonnegative integral matrix A such that the two C^* -algebras $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ and $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$ are not isomorphic.

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Since $(\text{id} - A) \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3l - m \\ -l + m \end{bmatrix}$, the map $\varphi : \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow [l + m] \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ induces isomorphisms $\bar{\varphi} : \mathbb{Z}^2/(\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\tilde{\varphi} := \bar{\varphi} \otimes \bar{\varphi} : \mathbb{Z}^2/(\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^2/(\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $\tilde{\varphi}(e_i \otimes e_i) = \overline{\varphi}(e_i) \otimes \overline{\varphi}(e_i) = 1 \otimes 1$, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(e_A) = [1 \otimes 1] + [1 \otimes 1] = [2] \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}.$$

On the other hand, we have $\tilde{\varphi}([1_2] \otimes [1_2]) = \varphi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \otimes \varphi^t\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = [2 \otimes 2] = [0]$ in $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{Z}^2/(\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^2/(\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^2, e_A) &\cong (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, [2]), \\ (\mathbb{Z}^2/(\text{id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^2/(\text{id} - A^t)\mathbb{Z}^2, [1_2] \otimes [1_2]) &\cong (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, [0]), \end{aligned}$$

so that the algebras $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ and $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$ are not isomorphic by the classification theorem of unital, purely infinite, simple nuclear C^* -algebras ([15], [21]).

6. KMS STATES ON $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$

In this section, we will study KMS states on the C^* -algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ for the diagonal action δ^A . Following after [3], we will define KMS states in the following way. For a one-parameter automorphism group $\alpha_t, t \in \mathbb{R}$ on a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} and a real number $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, a state ψ on \mathcal{A} is called a KMS state for the action α if ψ satisfies

$$(6.1) \quad \psi(X\alpha_{i\gamma}(Y)) = \psi(YX)$$

for all X, Y in a norm dense α -invariant $*$ -subalgebra of the set of entire analytic elements for α in \mathcal{A} . The value γ is called the inverse temperature and the condition (6.1) is called the KMS condition.

Let β be the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue for an irreducible matrix A with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. It has been shown in [10] that KMS states for gauge action on Cuntz–Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A exist if and only if its inverse temperature is $\log \beta$, and the admitted KMS state is unique. Let us denote by φ the unique KMS state for gauge action on \mathcal{O}_A . Similarly we denote by φ^t the unique KMS state for

gauge action on \mathcal{O}_{A^t} . As in [10], the vector $\begin{bmatrix} \varphi(S_1 S_1^*) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi(S_N S_N^*) \end{bmatrix}$ gives rise to the unique

normalized positive eigenvector of A for the eigenvalue β . Hence we have

$$\beta \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(S_1 S_1^*) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi(S_N S_N^*) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A(1, 1) & \cdots & A(1, N) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A(N, 1) & \cdots & A(N, N) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(S_1 S_1^*) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi(S_N S_N^*) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(S_1^* S_1) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi(S_N^* S_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

so that $\beta\varphi(S_i S_i^*) = \varphi(S_i^* S_i), i = 1, \dots, N$ and more generally

$$\beta^m \varphi(S_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m} S_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m}^*) = \varphi(S_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m}^* S_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m}), \quad (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in B_m(\overline{X}_A).$$

Therefore we have

$$(6.2) \quad \varphi(S_{\mu_m} S_{\mu_m}^*) = \frac{1}{\beta} \varphi(S_{\mu_m}^* S_{\mu_m}) = \frac{1}{\beta} \varphi(S_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m}^* S_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m}) = \beta^{m-1} \varphi(S_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m} S_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m}^*)$$

and similarly

$$(6.3) \quad \varphi^\dagger(T_{\xi_1} T_{\xi_1}^*) = \beta^{k-1} \varphi^\dagger(T_{\xi_k \dots \xi_1} T_{\xi_k \dots \xi_1}^*), \quad (\xi_k, \dots, \xi_1) \in B_k(\overline{X}_A).$$

Let $[a_i]_{i=1}^N$ and $[b_i]_{i=1}^N$ be the positive eigenvectors of A and A^\dagger for the eigenvalue β , respectively satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^N a_i b_i = 1.$$

For admissible words $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in B_k(\overline{X}_A)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_n(\overline{X}_A)$, put $\xi\nu = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_{k+n}(\overline{X}_A)$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by $U_{[\xi\nu]_i}^{i+k+n-1}$ the cylinder set of \overline{X}_A such that

$$U_{[\xi\nu]_i}^{i+k+n-1} = \{(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \overline{X}_A : x_i = \xi_1, \dots, x_{i+k-1} = \xi_k, x_{i+k} = \nu_1, \dots, x_{i+k+n-1} = \nu_n\}.$$

In [20], W. Parry proved that there exists a unique invariant measure μ on \overline{X}_A of maximal entropy. It is called the Parry measure, which satisfies the following equality:

$$(6.4) \quad \mu(U_{[\xi\nu]_i}^{i+k+n-1}) = b_{\xi_1} a_{\nu_n} \beta^{-(k+n-1)}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $C^*(G_A^a)$ be the groupoid C^* -algebra for the groupoid G_A^a . As in Putnam's paper [22] and his lecture notes [23], the algebra is an AF-algebra with a tracial state Tr defined by

$$\text{Tr}(f) = \int_{\overline{X}_A} f(x, x) d\mu(x) \quad \text{for } f \in C_c(G_A).$$

Let us define a state $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ by setting:

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^N \varphi^\dagger(T_j T_j^*) \varphi(S_j^* S_j)} \varphi^\dagger \otimes \varphi \quad \text{on } \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A = E_A(\mathcal{O}_{A^\dagger} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)E_A.$$

Since $(\varphi^\dagger \otimes \varphi)(E_A) = \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi^\dagger(T_j T_j^*) \varphi(S_j^* S_j)$, we know that $\tilde{\varphi}$ gives rise to a state on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$. We know more about $\tilde{\varphi}$ in the following way.

PROPOSITION 6.1. (i) *The state $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a KMS state on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ for the diagonal action δ^A at the inverse temperature $\log \beta$.*

(ii) *The restriction of $\tilde{\varphi}$ to the subalgebra $C(\overline{X}_A)$ coincides with the Parry measure μ on \overline{X}_A .*

(iii) *The formula*

$$(6.5) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(Y) = \text{Tr} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} \gamma_{r,s}^A(Y) dr ds \right) \quad \text{for } Y \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$$

holds.

Proof. (i) For

$$\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m), \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n), \mu' = (\mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_{m'}), \nu' = (\nu'_1, \dots, \nu'_{n'}) \in B_*(\overline{X}_A),$$

$$\bar{\xi} = (\xi_k, \dots, \xi_1), \bar{\eta} = (\eta_l, \dots, \eta_1), \bar{\xi}' = (\xi'_{k'}, \dots, \xi'_{1'}), \bar{\eta}' = (\eta'_{l'}, \dots, \eta'_{1'}) \in B_*(\overline{X}_{A^t})$$

with $A(\xi_k, \mu_1) = A(\eta_l, \nu_1) = A(\xi'_{k'}, \mu'_1) = A(\eta'_{l'}, \nu'_1) = 1$, put

$$x = T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_{\mu} S_{\nu}^*, \quad x' = T_{\bar{\xi}'} T_{\bar{\eta}'}^* \otimes S_{\mu'} S_{\nu'}^* \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi^t \otimes \varphi)(E_A) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(x' \delta_{i \log \beta}^A(x)) \\ &= (\varphi^t \otimes \varphi)((T_{\bar{\xi}'} T_{\bar{\eta}'}^* \otimes S_{\mu'} S_{\nu'}^*)(\alpha_{i \log \beta}^A(T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\eta}}^*) \otimes \alpha_{i \log \beta}^A(S_{\mu} S_{\nu}^*))) \\ &= \varphi^t(T_{\bar{\xi}'} T_{\bar{\eta}'}^* \alpha_{i \log \beta}^A(T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\eta}}^*)) \varphi(S_{\mu'} S_{\nu'}^* \alpha_{i \log \beta}^A(S_{\mu} S_{\nu}^*)) \\ &= \varphi^t(T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\eta}}^* T_{\bar{\xi}'} T_{\bar{\eta}'}^*) \varphi(S_{\mu} S_{\nu}^* S_{\mu'} S_{\nu'}^*) \\ &= (\varphi^t \otimes \varphi)((T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_{\mu} S_{\nu}^*)(T_{\bar{\xi}'} T_{\bar{\eta}'}^* \otimes S_{\mu'} S_{\nu'}^*)) \\ &= (\varphi^t \otimes \varphi)(xx') = (\varphi^t \otimes \varphi)(E_A) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(xx'), \end{aligned}$$

thus proving that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a KMS state on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ for the diagonal action δ^A at the inverse temperature $\log \beta$.

(ii) Put

$$\bar{a}_i = \frac{a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N a_i} = \varphi(S_i S_i^*), \quad \bar{b}_i = \frac{b_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N b_i} = \varphi^t(T_i T_i^*)$$

so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \varphi(S_i S_i^*) \varphi^t(T_i T_i^*) = \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_i \right)}.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(U_{[\xi \nu]_i^{i+k+n-1}}) &= \bar{b}_{\xi_1} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_i \right) \cdot \bar{a}_{\nu_n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i \right) \cdot \beta^{-(k+n-1)} \\ &= \varphi^t(T_{\xi_1} T_{\xi_1}^*) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_i \right) \cdot \varphi(S_{\nu_n} S_{\nu_n}^*) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i \right) \cdot \beta^{-(k+n-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N \varphi^t(T_i T_i^*) \varphi(S_i S_i^*)} \cdot \varphi^t(T_{\xi_1} T_{\xi_1}^*) \varphi(S_{\nu_n} S_{\nu_n}^*) \cdot \beta^{-(k+n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (6.2) and (6.3) we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(U_{[\xi v]_i^{i+k+n-1}}) &= \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N \varphi^\dagger(T_i T_i^*) \varphi(S_i S_i^*)} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \varphi^\dagger(T_{\xi_k \dots \xi_1} T_{\xi_k \dots \xi_1}^*) \varphi(S_{v_1 \dots v_n} S_{v_1 \dots v_n}^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N \varphi^\dagger(T_i T_i^*) \varphi(S_i^* S_i)} \cdot \varphi^\dagger(T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\xi}}^*) \varphi(S_v S_v^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\varphi^\dagger \otimes \varphi)(E_A)} \cdot \varphi^\dagger(T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\xi}}^*) \varphi(S_v S_v^*) = \tilde{\varphi}(T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\xi}}^* \otimes S_v S_v^*). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) For

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m), \quad v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in B_*(\bar{X}_A), \\ \bar{\xi} &= (\xi_k, \dots, \xi_1), \quad \bar{\eta} = (\eta_l, \dots, \eta_1) \in B_*(\bar{X}_{A^t}), \end{aligned}$$

satisfying $A(\xi_k, \mu_1) = A(\eta_l, v_1) = 1$, it is direct to see the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^*) &= \varphi^\dagger(T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\eta}}^*) \varphi(S_\mu S_\nu^*) \\ &= \begin{cases} \varphi^\dagger(T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\xi}}^*) \varphi(S_v S_v^*) & \text{if } \bar{\xi} = \bar{\eta}, \mu = \nu, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \mu(U_{[\xi v]_i^{i+k+n-1}}) & \text{if } \bar{\xi} = \bar{\eta}, \mu = \nu, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since the above value coincides with

$$\text{Tr} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} \gamma_{r,s}^A(T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^*) dr ds \right),$$

the formula (6.5) holds. ■

We finally prove that a KMS state on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ for the diagonal action δ^A exists only at the inverse temperature $\log \beta$. We will further show that the admitted KMS state is unique. In order to avoid non essential difficulty, we assume that the irreducible matrix A with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ is aperiodic so that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A^{n_0}(i, j) \geq 1$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. In case when A is not aperiodic, but irreducible with period p and non-permutation, it is not difficult to see that the equation (6.12) holds for $n = pm, m \geq m_0$ for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and i, j in the same period class (cf. [16]), so that Theorem 1.3 holds. If A is not irreducible, the unicity of a KMS state is broken, so that Theorem 1.3 does not hold any longer.

Let ψ be a KMS state on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ for the diagonal action δ^A at the inverse temperature $\log \gamma$ for $1 < \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. We will prove that $\gamma = \beta$, the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue of A and $\psi = \tilde{\varphi}$.

For $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and words $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m), \nu = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ such that $(i, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, j) \in B_{m+2}(\bar{X}_A), (i, v_1, \dots, v_n, j) \in B_{n+2}(\bar{X}_A)$, we define a partial isometry

$$(6.6) \quad V_{v,\mu}(i, j) = T_i^* T_{v_1}^* \cdots T_{v_n}^* T_j^* \otimes S_i S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_j.$$

Since $T_i^* \otimes S_i, T_j^* \otimes S_j \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$, we know that $V_{v,\mu}(i, j)$ belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$. We then have the identities

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{v,\mu}(i, j)V_{v,\mu}(i, j)^* \\ &= T_i^* T_{v_1}^* \cdots T_{v_n}^* T_j^* T_j T_{v_n} \cdots T_{v_1} T_i \otimes S_i S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_j S_j^* S_{\mu_m}^* \cdots S_{\mu_1}^* S_i^* \\ &= T_i^* T_i \otimes S_i S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_j S_j^* S_{\mu_m}^* \cdots S_{\mu_1}^* S_i^* \quad \text{and} \\ & V_{v,\mu}(i, j)^* V_{v,\mu}(i, j) \\ &= T_j T_{v_n} \cdots T_{v_1} T_i T_i^* T_{v_1}^* \cdots T_{v_n}^* T_j^* \otimes S_j^* S_{\mu_m}^* \cdots S_{\mu_1}^* S_i^* S_i S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_j \\ &= T_j T_{v_n} \cdots T_{v_1} T_i T_i^* T_{v_1}^* \cdots T_{v_n}^* T_j^* \otimes S_j^* S_j. \end{aligned}$$

For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A^{\delta^A}(p)$ the p th spectral subspace of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ for the action δ^A .

LEMMA 6.2. *Suppose that $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A^{\delta^A}(p)$ for some $p \neq 0$. Then we have $\psi(X) = 0$*

Proof. We may assume $p > 0$. For $i, j = 1, \dots, N$, let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_0+p})$ be an admissible word such that $(i, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_0+p}, j) \in B_{n_0+p+2}(\overline{X}_A)$. Take $v = (v_1, \dots, v_{n_0})$ with $(i, v_1, \dots, v_{n_0}, j) \in B_{n_0}(\overline{X}_A)$ and consider the partial isometry

$$V_{v,\mu}(i, j) = T_i^* T_{v_1}^* \cdots T_{v_{n_0}}^* T_j^* \otimes S_i S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_{n_0+p}} S_j.$$

The partial isometry $V_{v,\mu}(i, j)$ belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A^{\delta^A}(p)$ and satisfies

$$V_{v,\mu}(i, j)V_{v,\mu}(i, j)^* = T_i^* T_i \otimes S_i S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_{n_0+p}} S_j S_j^* S_{\mu_{n_0+p}}^* \cdots S_{\mu_1}^* S_i^*.$$

We then have

$$E_A = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{\mu \in B_{n_0+p}(\overline{X}_A)} V_{v,\mu}(i, j)V_{v,\mu}(i, j)^*.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(X) &= \psi(E_A X) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{\mu \in B_{n_0+p}(\overline{X}_A)} \psi(V_{v,\mu}(i, j)V_{v,\mu}(i, j)^* X) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{\mu \in B_{n_0+p}(\overline{X}_A)} \psi(V_{v,\mu}(i, j)^* X \delta_{i \log \gamma}^A(V_{v,\mu}(i, j))) \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{\mu \in B_{n_0+p}(\overline{X}_A)} \psi(V_{v,\mu}(i, j)^* X V_{v,\mu}(i, j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{\mu \in B_{n_0+p}(\overline{X}_A)} \psi(V_{v,\mu}(i, j) \delta_{i \log \gamma}^A(V_{v,\mu}(i, j)^* X)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{\mu \in B_{n_0+p}(\overline{X}_A)} \psi(V_{v,\mu}(i, j)V_{v,\mu}(i, j)^* X) = \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \psi(E_A X). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma > 1$, we have $\psi(X) = 0$. ■

Since \mathcal{R}_A is the fixed point algebra $(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A)^{\delta^A}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ under δ^A , we may define a conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}_A : \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_A$ by

$$(6.7) \quad \mathcal{E}_A(X) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \delta_t^A(X) dt, \quad X \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A.$$

The preceding lemma implies the following lemma.

LEMMA 6.3. *Let ψ_0 be the restriction of ψ to the subalgebra $(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A)^{\delta^A}$. Then ψ_0 is a tracial state on \mathcal{R}_A such that $\psi = \psi_0 \circ \mathcal{E}_A$.*

Hence the value of KMS state is determined on the subalgebra \mathcal{R}_A . Recall that U_A denotes the unitary $U_A = \sum_{i=1}^N T_i^* \otimes S_i$ which belongs to \mathcal{R}_A .

LEMMA 6.4. *$\psi(U_A X U_A^*) = \psi(X)$ for all $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$.*

Proof. Since U_A is fixed under the action δ^A , we have

$$\psi(X) = \psi(U_A^* U_A X) = \psi(U_A X \delta_{i \log \gamma}^A(U_A^*)) = \psi(U_A X U_A^*). \quad \blacksquare$$

As in Proposition 9.9 of [18], the automorphism $\text{Ad}(U_A)$ behaves like the shift on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$. Lemma 6.4 tells us that the KMS state is invariant under the shift. The following lemma is crucial in our discussions.

LEMMA 6.5. *Let $X = T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_{\mu} S_{\nu}^* \in \mathcal{R}_A$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m), \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_*(\bar{X}_A), \\ \bar{\xi} &= (\xi_k, \dots, \xi_1), \bar{\eta} = (\eta_l, \dots, \eta_1) \in B_*(\bar{X}_{A^t}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\psi(X) \neq 0$. Then we have $k = l, m = n$ and $\mu = \nu, \bar{\xi} = \bar{\eta}$.

Proof. Since X belongs to \mathcal{R}_A , we have $A(\xi_k, \mu_1) = A(\eta_l, \nu_1) = 1$ and $k - l = n - m$. We may assume that $k \geq l$ and hence $n \geq m$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(X) &= \psi((T_{\bar{\xi}_k} \cdots T_{\bar{\xi}_1} T_{\bar{\eta}_1}^* \cdots T_{\bar{\eta}_l}^* \otimes S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^*) \cdot (T_{\eta_l} T_{\eta_1}^* \otimes S_{\nu_1} S_{\nu_1}^*)) \\ &= \psi((T_{\eta_l} T_{\eta_1}^* \otimes S_{\nu_1} S_{\nu_1}^*) \cdot \delta_{i \log \gamma}^A(T_{\bar{\xi}_k} \cdots T_{\bar{\xi}_1} T_{\bar{\eta}_1}^* \cdots T_{\bar{\eta}_l}^* \otimes S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^*)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma^{k+m-l-n}} \psi((T_{\eta_l} T_{\eta_1}^* \otimes S_{\nu_1} S_{\nu_1}^*) \cdot (T_{\bar{\xi}_k} \cdots T_{\bar{\xi}_1} T_{\bar{\eta}_1}^* \cdots T_{\bar{\eta}_l}^* \otimes S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^*)) \\ &= \psi(T_{\eta_l} T_{\eta_1}^* \cdot T_{\bar{\xi}_k} \cdots T_{\bar{\xi}_1} T_{\bar{\eta}_1}^* \cdots T_{\bar{\eta}_l}^* \otimes S_{\nu_1} S_{\nu_1}^* \cdot S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^*). \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption $\psi(X) \neq 0$, we get $\eta_l = \bar{\xi}_k$ and $\nu_1 = \mu_1$, and we have

$$\psi(X) = \psi(T_{\eta_l} T_{\bar{\xi}_{k-1}} \cdots T_{\bar{\xi}_1} T_{\bar{\eta}_1}^* \cdots T_{\bar{\eta}_l}^* \otimes S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^*).$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} U_A \cdot T_{\eta_l} T_{\zeta_{k-1}} \cdots T_{\zeta_1} T_{\eta_1}^* \cdots T_{\eta_l}^* \otimes S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^* \cdot U_A^* \\ = T_{\zeta_{k-1}} \cdots T_{\zeta_1} T_{\eta_1}^* \cdots T_{\eta_{l-1}}^* \otimes S_{\eta_l} S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^* S_{\eta_l}^*, \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.4 shows us the equality

$$(6.8) \quad \psi(X) = \psi(T_{\zeta_{k-1}} \cdots T_{\zeta_1} T_{\eta_1}^* \cdots T_{\eta_{l-1}}^* \otimes S_{\eta_l} S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^* S_{\eta_l}^*).$$

We apply the same argument above to the right hand side of (6.8), and continue these procedures so that we finally get

$$\eta_{l-1} = \zeta_{k-1}, \eta_{l-2} = \zeta_{k-2}, \dots, \eta_1 = \zeta_{k-l+1}$$

and the identity

$$\psi(X) = \psi(T_{\zeta_{k-l}} \cdots T_{\zeta_1} T_{\eta_1}^* T_{\eta_1} \otimes S_{\eta_1} S_{\eta_2} \cdots S_{\eta_l} S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^* S_{\eta_1}^* \cdots S_{\eta_2}^* S_{\eta_1}^*).$$

As $\zeta_{k-l+1} = \eta_1$, we see that $A(\zeta_{k-l}, \eta_1) = 1$ and hence $T_{\zeta_{k-l}} \cdots T_{\zeta_1} T_{\eta_1}^* \otimes S_{\eta_1}$ belongs to the algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ such that

$$\delta_{i \log \gamma}^A (T_{\zeta_{k-l}} \cdots T_{\zeta_1} T_{\eta_1}^* \otimes S_{\eta_1}) = \frac{1}{\gamma^{k-l}} T_{\zeta_{k-l}} \cdots T_{\zeta_1} T_{\eta_1}^* \otimes S_{\eta_1}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(X) &= \psi((T_{\zeta_{k-l}} \cdots T_{\zeta_1} T_{\eta_1}^* \otimes S_{\eta_1}) \cdot (T_{\eta_1} \otimes S_{\eta_2} \cdots S_{\eta_l} S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^* S_{\eta_1}^* \cdots S_{\eta_2}^* S_{\eta_1}^*)) \\ &= \psi((T_{\eta_1} \otimes S_{\eta_2} \cdots S_{\eta_l} S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^* S_{\eta_1}^* \cdots S_{\eta_2}^* S_{\eta_1}^*) \\ &\quad \cdot \delta_{i \log \gamma}^A (T_{\zeta_{k-l}} \cdots T_{\zeta_1} T_{\eta_1}^* \otimes S_{\eta_1})) \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma^{k-l}} \psi(T_{\eta_1} T_{\zeta_{k-l}} \cdots T_{\zeta_1} T_{\eta_1}^* \otimes S_{\eta_2} \cdots S_{\eta_l} S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^* S_{\eta_1}^* \cdots S_{\eta_2}^* S_{\eta_1}^* S_{\eta_1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $S_{\eta_2}^* S_{\eta_1}^* S_{\eta_1} = S_{\eta_2}^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(X) &= \frac{1}{\gamma^{k-l}} \psi(T_{\eta_1} T_{\zeta_{k-l}} \cdots T_{\zeta_1} T_{\eta_1}^* \otimes S_{\eta_2} \cdots S_{\eta_l} S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^* S_{\eta_1}^* \cdots S_{\eta_2}^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma^{k-l}} \psi(U_A^{l-1} (T_{\eta_1} T_{\zeta_{k-l}} \cdots T_{\zeta_1} T_{\eta_1}^* \\ &\quad \otimes S_{\eta_2} \cdots S_{\eta_l} S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^* S_{\eta_1}^* \cdots S_{\eta_2}^* U_A^{l-1})) \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma^{k-l}} \psi(T_{\eta_1} \cdots T_{\eta_2} T_{\eta_1} T_{\zeta_{k-l}} \cdots T_{\zeta_1} T_{\eta_1}^* T_{\eta_2}^* \cdots T_{\eta_l}^* \otimes S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^*). \end{aligned}$$

As $(\eta_l, \dots, \eta_1) = (\zeta_k, \dots, \zeta_{k-l+1})$, we finally obtain that

$$\psi(X) = \frac{1}{\gamma^{k-l}} \psi(X)$$

so that $k = l$ and hence $\eta = \zeta$. We similarly see that $\mu = \nu$. ■

Since any element X of \mathcal{R}_A is approximated by finite linear combinations of elements of the form $T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_{\mu} S_{\nu}^* \in \mathcal{R}_A$, we have the following proposition by using Lemma 6.3.

PROPOSITION 6.6. *If an element $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ satisfies $\psi(X) \neq 0$, then X belongs to $C(\overline{X}_A)$.*

We will next show that the restriction of the KMS state ψ to the commutative subalgebra $C(\overline{X}_A)$ coincides with the state defined by the Parry measure on \overline{X}_A .

Recall the partial isometry $V_{v,\mu}(i,j)$ defined by (6.6) for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$, $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ such that $(i, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, j) \in B_{m+2}(\overline{X}_A)$, $(i, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, j) \in B_{n+2}(\overline{X}_A)$. We set

$$p_{m,\mu}(i,j) = \psi(T_i T_i^* \otimes S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_j S_j^* S_{\mu_m}^* \cdots S_{\mu_1}^*).$$

The following lemma holds.

- LEMMA 6.7.** (i) $\psi(V_{v,\mu}(i,j) V_{v,\mu}(i,j)^*) = p_{m,\mu}(i,j)$.
(ii) $\psi(V_{v,\mu}(i,j)^* V_{v,\mu}(i,j)) = p_{n,\nu}(i,j)$.
(iii) $\psi(V_{v,\mu}(i,j) V_{v,\mu}(i,j)^*) = \gamma^{n-m} \psi(V_{v,\mu}(i,j)^* V_{v,\mu}(i,j))$.

Proof. (i) Since $T_i^* \otimes S_i$ belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ such that $\delta_{i \log \gamma}^A(T_i^* \otimes S_i) = T_i^* \otimes S_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(V_{v,\mu}(i,j) V_{v,\mu}(i,j)^*) &= \psi(T_i^* T_i \otimes S_i S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_j S_j^* S_{\mu_m}^* \cdots S_{\mu_1}^* S_i^*) \\ &= \psi((T_i^* \otimes S_i) \cdot (T_i \otimes S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_j S_j^* S_{\mu_m}^* \cdots S_{\mu_1}^* S_i^*)) \\ &= \psi((T_i \otimes S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_j S_j^* S_{\mu_m}^* \cdots S_{\mu_1}^* S_i^*) \cdot (T_i^* \otimes S_i)) \\ &= \psi(T_i T_i^* \otimes S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_j S_j^* S_{\mu_m}^* \cdots S_{\mu_1}^* S_i^* S_i) = p_{m,\mu}(i,j). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We have $V_{v,\mu}(i,j)^* V_{v,\mu}(i,j) = T_j T_{\nu_n} \cdots T_{\nu_1} T_i T_i^* T_{\nu_1}^* \cdots T_{\nu_n}^* T_j^* \otimes S_j^* S_j$ and hence

$$U_A^{n+1} V_{v,\mu}(i,j)^* V_{v,\mu}(i,j) U_A^{*n+1} = T_i T_i^* \otimes S_{\nu_1} \cdots S_{\nu_n} S_j S_j^* S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^*.$$

By Lemma 6.4, we have the desired identity.

(iii) As $\delta_{i \log \gamma}^A(V_{v,\mu}(i,j)^*) = \gamma^{n-m} V_{v,\mu}(i,j)^*$, the KMS condition for ψ ensures us the desired identity. \blacksquare

The preceding lemma tells us that the values $p_{m,\mu}(i,j)$ and $p_{n,\nu}(i,j)$ are equal for $m = n$ as long as $(i, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, j) \in B_{m+2}(\overline{X}_A)$, $(i, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, j) \in B_{n+2}(\overline{X}_A)$. Hence the value $p_{n,\nu}(i,j)$ does not depend on the choice of the word ν as long as the length of ν is n and $(i, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, j) \in B_{n+2}(\overline{X}_A)$. We may thus define $p_n(i,j)$ by $p_{n,\nu}(i,j)$ for some ν with $(i, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, j) \in B_{n+2}(\overline{X}_A)$. If there is no word ν such as $(i, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, j) \in B_{n+2}(\overline{X}_A)$, then we define $p_n(i,j)$ to be zero.

LEMMA 6.8. *Let $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

- (i) *Assume $A^{n+1}(i,j) > 0$ and $A^{n+2}(i,j) > 0$. Then $p_n(i,j) = \gamma p_{n+1}(i,j)$.*

(ii) Assume $A^{n+1}(i, j) > 0$. Then

$$p_n(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^N A(j, k)p_{n+1}(i, k) = \sum_{h=1}^N A(h, i)p_{n+1}(h, j).$$

(iii) Assume $A^n(i, j) > 0$ and $A^{n+1}(i, j) > 0$. Then we have

$$\gamma p_n(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^N A(j, k)p_n(i, k) = \sum_{h=1}^N A(h, i)p_n(h, j).$$

Proof. (i) Since $A^{n+1}(i, j), A^{n+2}(i, j) > 0$, we may find $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n+1})$ such that $(i, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, j) \in B_{n+2}(\overline{X}_A), (i, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n+1}, j) \in B_{n+3}(\overline{X}_A)$. Consider $V_{\nu, \mu}(i, j) = T_i^* T_{\nu_1}^* \cdots T_{\nu_n}^* T_j^* \otimes S_i S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_{n+1}} S_j$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(i, j) &= \psi(V_{\nu, \mu}(i, j)^* V_{\nu, \mu}(i, j)) = \psi(V_{\nu, \mu}(i, j) \delta_{i \log \gamma}^A (V_{\nu, \mu}(i, j)^*)) \\ &= \gamma \psi(V_{\nu, \mu}(i, j) V_{\nu, \mu}(i, j)^*) = \gamma p_{n+1, \mu}(i, j) = \gamma p_{n+1}(i, j). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Since $A^{n+1}(i, j) > 0$, we may find $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ such that $(i, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, j) \in B_{n+2}(\overline{X}_A)$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(i, j) &= p_{n, \nu}(i, j) = \psi(T_i T_i^* \otimes S_{\nu_1} \cdots S_{\nu_n} S_j S_j^* S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^*) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N A(j, k) \psi(T_i T_i^* \otimes S_{\nu_1} \cdots S_{\nu_n} S_j S_k S_k^* S_j^* S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^*) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N A(j, k) p_{n+1, \nu j}(i, k) = \sum_{k=1}^N A(j, k) p_{n+1}(i, k). \end{aligned}$$

We also see that

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(i, j) &= \sum_{h=1}^N A^t(i, h) \psi(T_i T_h T_i^* T_h^* \otimes S_{\nu_1} \cdots S_{\nu_n} S_j S_j^* S_{\nu_n}^* \cdots S_{\nu_1}^*) \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^N A(h, i) p_{n+1, i \nu j}(h, j) = \sum_{h=1}^N A(h, i) p_{n+1}(h, j). \end{aligned}$$

The assertion (iii) follows from (i) and (ii). ■

LEMMA 6.9. For $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have:

- (i) $\sum_{j=1}^N A^{n+1}(i, j) p_n(i, j) = \psi(T_i^* T_i \otimes S_i S_i^*)$ and hence $\sum_{i, j=1}^N A^{n+1}(i, j) p_n(i, j) = 1$.
- (ii) $\sum_{j=1}^N A^{n+1}(j, i) p_n(i, j) = \psi(T_i T_i^* \otimes S_i^* S_i)$ and hence $\sum_{i, j=1}^N A^{n+1}(j, i) p_n(i, j) = 1$.

Proof. (i) We have the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(T_i^* T_i \otimes S_i S_i^*) &= \sum_{\mu_1=1}^N A(i, \mu_1) \psi(T_i^* T_i \otimes S_i S_{\mu_1} S_{\mu_1}^* S_i^*) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n=1}^N A(i, \mu_1) A(\mu_1, \mu_2) \cdots A(\mu_n, j) \psi(T_i^* T_i \otimes S_{i\mu_1 \dots \mu_n j} S_{i\mu_1 \dots \mu_n j}^*) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N A^{n+1}(i, j) p_n(i, j). \end{aligned}$$

We also have $\sum_{i=1}^N \psi(T_i^* T_i \otimes S_i S_i^*) = \psi(E_A) = 1$.

(ii) is similarly shown to (i). ■

We notice that $\psi(T_i^* T_i \otimes S_i S_i^*) = \psi(T_i T_i^* \otimes S_i^* S_i)$ because of the equality $\delta_{i \log \gamma}^A(T_i^* \otimes S_i) = T_i^* \otimes S_i$ and the KMS condition for ψ . Recall that we are assuming the matrix A is aperiodic so that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A^n(i, j) > 0$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ and $n \geq n_0$.

LEMMA 6.10. *We have $\gamma = \beta$.*

Proof. Lemma 6.8 and Lemma 6.9 imply that the vector $[p_n(i, k)]_{k=1}^N$ is a nonnegative eigenvector of the matrix A with eigenvalue γ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, \dots, N$. Since A is aperiodic, $[p_n(i, k)]_{k=1}^N$ is actually a positive eigenvector with eigenvalue γ . By the Perron–Frobenius theorem, γ coincides with the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue β . ■

We have seen that γ must be the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue of the matrix A by Lemma 6.10. Its proof does not need the assumption $\gamma > 1$ that we had first assumed. Now the matrix A is aperiodic and not any permutation so that its Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue is always greater than one. Hence $\gamma (= \beta)$ is automatically greater than one.

Recall that $[a_j]_{j=1}^N, [b_i]_{i=1}^N$ be the positive eigenvectors of A and A^t for the eigenvalue β respectively such that $\sum_{i=1}^N a_i b_i = 1$. We have the following lemma.

LEMMA 6.11. *For $n \geq n_0$ and $i, j = 1, \dots, N$, we have*

$$(6.9) \quad p_n(i, j) = \frac{b_i a_j}{(\sum_{h=1}^N b_h) \cdot (\sum_{k=1}^N a_k)} \sum_{h,k=1}^N p_n(h, k).$$

Proof. We fix $n \geq n_0$. For a fixed $i = 1, \dots, N$, the vector $[p_n(i, k)]_{k=1}^N$ is a positive eigenvector of the matrix A for the eigenvalue β . By the uniqueness of the positive eigenvector of A , we may find a positive real number $c_{n,i}$ such that

$$(6.10) \quad p_n(i, j) = c_{n,i} a_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N.$$

By Lemma 6.8, we know that the vector $\left[\sum_{j=1}^N p_n(i, j) \right]_{i=1}^N$ is a positive eigenvector of the matrix A^t for the eigenvalue β . Hence the normalized positive eigenvectors $\left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^N p_n(i, j)}{\sum_{h,k=1}^N p_n(h, k)} \right]_{i=1}^N$ and $\left[\frac{b_i}{\sum_{k=1}^N b_k} \right]_{i=1}^N$ coincide, so that we have

$$(6.11) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N p_n(i, j) = b_i \frac{\sum_{h,k=1}^N p_n(h, k)}{\sum_{k=1}^N b_k} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N.$$

By (6.10) and (6.11), we have

$$c_{n,i} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N p_n(i, j)}{\sum_{j=1}^N a_j} = \frac{b_i \sum_{h,k=1}^N p_n(h, k)}{(\sum_{k=1}^N b_k)(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j)}$$

so that we know (6.9) by using (6.10) again. ■

We thus obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 6.12. *For $n \geq n_0$ and $i, j = 1, \dots, N$, we have*

$$(6.12) \quad p_n(i, j) = \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}} b_i a_j.$$

Proof. We fix $n \geq n_0$. By Lemma 6.11 together with Lemma 6.9, we have

$$1 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N A^{n+1}(i, j) p_n(i, j) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{A^{n+1}(i, j) b_i a_j}{(\sum_{h=1}^N b_h) \cdot (\sum_{k=1}^N a_k)} \sum_{h,k=1}^N p_n(h, k).$$

As $[a_j]_{j=1}^N$ is a positive eigenvector of A for the eigenvalue β , we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^N A^{n+1}(i, j) b_i a_j = \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^{n+1} b_i a_i = \beta^{n+1}$$

so that the equalities

$$1 = \frac{\beta^{n+1}}{(\sum_{h=1}^N b_h) \cdot (\sum_{k=1}^N a_k)} \sum_{h,k=1}^N p_n(h, k)$$

and

$$(6.13) \quad \sum_{h,k=1}^N p_n(h, k) = \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \right)$$

hold. By (6.9) and (6.13), we get the desired equality. ■

Consequently, we know the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 6.13. *The restriction of a KMS state ψ on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ to the commutative C^* -subalgebra $C(\bar{X}_A)$ coincides with the state defined by the Parry measure on \bar{X}_A .*

Proof. For $n \geq n_0$ and $\xi = (i, v_1, \dots, v_n, j) \in B_{n+2}(\overline{X}_A)$, Lemma 6.12 shows that

$$\psi(T_i T_i^* \otimes S_{v_1 \dots v_n j} S_{v_1 \dots v_n j}^*) = \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}} b_i a_j.$$

Let μ be the Parry measure on \overline{X}_A . Since the Parry measure of the cylinder set $U_{[\xi]_m^{m+n+1}} \subset \overline{X}_A$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the word ξ is given by

$$\mu(U_{[\xi]_m^{m+n+1}}) = \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}} b_i a_j$$

by the formula (6.4). Let $\chi_{U_{[\xi]_m^{m+n+1}}}$ be the characteristic function of the cylinder set $U_{[\xi]_m^{m+n+1}}$. Since

$$\psi(T_i T_i^* \otimes S_{v_1 \dots v_n j} S_{v_1 \dots v_n j}^*) = \psi(\chi_{U_{[\xi]_m^{m+n+1}}}),$$

we obtain

$$\mu(U_{[\xi]_m^{m+n+1}}) = \psi(\chi_{U_{[\xi]_m^{m+n+1}}}).$$

Any cylinder set on \overline{X}_A is a finite union of cylinder sets of words having length greater than $n_0 + 1$. Hence we may conclude that the restriction of ψ to the commutative C^* -subalgebra $C(\overline{X}_A)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ coincides with the state defined by the Parry measure on \overline{X}_A . ■

Therefore we may summarize the above discussion in Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the referee for many helpful comments and suggestions on the first draft of the paper. The author also would like to thank Marius Dădărlat for help in the presentation of the paper. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 15K04896, 19K03537.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. ANANTHARAMAN-DELAROCHE, J. RENAULT, *Amenable Groupoids*, L'Enseignement Math., Genève 2000.
- [2] R. BOWEN, Markov partitions for axiom A diffeomorphisms, *Amer. J. Math.* **92**(1970), 725–747.
- [3] O. BRATTELI, D.W. ROBINSON, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics. II*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg 1981.
- [4] L.G. BROWN, Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^* -algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **71**(1977), 335–348.
- [5] T.M. CARLSEN, J. ROUT, Diagonal-preserving gauge invariant isomorphisms of graph C^* -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **273**(2017), 2981–2993.
- [6] J. CUNTZ, A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains. II: reducible chains and the Ext-functor for C^* -algebras, *Invent. Math.* **63**(1980), 25–40.

- [7] J. CUNTZ, On the homotopy groups of the space of endomorphisms of a C^* -algebra (with applications to topological Markov chains), in *Operator Algebras and Group Representations (Neptun 1980)*, Vol. I, , Monogr. Stud. Math., vol. 17, Pitman, Boston, MA 1984, pp. 124–137.
- [8] J. CUNTZ, W. KRIEGER, A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains, *Invent. Math.* **56**(1980), 251–268.
- [9] M. ENOMOTO, M. FUJII, Y. WATATANI, K_0 -groups and classifications of Cuntz–Krieger algebras, *Math. Japon.* **26**(1981), 443–460.
- [10] M. ENOMOTO, M. FUJII, Y. WATATANI, KMS states for gauge action on \mathcal{O}_A , *Math. Japon.* **29**(1984), 607–619.
- [11] C.G. HOLTON, The Rohlin property for shifts of finite type, *J. Funct. Anal.* **229**(2005), 277–299.
- [12] J. KAMINKER, I.F. PUTNAM, K-theoretic duality of shifts of finite type, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **187**(1997), 509–522.
- [13] J. KAMINKER, I.F. PUTNAM, J. SPIELBERG, Operator algebras and hyperbolic dynamics, in *Operator Algebras and Quantum Field Theory (Rome 1996)*, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA 1997, pp. 525–532.
- [14] D.B. KILLOUGH, I.F. PUTNAM, Ring and module structures on dimension groups associated with a shift of finite type, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **32**(2012), 1370–1399.
- [15] E. KIRCHBERG, The classification of purely infinite C^* -algebras using Kasparov’s theory, preprint, 1994.
- [16] D. LIND, B. MARCUS, *An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1995.
- [17] K. MATSUMOTO, Topological conjugacy of topological Markov shifts and Cuntz–Krieger algebras, *Documenta Math.* **22**(2017), 873–915.
- [18] K. MATSUMOTO, Asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence of Smale spaces and Ruelle algebras, *Canad. J. Math.*, to appear.
- [19] K. MATSUMOTO, Flow equivalence of topological Markov shifts and Ruelle algebras, arXiv: 1801.09198 [math.OA].
- [20] W. PARRY, Intrinsic Markov chains, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **112**(1964), 55–66.
- [21] N.C. PHILLIPS, A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebras, *Documenta Math.* **5**(2000), 49–114.
- [22] I.F. PUTNAM, C^* -algebras from Smale spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* **48**(1996), 175–195.
- [23] I.F. PUTNAM, Hyperbolic systems and generalized Cuntz–Krieger algebras, Lecture Notes, Summer School in Operator Algebras, Odense 1996.
- [24] I.F. PUTNAM, Functoriality of the C^* -algebras associated with hyperbolic dynamical systems, *J. London Math. Soc.* **62**(2000), 873–884.
- [25] I.F. PUTNAM, A homology theory for Smale spaces, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **232**(2014), no. 1094.
- [26] I.F. PUTNAM, J. SPIELBERG, The structure of C^* -algebras associated with hyperbolic dynamical systems, *J. Funct. Anal.* **163**(1999), 279–299.

- [27] J. RENAULT, *A groupoid Approach to C^* -Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 793, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1980.
- [28] J. RENAULT, Cartan subalgebras in C^* -algebras, *Irish Math. Soc. Bull.* **61**(2008), 29–63.
- [29] J. RENAULT, Examples of masas in C^* -algebras, in *Operator Structures and Dynamical Systems*, Contemp. Math., vol. 503, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2009, pp. 259–265.
- [30] M. RØRDAM, Classification of Cuntz–Krieger algebras, *K-theory* **9**(1995), 31–58.
- [31] M. RØRDAM, Classification of purely infinite simple C^* -algebras. I, *J. Funct. Anal.* **131**(1995), 415–458.
- [32] J. ROSENBERG, C. SCHOCHET, The Künneth theorem and the universal coefficient theorem for Kasparov’s generalized K-functor, *Duke Math. J.* **55**(1987), 431–474.
- [33] D. RUELLE, *Thermodynamic Formalism*, Addison-Wesley, Reading Mass 1978.
- [34] D. RUELLE, Non-commutative algebras for hyperbolic diffeomorphisms, *Invent. Math.* **93**(1988), 1–13.
- [35] S. SMALE, Differentiable dynamical systems, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73**(1967), 747–817.
- [36] K. THOMSEN, C^* -algebras of homoclinic and heteroclinic structure in expansive dynamics, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **206**(2010), no. 970.
- [37] P. WALTERS, *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Springer Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 79, New York-Heidelberg 1982.
- [38] R.F. WILLIAMS, Classification of subshifts of finite type, *Ann. Math.* **98**(1973), 120–153; erratum, *Ann. Math.* **99**(1974), 380–381.

KENGO MATSUMOTO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOETSU UNIVERSITY
OF EDUCATION, JOETSU, 943-8512, JAPAN
E-mail address: kengo@juen.ac.jp

Received April 8, 2018; revised June 14, 2019.