

## THE APPROXIMATE POINT SPECTRUM OF A SUBNORMAL OPERATOR

WILLIAM W. HASTINGS

Let  $S$  be a pure subnormal operator on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . By "pure" we mean that no subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  reduces  $S$  to a normal operator. Let  $K_0 = \sigma(S)$  and let  $K_1 = \sigma_a(S)$ , the spectrum and approximate point spectrum, respectively, of  $S$ . Then we must have:

(1)  $\partial K_0 \subset K_1 \subset K_0$ .

(2) If  $A$  is an open disk for which  $A \cap K_0 \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$C(A^- \cap K_0) \neq R(A^- \cap K_0).$$

(For a compact set  $K$  in the plane,  $C(K)$  is the space of continuous, complex-valued functions on  $K$  with the sup-norm, and  $R(K)$  is the closure in  $C(K)$  of the set of rational functions with poles off  $K$ .)

Condition (1) is a well-known result of Halmos [5] and Bram [2], and (2) is the necessary and sufficient condition found by Clancey and Putnam [3] in order that the compact set  $K_0$  be the spectrum of a pure subnormal operator.

In this paper we investigate which pairs  $(K_0, K_1)$  of compact sets arise in this way as the spectrum and approximate point spectrum of a pure subnormal operator. In general the necessary conditions (1) and (2) are sufficient. We will require, however, that the subnormal operator  $S$  have additional properties. This yields results with implications, for example, to the study of  $L^2$ -approximation by rational functions.

An operator  $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is *effectually rationally cyclic* (cf. [1]) if there exists a vector  $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  such that the set

$$\{y \in \mathcal{H}: p(A)y = q(A)x_0 \text{ for some polynomials } p \text{ and } q \text{ with } p(A) \neq 0\}$$

is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Berger and Shaw [1] have shown that an effectually rationally cyclic hyponormal operator  $S$  is essentially normal ( $S^*S - SS^*$  is compact). If  $K$  is a compact subset of the plane, then a hole of  $K$  is a bounded component of the com-

plement. The polynomial convex hull of  $K$ , denoted  $K^\wedge$ , is the union of  $K$  and all of its holes.

The following results are proved in this paper.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  be compact subsets of the plane which satisfy (1) and (2). Then there exists a pure subnormal operator  $S$  such that  $\sigma(S) = K_0$  and  $\sigma_a(S) = K_1$ . If  $K_1$  has no interior, then we may require that  $S$  be effectively rationally cyclic.*

**COROLLARY.** *A compact subset  $K_1$  of the plane is the approximate point spectrum of a pure subnormal operator if and only if  $K_1^\wedge$  is the closure of its interior.*

**THEOREM 2.** (i) *Suppose  $S$  is a pure, cyclic subnormal operator. Then the components of  $\sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S)$  are simply connected.*

(ii) *Suppose  $S$  is a pure, rationally cyclic subnormal operator. Then each component of  $\sigma_a(S)$  intersects the boundary of  $\sigma(S)$ .*

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  be compact subsets of the plane satisfying (1). In addition suppose that  $(K_0 \setminus K_1)^- = K_0$ .*

(i) *If the components of  $K_0 \setminus K_1$  are simply connected, then there is a pure, cyclic subnormal operator  $S$  such that  $\sigma(S) = K_0$  and  $\sigma_a(S) = K_1$ .*

(ii) *If each component of  $K_1$  intersects the boundary of  $K_0$ , then there is a pure, rationally cyclic subnormal operator  $S$  such that  $\sigma(S) = K_0$  and  $\sigma_a(S) = K_1$ .*

Two remarks: First, in part (i) of Theorem 2, note that the components of  $\sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S)$  are simply connected if and only if the intersection of each component of  $\sigma_a(S)$  with  $\sigma(S)$  is connected. A similar remark applies to Theorem 3(i). Second, the following lemma shows that the additional condition of Theorem 3 is not unduly restrictive.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  be compact subsets of the plane satisfying (1) and (2). Suppose  $K_1$  has no interior, and suppose the diameters of the holes of  $K_0$  are bounded away from zero. Then  $(K_0 \setminus K_1)^- = K_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U = K_0 \setminus K_1$  and fix  $z \in K_1$ . Let  $\Delta$  be an open disk containing  $z$ . If  $\Delta \cap K_0$  has interior, then  $\Delta \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , because  $K_1$  has no interior. If  $\Delta \cap K_0$  has interior for every open disk  $\Delta$  containing  $z$ , then  $z \in U^-$ .

Suppose, on the other hand, that there is an open disk  $\Delta$  containing  $z$  for which  $\Delta \cap K_0$  has no interior. Since the diameters of the holes of  $K_0$  are bounded away from zero, we may assume that  $\Delta$  is so small that  $\Delta$  does not contain any hole of  $K_0$ . It follows that  $\Delta^- \cap K_0$  has connected complement. But then a theorem of Lavrentiev (cf. [4, II.8.7]) shows that  $C(\Delta^- \cap K_0) = R(\Delta^- \cap K_0)$ , a contradiction.

**LEMMA 2.** (i) *If  $K$  is the closure of its interior, then  $K$  satisfies (2).*

(ii) *Suppose  $K = K^\wedge$ . Then  $K$  satisfies (2) if and only if  $K$  is the closure of its interior.*

*Proof.* (i) Suppose  $K$  is the closure of its interior. Then any open disc intersecting  $K$  must intersect the interior of  $K$ . It follows immediately that  $K$  satisfies (2).

(ii) Suppose  $K = K^\wedge$ . Let  $U$  be the interior of  $K$  and suppose there exists  $z \in K \setminus U^-$ . Let  $\Delta$  be an open disc centered at  $z$  for which  $\Delta^- \cap U^- = \emptyset$ . Then  $\Delta^- \cap K$  has no interior. Consequently  $\Delta^- \cap K$  has connected complement because any hole of  $\Delta^- \cap K$  would be a hole of  $K = K^\wedge$ . Therefore by the theorem of Lavrentiev mentioned above,  $R(\Delta^- \cap K) = C(\Delta^- \cap K)$ . Consequently,  $K$  does not satisfy (2). The other direction follows directly from (i).

Before proceeding, we establish some additional notation. Let  $\mu$  be a measure. By "measure" is meant a finite, positive Borel measure on  $\mathbf{C}$  with compact support, denoted  $\text{spt}\mu$ . Let  $K$  be a compact set containing  $\text{spt}\mu$ , and denote by  $R^2(K, \mu)$  the closure in  $L^2(\mu)$  of  $R(K)$ . The norm of an element  $f$  of  $L^2(\mu)$  is denoted by  $\|f\|_\mu$  and the inner product of  $f$  and  $g$  by  $(f, g)_\mu$ . (The subscript  $\mu$  will be dropped when there is no danger of confusion.)

A point  $\omega \in \mathbf{C}$  is a bounded point evaluation (b.p.e.) for  $R^2(K, \mu)$  if there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $|f(\omega)| \leq c\|f\|$  for every  $f \in R(K)$ . Let  $\Omega = \Omega(K, \mu)$  be the set of all b.p.e.'s for  $R^2(K, \mu)$ . If  $\omega \in \Omega$ , then there exists  $k_\omega \in R^2(K, \mu)$  such that  $f(\omega) = (f, k_\omega)$  for every  $f \in R(K)$ . Thus we may assume that  $f(\omega)$  is well-defined for every  $f \in R^2(K, \mu)$  by assuming  $f(\omega) = (f, k_\omega)$ . If  $\omega \in \text{int}\Omega$  (the interior of  $\Omega$ ), then  $\omega$  is an analytic b.p.e. (a.b.p.e.) if every  $f \in R^2(K, \mu)$  is analytic at  $\omega$ . Let  $\Omega_a = \Omega_a(K, \mu)$  be the set of all a.b.p.e.'s for  $R^2(K, \mu)$ . We will show below that  $\Omega_a$  is open.

If  $S$  is "multiplication by  $z$ " on  $R^2(K, \mu)$ , then  $S$  is, up to unitary equivalence, the most general rationally cyclic subnormal operator. Furthermore,  $\sigma_a(S) \subset \text{spt}\mu \subset \subset \sigma(S) \subset K$ . Also,  $\omega \in \Omega$  if and only if  $\bar{\omega}$  is an eigenvalue of  $S^*$  with eigenvector  $k_\omega$ . Suppose  $K = (\text{spt}\mu)^\wedge$ . In this case  $S$  is cyclic and is, up to unitary equivalence, the most general cyclic subnormal operator.

The following proposition is a slightly different version of a result of Trent [9, Theorem 1.1].

**PROPOSITION.** *If  $S$  is "multiplication by  $z$ " on  $R^2(K, \mu)$ , then  $\Omega_a = \sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S)$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that  $\Omega_a$  is open. To this end we will show that if  $\omega_0 \in \Omega_a$ , then  $\|k_{\omega_0}\|$  is bounded in a neighborhood of  $\omega_0$ . If not, there exist  $\omega_n \in \Omega$  with  $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega_0 \in \Omega_a$ , while  $\|k_{\omega_n}\| \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $n_1 = 1$  and let  $\lambda_1 = \omega_1$ . Choose  $f_1 \in R(K)$  such that  $\|f_1\| = 9^{-1}$  and  $f_1(\lambda_1) \geq 2^{-1} \cdot 9^{-1} \|k_{\lambda_1}\|$ . Suppose  $n_1, \dots, n_{j-1}$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_{j-1}$  have been chosen. Choose  $n_j$  such that if  $\lambda_j = \omega_{n_j}$ , then  $\|k_{\lambda_j}\| \geq 8 \cdot 9^j \cdot \max\{\|f_1 + \dots + f_{j-1}\|_\infty, j\}$ . (The infinity norm is the sup-norm on  $C(K)$ .) Choose  $f_j$  such that  $\|f_j\| = 9^{-j}$  and  $f_j(\lambda_j) \geq 2^{-1} \cdot 9^{-j} \|k_{\lambda_j}\|$ . Let  $f = \sum f_j$ . One may verify that  $|f(\lambda_j)| \geq 2j$ , and hence  $f$  is not analytic at  $\omega_0$ , a contradiction.

Therefore,  $\|k_\omega\|$  is bounded in an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\omega_0$ . Now, if  $g_n \in R(K)$  and  $g_n \rightarrow g$  in  $R^2(K, \mu)$ , then  $g_n \rightarrow g$  uniformly in  $U$ , and hence  $g$  is analytic in  $U$ . Thus  $U \subset \Omega_a$ . Furthermore, it follows that there is a (possibly smaller) open neighborhood  $V$  of  $\omega_0$  such that  $\int_V \|k_\omega\|^2 d\mu(\omega) = d < 1$ . This is immediate if  $\mu\{\omega_0\} = 0$ .

If  $\mu\{\omega_0\} > 0$ , we must show that  $\|k_{\omega_0}\|^2 \mu\{\omega_0\} < 1$ . Let  $h$  be the characteristic function of  $\{\omega_0\}$ . Then  $k_{\omega_0}$  is the projection into  $R^2(K, \mu)$  of  $h' = (\mu\{\omega_0\})^{-1}h$ . Since  $k_{\omega_0}$  is analytic at  $\omega_0$ , we have  $k_{\omega_0} \neq h'$ . Therefore,

$$\|k_{\omega_0}\|^2 \mu\{\omega_0\} < \|h'\|^2 \mu\{\omega_0\} = 1.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{C \setminus V} |f|^2 d\mu \geq (1 - d) \|f\|^2 \quad \text{for } f \in R^2(K, \mu),$$

and hence  $\omega_0 \notin \sigma_a(S)$ . Since  $k_{\omega_0}$  is in the kernel of  $(S - \omega_0)^*$ , we have  $\omega_0 \in \sigma(S)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S)$  is nonempty. If  $\omega \in \sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S)$ , then  $\ker(S - \omega)^*$  has dimension one. (Trivially,  $\ker(S - \omega)^*$  has dimension at most one.) Therefore, for  $\omega_0 \in \sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S)$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\omega_0$  and a conjugate analytic mapping of  $U$  into  $R^2(K, \mu)$  which maps  $\omega$  into a nonzero vector  $f_\omega$  in  $\ker(S - \omega)^*$  (cf. [8, Theorem 1]). Define  $\varphi(\omega)$  by  $\varphi(\omega)(f_\omega, 1) = 1$ . Then  $\varphi(\omega)$  is conjugate analytic and  $k_\omega = \varphi(\omega)f_\omega$ . Therefore,  $k_\omega$  is also conjugate analytic, and the proof is complete.

The following examples illustrate the constructions used in the proof of Theorem 1.

**EXAMPLE A.** Suppose  $K_0$  is the closure of its interior. Let  $\mu$  be the restriction of Lebesgue area measure to  $K_0$ . If  $S$  is “multiplication by  $z$ ” on  $R^2(K_0, \mu)$ , then  $\sigma(S) = K_0$  and  $\sigma_a(S) = \partial K_0$ .

**EXAMPLE B.** Let  $\{r_n\}$  be a countable dense subset of the interval  $(0, 1)$ . Let  $D_n = \{|z| \leq r_n\}$  and let  $\mu_n$  be Lebesgue linear measure on  $\partial D_n$ . If  $S_n$  is “multiplication by  $z$ ” on  $R^2(D_n, \mu_n)$  and if  $S = \sum \oplus S_n$ , then  $\sigma(S) = \sigma_a(S) = \{|z| \leq 1\}$ .

**EXAMPLE C.** Define  $\mu$  on the unit disk by  $d\mu(re^{i\theta}) = e^{-1/r} dr d\theta$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the span in  $L^2(\mu)$  of  $\{z^n : n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ . If  $S$  is “multiplication by  $z$ ” on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $\sigma(S) = \{|z| \leq 1\}$ . Since  $S$  has dense range,  $0 \in \sigma_a(S)$ . On the other hand if  $U$  is an open subset of the unit disk not containing zero, then the elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  are analytic on  $U$ . Therefore  $\sigma_a(S) = \partial\sigma(S) \cup \{0\}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $U = K_0 \setminus K_1$  and let  $E_1 = K_1 \cap \text{int } \{U\}$ . Note first of all that

$$K_1 = \partial K_0 \cup E_1 \cup (\text{int } K_1)^-.$$

Indeed, the union on the right hand side is clearly a subset of  $K_1$ . Suppose  $z \in K_1$  but  $z \notin \partial K_0 \cup (\text{int}K_1)^-$ . Then there is an open disc  $\Delta$  centered at  $z$  such that  $\Delta \subset \text{int}K_0$  and  $\Delta \cap \text{int}K_1 = \emptyset$ . Let  $w \in \Delta$ . Since  $w \in \text{int}K_0$  and  $w \notin \text{int}K_1$ , there exists a sequence of points  $w_n \in K_0$  such that  $w_n \notin K_1$  and  $w_n \rightarrow w$ . In other words,  $w \in U^-$ . Thus  $\Delta \subset U^-$  and, consequently,  $z \in E_1$ .

Let  $E_2 = K_0 \setminus U^-$  and let  $\{z_n\}$  be a countable, dense subset of  $E_2$ . (If  $E_2$  is empty, we may skip this step.) For each  $n$  and each  $k \geq 1$  let  $D_{nk}$  be a closed disc centered at  $z_n$  of radius at most  $1/k$  which does not intersect  $U^-$ . Let  $v_{nk}$  be a measure of total variation 1 carried by  $D_{nk} \cap K_0$  such that  $\int f d v_{nk} = 0$  for every  $f \in R(D_{nk} \cap K_0)$ .

(We are here using ideas from the proof in [3].) Let  $\tau$  be the restriction of Lebesgue area measure to  $U$  and define  $h$  on  $U$  by

$$h(z) = \exp\{-1/\text{dist}(z, E_1)\}.$$

(If  $E_1$  is empty, let  $h = 1$ .) Define the measure  $\mu$  on  $K_0$  by

$$d\mu(z) = h(z)d\tau(z) + \sum_n \sum_k 2^{-n-k} d|v_{nk}|(z).$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{r: r \text{ is a rational function with no poles on } K_0 \setminus E_1\}$ . By our construction  $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset L^2(\mu)$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the closure in  $L^2(\mu)$  of  $\mathcal{H}_0$  and let  $S$  be “multiplication by  $z$ ” on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Note that  $S$  is effectually rationally cyclic.

We first show that  $S$  is pure. Suppose  $E$  is a measurable set such that  $\mathcal{H}$  contains all elements of  $L^2(\mu)$  which vanish almost everywhere off  $E$ . Clearly  $\tau(E) = 0$  since all elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  are analytic in  $U$ . Let  $f$  be an element of  $L^2(\mu)$  which is carried by  $E$ .

Then there exist  $r_j \in \mathcal{H}_0$  such that  $r_j \rightarrow f$  in  $L^2(\mu)$ . But then  $0 = \int r_j d v_{nk} \rightarrow \int f d v_{nk}$ .

It follows that  $|v_{nk}|(E) = 0$  and, consequently, that  $\mu(E) = 0$ . Therefore  $S$  is pure.

By the construction it is clear that  $\text{spt}\mu = K_0$ . Therefore,  $\sigma(S) = K_0$ . Also  $U \cap \sigma_a(S) = \emptyset$ . Let  $z \in E_1$ . Since the range of  $S - z$  includes  $\mathcal{H}_0$  and since  $z \in \sigma(S)$ , we must have  $z \in \sigma_a(S)$ . Thus  $\partial K_0 \cup E_1 \subset \sigma_a(S) \subset K_1$ . As noted above  $K_1 = \partial K_0 \cup \cup E_1 \cup (\text{int}K_1)^-$ . If  $\text{int}K_1 = \emptyset$ , we are finished.

Suppose  $\text{int}K_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Write  $\text{int}K_1 = \bigcup_n \Delta_n$ , where  $\Delta_n$  is an open disc. As in Example B, there is a pure subnormal operator  $S_n$  such that  $\sigma(S_n) = \sigma_a(S_n) = \Delta_n^-$ . The operator  $S \oplus \sum \oplus S_n$  satisfies the requirements of the theorem.

*Proof of Corollary.* Suppose  $K_1$  is the approximate point spectrum of a pure subnormal operator with spectrum  $K_0$ . Then  $K_0$  satisfies (2), and therefore so does  $K_1^\wedge := K_0^\wedge$ . By Lemma 2(ii),  $K_1^\wedge$  is the closure of its interior. For the converse let  $K_0 = K_1^\wedge$  and apply Lemma 2(i) and Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* (i) There exists a measure  $\mu$  such that if  $K = (\text{spt}\mu)^\wedge$ , then  $S$  is unitarily equivalent to “multiplication by  $z$ ” on  $R^2(K, \mu)$ . Since  $\|k_\omega\|$  is locally bounded on  $\sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S)$ , we have  $\|k_\omega\|$  bounded on compact subsets of  $\sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S)$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a simple closed Jordan curve in  $\sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S)$ , and let  $O$  be the interior of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\|k_\omega\|$  is bounded on  $\Gamma$ . By the maximum modulus theorem, for  $z \in O$  and  $f \in R(K)$ , we have

$$|f(z)| \leq \max\{|f(\omega)| : \omega \in \Gamma\} \leq \|f\| \max\{\|k_\omega\| : \omega \in \Gamma\}.$$

Therefore, arguing as in the proof of the Proposition,  $O \subset \Omega_a = \sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S)$ . Hence the components of  $\sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S)$  are simply connected.

(ii) Suppose  $E$  is a component of  $\sigma_a(S)$  which does not intersect  $\partial\sigma(S)$ . Then there exists a Jordan curve  $\Gamma$  in  $\sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S)$  with interior  $O$  such that  $E \subset O \subset \sigma(S)$ . But the argument above shows that  $O \subset \sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S)$ , a contradiction.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Since  $K_0 \setminus K_1 \subset \text{int } K_0$  and  $(K_0 \setminus K_1)^\wedge = K_0$ , we have  $K_0 = (\text{int } K_0)^\wedge$ . By Lemma 2(i),  $K_0$  satisfies (2).

(i) Suppose that the components of  $K_0 \setminus K_1$  are simply connected. Let  $K = K_0^\wedge$ , and let  $V_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , be the holes of  $K_0$ . Choose  $z_i \in V_i$  and an open disc  $A_i$  containing  $z_i$  whose closure is contained in  $V_i$ . Let  $A'_i$  be another open disk whose closure is contained in  $V_i$  and is disjoint from  $A_i$ . Let  $v$  be Lebesgue area measure restricted to  $U = (K_0 \setminus K_1) \cup (\bigcup_{i \in I} A'_i)$ . Let  $f$  be an analytic function in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{z_i : i \in I\}^\wedge$  which is bounded off  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  and has a simple pole at each  $z_i$ . Then  $f$  is analytic and bounded

on  $U$ . By the hypotheses on  $K_0$  and  $K_1$ , the set  $\mathbf{C} \setminus U$  is connected. Hence we may use the construction in [6] to conclude that there is a measure  $\tau$ , mutually absolutely continuous with respect to  $v$ , for which  $f \in R^2(K, \tau)$  and  $\Omega_a(K, \tau) \supset U$ . Let  $\tau'$  be the restriction of  $\tau$  to  $K_0 \setminus K_1$ . Since on compact subsets of  $K_0 \setminus K_1$  the measure  $\tau'$  is greater than a constant multiple of area measure,  $\Omega_a(K, \tau') \supset K_0 \setminus K_1$ . Suppose  $\Omega_a(K, \tau') \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$ . From condition (1) and the Proposition it follows that  $V_i \subset \Omega_a(K, \tau')$ . Choose  $f_n \in R(K)$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $R^2(K, \tau)$ . Then, in particular,  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise on  $A'_i$ . But as an element of  $R^2(K, \tau')$ , the function  $f$  must be analytic in  $V_i$ , which is impossible. Therefore  $\Omega_a(K, \tau') \subset K_0$ . (The reason for using area measure in  $A'_i$  is this: Suppose  $g_n \in R(K)$  and  $g_n \rightarrow f$  in  $R^2(K, \tau')$ . Then the hypotheses do not preclude, for example, the possibility that  $g_n$  converges pointwise to zero in  $V_i$ .)

Let  $h$  be an analytic function in  $U$  which cannot be continued analytically across any point of  $K_1$ . Again applying the results in [6], we may conclude that there is a measure  $\mu$ , mutually absolutely continuous with respect to  $\tau'$ , such that  $h \in R^2(K, \mu)$  and

$$K_0 \setminus K_1 \subset \Omega_a(K, \mu) \subset \Omega_a(K, \tau').$$

Let  $S$  be “multiplication by  $z$ ” on  $R^2(K, \mu)$ . Then  $\sigma_a(S) \subset K_0 = \text{spt}\mu \subset \sigma(S)$ . Combining the above results with the Proposition, we have

$$K_0 \setminus K_1 \subset \sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S) = \Omega_a(K, \mu) \subset K_0.$$

Therefore  $\sigma(S) = K_0$  and  $\sigma_a(S) \subset K_1$ . Since  $h \in R^2(K, \mu)$ , no point of  $K_1$  can be an a.b.p.e.. Hence  $\sigma_a(S) = K_1$ . Finally, since  $\mu(\sigma_a(S)) = 0$ , we may conclude that  $S$  is pure. Indeed, if  $S$  is not pure, then  $S = S_0 \oplus S_1$ , where  $S_0$  is normal. Now  $S_0$  is (unitarily equivalent to) "multiplication by  $z$ " on  $L^2(\mu|_E)$ , where  $E$  is a Borel subset of  $\sigma(S_0) = \sigma_a(S_0) \subset K_1$ . In particular,  $\mu(E) > 0$ , a contradiction.

(ii) In the above paragraph let  $\tau'$  be Lebesgue area measure restricted to  $K_0 \setminus K_1$  and let  $K = K_0$ . We would like to follow the above argument, but the result used from [6] requires that the complement of  $K_0 \setminus K_1$  have a finite number of components. In the proof of the Proposition in [6], this condition is used to show that the set  $E_n$  (defined in [6]) has at most a finite number of holes. But the definition of  $E_n$  and the requirement that each component of  $U'$  intersects  $K'$  guarantee that each hole of  $E_n$  contains an open disc of radius  $1/n$ . Therefore, the finiteness condition is superfluous, and (ii) is proved by the above argument.

We conclude with an observation and a question. For the subnormal operators considered in this paper, we have a nearly complete description of the possibilities for the various parts of the spectrum. In particular, suppose  $S$  is a pure, cyclic subnormal operator for which  $(\sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_a(S))^c = \sigma(S)$ . We have found the possibilities for  $\sigma(S)$  and  $\sigma_a(S)$ . Since the point spectrum of a pure subnormal operator is always empty, the only part remaining is  $\sigma_c(S) = \{z : S - z \text{ does not have dense range}\}$ . As noted above,  $\sigma_c(S) = \Omega(\sigma(S), \mu)$ , where  $S$  is unitarily equivalent to "multiplication by  $z$ " on  $R^2(\sigma(S), \mu)$ . In light of the Proposition, we must find the possibilities for  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_a = \Omega \cap \sigma_a(S)$ . To the author's knowledge, no examples are known (in the cyclic case) for which  $\Omega_a \neq \Omega$ . On the other hand, an example of Olin [7] shows that in the rationally cyclic case one can have  $\Omega \cap \partial\sigma(S) \neq \emptyset$ . In general, if  $S$  is pure, about all one can say is that any peak point of  $R(\sigma(S))$  cannot be a b.p.e.. This suggests the following problem: Suppose  $S$  is a pure, cyclic subnormal operator for which  $\sigma(S)$  is the closed unit disc. Can  $\sigma_a(S)$  and  $\sigma_c(S)$  have a nonempty intersection?

#### REFERENCES

1. BERGER, C. A.; SHAW, B. I., Selfcommutators of multicyclic hyponormal operators are trace class, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **79**(1973), 1193–1199.
2. BRAM, J., Subnormal operators, *Duke Math. J.*, **22**(1955), 75–94.
3. CLANCEY, K.; PUTNAM, C. R., The local spectral behavior of completely subnormal operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **163**(1972), 239–244.
4. GAMELIN, T., *Uniform algebras*, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1969.
5. HALMOS, P. R., Normal dilations and extensions of operators, *Summa Bras. Math.*, **2**(1950), 125–134.
6. HASTINGS, W., A construction of Hilbert spaces of analytic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **74**(1979), 295–298.

7. OLIN, R., A class of pure subnormal operators, preprint.
8. ŠUBIN, M. A., Factorization of parameter-dependent matrix functions in normal rings and certain related questions in the theory of Noetherian operators, *Mat. Sb.*, **73**(113) (1967), 610–629; *Math. USSR-Sb.*, **2**(1967), 543–560.
9. TRENT, T.,  $H^2(\mu)$  spaces and bounded evaluations, *Pacific J. Math.*, **80**(1979), 279–292.

WILLIAM W. HASTINGS  
Fordham University  
Department of Mathematics  
Bronx, NY 10458  
U.S.A.

Received December 14, 1979; revised February 26 and April 29, 1980.