

ON THE SPECTRAL SEMI-DISTANCE AND QUASI-NILPOTENT EQUIVALENCE FOR SYSTEMS OF OPERATORS

ȘTEFAN FRUNZĂ

The main result of this paper (Theorem 2) is that the “joint” spectral semi-distance is uniformly equivalent to the “cartesian” spectral semi-distance on any spectrally bounded set of commuting m -tuples of operators. As a corollary we get that the “joint” quasi-nilpotent equivalence coincides with the “cartesian” (or separate) quasi-nilpotent equivalence. We also discuss other possible spectral semi-distances and quasi-nilpotent equivalences for systems of operators which may be also reduced to “cartesian” notions.

1. Let X be a complex Banach space and $T, S \in L(X)$ be two continuous linear operators on X . For any positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$(T \setminus S)^{[n]} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k T^{n-k} S^k.$$

Then T is said to be quasi-nilpotent equivalent to S , denoted $T \sim^q S$, if

$$\lim_n \|(T \setminus S)^{[n]}\|^{1/n} = 0 = \lim_n \|(S \setminus T)^{[n]}\|^{1/n}$$

(see [3]).

The spectral semi-distance between T and S is defined by

$$d(T, S) = \max(d_{sp}(T, S), d_{sp}(S, T))$$

where

$$d_{sp}(T, S) = \limsup_n \|(T \setminus S)^{[n]}\|^{1/n}$$

(see [6] and [2]). It is obvious that $T \sim^q S$ if and only if $d(T, S) = 0$.

In [5] we generalized the notion of quasi-nilpotent equivalence to commuting systems of operators. For technical reasons we choose the following definition.

Denote by $L(X)_c^m$ the set of all commuting m -tuples in $L(X)^m$. For any system $T = (T_1, \dots, T_m) \in L(X)_c^m$ and any multi-index $p = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$ of positive inte-

gers, denote

$$T^p := T_1^{p_1} \dots T_m^{p_m} \quad \text{and} \quad |p| = p_1 + \dots + p_m.$$

For any two systems $T = (T_1, \dots, T_m)$ and $S = (S_1, \dots, S_m)$ in $L(X)_c^m$ and any multi-index $n = (n_1, \dots, n_m)$ denote

$$(T \setminus S)^{[n]} = \sum_{k_1=0}^{n_1} \dots \sum_{k_m=0}^{n_m} \binom{n_1}{k_1} \dots \binom{n_m}{k_m} (-1)^{|k|} T^{n-k} S^k$$

where $k = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ and $n - k = (n_1 - k_1, \dots, n_m - k_m)$. T is said to be quasi-nilpotent equivalent to S if

$$\lim_k (\max_{|n|=k} \|(T \setminus S)^{[n]}\|)^{1/k} = 0 = \lim_k (\max_{|n|=k} \|(S \setminus T)^{[n]}\|)^{1/k}.$$

With that notion at hand we were able to prove the invariance of Taylor spectrum and other joint spectra with respect to quasi-nilpotent equivalence ([5], Section 4).

The spectral semi-distance between the systems T and S may be defined by

$$d(T, S) = \max(d_{sp}(T, S), d_{sp}(S, T))$$

where

$$d_{sp}(T, S) := \limsup_k (\max_{|n|=k} \|(T \setminus S)^{[n]}\|)^{1/k}.$$

For what follows we need to express the spectral semi-distance between T and S by the commutator system $C(T, S)$. Recall that if $T, S \in L(X)$ then the commutator operator of T and S is the operator $C(T, S)$ defined on $L(X)$ by

$$C(T, S)(A) = TA - AS, \quad A \in L(X).$$

One can easily see that $C(T, S)$ may be also expressed as the difference of two commuting operators, $C(T, S) = l(T) - r(S)$, where $l(T)$ is the left multiplication by T and $r(S)$ is the right multiplication by S on $L(X)$ ([4]). By using that we have

$$d_{sp}(T, S) = \limsup_n \|C(T, S)^n(I)\|^{1/n}.$$

More generally, if $T = (T_1, \dots, T_m) \in L(X)_c^m$ and $S = (S_1, \dots, S_m) \in L(X)_c^m$, we define the commutator system by

$$C(T, S) = (C(T_1, S_1), \dots, C(T_m, S_m))$$

which is a commuting m -tuple of operators on $L(X)$. Remark that

$$d_{sp}(T, S) = \limsup_k (\max_{|n|=k} \|C(T, S)^n(I)\|)^{1/k}.$$

By the “cartesian” spectral semi-distance between T and S we mean

$$d_0(T, S) = \max_i d(T_i, S_i).$$

2. The proof of the main result will be reduced to the following auxiliary result.

THEOREM 1. Let $C = (C_1, \dots, C_m)$ be a commuting m -tuple of continuous linear operators on a Banach space L . Then for any $x \in L$ we have

$$\limsup_k (\max_{|n|=k} \|C^n x\|)^{1/k} \leq (\max_j |C_j|_{sp})^{1-1/m} (\max_j \limsup_k \|C_j^k x\|^{1/k})^{1/m}.$$

Proof. If we denote

$$a = \max_j |C_j|_{sp}, \quad b = \max_j \limsup_k \|C_j^k x\|^{1/k}$$

then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $M = M(\varepsilon, x) \geq 1$ such that

$$(1) \quad \|C^n\| \leq M(a + \varepsilon)^{|n|}, \quad \|C_j^k x\| \leq M(b + \varepsilon)^k, \quad n = (n_1, \dots, n_m), 1 \leq j \leq m, k \geq 1.$$

Since C_1, \dots, C_m are mutually commuting we can write

$$(2) \quad C^n x = C^{n-n_l} C_l^{n_l} x,$$

where $n - n_l$ is the m -tuple having the same components as n except the l -component which is equal to zero. From (2) and (1) we get

$$(3) \quad \|C^n x\| \leq \|C^{n-n_l}\| \|C_l^{n_l} x\| \leq M^2 (a + \varepsilon)^{|n|-n_l} (b + \varepsilon)^{n_l}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq m.$$

If $n = (n_1, \dots, n_m)$ fulfills the condition $|n| = k \geq 1$, we can find an index l , $1 \leq l \leq m$, such that $n_l \geq k/m$; applying (3) and using the obvious relation $(b + \varepsilon)/(a + \varepsilon) \leq 1$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|C^n x\| &\leq M^2 (a + \varepsilon)^{k-n_l} (b + \varepsilon)^{n_l} = M^2 (a + \varepsilon)^k [(b + \varepsilon)/(a + \varepsilon)]^{n_l} \leq \\ &\leq M^2 (a + \varepsilon)^k [(b + \varepsilon)/(a + \varepsilon)]^{k/m}. \end{aligned}$$

But this implies

$$\limsup_k (\max_{|n|=k} \|C^n x\|)^{1/k} \leq (a + \varepsilon)^{1-1/m} (b + \varepsilon)^{1/m}$$

and because ε may be chosen arbitrarily small, the proof is concluded.

A subset of m -tuples $T = (T_1, \dots, T_m)$ in $L(X)_c^m$ will be called spectrally bounded if, for any $j = \overline{1, m}$, the set of spectral radii $|T_j|_{sp}$ is bounded.

THEOREM 2. The "joint" spectral semi-distance is uniformly equivalent to the "cartesian" spectral semi-distance on any spectrally bounded subset of $L(X)_c^m$.

Proof. It will be enough to show that, for any spectrally bounded subset of $L(X)_c^m$ and for any number $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$d_{sp}(T, S) < \varepsilon$$

whenever T and S belong to that subset and $d_{sp}(T_j, S_j) < \delta$, $j = \overline{1, m}$. For this purpose we apply Theorem 1 taking $L = L(X)$, $C = (C_1, \dots, C_m)$ where $C_j = C(T_j, S_j)$, $j = \overline{1, m}$ and the identity operator as x . By using a Banach algebra

argument we deduce that the spectral radii of C_j remain bounded for any $j = 1, m$ and consequently the number δ may be chosen as desired.

COROLLARY. *The “joint” quasi-nilpotent equivalence in $L(X)_c^m$ coincides with the “cartesian” quasi-nilpotent equivalence.*

3. Another natural possibility to define the “joint” spectral semi-distance and the “joint” quasi-nilpotent equivalence for m -tuples of operators could be to use

$$(T_1 \setminus S_1)^{[n_1]} \dots (T_m \setminus S_m)^{[n_m]}$$

instead of $(T \setminus S)^{[n]}$. The fact that the corresponding “joint” notions can be reduced to the “cartesian” notions follows from Theorem 1 but it may be easily proved directly too. Between those extreme cases there are some other intermediate possibilities obtained by associating the terms of those two m -tuples in the same way and keeping the order. We can also apply Theorem 1 to deduce that all those “joint” notions may be reduced to the “cartesian” notions. Consequently all possible “joint” spectral semi-distances are uniformly equivalent to the “cartesian” spectral semi-distance on spectrally bounded sets of commuting m -tuples and all possible “joint” quasi-nilpotent equivalences coincide with the separate quasi-nilpotent equivalence.

REFERENCES

1. APOSTOL, C., Remarks on perturbation and a topology for operators, *J. Functional Analysis*, **2**(1968), 395–408.
2. APOSTOL, C., Spectral decompositions and functional calculus, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, **13**(1968), 1481–1528.
3. COLOJOARĂ, I.; FOIAŞ, C., Quasi-nilpotent equivalence of not necessarily commuting operators, *J. Math. Mech.*, **15**(1966), 521–540.
4. COLOJOARĂ, I.; FOIAŞ, C., *Theory of generalized spectral operators*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968.
5. FRUNZĂ, Ş., The Taylor spectrum and spectral decompositions, *J. Functional Analysis*, **19**(1975), 390–421.
6. VASILESCU, F.-H., Spectral distance of two operators, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, **12**(1967), 733–736.

ŞTEFAN FRUNZĂ
 Faculty of Mathematics,
 University “Al. I. Cuza”,
 Calea 23 August 11, Jassy,
 Romania.

Received June 5, 1982; revised September 20, 1982.