

COMMUTATOR METHODS AND BESOV SPACE ESTIMATES FOR SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS

ARNE JENSEN and PETER PERRY

I. INTRODUCTION

In this note we show how Mourre's commutator methods [7, 8, 9] can be used to prove resolvent estimates of the “optimal” type introduced by Agmon and Hörmander [3] for a large class of Schrödinger operators. These estimates are “sharp” in a sense made precise below: in the case of N -body Schrödinger operators (see below), they are new.

To state the class of operators we will study, let Δ be the Laplace operator on \mathbf{R}^n and let Π_i , $1 \leq i \leq M$, be projections onto subspaces \mathcal{X}_i of \mathbf{R}^n . If Δ_i is the Laplacian on \mathcal{X}_i and $V_i : \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a measurable function such that the operator $V_i(-\Delta_i + 1)^{-1}$ is compact on $L^2(\mathcal{X}_i)$, the differential operator (“generalized N -body Schrödinger operator”)

$$P := -\Delta + \sum_{i=1}^M V_i(\Pi_i x)$$

is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Letting H denote its self-adjoint extension, we want to study the behaviour of $R(z) := (H - z)^{-1}$, $\text{Im } z \neq 0$, as z approaches points λ in the continuous spectrum of H .

For the class of Schrödinger operators considered in Theorem 1.1 below, it is known (cf. [11] and references therein) that $R(z)$ is bounded as a map from $L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L_{-s}^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for any $s > 1/2$, with bound uniform in z with $\text{Im } z \neq 0$ and $\text{Re } z \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}(H)$. Here $\mathcal{E}(H)$ is a closed, countable set [7, 11] consisting of eigenvalues and thresholds of H (see e.g. [11] for a discussion of thresholds), and $L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbf{R}^n) : \int (1 + |x|^2)^s |u(x)|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$ with the obvious norm. It is known moreover that the resolvent has Hölder continuous boundary values $R(\lambda + i0)$ for $\lambda \notin \mathcal{E}(H)$ as maps from $L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L_{-s}^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $s > 1/2$. These boundary values are basic objects in the stationary scattering theory and the theory of eigenfunction expansions for H .

For the case of two-body Schrödinger operators, i.e., $M = 1$ and $\Pi_1 = \text{identity}$, Agmon and Hörmander [3] introduced an optimal framework in which to study boundary values of $R(z)$. They defined the space $B(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and its dual $B^*(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as follows. Let $R_j = 2^j$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots$, and let $\Omega_j = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : 2^{j-1} \leq |x| \leq 2^j\}$, $j \geq 1$, and $\Omega_0 = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}$. Then

$$B(\mathbf{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbf{R}^n) : \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R_j^{1/2} \|u\|_{\Omega_j} < \infty \right\}$$

with the obvious norm, where

$$\|u\|_{\Omega_j} = \left(\int_{\Omega_j} |u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Its dual $B^*(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is given by

$$B^*(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbf{R}^n) : \sup_j R_j^{-1/2} \|u\|_{\Omega_j} < \infty\}$$

with the obvious norm. The Fourier transform of B is the Besov space $B_2^{1/2,1}$ (cf. Peetre [12]) and that of B^* is the Besov space $B_2^{-1/2,\infty}$. They satisfy the inclusions

$$L^{2,s}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset B(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset L^{2,1/2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

and

$$L^{2,-1/2}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset B^*(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset L^{2,-s}(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

for any $s > 1/2$. The spaces B and B^* arise naturally in the study of Fourier restriction maps from $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^2(M, d\mu)$ where $M \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is a compact, C^1 manifold of codimension 1 and $d\mu$ is its natural surface measure induced from Euclidean measure on \mathbf{R}^n . In [3], Agmon and Hörmander study the resolvent of a constant coefficient, symmetric differential operator $P(D)$ where the symbol $P(\xi)$ is a polynomial having only simple zeros. The existence of boundary values of the resolvent of $P(D)$ is naturally connected with trace theorems for B . Agmon [1, 2] (see also Hörmander [6]) has proven the existence and uniqueness of boundary values for “two-body” perturbations of elliptic operators (including the Laplace operator) with short- and long-range coefficients in the $B - B^*$ framework. More precisely, he shows that for $f \in B$ and $\lambda = \text{Re } z$ outside a closed countable set, $R(z)f$ has a unique limit in the weak-* topology on B^* as $\pm \text{Im } z \downarrow 0$. Agmon’s result relies in the short-range case on perturbation theory and the results of [3] (cf. also Hörmander [6]) and in the long-range case on a detailed microlocal analysis of the resolvent. This result is optimal in the sense that for each λ for which boundary values exist, there is a dense open subset of $B(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for which convergence to the weak

limit cannot be improved. Murata [10] also studied differentiability of boundary values of the resolvent for certain elliptic operators in a Besov space setting, in order to study spectral properties and time-decay of solutions to the associated Schrödinger equation.

Finally, we note that Hörmander (independently of Mourre) has used commutator methods and a pseudodifferential operator analysis to study long-range, two-body scattering in the $B - B^*$ framework; details will appear in volume 3 of the series [6].

Here we would like to show how Mourre's commutator method [7, 8, 9] can be used to recover $B - B^*$ estimates for the class of generalized N -body Schrödinger operators considered in [11]. In what follows, let $x_i = \Pi_i x$ and let ∇_i be the gradient on \mathcal{X}_i , where \mathcal{X}_i and Π_i are the subspaces and projections introduced above.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let H be a generalized N -body Schrödinger operator, let $W_i = x_i \cdot \nabla_i V_i$, and let $Q_i = x_i \cdot \nabla_i W_i$ (distributional gradient). Suppose that:*

- (1) $V_i(-\Delta_i + 1)^{-1}$ is a compact operator on $L^2(\mathcal{X}_i)$.
- (2) $W_i(-\Delta_i + 1)^{-1}$ is a compact operator on $L^2(\mathcal{X}_i)$.
- (3) $(-\Delta_i + 1)^{-1} Q_i (-\Delta_i + 1)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathcal{X}_i)$.

Let $R(z) = (H - z)^{-1}$ for $\text{Im } z \neq 0$ and let $\mathcal{E}(H)$ be the set of eigenvalues and thresholds of H . Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}(H)$, the estimate

$$\sup_{\eta \neq 0} \|R(\lambda + i\eta)f\|_{B^*(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c(\lambda) \|f\|_{B(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

holds, where $c(\lambda)$ can be chosen uniform in λ running over a fixed compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}(H)$.

REMARK 1.2. This theorem establishes the existence and the uniqueness of the weak-* limit in $B^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $R(\lambda \pm i\eta)f$ as $\eta \downarrow 0$, when $f \in B(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}(H)$. This result follows from the above $B - B^*$ -estimate, the density of $L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s > 1/2$, and the existence of the boundary values $R(\lambda \pm i0)$ in the $L_s^2 - L_{-s}^2$ -topology for $s > 1/2$ (see above). (The authors are indebted to Professor A. Devinatz for this remark.)

REMARK 1.3. By using the refined version of Mourre's abstract theory presented in [11], we can relax condition (2) to

(2)' $(-\Delta_i + 1)^{-1/2} W_i (-\Delta_i + 1)^{-1}$ is compact as an operator on $L^2(\mathcal{X}_i)$. Examples of potentials allowed by hypotheses (1) — (3) are:

(1) "short range" V_i with $V_i, W_i \in L^p(\mathcal{X}_i) + L_\varepsilon^\infty(\mathcal{X}_i)$, $Q_i \in L^p(\mathcal{X}_i) + L^\infty(\mathcal{X}_i)$ where $p > \sup(2, n/2)$. Here we say that a measurable function $f \in L^p + L^\infty$ if $= f_1 + f_2$ where $f_1 \in L^p$, $f_2 \in L^\infty$, and $f \in L^p + L_\varepsilon^\infty$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a decomposition $f = f_{1\varepsilon} + f_{2\varepsilon}$ with $f_{1\varepsilon} \in L^p$, $f_{2\varepsilon} \in L^\infty$, and $\|f_{2\varepsilon}\|_\infty < \varepsilon$.

(2) "Long range", $C^2(\mathcal{X}_i)$ functions V_i with $|D^\alpha V_i(X_i)| \leq C_\alpha(1 + |x_i|)^{-\epsilon - |\alpha|}$ for multi-indices α with $|\alpha| \leq 2$.

Under hypotheses (1), (2)', and (3), we can relax the regularity conditions on V_i ; cf. [11] for discussion.

The theorem follows from abstract results of Eric Mourre. Mourre develops an abstract theory for pairs of self-adjoint operators H, A which obey technical hypotheses together with the crucial "Mourre estimate" on $i[H, A]$. In what follows, we denote by $\mathcal{D}(C)$ the domain of a densely defined operator C on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with its graph norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}(C)} = \|u\| + \|Cu\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm in \mathcal{H} . $\mathcal{D}(C)^*$ is its dual under the \mathcal{H} inner product. Given a pair of self-adjoint operators H and A on \mathcal{H} , we say that A is *conjugate to H in the interval $I \subset \sigma(H)$* if:

- (i) $\mathcal{D}(A) \cap \mathcal{D}(H)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}(H)$ in graph norm,
- (ii) the unitary group $\exp(i\theta A)$ is a bounded map of $\mathcal{D}(H)$ into itself and

$$\sup_{|\theta| < 1} \|\exp(i\theta A)u\|_{\mathcal{D}(H)} < \infty$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{D}(H)$,

- (iii) the quadratic form $i[H, A]$ defined on $\mathcal{D}(H) \cap \mathcal{D}(A)$ is bounded from below and extends to a bounded operator, B , from $\mathcal{D}(H)$ to \mathcal{H} ,
- (iv) the form defined on $\mathcal{D}(H) \cap \mathcal{D}(A)$ by $[B, A]$ extends to a bounded operator from $\mathcal{D}(H)$ to $\mathcal{D}(H)^*$, and
- (v) the estimate

$$(1.1) \quad E_I(H) i[H, A] E_I(H) \geq C_0(I) E_I(H) + K$$

holds, where $E_I(H)$ is the spectral projection for H onto I , $C_0(I)$ is a strictly positive constant, and K is a compact operator.

This estimate (together with the technical hypotheses) implies that H has no singular continuous spectrum in I , and the set D of eigenvalues of H contained in I is finite counting multiplicity: this aspect of the theory is developed in [7] (some refinements may be found in [11], Theorem 1.2). It is applied to N -body Schrödinger operators including those satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 in [7] ($N \geq 3$) and [11] (any N) (cf. also [4] for an elegant proof of (1.1) for generalized N -body Schrödinger operators). In the application, $A = -(1/2i)(x \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot x)$, the generator of dilations on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and (1.1) holds for any sufficiently small interval away from thresholds of H . In the application, it is shown that the set of eigenvalues and thresholds of H , $\sigma(H)$, is closed and countable.

In [9], Mourre proves the following abstract results which we apply to prove Theorem 1.1. Let $f_1, f_2 : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be measurable functions belonging to $\ell^2(L^\infty(\mathbf{R}))$, the space of real-valued measurable functions $g(t)$ with

$$\|g\|_{\ell^2(L^\infty)} = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n(g)^2 \right\}^{1/2} < \infty$$

where

$$s_n(g) = \text{ess sup}\{|g(x)| : n \leq |x| \leq n+1\}.$$

Suppose (i)–(v) hold in I and D is the set of eigenvalues of H in I . Then for $\lambda \in I \setminus D$,

$$(1.2) \quad \sup_{\eta \neq 0} \|f_1(A)R(\lambda + i\eta)f_2(A)\| \leq C_1(\lambda) \|f_1\|_{\ell^2(L^\infty)} \|f_2\|_{\ell^2(L^\infty)}$$

holds with $C_1(\lambda)$ uniform in compacts of $I \setminus D$ ([9], Theorem 1.2 (III)). Although a stronger norm on f_1, f_2 appears in [9], a close examination shows that the $\ell^2(L^\infty)$ norm is sufficient.

REMARK 1.4. By combining Mourre's analysis with that of Perry, Sigal and Simon [11], one can show that (1.2) in fact holds under the weaker hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 in [11]. This leads to the improvement in Theorem 1.1 discussed in Remark 1.3 above.

Below, we show how this estimate implies Theorem 1.1. We first define “abstract” spaces B_A and B_A^* using the spectral representation for the operator A . We then recover a $B_A - B_A^*$ estimate in the framework of Mourre's abstract theory. Next, we show that, “locally”, the abstract spaces look like the concrete ones: for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ and H obeying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, the operator $\varphi(H)$ is a bounded mapping from $B(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to B_A and by duality from B_A^* to $B^*(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Combining this result with the abstract estimate, we obtain Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank Barry Simon for his encouragement and Eric Mourre for helpful discussions.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

First, we define suitable abstract analogues of the spaces $B(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $B^*(\mathbf{R}^n)$. If A is a self-adjoint operator on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with norm $\|\cdot\|$, we define the Banach space

$$B_A = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{H} : \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R_j^{1/2} \|F(A \in \Omega_j)u\| < \infty \right\}$$

where $F(A \in \Omega_j)$ is the spectral projection for A onto the set $\Omega_j = \{t \in \mathbf{R} : 2^{j-1} \leq |t| \leq 2^j\}$, $j \geq 1$, $\Omega_0 = \{t \in \mathbf{R} : |t| \leq 1\}$, and $R_j = 2^j$. We write $\|\cdot\|_{B_A}$ for the obvious norm on B_A . Its dual B_A^* with respect to the inner product on \mathcal{H} is the Banach space obtained by completing \mathcal{H} in the norm

$$\|u\|_{B_A^*} = \sup_j R_j^{-1/2} \|F(A \in \Omega_j)u\|.$$

The case $A = |x|$, $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ gives the usual spaces B and B^* .

The estimate (1.2) implies the key

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let A be a conjugate operator for H at λ_0 and let I, D be defined as above. Then for $\lambda \in I \setminus D$*

$$\sup_{\eta \neq 0} \|R(\lambda + i\eta)f\|_{B_A^*} \leq C_1(\lambda) \|f\|_{B_A}$$

holds with $C_1(\lambda)$ uniform in λ in compacts of $I \setminus D$.

Proof. Using (1.2), we estimate, for $\lambda \in I \setminus D$, $\eta \neq 0$, $z = \lambda + i\eta$,

$$\begin{aligned} & R_j^{-1/2} \|F(A \in \Omega_j)(H - z)^{-1}f\| \leq \\ & \leq R_j^{-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|F(A \in \Omega_j)(H - z)^{-1}F(A \in \Omega_k)\| \|F(A \in \Omega_k)f\| = \\ & = R_j^{-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_1(\lambda) R_j^{1/2} R_k^{1/2} \|F(A \in \Omega_k)f\| = C_1(\lambda) \|f\|_{B_A}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\|(H - z)^{-1}f\|_{B_A^*} \leq C_1(\lambda) \|f\|_{B_A}$ as claimed. □

We now consider the “concrete” case where H is an N -body Schrödinger operator satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 and $A = \frac{-i}{2}(x \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot x)$

is the generator of dilations on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$. A is a conjugate operator for H for sufficiently small open intervals about every point $\lambda \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}(H)$ where $\mathcal{E}(H)$ is a closed countable set consisting of eigenvalues and thresholds of H (cf. [4, 7, 11]). By Proposition 2.1 and an obvious covering argument, we immediately get:

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let H obey the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. Then for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}(H)$, the estimate*

$$\sup_{\eta \neq 0} \|R(\lambda + i\eta)f\|_{B_A^*} \leq C(\lambda) \|f\|_{B_A}$$

holds with $C(\lambda)$ uniform in compacts of $\mathbf{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}(H)$.

Next, we show that the abstract spaces B_A and B_A^* look “locally” like $B(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $B^*(\mathbf{R}^n)$:

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let H satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. Then for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$, the operator $\varphi(H)$ is a bounded mapping from $B(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to B_A and from B_A^* to $B^*(\mathbf{R}^n)$.*

Proof. We show $\varphi(H) : B(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow B_A$ since the other assertion follows by duality. To do this, we use a minor variant of the interpolation Lemma 2.5 in [3]: let $T : L^2(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a linear operator with $T : L^{2,N}(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(|A|^N)$ for some $N > 1/2$. Then $T : B(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow B_A$. A proof of this interpolation result is readily obtained by mimicking the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [3].

Since $\varphi(H) : L^2(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we need only show that $(|A| + 1)^N \varphi(H)(1 + x^2)^{-N/2}$ is a bounded operator, and hence $\varphi(H) : L^{2,N}(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(|A|^N)$, for some $N > 1/2$. But, by [11], Lemma 8.2, $(|A| + 1)(H + i)^{-1}(1 + x^2)^{-1/2}$ is bounded, while by a simple commutation argument (cf. [5], Appendix, Lemmas A.2 and A.3), $(1 + x^2)^{1/2}\psi(H)(1 + x^2)^{-1/2}$ is bounded for any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$.

Hence

$$(|A| + 1)\varphi(H)(1 + x^2)^{-1/2} =$$

$$= [(|A| + 1)(H + i)^{-1}(x^2 + 1)^{-1/2}] [(x^2 + 1)^{1/2}(H + i)\varphi(H)(1 + x^2)^{-1/2}]$$

is bounded. \blacksquare

Combining Propositions 2.1–2.3, we can give the

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Pick $\eta \neq 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathcal{E}(H)$, and pick $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ with $\varphi = 1$ near λ . Then for $f \in B(\mathbf{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(\lambda + i\eta)f\|_{B^*(\mathbf{R}^n)} &\leq \|\varphi^2(H)R(\lambda + i\eta)f\|_{B^*(\mathbf{R}^n)} + \\ (2.1) \quad &+ \|(1 - \varphi^2(H))R(\lambda + i\eta)f\|_{B^*(\mathbf{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

The second term in (2.1) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - \varphi^2(H))R(\lambda + i\eta)f\|_{B^*(\mathbf{R}^n)} &\leq \|(1 - \varphi^2(H))R(\lambda + i\eta)f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \\ (2.2) \quad &\leq b_1 \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq b_1 \|f\|_{B(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where b_1 depends on λ and $\text{supp } \varphi$. The first term in (2.1) is estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi^2(H)R(\lambda + i\eta)f\|_{B^*(\mathbf{R}^n)} &\leq b_2 \|R(\lambda + i\eta)\varphi(H)f\|_{B_A^*} \quad (\text{by Proposition 2.4}) \\ (2.3) \quad &\leq b_2 C_1(\lambda) \|\varphi(H)f\|_{B_A} \quad (\text{by Proposition 2.3}) \\ &\leq b_2^2 C_1(\lambda) \|f\|_{B(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \quad (\text{by Proposition 2.4}) \end{aligned}$$

where b_2 is the norm of $\varphi(H)$ as a map from $B(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to B_A . (2.2) and (2.3) together give

$$\|R(\lambda + i\eta)f\|_{B^*(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq b_3(1 + C_1(\lambda)) \|f\|_{B(\mathbf{R}^n)},$$

where $b_3 := \sup(b_1, b_2^2)$. Since a fixed φ suffices for λ in a small interval, b_3 has the same uniformity in λ as $C_1(\lambda)$ by an obvious covering argument. This gives Theorem 1.1. \blacksquare

The second author is a Bantrell Fellow in Mathematical Physics.

REFERENCES

1. AGMON, S., Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **2**(1975), 151–218.
2. AGMON, S., Some new results in spectral and scattering theory of differential operators on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, *Séminaire Goulaouic-Schwartz 1978–9* (Centre de Mathématiques-Polytechnique, Palaiseau), Lecture notes.
3. AGMON, S.; HÖRMANDER, L., Asymptotic properties of solutions to differential equations with simple characteristics, *J. Analyse Math.*, **30**(1976), 1–38.
4. FROESE, R.; HERBST, I., A new proof of the Mourre estimate, *Duke Math. J.*, **49**(1982), 1075–1085.
5. HAGEDORN, G.; PERRY, P., Asymptotic completeness for certain three-body Schrödinger operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **36**(1983), 213–232.
6. HÖRMANDER, L., *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. II: Differential operators with constant coefficients*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
7. MOURRE, E., Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain self-adjoint operators, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **78**(1981), 391–408.
8. MOURRE, E., Algebraic approach to some propagation properties of the Schrödinger equation, in *Mathematical Problems of Theoretical Physics, Proceedings of the VIth International Conference on Mathematical Physics*, ed. R. Seiler, Berlin (West), 1981, Berlin, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
9. MOURRE, E., Opérateurs conjugués et propriétés de propagation, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **91**(1983), 279–300.
10. MURATA, M., Rate of decay of local energy and spectral properties of elliptic operators, *Japan J. Math. (N.S.)*, **6**(1980), 77–127.
11. PERRY, P.; SIGAL, I.; SIMON, B., Spectral analysis of N -body Schrödinger operators, *Ann. of Math.*, **114**(1981), 519–567.
12. PEETRE, J., *New thoughts on Besov spaces*, Durham, Duke University Mathematics Department, 1976.

ARNE JENSEN

*Department of Mathematics,
University of Kentucky,
Lexington, Kentucky 40506,
U.S.A.*

PETER PERRY

*Department of Mathematics,
California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, California 91125,
U.S.A.*

Received April 23, 1984.