

THE STRUCTURE OF LINEAR FUNCTIONALS ON SPACES OF OPERATORS

MICHAEL MARSALLI

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper $\mathcal{X}$ will denote a complex Banach space, $\mathcal{X}^*$ will denote the dual of $\mathcal{X}$, and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ will denote the algebra of bounded linear transformations on $\mathcal{X}$. We use τ to denote a topology on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ which makes $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ into a locally convex topological vector space. $\mathcal{S}$ will denote a linear manifold in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$. A *form* on $\mathcal{S}$ is a linear functional on $\mathcal{S}$. For $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $f \in \mathcal{X}^*$, $\omega_{x,f}$ will denote the form defined by $\omega_{x,f}(T) = f(Tx)$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$. An *elementary form* on $\mathcal{S}$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{S}$ of some form $\omega_{x,f}$. We say $\mathcal{S}$ is τ -*elementary* if every τ -continuous form on $\mathcal{S}$ is an elementary form. In this paper, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathcal{S}$ to be τ -elementary. We use this result to obtain some invariant subspace theorems and a dilation theory for τ -elementary algebras on a Hilbert space.

2. ELEMENTARY FORMS AND REFLEXIVITY

We recall some standard terminology. The *weak topology* (also called the weak operator topology) on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ is the topology induced by the elementary forms on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$. A subspace of $\mathcal{X}$ is a norm closed linear manifold in $\mathcal{X}$. For $\mathcal{T}$ a subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$, $\text{Lat } \mathcal{T}$ denotes $\{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{X} : \mathcal{M} \text{ is a subspace and } T\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M} \text{ for all } T \in \mathcal{T}\}$. $\text{Alg Lat } \mathcal{T}$ denotes $\{S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) : S\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M} \text{ for all } \mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{T}\}$. For $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $[\mathcal{T}x]$ denotes the norm closed linear span of $\{Tx : T \in \mathcal{T}\}$. The following pertinent concept is from [3]. $\text{Ref } \mathcal{T}$ denotes $\{S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) : Sx \in [\mathcal{T}x] \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{X}\}$. $\mathcal{T}$ is called *reflexive* if $\text{Ref } \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}$. We list some easily established properties of $\text{Ref } \mathcal{T}$.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ be subsets of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$.

(a) $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{Ref } \mathcal{T}$.

(b) $\text{Ref } \mathcal{T}$ is a weakly closed linear manifold in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$.

- (c) $\text{Ref } \mathcal{T}$ is reflexive.
- (d) If $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{U}$, then $\text{Ref } \mathcal{T} \subset \text{Ref } \mathcal{U}$.
- (e) The intersection of any family of reflexive sets is reflexive.
- (f) If $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ is reflexive, then $(\text{Ref } \mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{T}$.
- (g) If $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$, then $\text{Ref } \mathcal{A} = \text{Alg Lat } \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. We prove only (f). By (a), $\mathcal{T} \subset (\text{Ref } \mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{U}$. By (d), $\text{Ref } \mathcal{T} \subset \text{Ref}(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}) \subset (\text{Ref } \mathcal{U}) \cap (\text{Ref } \mathcal{V})$. Thus $(\text{Ref } \mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{U} \subset (\text{Ref } \mathcal{U}) \cap (\text{Ref } \mathcal{V}) \cap \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{T}$, by (a) and the reflexivity of $\mathcal{V}$.

The following proposition characterizes the reflexive subsets of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$. For a form φ on $\mathcal{S}$, $\text{Ker } \varphi$, the kernel of φ , denotes $\{S \in \mathcal{S} : \varphi(S) = 0\}$. For δ a subset of $\mathcal{X}$, δ^a denotes $\{f \in \mathcal{X}^* : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \text{ in } \delta\}$.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$.

- (a) For $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $f \in \mathcal{X}^*$, $\text{Ker } \omega_{x,f}$ is reflexive.
- (b) $\text{Ref } \mathcal{T} = \bigcap \text{Ker } \omega_{x,f}$ where the intersection is over all $\omega_{x,f}$ such that $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{Ker } \omega_{x,f}$.
- (c) $\mathcal{T}$ is reflexive if and only if $\mathcal{T}$ is the intersection of kernels of elementary forms on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$.

Proof. (a) is straightforward. (c) follows from (a), (b) and Proposition 1(e). We prove (b). Suppose $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{Ker } \omega_{x,f}$. Then $f \in [\mathcal{T}x]^a$. If $S \in \text{Ref } \mathcal{T}$, then $Sx \in [\mathcal{T}x]$. So $0 = f(Sx) = \omega_{x,f}(S)$. We have $\text{Ref } \mathcal{T} \subset \bigcap \text{Ker } \omega_{x,f}$. Now suppose $S \in \bigcap \text{Ker } \omega_{x,f}$. Fix $x \in \mathcal{X}$. If $f \in [\mathcal{T}x]^a$, then $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{Ker } \omega_{x,f}$. So $0 = \omega_{x,f}(S) = f(Sx)$. We have $[\mathcal{T}x]^a \subset \{Sx\}^a$, and so $Sx \in [\mathcal{T}x]$. Since x was arbitrary, $S \in \text{Ref } \mathcal{T}$.

The next result gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a form on $\mathcal{S}$ to be an elementary form.

LEMMA 3. Let φ be a form on $\mathcal{S}$. The following are equivalent.

- (a) φ is an elementary form.
- (b) $\text{Ker } \varphi = (\text{Ref Ker } \varphi) \cap \mathcal{S}$.
- (c) There is a vector $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $|\varphi(S)| \leq \|Sx\|$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proof. The lemma is trivial if $\varphi = 0$. So assume $\varphi \neq 0$. We show (a) implies (b). By hypothesis there exist $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $f \in \mathcal{X}^*$ such that $\varphi(S) = f(Sx)$, $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Clearly $\text{Ker } \varphi = (\text{Ker } \omega_{x,f}) \cap \mathcal{S}$, and $\text{Ker } \omega_{x,f}$ is reflexive by Proposition 2(a). Thus, by Proposition 1(f), $(\text{Ref Ker } \varphi) \cap \mathcal{S} = \text{Ker } \varphi$.

To show (b) implies (c), we choose $T \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\varphi(T) = 1$. By the hypothesis, $T \notin \text{Ref Ker } \varphi$. So there is an $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $1 = \varphi(T) \leq \|(T - A)x\|$ for all $A \in \text{Ker } \varphi$. For $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $S = \varphi(S)T - (\varphi(S)T - S)$ and $\varphi(S)T - S \in \text{Ker } \varphi$. Thus

$$|\varphi(S)| = |\varphi(S)\varphi(T)| \leq \|(\varphi(S)T - (\varphi(S)T - S))x\| \leq \|Sx\|.$$

Finally, we show (c) implies (a). Let $f(Sx) = \varphi(S)$. Then $|f(Sx)| \leq \|Sx\|$ for $S \in \mathcal{S}$, so f is well-defined. Furthermore, f extends to a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{X}$ by the Hahn-Banach theorem. So there is a $g \in \mathcal{X}^*$ such that

$$\varphi(S) = f(Sx) = g(Sx) = \omega_{x,g}(S), \quad S \in \mathcal{S}.$$

We now give necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathcal{S}$ to be τ -elementary. This theorem sharpens [3, Theorem 3.2].

THEOREM 4. *$\mathcal{S}$ is τ -elementary if and only if for every relatively τ -closed linear manifold $\mathcal{R}$ in $\mathcal{S}$, $(\text{Ref } \mathcal{R}) \cap \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. We first prove sufficiency. If φ is a τ -continuous form on $\mathcal{S}$, then $\text{Ker } \varphi$ is a relatively τ -closed linear manifold in $\mathcal{S}$. By hypothesis, $\text{Ker } \varphi = (\text{Ref } \text{Ker } \varphi) \cap \mathcal{S}$. By Lemma 3, φ is an elementary form.

To prove necessity, let $\mathcal{R}$ be a relatively τ -closed linear manifold in $\mathcal{S}$. By the geometric Hahn-Banach theorem, $\mathcal{R} = \bigcap \text{Ker } \varphi$, where the intersection is over all τ -continuous forms φ such that $\mathcal{R} \subset \text{Ker } \varphi$. But each φ is an elementary form, so $\text{Ker } \varphi = (\text{Ref } \text{Ker } \varphi) \cap \mathcal{S}$ by Lemma 3. Thus, $\mathcal{R} = \bigcap ((\text{Ref } \text{Ker } \varphi) \cap \mathcal{S}) = (\bigcap \text{Ref } \text{Ker } \varphi) \cap \mathcal{S}$. By Proposition 1(c), $\text{Ref } \text{Ker } \varphi$ is reflexive for each φ . By Proposition 1(e), $\bigcap \text{Ref } \text{Ker } \varphi$ is reflexive. Thus by Proposition 1(f), $(\text{Ref } \mathcal{R}) \cap \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}$.

3. ELEMENTARY FORMS AND INVARIANT SUBSPACES

If $\mathcal{T}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$, then a subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ is a *non-trivial invariant subspace* (n.i.s.) for $\mathcal{T}$ if $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{T}$ and $\{0\} \neq \mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{X}$. $\mathcal{M}$ is a *non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace* (n.h.s.) for $\mathcal{T}$ if $\mathcal{M}$ is a n.i.s. for $\mathcal{T}' = \{S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) : ST = TS \text{ for all } T \in \mathcal{T}\}$. $\mathcal{A}$ will denote a unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$. It is natural to ask if every τ -elementary algebra $\mathcal{A}$ has a n.i.s. The following results give some partial answers.

PROPOSITION 5. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is τ -elementary and $\mathcal{I}$ is a proper relatively τ -closed left ideal of $\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ has a n.i.s. or a n.h.s.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{I}$ is proper, there is a $T \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $T \notin \mathcal{I}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is τ -elementary, $T \notin (\text{Ref } \mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{A}$. So there is an $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $Tx \notin [\mathcal{I}x]$. This implies $x \neq 0$ and $[\mathcal{I}x] \neq \mathcal{X}$. If $[\mathcal{I}x] = \{0\}$, then there is an $A \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $A \neq 0$ and $Ax = 0$. It follows that $\{y \in \mathcal{X} : Ay = 0\}$ is a n.h.s. for $\mathcal{A}$. If $[\mathcal{I}x] \neq \{0\}$, then $[\mathcal{I}x]$ is a n.i.s. for $\mathcal{A}$ because $\mathcal{I}$ is a left ideal.

We use $\sigma(T)$ to denote the spectrum of T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$. For $T \in \mathcal{A}$, $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)$ denotes $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ has no inverse in } \mathcal{A}\}$.

PROPOSITION 6. *Suppose both left and right multiplication by a fixed operator are τ -continuous operations on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be τ -closed and τ -elementary. If there is an $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(A) \setminus \sigma(A)$ is non-empty, then $\mathcal{A}$ has a n.i.s.*

Proof. Suppose $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(A) \setminus \sigma(A)$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the τ -closure of $\{S(A - \lambda) : S \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Then $\mathcal{I}$ is a τ -closed left ideal of $\mathcal{A}$. Since $A - \lambda$ is invertible, $\mathcal{I} \neq \{0\}$. If $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{A}$, then there is a net $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $S_i(A - \lambda)$ converges to the identity operator 1 in the τ topology. Thus $S_i = S_i(A - \lambda)(A - \lambda)^{-1}$ converges to $(A - \lambda)^{-1}$ in the τ topology. This contradicts the fact that $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(A)$. So $\mathcal{I}$ is proper. As in the proof of Proposition 5, there is an $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $x \neq 0$ and $[\mathcal{I}x] \neq \mathcal{X}$. $[\mathcal{I}x] \neq \{0\}$, because $A - \lambda$ is an invertible element of $\mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{I}$ is a left ideal, $[\mathcal{I}x]$ is a n.i.s.

When $\mathcal{X}$ is finite dimensional, $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A} = \text{Lat } \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ implies $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ by Burnside's Theorem [4, p. 142]. We have the following analogue for τ -elementary algebras.

Proposition 7. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a τ -elementary algebra. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a relatively τ -closed unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ with $\text{Lat } \mathcal{B} = \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. $\text{Lat } \mathcal{B} = \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ implies $\text{Ref } \mathcal{B} = \text{Ref } \mathcal{A}$, by Proposition 1(g). By Theorem 4 and Proposition 1(e), $\mathcal{B} = (\text{Ref } \mathcal{B}) \cap \mathcal{A} = (\text{Ref } \mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$.

4. ELEMENTARY FORMS AND DILATION THEORY

In this section, we restrict our attention to the case where $\mathcal{X}$ is a separable Hilbert space, which we denote by $\mathcal{H}$. First, we obtain a more convenient way to represent elementary forms.

PROPOSITION 8. *If φ is a τ -elementary form on a linear submanifold $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, then there exist vectors x and y in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\varphi(S) = (Sx, y)$, $S \in \mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. By definition, there exist $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}^*$ such that $\varphi(S) = f(Sx)$, $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Let J denote the canonical conjugate linear isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}^*$ to $\mathcal{H}$. Let $y = Jf$. Then $\varphi(S) = f(Sx) = (Sx, y)$, $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

A subspace $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is *semi-invariant* for $\mathcal{A}$ if $P_{\mathcal{L}}AP_{\mathcal{L}}BP_{\mathcal{L}} \in P_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{A}BP_{\mathcal{L}}$, $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, where $P_{\mathcal{L}}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{L}$. For subspaces $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ denotes $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}^{\perp}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, we say $\mathcal{A}$ is a *dilation* of $\mathcal{B}$ (or $\mathcal{B}$ is a *compression* of $\mathcal{A}$) if there is a semi-invariant subspace $\mathcal{L}$ for $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$P_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{A}P_{\mathcal{L}} = \{P_{\mathcal{L}}AP_{\mathcal{L}} : A \in \mathcal{A}\} = \mathcal{B}.$$

For τ -elementary algebras the homomorphisms satisfying a certain continuity condition yield information about the compressions of the algebra. In particular, we will obtain information about compressions to semi-invariant subspaces generated by two vectors. Some useful properties of these subspaces are listed below. We use $\mathcal{A}^*$ to denote $\{A^* : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

LEMMA 9. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. Let P denote the orthogonal projection onto $[\mathcal{A}x] \ominus ([\mathcal{A}x] \cap [\mathcal{A}^*y]^\perp)$.

- (a) $P\mathcal{H}$ is semi-invariant for $\mathcal{A}$.
- (b) $(PAPu, v) = (APu, v) = (Au, v)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $u \in [\mathcal{A}x]$, $v \in [\mathcal{A}^*y]$.
- (c) $\{PAPx : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is dense in $P\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Since $[\mathcal{A}x] \cap [\mathcal{A}^*y]^\perp \subset [\mathcal{A}x]$ and both subspaces are in $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$, a tedious calculation shows that $P\mathcal{H}$ is semi-invariant.

For (b) we write $u = Pu + w$, with $w \in [\mathcal{A}x] \cap [\mathcal{A}^*y]^\perp$. Then

$$(Au, v) = (APu, v) + (w, A^*v) = (APu, v), \quad A \in \mathcal{A},$$

because $A^*v \in [\mathcal{A}^*y]$. Also $Pu \in [\mathcal{A}x]$ implies $APu \in [\mathcal{A}x]$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. So we can write $APu = PAPu + z$ with $z \in [\mathcal{A}x] \cap [\mathcal{A}^*y]^\perp$ and dependent on $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$(APu, v) = (PAPu, v) + (z, v) = (PAPu, v), \quad A \in \mathcal{A},$$

because $v \in [\mathcal{A}^*y]$.

To prove (c) we first show $PAPx = PAx$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Again, write $x = Px + w$ with $w \in [\mathcal{A}x] \cap [\mathcal{A}^*y]^\perp$. Then

$$PAx = PAPx + PAw, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

But $Aw \in [\mathcal{A}x] \cap [\mathcal{A}^*y]^\perp$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. So $PAw = 0$.

Now we prove the density statement. Suppose $v \in P\mathcal{H}$ and $(PAPx, v) = 0$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We show $v = 0$. By the above,

$$0 = (PAPx, v) = (PAx, v) = (Ax, v), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

So $v \in [\mathcal{A}x]^\perp$. But $v \in [\mathcal{A}x]$. Thus $v = 0$.

We now establish a dilation theorem for τ -elementary algebras. $\mathcal{H}$ will denote a separable, complex Hilbert space, and $\mathcal{B}$ will denote a unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. For a linear operator X , $\mathcal{D}(X)$ will denote the domain of X . This theorem generalizes [1, Theorem 4.12] in the case $n = 1$.

THEOREM 10. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be τ -elementary. Suppose $\Phi : (\mathcal{A}, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \text{weak})$ is a continuous, surjective homomorphism. Then for each pair $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$, there exist $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ with $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and a closed, injective linear transformation $X : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ such that

(a) the linear manifold $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is dense in

$$[\mathcal{B}u] \ominus ([\mathcal{B}u] \cap [\mathcal{B}^*v]^\perp),$$

(b) the range of X is dense in $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$,

(c) $PAPXz = XQ\Phi(A)Qz$, $z \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, where P is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ and Q is the orthogonal projection onto $[\mathcal{B}u] \ominus ([\mathcal{B}u] \cap [\mathcal{B}^*v]^\perp)$, and

(d) v is in the range of X^* .

Proof. Set $\varphi(A) := (\Phi(A)u, v)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then φ is a τ -continuous form on $\mathcal{A}$. So there exist $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $(Ax, y) = (\Phi(A)u, v)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{M} := [\mathcal{A}x]$ and $\mathcal{N} := [\mathcal{A}x] \cap [\mathcal{A}^*y]^\perp$. By Lemma 9(a), $P\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ and $Q\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{B}u] \ominus ([\mathcal{B}u] \cap [\mathcal{B}^*v]^\perp)$ are semi-invariant for $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, respectively. Define a linear transformation X_0 with $\mathcal{D}(X_0) = \{Q\Phi(A)Qu : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ by $X_0(Q\Phi(A)Qu) = PAPx$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We show X_0 is well-defined and injective. Since $\Phi(A)Qu \in [\mathcal{B}u]$, $Q\Phi(A)Qu = 0$ if and only if $(\Phi(A)Qu, B^*v) = 0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Since Φ is surjective, this is equivalent to $(\Phi(A)Qu, \Phi(S)^*v) = 0$ for all $S \in \mathcal{A}$. Now for all $S \in \mathcal{A}$, using Lemma 9(b) we have:

$$(\Phi(A)Qu, \Phi(S)^*v) = (\Phi(SA)Qu, v) = (\Phi(SA)u, v) =$$

$$= (SAx, y) = (SAPx, y) = (APx, S^*y).$$

But $(APx, S^*y) = 0$ for all $S \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $PAPx = 0$. To show X_0 is closable, and its closure, which we call X , is injective, it suffices to show that if $Q\Phi(A_n)Qu \rightarrow u'$ and $PA_nPx \rightarrow x'$, then $u' = 0$ if and only if $x' = 0$. This follows from a straightforward application of the above argument.

Since $\mathcal{D}(X_0) = \{Q\Phi(A)Qu : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$, $\mathcal{D}(X_0)$ is dense in $[\mathcal{B}u] \ominus ([\mathcal{B}u] \cap [\mathcal{B}^*v]^\perp)$ by Lemma 9(c). Thus $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is dense in $[\mathcal{B}u] \ominus ([\mathcal{B}u] \cap [\mathcal{B}^*v]^\perp)$ because X is the closure of X_0 . Similarly the range of X_0 is $\{PAPx : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$, which is dense in $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ by Lemma 9(c). So the range of X is dense in $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$.

To show (c) note that for $A, S \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$PAPX_0Q\Phi(S)Qu = PAPPSPx = PASP_x = X_0Q\Phi(AS)Qu = X_0Q\Phi(A)QQ\Phi(S)Qu.$$

Thus

$$PAPX_0z = X_0Q\Phi(A)Qz, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}(X_0).$$

The assertion now follows from the fact that X is the closure of X_0 .

Finally we show (d). It suffices to show that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $(XQ\Phi(A)Qu, y) = (Q\Phi(A)Qu, v)$. Using Lemma 9(b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (XQ\Phi(A)Qu, y) &= (PAPx, y) = \\ &= (Ax, y) = (\Phi(A)u, v) = (Q\Phi(A)Qu, v). \end{aligned}$$

We use Theorem 10 to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for an algebra to be weakly elementary. For each positive integer n , $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ will denote the direct sum of n copies of $\mathcal{H}$. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $A^{(n)}$ will denote the direct sum of n copies of A . $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ will denote $\{A^{(n)} : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

THEOREM 11. $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly elementary if and only if for every positive integer n and for every pair $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathcal{H}^{(n)}$, there exist $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ with $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and a linear transformation $X : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ such that

(a) $PAPXQ\bar{x} = XQA^{(n)}Q\bar{x}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, where P is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ and Q is the orthogonal projection onto $[\mathcal{A}^{(n)}\bar{x}] \ominus ([\mathcal{A}^{(n)}\bar{x}] \cap [(\mathcal{A}^{(n)})^*y]^\perp)$, and

(b) $\bar{y}$ is in the range of X^* .

Proof. For each positive integer n , define $\Phi_n : (\mathcal{A}, \text{weak}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}^{(n)}, \text{weak})$ by $\Phi_n(A) := A^{(n)}$. It is easy to see that each Φ_n is a homeomorphic algebra isomorphism. Necessity now follows from Theorem 10.

We show sufficiency. Given a weakly continuous form φ on $\mathcal{A}$, there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\varphi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (Ax_i, y_i)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ (cf. [2, p. 42]).

Let $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\bar{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Then $\varphi(A) = (A^{(n)}\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. By hypothesis there is a linear transformation satisfying (a) and (b). Let $x = XQ\bar{x}$ and choose y such that $\bar{y} = X^*y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(A) &= (A^{(n)}\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (QA^{(n)}Q\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \\ &= (QA^{(n)}Q\bar{x}, X^*y) = (XQA^{(n)}Q\bar{x}, y) = (PAPx, y) = (APx, Py), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus φ is an elementary form on $\mathcal{A}$.

The *ultraweak topology* on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is the topology induced by the forms $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \omega_{x_i, y_i}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|x_i\|^2 < \infty$ and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|y_i\|^2 < \infty$ (cf. [2]). We now use Theorem 10 to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for an algebra to be ultraweakly elementary. $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ will denote the direct sum of a countably infinite number of copies of $\mathcal{H}$. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\tilde{A}$ will denote the direct sum of a countably infinite number of copies of A . $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ will denote $\{\tilde{A} : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

THEOREM 12. $\mathcal{A}$ is ultraweakly elementary if and only if for every $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ there exist $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ with $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and a linear transformation $X: \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ such that

- (a) $PAPXQ\tilde{x} = XQ\tilde{A}Q\tilde{x}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, where P is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ and Q is the orthogonal projection onto $[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}\tilde{x}] \ominus ([\tilde{\mathcal{A}}\tilde{x}] \cap [(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})^*\tilde{y}]^\perp)$, and
 (b) $\tilde{y}$ is in the range of X^* .

Proof. It is easy to verify that the map $\Phi: (\mathcal{A}, \text{ultra weak}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \text{weak})$ is a homeomorphic algebra isomorphism. Necessity follows from Theorem 10.

If φ is an ultraweakly continuous form on $\mathcal{A}$, there exist sequences $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|x_i\|^2 < \infty$, $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|y_i\|^2 < \infty$ and $\varphi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty (Ax_i, y_i)$ (cf. [2, p. 42]). Set $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ and $\tilde{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$. Then $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\varphi(A) = (\tilde{A}\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Sufficiency is now proved as in Theorem 11.

Acknowledgement. This paper is part of my thesis. I would like to express my gratitude to my advisor, Carl Pearcy, for his support, counsel and example.

REFERENCES

1. BERCOVICI, H.; FOIAŞ, C.; PEARCY, C., *Dual algebras with applications to invariant subspaces and dilation theory*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., No. 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1985.
2. DIXMIER, J., *von Neumann algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1981.
3. LOGINOV, A.; SULMAN, V., Hereditary and intermediate reflexivity of W^* -algebras, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, 9(1975), 1189–1201.
4. RADJAVI, H.; ROSENTHAL, P., *Invariant subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.

MICHAEL MARSALLI
 Department of Mathematics,
 Arizona State University,
 Tempe, Arizona 85287,
 U.S.A.

Received June 13, 1986.