

POSITIVE TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES OF BOUNDED SYMMETRIC DOMAINS

KEHE ZHU

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a bounded symmetric domain in \mathbb{C}^n with Bergman kernel $K(z, w)$. We assume that Ω is in its standard representation and the volume measure dV of Ω is normalized so that $K(z, 0) = K(0, w) = 1$ for all z and w in Ω . By 5.7 of [8] and polar coordinates, there exists a positive number ε_Ω such that

$$C_\lambda = \int_{\Omega} K(z, z)^\lambda dV(z) < +\infty$$

iff $\lambda < \varepsilon_\Omega$. Let

$$dV_\lambda(z) = C_\lambda^{-1} K(z, z)^\lambda dV(z),$$

then dV_λ is a probability measure on Ω for all $\lambda < \varepsilon_\Omega$. We fix a $\lambda < \varepsilon_\Omega$ throughout the paper and consider the weighted Bergman spaces $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ ($1 \leq p < +\infty$) consisting of holomorphic functions in $L^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$. When $p = 2$, we have an orthogonal projection P_λ from the Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ onto the closed subspace $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$. P_λ is given by

$$P_\lambda f(z) = \int_{\Omega} K_\lambda(z, w) f(w) dV_\lambda(w),$$

where $K_\lambda(z, w) = K(z, w)^{1-\lambda}$ is the reproducing kernel of $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$.

Suppose φ is a function on Ω , then the Toeplitz operator T_φ with symbol φ is defined by $T_\varphi f = P_\lambda(\varphi f)$, or

$$T_\varphi f(z) = \int_{\Omega} K_\lambda(z, w) \varphi(w) f(w) dV_\lambda(w).$$

We can extend the notion of Toeplitz operators to the case where we allow measures as symbols. To be precise, let μ be a finite complex Borel measure on Ω , then we define the Toeplitz operator T_μ with symbol μ by

$$T_\mu f(z) = \int_{\Omega} K_\lambda(z, w) f(w) d\mu(w).$$

Clearly, if $d\mu(z) = \varphi(z) dV_\lambda(z)$, then $T_\mu = T_\varphi$.

Our problem here is to obtain and study conditions on μ that will ensure the boundedness, compactness, and membership in S_p (the Schatten ideals) of the Toeplitz operator T_μ . When μ is positive, the conditions we obtain are necessary and sufficient. In order to state the main results of the paper, we need to introduce some notations.

For any a in Ω , let $k_a(z) = K(z, a)/\sqrt{K(a, a)}$. The k_a 's are called normalized reproducing kernels of $L_a^2(\Omega, dV)$. They are unit vectors in $L_a^2(\Omega, dV)$. It is easy to see that $k_a^{1-\lambda}$ is a unit vector of $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ for any a in Ω . Given an (possibly unbounded) operator A on $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ with the domain of A containing all the normalized reproducing kernels $k_a^{1-\lambda}$ of $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$, we can define a function $A(z)$ on Ω by

$$\tilde{A}(z) = \langle Ak_z^{1-\lambda}, k_z^{1-\lambda} \rangle_\lambda, \quad z \in \Omega,$$

where $\langle , \rangle_\lambda$ is the inner product in $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$. Since $k_z^{1-\lambda}$ converges to 0 weakly in $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ as z approaches $\partial\Omega$ (the topological boundary of Ω), it follows that \tilde{A} is bounded on Ω if A is bounded, and $\tilde{A}(z) \rightarrow 0$ ($z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$) if A is compact. When $A = T_\mu$, we will write $\tilde{\mu}_\lambda = \tilde{T}_\mu$ and call $\tilde{\mu}_\lambda$ the Berezin symbol of μ . It is clear that

$$\tilde{\mu}_\lambda(z) = \int_{\Omega} |k_z(w)|^{2(1-\lambda)} d\mu(w), \quad z \in \Omega.$$

We will denote by $\beta(z, w)$ the Bergman distance function on Ω . For any z in Ω and $r > 0$, let

$$E(z, r) = \{w \in \Omega : \beta(z, w) < r\}.$$

We denote by $|E(z, r)|$ the normalized volume of $E(z, r)$, that is, $|E(z, r)| = \int_{E(z, r)} dV(w)$. Given a finite complex Borel measure μ on Ω , we define a function $\hat{\mu}_r$ on Ω by

$$\hat{\mu}_r(z) = \frac{\mu(E(z, r))}{|E(z, r)|^{1-\lambda}}, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

We will show that $E(z, r)^{1-\lambda}$ is equivalent to $V_\lambda(E(z, r))$ for any fixed $r > 0$, thus $\hat{\mu}_r(z)$ is equivalent to $\frac{\mu(E(z, r))}{V_\lambda(E(z, r))}$, which is the average over $E(z, r)$ of the function

φ with respect to dV_λ when $d\mu(z) = \varphi(z)dV_\lambda(z)$. So we can think of $\hat{\mu}$ as an averaging function of μ with respect to dV_λ .

We can now state the main results of the paper.

THEOREM A. *For a finite positive Borel measure μ on Ω , the following conditions are all equivalent:*

- (1) T_μ is bounded on $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$;
- (2) For all (or some) $p \geq 1$, the mapping i_p defined by $i_p(f) = f$ is a bounded mapping from $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ into $L^p(\Omega, d\mu)$;
- (3) The Berezin transform $\tilde{\mu}_\lambda$ is bounded on Ω ;
- (4) $\hat{\mu}_r$ is bounded on Ω for all (or some) $r > 0$;
- (5) $\{\hat{\mu}_r(a_n)\}$ is a bounded sequence, where $\{a_n\}$ is some sequence in Ω independent of μ (see Section 2).

A positive Borel measure μ satisfying any of the above conditions is called a Carleson measure (on the weighted Bergman space $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$).

THEOREM B. *For a finite positive Borel measure μ on Ω , the following conditions are all equivalent:*

- (1) T_μ is compact on $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$;
- (2) For all (or some) $p \geq 1$, the mapping i_p defined by $i_p(f) = f$ is a compact mapping from $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ into $L^p(\Omega, d\mu)$;
- (3) The Berezin transform $\tilde{\mu}_\lambda(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$;
- (4) $\hat{\mu}_r(z) \rightarrow 0$ ($z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$) for all (or some) $r > 0$;
- (5) $\hat{\mu}_r(a_n) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow +\infty$).

A positive Borel measure satisfying any of the above conditions is called a vanishing Carleson measure (on the weighted Bergman space $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$).

Let S_p ($p \geq 1$) be the Schatten p -ideal on the Hilbert space $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ (see Section 5), then we have:

THEOREM C. *For a finite positive Borel measure μ on Ω and $p \geq 1$, the following conditions are all equivalent:*

- (1) $T_\mu \in S_p$;
- (2) $\tilde{\mu}_\lambda \in L^p(\Omega, K(z, z)dV(z))$;
- (3) $\hat{\mu}_r \in L^p(\Omega, K(z, z)dV(z))$ for all (or some) $r > 0$;
- (4) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu}_r(a_n))^p < +\infty$.

When $\lambda = 0$ and $p = 2$, Theorems A and B were proved in [4]. If $\Omega = \mathbf{D}$, the open unit disc in \mathbf{C} , then Theorems A and B even go back to [12], [7], [14]. For $\Omega = \mathbf{D}$, Theorem C was proved in [11]. Further treatment of Carleson mea-

sures on the Bergman spaces can be found in [9], [10], [13]. The readers should be warned that Carleson measures defined here are different from the classical Carleson measures first introduced by L. Carleson in [5]. The classical Carleson measures were designed to work for the Hardy space setting.

2. GEOMETRIC PRELIMINARIES

We collect in this section some fact about the Bergman geometry of Ω that we will need later on.

Recall that $E(a, r)$ is the open Bergman metric ball with center a and radius r . We will need an estimate on the volume of $E(a, r)$.

LEMMA 1. *For any $r > 0$, there exists a constant C (depending on r) such that*

$$C^{-1} \leq |E(a, r)| |k_a(z)|^2 \leq C$$

or all a in Ω and $z \in E(a, r)$.

This is just Lemma 8 of [2]. Note that if we let $z = a$ in the above estimate, then we get

$$C^{-1} \leq |E(a, r)| K(a, a) \leq C$$

for all a in Ω .

LEMMA 2. *For any $r > 0$, $s > 0$, $R > 0$, there exists a constant C (depending on r , s , R) such that*

$$C^{-1} \leq \frac{|E(a, r)|}{|E(b, s)|} \leq C$$

for all a, b in Ω with $\beta(a, b) \leq R$.

This is just Lemma 6 of [2].

LEMMA 3. *For any a, b in Ω with $\beta(a, b) < r$, there exists a point $m_{(a,b)}$ such that*

$$E\left(m_{(a,b)}, \frac{r}{2}\right) \subseteq E(a, r) \cap E(b, r).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) be the geodesic (in the Bergman metric) from a to b . Let $m_{(a,b)} = \alpha(1/2)$, then

$$\beta(a, m_{(a,b)}) = \beta(\alpha(0), \alpha(1/2)) = \frac{1}{2} \beta(a, b) < \frac{r}{2}.$$

Similarly, $\beta(b, m_{(a,b)}) < \frac{r}{2}$. Now if $z \in E\left(m_{(a,b)}, \frac{r}{2}\right)$, then

$$\beta(z, a) \leq \beta(z, m_{(a,b)}) + \beta(m_{(a,b)}, a) < \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2} = r,$$

$$\beta(z, b) \leq \beta(z, m_{(a,b)}) + \beta(m_{(a,b)}, b) < \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2} = r.$$

That is, $z \in E(a, r) \cap E(b, r)$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

We will need a decomposition of Ω which is similar to the decomposition of \mathbf{D} into equal-sized squares in the pseudo-hyperbolic metric (see [11], [5]).

LEMMA 4. *For any $r > 0$, there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in Ω satisfying the following two conditions:*

$$(1) \quad \Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E(a_n, r);$$

(2) *There is a positive integer N such that each point z in Ω belongs to at most N of the sets $E(a_n, 2r)$.*

For a proof of Lemma 4, see [4].

LEMMA 5. *For any $r > 0$ and $p \geq 1$, there exists a constant C (depending only on r) such that*

$$|f(a)|^p \leq \frac{C}{|E(a, r)|} \int_{E(a, r)} |f(z)|^p dV(z)$$

for all f holomorphic and a in Ω .

The proof of Lemma 5 is similar to the proof of Lemma 7 in [4], so we omit the details here.

LEMMA 6. *Suppose $r > 0$ and μ is a positive Borel measure on Ω , then there exists a constant C (depending only on r) such that*

$$\mu(E(a, r)) \leq \frac{C}{|E(a, r)|} \int_{E(a, r)} \mu(E(z, r)) dV(z)$$

for all a in Ω .

Proof. For any a in Ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E(a,r)} \mu(E(z, r)) dV(z) &= \int_{E(a,r)} dV(z) \int_{E(z,r)} d\mu(w) = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \chi_{E(a,r)}(z) dV(z) \int_{\Omega} \chi_{E(z,r)}(w) d\mu(w) = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} d\mu(w) \int_{\Omega} \chi_{E(a,r)}(z) \chi_{E(z,r)}(w) dV(z) = \int_{\Omega} d\mu(w) \int_{E(a,r)} \chi_{E(z,r)}(w) dV(z). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\chi_{E(z,r)}(w) = \chi_{E(w,r)}(z)$ for all z and w in Ω , thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E(a,r)} \mu(E(z, r)) dV(z) &= \int_{\Omega} d\mu(w) \int_{E(a,r)} \chi_{E(w,r)}(z) dV(z) = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |E(a, r) \cap E(w, r)| d\mu(w) \geq \int_{E(a,r)} |E(a, r) \cap E(w, r)| d\mu(w). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3, we get

$$\int_{E(a,r)} \mu(E(z, r)) dV(z) \geq \int_{E(a,r)} \left| E\left(m_{(a,w)}, \frac{r}{2}\right) \right| d\mu(w)$$

for all a in Ω . By Lemma 2, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on r such that

$$\left| E(a, r) \right| \leq C \left| E\left(m_{(a,w)}, \frac{r}{2}\right) \right|$$

for all a in Ω and $w \in E(a, r)$. This implies that

$$C \int_{E(a,r)} \mu(E(z, r)) dV(z) \geq \int_{E(a,r)} |E(a, r)| d\mu(w),$$

or

$$\mu(E(a, r)) \leq \frac{C}{|E(a, r)|} \int_{E(a,r)} \mu(E(z, r)) dV(z)$$

for all a in Ω . □

Note that Lemma 6 says that the function $\mu(E(z, r))$ behaves like a subharmonic function in the Bergman metric.

3. BOUNDED TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

Let $\mu \geq 0$ be a finite Borel measure on Ω . We say that μ is a Carleson measure on $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \leq M \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p dV_\lambda(z)$$

for all f in $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$. The following theorem gives a geometric description of Carleson measures on $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$. In particular, it implies that Carleson measures on $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ only depend on λ , not on p .

THEOREM 7. Suppose $\mu \geq 0$ is a finite Borel measure on Ω , $p \geq 1$, then μ is a Carleson measure on $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ iff $\mu(E(z, r))/|E(z, r)|^{1-\lambda}$ is bounded on Ω (as a function of z) for all (or some) $r > 0$. Moreover, the following quantities are equivalent for any fixed $r > 0$ and $p \geq 1$:

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\mu(E(z, r))}{|E(z, r)|^{1-\lambda}} : z \in \Omega \right\}, \quad \sup \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z)}{\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p dV_\lambda(z)} : f \in L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda) \right\}.$$

Proof. Suppose

$$M = \sup \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z)}{\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p dV_\lambda(z)} : f \in L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda) \right\} < +\infty,$$

then

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \leq M \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p dV_\lambda(z)$$

for all $f \in L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$. In particular, if $a \in \Omega$ and

$$f(z) = k_a(z)^{\frac{2(1-\lambda)}{p}},$$

then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |k_a(z)|^{\frac{2(1-\lambda)}{p}} d\mu(z) \leq M$$

for all a in Ω . This implies that

$$\int_{E(a,r)} |k_a(z)|^{2(1-\lambda)} d\mu(z) \leq M$$

for all a in Ω and $r > 0$. By Lemma 1, there exists a constant $C > 0$ (depending on r only) such that

$$|E(a,r)| |k_a(z)|^2 \geq C^{-1}$$

for all z in $E(a,r)$. Thus we have

$$\int_{E(a,r)} d\mu(z) \leq C^{1-\lambda} M |E(a,r)|^{1-\lambda}$$

for

$$\mu(E(a,r)) \leq C^{1-\lambda} M |E(a,r)|^{1-\lambda}$$

for all a in Ω . Hence we have

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\mu(E(a,r))}{|E(a,r)|^{1-\lambda}} : a \in \Omega \right\} \leq C^{1-\lambda} \sup \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z)}{\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p dV_{\lambda}(z)} : f \in L_a^p(\Omega, dV_{\lambda}) \right\}.$$

Conversely, suppose

$$M = \sup \left\{ \frac{\mu(E(a,r))}{|E(a,r)|^{1-\lambda}} : a \in \Omega \right\} < +\infty$$

for some $r > 0$. We wish to show that μ is a Carleson measure on $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_{\lambda})$ for all $p \geq 1$.

Fix $p \geq 1$; applying Lemma 5, we get a constant $C > 0$ (depending only on r) such that

$$|f(z)|^p \leq \frac{C}{|E(z,r)|} \int_{E(z,r)} |f(w)|^p dV_{\lambda}(w)$$

for all f holomorphic in Ω and z in Ω . This implies that for any a in Ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{|f(z)|^p : z \in E(a, r)\} &\leq \sup\left\{\frac{C}{|E(z, r)|} \int_{E(z, r)} |f(w)|^p dV(w) : z \in E(a, r)\right\} \leq \\ &\leq \sup\left\{\frac{C}{|E(z, r)|} \int_{E(a, 2r)} |f(w)|^p dV(w) : z \in E(a, r)\right\} = \\ &= C \sup\left\{\frac{1}{|E(z, r)|} : z \in E(a, r)\right\} \int_{E(a, 2r)} |f(w)|^p dV(w). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ (depending only on r) such that

$$\frac{1}{|E(z, r)|} \leq \frac{C_1}{|E(a, r)|}$$

for all $\beta(z, a) \leq r$. Thus

$$\sup\{|f(z)|^p : z \in E(a, r)\} \leq \frac{CC_1}{|E(a, r)|} \int_{E(a, 2r)} |f(w)|^p dV(w)$$

for all a in Ω . By Lemma 1, there exists another constant $C_2 > 0$ (depending only on r) such that

$$\sup\{|f(z)|^p : z \in E(a, r)\} \leq \frac{CC_1C_2}{|E(a, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \int_{E(a, 2r)} |f(w)|^p dV_\lambda(w)$$

for all a in Ω . By Lemma 4, there exists a positive integer N and a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in Ω (both depending on r) such that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E(a_n, r) = \Omega$$

and each point z in Ω belongs to at most N of the sets $E(a_n, 2r)$. Now if f is holomorphic in Ω , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E(a_n, r)} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E(a_n, r)) \sup\{|f(z)|^p : z \in E(a_n, r)\} \leq \\ &\leq CC_1 C_2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \int_{E(a_n, 2r)} |f(z)|^p dV_{\lambda}(z) \leq \\ &\leq CC_1 C_2 M \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E(a_n, 2r)} |f(z)|^p dV_{\lambda}(z) \leq CC_1 C_2 NM \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p dV_{\lambda}(z). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z)}{\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p dV_{\lambda}(z)} : f \in L_a^p(\Omega, dV_{\lambda}) \right\} \leq CC_1 C_2 N \sup \left\{ \frac{\mu(E(a, r))}{|E(a, r)|^{1-\lambda}} : a \in \Omega \right\}.$$

We have completed the proof of the theorem.

For a positive Borel measure μ on Ω , let

$$\|\mu\|_p = \sup \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z)}{\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p dV_{\lambda}(z)} : f \in L_a^p(\Omega, dV_{\lambda}) \right\};$$

$$\|\tilde{\mu}_\lambda\|_\infty = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |k_a(z)|^{2(1-\lambda)} d\mu(z) : a \in \Omega \right\};$$

$$\|\hat{\mu}_r\|_\infty = \sup \left\{ \frac{\mu(E(a, r))}{|E(a, r)|^{1-\lambda}} : a \in \Omega \right\};$$

$$\|\mu\|_d = \sup \left\{ \frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} : n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

Carefully examining the proof of Theorem 7, we have:

COROLLARY. For $p \geq 1$, $r > 0$, and $\mu \geq 0$, the quantities $\|\mu\|_p$, $\|\tilde{\mu}_\lambda\|_\infty$, $\|\hat{\mu}_r\|_\infty$, and $\|\mu\|_d$ are all equivalent.

Hereafter, we will denote by $\|\mu\|_*$ any of the quantities defined above.

Recall that the Toeplitz operator T_μ is defined by

$$T_\mu f(z) = \int_{\Omega} K_\lambda(z, w) f(w) d\mu(w).$$

If $\|\mu\|_* < +\infty$, then $T_\mu f$ is well-defined for all f in $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ with $p \geq 1$.

THEOREM 8. Suppose $\mu \geq 0$ and $\|\mu\|_* < +\infty$, then T_μ is a bounded linear operator on $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ for all $1 < p < +\infty$ with norm $\|T_\mu\|_p \leq C\|\mu\|_*$, where C is a constant independent of μ (but depending on p and λ).

Proof. Let $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and g be a polynomial in $L_a^q(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$, then Fubini's theorem gives

$$\langle T_\mu f, g \rangle_\lambda = \int_{\Omega} f(z) \overline{g(z)} d\mu(z).$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T_\mu f, g \rangle_\lambda| &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |g(z)|^q d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \\ &\leq \|\mu\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\mu\|^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p dV_\lambda(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |g(z)|^q dV_\lambda(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary to Theorem 7, there exists a constant $C > 0$ (depending only on p) such that $\|\mu\|_q \leq C\|\mu\|_p$. Thus

$$|\langle T_\mu f, g \rangle_\lambda| \leq C^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\mu\|_p \|f\|_p \|g\|$$

for all f in $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ and polynomials g . Note that for $\lambda < \varepsilon_\Omega$, the polynomials expand $L_a^q(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$. Also it is easy to see that $L_a^q(\Omega, dV_\lambda)^* \cong L_a^q(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$. Thus the above inequality shows that T_μ is bounded on $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ with $\|T_\mu\|_p$ dominated by $\|\mu\|_p$. \blacksquare

When $p = 2$, the converse of the above result is also true.

THEOREM 9. *If $\mu \geq 0$ and T_μ is bounded on $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$, then μ is a Carleson measure on $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ with $\|\mu\|_*$ dominated by $\|T_\mu\|_2$.*

Proof. For any f in $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) &= \langle T_\mu f, f \rangle_\lambda \leq \|T_\mu f\|_2 \|f\|_2 \leq \\ &\leq \|T_\mu\|_2 \|f\|_2 \|f\|_2 = \|T_\mu\|_2 \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 dV_\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. □

COROLLARY. *For positive Borel measures μ on Ω , $\|\mu\|_*$ is equivalent to*

$$\|T_\mu\|_2 = \sup \left\{ \|T_\mu f\|_2 : \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 dV_\lambda(z) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let \mathcal{C}_λ be the space of all finite complex Borel measures μ on Ω such that $|\mu|$ is a Carleson measure on the weighted Bergman spaces. We extend the definition of $\|\cdot\|_*$ to \mathcal{C}_λ by letting

$$\|\mu\|_* = \|\mu\|_{L^2}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_\lambda$. We have

THEOREM 10. $(\mathcal{C}_\lambda, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is a Banach space.

Proof. Clearly \mathcal{C}_λ is a normed space. We only need to show the completeness of \mathcal{C}_λ . Assume that $\{\mu_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer K with $\|\mu_n - \mu_m\|_* < \varepsilon$ whenever $n, m \geq K$. In particular,

$$|\mu_n - \mu_m|(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} d|\mu_n - \mu_m|(z) \leq \|\mu_n - \mu_m\|_* < \varepsilon$$

for all $n, m \geq K$. This implies that $\{\mu_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $M(\Omega)$ ($= \mathbf{C}_c(\Omega)^*$), the space of all finite complex Borel measures on Ω . By the completeness of $M(\Omega)$, there exists $\mu \in M(\Omega)$ with $|\mu_n - \mu|(\Omega) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow +\infty$). Next we show that $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_\lambda$ and $\|\mu_n - \mu\|_* \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow +\infty$).

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose K such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |k_a(z)|^{2(1-\lambda)} d|\mu_n - \mu_m|(z) < \varepsilon$$

for all a in Ω and $n, m \geq K$. Let $m \rightarrow +\infty$ in the above inequality, then the boundedness of $k_a(z)$ (for fixed a in Ω) implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} |k_a(z)|^{2(1-\lambda)} d|\mu_n - \mu|(z) \leq \varepsilon \quad (n \geq K, a \in \Omega).$$

This shows that $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_\lambda$ and $\|\mu_n - \mu\|_* \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq K$. Thus $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ in $(\mathcal{C}_\lambda, \|\cdot\|_*)$. \blacksquare

4. COMPACT TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

Recall that \mathcal{C}_λ is the Banach space of finite complex Borel measures μ on Ω such that $|\mu|$ is a Carleson measure on the Bergman spaces. Let \mathcal{C}_λ^0 be the subspace of \mathcal{C}_λ consisting of measures μ such that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \partial\Omega} \frac{|\mu|(E(a, r))}{|E(a, r)|^{1-\lambda}} = 0$$

for all (or some) $r > 0$. We have

THEOREM 11. *Given $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_\lambda$, the following conditions are all equivalent:*

(1) $T_{|\mu|}$ is compact on $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$;

(2) $i_p: L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega, d|\mu|)$ is compact for all (or some) $p \geq 1$;

(3) $\widehat{|\mu|_\lambda}(z) \in C_0(\Omega)$, i.e., $\int_{\Omega} |k_a(z)|^{2(1-\lambda)} d|\mu|(z) \rightarrow 0$ ($a \rightarrow \partial\Omega$);

(4) $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_\lambda^0$, i.e., $\widehat{|\mu|_r}(z) \in C_0(\Omega)$ for all (or some) $r > 0$;

(5) $\widehat{|\mu|_r}(a_n) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow +\infty$);

(6) There exists a sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ in \mathcal{C}_λ with compact supports such that $\|\mu_n - \mu\|_* \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

Proof. Since all the statements are about $|\mu|$, we may as well assume that $\mu \geq 0$. The proof will follow the order:

$$(3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$$

$$(6) \Rightarrow (1) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (6).$$

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Suppose

$$\int_{\Omega} |k_a(z)|^{2(1-\lambda)} d\mu(z) \rightarrow 0 \quad (a \rightarrow \partial\Omega),$$

then

$$\int_{E(a,r)} |k_a(z)|^{2(1-\lambda)} d\mu(z) \rightarrow 0 \quad (a \rightarrow \partial\Omega)$$

for all $r > 0$. Applying Lemma 1, we see that

$$\frac{\mu(E(a, r))}{|E(a, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (a \rightarrow \partial\Omega)$$

for all $r > 0$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). The construction of $\{a_n\}$ implies that $a_n \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

(5) \Rightarrow (2). Assume that

$$\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

We wish to show that $i_p: L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega, d\mu)$ is compact for all $p \geq 1$. It suffices to show that $\int_{\Omega} |f_n(z)|^p d\mu(z) \rightarrow 0$ if $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$. It is easy to see that

$f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ iff $f_n(z) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact sets and the norms of f_n in $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ are bounded above. Now fix $p \geq 1$ and a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$ which converges to 0 weakly. Let

$$M = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |f_n(z)|^p dV_\lambda(z) : n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

Then by the proof of Theorem 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |f_n(z)|^p d\mu(z) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{E(a_k, r)} |f_n(z)|^p d\mu(z) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \int_{E(a_k, r)} |f_n(z)|^p d\mu(z) + \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \int_{E(a_k, r)} |f_n(z)|^p d\mu(z) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K \int_{E(a_k, r)} |f_n(z)|^p d\mu(z) + C \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(E(a_k, r))}{|E(a_k, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \int_{E(a_k, 2r)} |f(z)|^p dV_\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose a positive integer K such that

$$\frac{\mu(E(a_k, r))}{|E(a_k, r)|^{1-\lambda}} < \varepsilon$$

for all $k \geq K + 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |f_n(z)|^p d\mu(z) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K \int_{E(a_k, r)} |f_n(z)|^p d\mu(z) + C\varepsilon \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \int_{E(a_k, 2r)} |f(z)|^p dV_{\lambda}(z) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K \int_{E(a_k, r)} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) + CNM\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $f_n(z) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact sets and each $E(a_k, r)$ has compact closure in Ω , thus letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in the above inequality gives

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_n(z)|^p d\mu(z) \leq CNM\varepsilon.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_n(z)|^p d\mu(z) = 0$$

since ε is arbitrary and C, N, M are constants independent of ε . Hence i_p is compact.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Since i_p is compact and $k_a^{\frac{2(1-\lambda)}{p}} \rightarrow 0$, weakly in $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_{\lambda})$ as $a \rightarrow \partial\Omega$, thus $\int_{\Omega} |k_a(z)|^{\frac{2(1-\lambda)}{p}} d\mu(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow \partial\Omega$.

This completes the proof of the equivalence of (2), (3), (4), and (5). Next we prove the equivalence of (1), (4), and (6).

(6) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose $\|\mu_n - \mu\|_* \rightarrow 0$, then $\|T_{|\mu_n - \mu|}\| \rightarrow 0$. It is easy to check that $\|T_{|\mu_n|} - T_{|\mu|}\| \leq \|T_{|\mu_n - \mu|}\|$. So we have $\|T_{|\mu_n|} - T_{|\mu|}\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Since each $T_{|\mu_n|}$ is compact and the set of compact operators on $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_{\lambda})$ is closed, $T_{|\mu|}$ must be compact.

(1) \Rightarrow (4). Since $T_{|\mu|}$ is compact and $k_a^{1-\lambda} \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_{\lambda})$ we must have

$$\langle T_{|\mu|} k_a^{1-\lambda}, k_a^{1-\lambda} \rangle_{\lambda} = \int_{\Omega} |k_a(z)|^{2(1-\lambda)} d\mu(z) \rightarrow 0.$$

By the equivalence of (3) and (4), we have $\hat{\mu}_r \in C_0(\Omega)$ for all $r > 0$.

(4) \Rightarrow (6). Suppose $r > 0$ and $\hat{\mu}_r \in C_0(\Omega)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $R > 0$ such that $\frac{\mu(E(a, r))}{[E(a, r)]^{1-\lambda}} < \varepsilon$ for all $\beta(0, a) > R$. Let $\mu_n = \chi_{E(0, n)}\mu$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), then for all $n \geq R + r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_n - \mu\|_* &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|\mu_n - \mu|(E(a, r))}{[E(a, r)]^{1-\lambda}} : a \in \Omega \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{|\mu_n - \mu|(E(a, r))}{[E(a, r)]^{1-\lambda}} : \beta(0, a) \leq R \right\} + \sup \left\{ \frac{|\mu_n - \mu|(E(a, r))}{[E(a, r)]^{1-\lambda}} : \beta(0, a) \geq R \right\} = \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|\mu_n - \mu|(E(a, r))}{[E(a, r)]^{1-\lambda}} : \beta(0, a) \geq R \right\} \leq 2 \sup \left\{ \frac{|\mu|(E(a, r))}{[E(a, r)]^{1-\lambda}} : \beta(0, a) \geq R \right\} \leq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

COROLLARY. \mathcal{C}_λ^0 is a closed subspace of \mathcal{C}_λ .

5. TRACE IDEAL TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

Let A be a bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space H , then the s -numbers of A are defined by

$$s_n(A) := \inf \{ \|A - B\| : B \in \mathcal{R}_n \}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where \mathcal{R}_n is the set of all bounded operators on H with rank $\leq n$. Since $\mathcal{R}_n \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{n+1}$, the sequence $\{s_n(A)\}$ is non-increasing: $s_1(A) \geq s_2(A) \geq \dots \geq s_n(A) \geq \dots$. It is well-known that if A is compact on H , then there exist orthonormal vectors $\{e_n\}$ and $\{\sigma_n\}$ in H with

$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n \langle \cdot, e_n \rangle \sigma_n$$

for

$$Ax = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n \langle x, e_n \rangle \sigma_n.$$

For any $1 \leq p < +\infty$, the Schatten ideal $S_p(H)$ is defined to be the set of all compact operators A on H such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (s_n(A))^p < +\infty$. S_p is a Banach space

with the norm $\|A\|_{S_p} = \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (s_n(A))^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$. S_p is also a two-sided ideal of the full algebra $\mathcal{B}(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on H . For any $A \in S_p$ and $B, C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, we have,

$$\|BAC\|_{S_p} \leq \|B\| \|A\|_{S_p} \|C\|.$$

If $A \in S_1$ and $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H , then

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle Ae_n, e_n \rangle$$

is convergent and independent of $\{e_n\}$. If $A \in S_1$ and $A \geq 0$, then $\|A\|_{S_1} = \text{tr}(A)$. In general, we have

$$\|A\|_{S_p} = [\text{tr}((A^* A)^{\frac{p}{2}})]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

For more information on the Schatten ideals, see [6] for example.

In this section, we characterize and study the positive measures μ on Ω such that T_μ is in $S_p(L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda))$. Hereafter, we will simply write $S_p = S_p(L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda))$.

THEOREM 12. Suppose $\mu \geq 0$ is a finite Borel measure on Ω . If $p \geq 1$ and $r > 0$, then the following conditions are all equivalent:

- (1) $T_\mu \in S_p$;
- (2) $\tilde{\mu}_\lambda \in L^p(\Omega, K(z, z)dV(z))$;
- (3) $\hat{\mu}_r \in L^p(\Omega, K(z, z)dV(z))$;
- (4) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu}_r(a_n))^p < +\infty$, where $\{a_n\}$ is the sequence given by Lemma 4.

Before proving this theorem, we need two lemmas.

LEMMA 13. If $A \in S_1$, then

$$\text{tr}(A) = \int_{\Omega} \langle AK_\lambda(\cdot, z), K_\lambda(\cdot, z) \rangle_{\lambda} dV_\lambda(z).$$

Proof. Let $\{e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$, then $K_\lambda(z, w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n(z)\bar{e}_n(w)$ and so

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle Ae_n, e_n \rangle_{\lambda} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} (Ae_n)(z) \overline{e_n(z)} dV_\lambda(z) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \langle Ae_n, K_{\lambda}(\cdot, z) \rangle_{\lambda} \overline{e_n(z)} dV_{\lambda}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \langle e_n, A^* K_{\lambda}(\cdot, z) \rangle_{\lambda} \overline{e_n(z)} dV_{\lambda}(z) = \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \overline{e_n(z)} dV_{\lambda}(z) \int_{\Omega} e_n(w) A^* K_{\lambda}(\cdot, z)(w) dV_{\lambda}(w) = \\
&= \int_{\Omega} dV_{\lambda}(z) \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{e_n(z)} e_n(w) \right) A^* K_{\lambda}(\cdot, z)(w) dV_{\lambda}(w) = \\
&= \int_{\Omega} dV_{\lambda}(z) \int_{\Omega} K_{\lambda}(w, z) \overline{A^* K_{\lambda}(\cdot, z)(w)} dV_{\lambda}(w) = \\
&= \int_{\Omega} dV_{\lambda}(z) \int_{\Omega} \overline{A^* K_{\lambda}(\cdot, z)(w)} K_{\lambda}(z, w) dV_{\lambda}(w) = \\
&= \int_{\Omega} A^* K_{\lambda}(\cdot, z)(z) dV_{\lambda}(z) = \int_{\Omega} \langle A^* K_{\lambda}(\cdot, z), K_{\lambda}(\cdot, z) \rangle_{\lambda} dV_{\lambda}(z) = \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \langle AK_{\lambda}(\cdot, z), K_{\lambda}(\cdot, z) \rangle_{\lambda} dV_{\lambda}(z). \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 14. Suppose A, B are compact and $0 \leq A \leq B$, then $s_n(A) \leq s_n(B)$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
s_1(A) &= \|A\| = \sup \{ \langle Ax, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \} \leq \\
&\leq \sup \{ \langle Bx, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1 \} = \|B\| = s_1(B).
\end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 1$, we apply the theorem in § 2.1 of [6] to get

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{n+1}(A) &= \min \left\{ \sup_{x \in L^\perp} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle} : L \text{ is } n\text{-dimensional in } H \right\} \leq \\
&\leq \min \left\{ \sup_{x \in L^\perp} \frac{\langle Bx, x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle} : L \text{ is } n\text{-dimensional in } H \right\} = s_{n+1}(B). \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

We now prove Theorem 12. We will follow the order

$$(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (1).$$

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose $T_\mu \in S_p$. Since $T_\mu \geq 0$, we have

$$\|T_\mu\|_{S_p}^p = \text{tr}(T_\mu^p).$$

Using Lemma 13, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\mu\|_{S_p}^p &= \int_{\Omega} \langle T_\mu^p K_\lambda(\cdot, z), K_\lambda(\cdot, z) \rangle_\lambda dV(z) = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} K(z, z)^{1-\lambda} \langle T_\mu^p k_z^{1-\lambda}, k_z^{1-\lambda} \rangle_\lambda dV_\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \geq 1$ and each $k_z^{1-\lambda}$ is a unit vector in $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$, we can apply Proposition 6.4 of [1] to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\mu\|_{S_p}^p &\geq \int_{\Omega} K(z, z)^{1-\lambda} [\langle T_\mu k_z^{1-\lambda}, k_z^{1-\lambda} \rangle_\lambda]^p dV_\lambda(z) = \\ &= C_\lambda^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (\tilde{\mu}_\lambda(z))^p K(z, z) dV(z). \end{aligned}$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3). By Lemma 1, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_r(a) &= \frac{1}{|E(a, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \int_{E(a, r)} d\mu(z) \leq C \int_{E(a, r)} |k_a(z)|^{2(1-\lambda)} d\mu(z) \leq \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |k_a(z)|^{2(1-\lambda)} d\mu(z) = C \tilde{\mu}_\lambda(a). \end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (4). By Lemma 6, we have

$$\mu(E(a_n, r)) \leq \frac{C}{|E(a_n, r)|} \int_{E(a_n, r)} \mu(E(z, r)) dV(z), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$[\mu(E(a_n, r))]^p \leq \frac{C^p}{|E(a_n, r)|} \int_{E(a_n, r)} (\mu(E(z, r)))^p dV(z), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

By Lemma 2, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^p \leq \frac{C_1}{|E(a_n, r)|} \int_{E(a_n, r)} \left[\frac{\mu(E(z, r))}{|E(z, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^p dV(z) \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

By Lemma 1, there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^p \leq C_2 \int_{E(a_n, r)} \left[\frac{\mu(E(z, r))}{|E(z, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^p K(z, z) dV(z) \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^p \leq C_2 N \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\mu(E(z, r))}{|E(z, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^p K(z, z) dV(z),$$

or

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu}_r(a_n))^p \leq C_2 N \int_{\Omega} (\hat{\mu}_r(z))^p K(z, z) dV(z).$$

(4) \Rightarrow (1). We prove this final implication by complex interpolation. First we prove the implication directly for $p = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{\mu}, s_1 \rangle &= \text{tr}(T_{\mu}) = \int_{\Omega} \langle T_{\mu} K_{\lambda}(\cdot, z), K_{\lambda}(\cdot, z) \rangle_z dV_{\lambda}(z) = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} dV_{\lambda}(z) \int_{\Omega} |K_{\lambda}(w, z)|^2 d\mu(w) = \int_{\Omega} d\mu(w) \int_{\Omega} |K_{\lambda}(w, z)|^2 dV_{\lambda}(z) = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} K_{\lambda}(w, w) d\mu(w) = \int_{\Omega} K(z, z)^{1-\lambda} d\mu(z) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E(a_n, r)} K(z, z)^{1-\lambda} d\mu(z) \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from Lemma 1.

Now assume $1 < p < +\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu}_r(a_n))^p < +\infty$; we wish to show that $T_{\mu} \in S_p$ with $\|T_{\mu}\|_{S_p}^p \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu}_r(a_n))^p$. For any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \zeta \leq 1$, we define a measure μ_{ζ} by

$$\mu_{\zeta}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^{p\operatorname{Re} \zeta - 1} \chi_{E(a_n, r)}(z) \mu(z).$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu}_r(a_n))^p < +\infty$, we may as well assume that $\mu(E(a_n, r)) < |E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_{\zeta}|(\Omega) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^{p\operatorname{Re} \zeta - 1} \mu(E(a_n, r)) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^{-1} \mu(E(a_n, r)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda} \leq \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E(a_n, r)} K(z, z)^{\lambda} dV(z) \leq CN \int_{\Omega} K(z, z)^{\lambda} dV(z) = CNC_{\lambda} < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C is from Lemma 1 and N is from Lemma 4. Therefore, each μ is a finite complex Borel measure on Ω . Note that μ_{ζ} depends holomorphically on ζ .

For any $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \zeta \leq 1$, consider the Toeplitz operator $T_{\mu_{\zeta}}$ on $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_{\lambda})$ defined by

$$T_{\mu_{\zeta}} f(z) = \int_{\Omega} K_{\lambda}(z, w) f(w) d\mu_{\zeta}(w).$$

It is easy to see that

$$T_{\mu} \leq T_{\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}} \leq NT_{\mu}.$$

By Lemma 14, we have

$$\|T_{\mu}\|_{S_p} \leq \|T_{\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}}\|_{S_p} \leq N\|T_{\mu}\|_{S_p}.$$

So in order to prove $T_\mu \in S_p$, it suffices to prove $T_{\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}} \in S_p$. But by complex interpolation (see §3.13 of [6] for example), we have $\|T_{\mu_{\frac{1}{p}}}\|_{S_p} \leq M_0^{\frac{1}{p}} M_1^{\frac{1}{p}}$, where

$$M_0 = \sup \{ \|T_{\mu_\zeta}\| : \operatorname{Re} \zeta = 0 \},$$

$$M_1 = \sup \{ \|T_{\mu_\zeta}\|_{S_1} : \operatorname{Re} \zeta = 1 \}.$$

Note that for complex interpolation, S_∞ is defined to be the set of all compact operators A with $\|A\|_{S_\infty} = \|A\|$.

Next we show that $M_0 < +\infty$ and M_0 is independent of μ . We also show that

$$M_1 \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu}_r(a_n))^2$$

with C a constant independent of μ . This will finish the proof of the theorem.

For f and g in $L^2(\Omega, dV_z)$, we have

$$\langle T_{\mu_\zeta} f, g \rangle_z = \int_{\Omega} f(z) \overline{g(z)} d\mu_\zeta(z).$$

So

$$|\langle T_{\mu_\zeta} f, g \rangle_z|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 d\mu_\zeta(z) \int_{\Omega} |g(z)|^2 d\mu_\zeta(z).$$

When $\operatorname{Re} \zeta = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\zeta(E(a_k, r)) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^{-1} \int_{E(a_k, r)} \chi_{E(a_n, r)}(z) d\mu(z) = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^{-1} \mu(E(a_k, r) \cap E(a_n, r)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $E(a_k, r) \cap E(a_n, r) \neq \emptyset$ implies that $a_k \in E(a_n, 2r)$. Thus by (2) of Lemma 4, $E(a_k, r)$ meets at most N of the sets $E(a_n, r)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) for any fixed positive integer k . Therefore, for any $k = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists $N_k \leq N$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\zeta(E(a_k, r)) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_{n_i}, r))}{|E(a_{n_i}, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^{-1} \mu(E(a_k, r) \cap E(a_{n_i}, r)) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_{n_i}, r))}{|E(a_{n_i}, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^{-1} \mu(E(a_{n_i}, r)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} |E(a_{n_i}, r)|^{1-\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, $E(a_{n_i}, r) \cap E(a_k, r) \neq 0$ implies that

$$|E(a_{n_i}, r)| \leq C |E(a_k, r)|$$

with $C > 0$ depending only on r . Thus for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$|\mu_\zeta(E(a_k, r))| \leq C^{1-\lambda} N_k |E(a_k, r)|^{1-\lambda} \leq NC^{1-\lambda} |E(a_k, r)|^{1-\lambda}.$$

By Corollary to Theorem 7, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of μ and ζ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 d|\mu_\zeta|(z) \leq C \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 dV_\lambda(z)$$

for all f in $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$. Therefore,

$$|\langle T_{\mu_\zeta} f, g \rangle_\lambda|^2 \leq C^2 \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 dV_\lambda(z) \int_{\Omega} |g(z)|^2 dV_\lambda(z).$$

This implies that $\|T_{\mu_\zeta}\| \leq C$ for all ζ with $\operatorname{Re} \zeta = 0$.

When $\operatorname{Re} \zeta = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} K(z, z)^{1-\lambda} d|\mu_\zeta|(z) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^{p-1} \int_{E(a_n, r)} K(z, z)^{1-\lambda} d\mu(z) \leq \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^{p-1} \frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} = C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^p, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C is from Lemma 1. If $\{f_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$ are orthonormal bases for $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_\lambda)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle T_{\mu_\zeta} f_n, g_n \rangle_\lambda|^2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_n(z)| |g_n(z)| d|\mu_\zeta|(z) = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(z)| |g_n(z)| \right) d|\mu_\zeta|(z) \leq \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(z)|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g_n(z)|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d|\mu_\zeta|(z) = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} K_\lambda(z, z) d|\mu_\zeta|(z) = \int_{\Omega} K(z, z)^{1-\lambda} d|\mu_\zeta|(z) \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^p \end{aligned}$$

for all $\operatorname{Re} \zeta = 1$. This implies that

$$\|T_{\mu_\zeta}\|_{S_p} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{E(a_n, r)^{1-\lambda}} \right]^p$$

for all $\operatorname{Re} \zeta = 1$. Therefore

$$M_1 \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu}_r(a_n))^p.$$

Hence

$$\|T_{\mu_\zeta}\|_{S_p} \leq M_0^{1-\frac{1}{p}} M_1^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C^{\frac{1}{p}} M_0^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu}_r(a_n))^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

with M_0 and C independent of μ . This completes the proof of Theorem 12. \square

COROLLARY. *For a positive Borel measure μ on Ω , the following quantities are equivalent:*

- (1) $\|T_{\mu_\zeta}\|_{S_p}$;
- (2) $\left[\int_{\Omega} (\hat{\mu}_r(z))^p K(z, z) dV(z) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$;
- (3) $\left[\int_{\Omega} (\hat{\mu}_r(z))^p K(z, z) dV(z) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$;
- (4) $\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu}_r(a_n))^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

6. EXAMPLES OF CARLESON MEASURES AND TRACE IDEAL TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

Consider a special class of measures of the form

$$d\mu(z) = |f(z)|^s K(z, z)^\alpha dV(z)$$

with f holomorphic in Ω , $s \geq 1$, and α real. We have following:

PROPOSITION 15. *For the measure μ defined above, T_μ is bounded on $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_z)$ iff $|f(z)|^s K(z, z)^{\alpha-\lambda}$ is bounded in Ω ; T_μ is compact on $L_a^2(\Omega, dV_z)$ iff $|f(z)|^p K(z, z)^{\alpha-\lambda} \rightarrow 0$, as $z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$.*

Proof. We prove the first statement. The second statement has a similar proof.
If $|f(z)|^s K(z, z)^{\alpha-\lambda} \leq M$ for all z in Ω , then clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |g(z)|^p d\mu(z) &= \int_{\Omega} |g(z)|^p |f(z)|^s K(z, z)^{\alpha-\lambda} dV(z) = \\ &= C_{\lambda} \int_{\Omega} |g(z)|^p |f(z)|^p K(z, z)^{\alpha-\lambda} dV_{\lambda}(z) \leq C_{\lambda} M \int_{\Omega} |g(z)|^p dV_{\lambda}(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all g in $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_{\lambda})$. Thus μ is a Carleson measure on $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_{\lambda})$ and by Corollary to Theorem 9, T_{μ} is bounded on $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_{\lambda})$.

Conversely, if T_{μ} is bounded on $L_a^p(\Omega, dV_{\lambda})$, then by Corollary to Theorem 9, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\mu(E(a, r))}{|E(a, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \leq C_1$$

for all a in Ω . Choose $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$|f(z)|^s \leq \frac{C_2}{|E(z, r)|} \int_{E(z, r)} |f(w)|^s dV(w)$$

for all z in Ω . By Lemmas 1 and 2, there exists $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} K(z, z)^{\alpha-\lambda} |f(z)|^s &\leq \frac{C_3}{|E(z, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \int_{E(z, r)} |f(w)|^s K(w, w)^{\alpha} dV(w) = \\ &= C_3 \frac{\mu(E(z, r))}{|E(z, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \leq C_1 C_3 \end{aligned}$$

for all z in Ω . Thus $|f(z)|^s K(z, z)^{\alpha-\lambda}$ is bounded in Ω . □

PROPOSITION 16. Let $d\mu(z) = |f(z)|^s K(z, z)^{\alpha} dV(z)$ with f holomorphic, $s \geq 1$, and α real, then for all $p \geq 1$, we have $T_{\mu} \in S_p$ iff $|f(z)|^s K(z, z)^{\alpha-\lambda} \in L^p(\Omega, K(z, z) dV(z))$.

Proof. Suppose $|f(z)|^s K(z, z)^{\alpha-\lambda} \in L^p(\Omega, K(z, z) dV(z))$, then

$$M = \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^{ps} K(z, z)^{\alpha p - \lambda p + 1} dV(z) < +\infty.$$

By Lemma 1, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(E(a, r))}{|E(a, r)|^{1-\lambda}} &= \frac{\int_{E(a, r)} |f(z)|^s K(z, z)^a dV(z)}{|E(a, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{|E(a, r)|} \int_{E(a, r)} |f(z)|^s K(z, z)^{a-\lambda} dV(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all a in Ω . Apply Lemma 1 again to get another constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\mu(E(a, r))}{|E(a, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right)^p &\leq \frac{C_1^p}{|E(a, r)|^p} \int_{E(a, r)} |f(z)|^{sp} K(z, z)^{ap-\lambda p} dV(z) \leq \\ &\leq C_2 \int_{E(a, r)} |f(z)|^{sp} K(z, z)^{ap-\lambda p+1} dV(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all a in Ω . In particular, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\mu(E(a_n, r))}{|E(a_n, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \right]^p \leq C_2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E(a_n, r)} |f(z)|^{sp} K(z, z)^{ap-\lambda p+1} dV(z) \leq C_2 NM < +\infty,$$

where N is from Lemma 4. By Theorem 12, we have $T_\mu \in S_p$.

Conversely, suppose $T_\mu \in S_p$, then by Theorem 12,

$$M = \int_{\Omega} \left[\int_{\Omega} |k_z(w)|^{2(1-\lambda)} |f(w)|^s K(w, w)^a dV(w) \right]^p K(z, z) dV(z) < +\infty.$$

But by Lemma 1, there exists a constant $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} |k_z(w)|^{2(1-\lambda)} |f(w)|^s K(w, w)^a dV(w) \geq \\ &\geq \int_{E(z, r)} |k_z(w)|^{2(1-\lambda)} |f(w)|^s K(w, w)^a dV(w) \geq \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{|E(z, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \int_{E(z, r)} |f(w)|^s K(w, w)^a dV(w) \end{aligned}$$

for all z in Ω . By the proof of Proposition 15, there exists another constant $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{|E(z, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \int_{E(z, r)} |f(w)|^s K(w, w)^\alpha dV(w) \geq \varepsilon_2 |f(z)|^s K(z, z)^{\alpha-\lambda}$$

for all z in Ω . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [|f(z)|^s K(z, z)^{\alpha-\lambda}]^p K(z, z) dV(z) \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2^p} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{|E(z, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \int_{E(z, r)} |f(w)|^s K(w, w)^\alpha dV(w) \right]^p K(z, z) dV(z) \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1^p \varepsilon_2^p} \int_{\Omega} \left[\int_{\Omega} |k_z(w)|^{2(1-\lambda)} |f(w)|^s K(w, w)^\alpha dV(w) \right]^p K(z, z) dV(z) = \frac{M}{\varepsilon_1^p \varepsilon_2^p} < +\infty. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. We can replace $|f(z)|^s$ in Propositions 15 and 16 by any positive subharmonic function in Ω .

PROPOSITION 17. Suppose φ is a positive function on Ω , $p \geq 1$, and $\varphi \in L^p(\Omega, K(z, z) dV(z))$, then $T_\varphi \in S_p$.

Proof. By definition,

$$T_\varphi f(z) = \int_{\Omega} K_\lambda(z, w) \varphi(w) f(w) dV_\lambda(w).$$

Let $d\mu(z) = \varphi(z) dV_\lambda(z)$ then $T_\varphi = T_\mu$. By Theorem 12, it suffices to show that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu}_r(a_n))^p < +\infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_r(z) &= \frac{1}{|E(z, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \int_{E(z, r)} \varphi(w) dV_\lambda(w) = \\ &= \frac{C_2}{|E(z, r)|^{1-\lambda}} \int_{E(z, r)} \varphi(w) K(w, w)^\lambda dV(w) \leq \frac{C_1}{|E(z, r)|} \int_{E(z, r)} \varphi(w) dV(w), \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 is a constant from Lemma 1. By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\hat{\mu}_r(z)^p \leq \frac{C_1^p}{|E(z, r)|} \int_{E(z, r)} \varphi(w)^p dV(w).$$

Apply Lemma 1 again to get another constant $C_2 > 0$ with

$$\hat{\mu}_r(z)^p \leq C_2 \int_{E(z, r)} \varphi(w)^p K(w, w) dV(w)$$

or all z in Ω . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\hat{\mu}_r(a_n)|^p &\leq C_2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E(a_n, r)} \varphi(w)^p K(w, w) dV(w) \leq \\ &\leq C_2 N \int_{\Omega} \varphi(w)^p K(w, w) dV(w) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$
□

Research supported by the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

1. ARAZY, J.; FISHER, S.; PELLER, J., Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, **110**(1988), 989-1054.
2. BERGER, C. A.; COBURN, L. A.; ZHU, K. H., Function theory on Cartan domains and the Berezin-Toeplitz symbol calculus, *Amer. J. Math.*, **110**(1988), 921-953.
3. BERGER, C. A.; COBURN, L. A.; ZHU, K. H., BMO on the Bergman spaces of the classical domains, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **17**(1987), 133-136.
4. BERGER, C. A.; COBURN, L. A.; ZHU, K. H., BMO in the Bergman metric on bounded symmetric domains, preprint.
5. CARLSON, L., Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem, *Ann. of Math.*, **76**(1962), 547-559.
6. GOHBERG, I. C.; KREIN, M. G., *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*, Vol. **18**, AMS Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 1969.
7. HASTINGS, W., A Carleson measure theorem for Bergman spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **52**(1975), 237-241.
8. KORÁNYI, A., The Poisson integral for generalized half-planes and bounded symmetric domains, *Ann. of Math.*, **82**(1965), 332-350.
9. LUECKING, D., Forward and reverse Carleson inequalities for functions in Bergman spaces and their derivatives, *Amer. J. Math.*, **107**(1985), 85-111.

10. LUECKING, D., A technique for characterizing Carleson measures on Bergman spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **87**(1983), 656–660.
11. LUECKING, D., Trace ideal criteria for Toeplitz operators, preprint.
12. McDONALD, G.; SUNDBERG, C., Toeplitz operators on the disc, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **28**(1979), 595–611.
13. STEGENGA, D., Multipliers of the Dirichlet space, *Illinois J. Math.*, **24**(1980), 113–139.
14. ZHU, K. H., VMO, ESV, and Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **302**(1987), 617–646.

KEHE ZHU

*Department of Mathematics,
University of Washington,
Seattle, WA 98195,
U.S.A.*

Current address:

*Department of Mathematics,
State University of New York,
Albany, NY 12222,
U.S.A.*

Received December 8, 1987; revised April 4, 1988.