

ON THE RANGE OF A CLOSED OPERATOR

SEN-YEN SHAW

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a Banach space and let A be a closed operator with domain $D(A)$ and range $R(A)$ in X . Assume that 0 is a limit point of the resolvent set $\rho(A)$ of A , and $\|\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\| = O(1)$ ($\lambda \rightarrow 0$, $\lambda \in \rho(A)$). It is easily seen that if

$$(1) \quad y \in R(A),$$

then y satisfies the condition:

$$(2) \quad \|(\lambda I - A)^{-1}y\| = O(1) \quad (\lambda \rightarrow 0).$$

It follows from the mean ergodic theorem for pseudo-resolvents [6, p. 217] (or from direct computation) that if y satisfies (2), then $y \in \overline{R(A)}$. In general, such y does not necessarily belong to $R(A)$. For instance, let A be the multiplication by the function $m(t) = it$ on the space $X \equiv \{f \in C[0, 1] ; f(0) = 0\}$. Then $\|\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\| = \left\| \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - it} \right\|_{\infty} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |\lambda(\lambda^2 + t^2)^{-1/2}| \leq 1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. The function $y(t) = t$ is not contained in $R(A)$, but $\|(\lambda I - A)^{-1}y\| = \left\| \frac{t}{\lambda - it} \right\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

The purpose of this paper is to discuss possible situations under which the two conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent. Lin and Sine [3] have proved that if T is a contraction on L_1 , or if it is a dual operator, then $y \in R(T - I)$ is equivalent to that $\sup_{n \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j y \right\| < \infty$. In [2], similar results are obtained for generators of (C_0) -semigroups. Motivated by ideas from [3], we prove in Section 2 some general theorems, which, when applied to generators of discrete semigroups and (C_0) -semigroups, extend some theorems in [2] and [3]. As a third application, results for generators of cosine operator functions are also obtained.

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

THEOREM 1. Assume that $0 \in \rho(\bar{A})$ and $\|\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\| = O(1)$ ($\lambda \rightarrow 0$). If $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ is weakly compact for some (and hence all) λ in $\rho(A)$, then (1) and (2) are equivalent.

Proof. We only have to prove that (2) implies (1). Let $\lambda_0 \in \rho(A)$. The weak compactness of $(\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}$ and (2) imply the existence of a sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ such that $-(\lambda_n I - A)^{-1}\lambda_0(\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}y$ converges weakly to some z . Hence $-A(\lambda_n I - A)^{-1}\lambda_0(\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}y = \lambda_0(\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}y - \lambda_n(\lambda_n I - A)^{-1}\lambda_0(\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}y$ converges to $\lambda_0(\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}y$. Then we have $Az = \lambda_0(\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}y$, by the closedness of A . Let $x = z - (\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}y$. Then $Ax = Az - A(\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}y = \lambda_0(\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}y - A(\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1}y = y$. \blacksquare

Since a bounded operator on a reflexive space is weakly compact, the equivalence of (1) and (2) holds whenever X is reflexive. This is the case in particular for the Lebesgue space $L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$, $1 < p < \infty$, where μ is a σ -finite measure. For the case $p = 1$, we need the extra assumption that $\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ is a contraction.

THEOREM 2. If $X = L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ and if $\|\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\| \leq 1$ for all small $\lambda > 0$, then (1) and (2) are equivalent.

Proof. Take a small $\lambda > 0$ such that $\|\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\| \leq 1$ and such that $\|(\alpha I - A)^{-1}y\| \leq M$ for all $0 < \alpha \leq \lambda$. Let $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0}$ be a Banach limit on the space of bounded functions on $(0, \lambda/2]$. Then we can define a linear functional p on $X^* = L_\infty$ by $p(x^*) = -\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \langle (\alpha I - A)^{-1}y, x^* \rangle$. p belongs to $X^{**} = L_\infty^* = \text{ba}(S, \Sigma, \mu)$, the space of bounded finitely additive measures (= charges) $\ll \mu$, and $\|p\| \leq M$. We have for $x^* \in X^*$

$$\begin{aligned} [(\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1})^{**}p](x^*) &= -\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \langle (\alpha I - A)^{-1}y, (\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1})^*x^* \rangle = \\ &= -\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \langle \lambda(\lambda - \alpha)^{-1}(\alpha I - A)^{-1}y, x^* \rangle = \\ &= -\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \langle \lambda(\lambda - \alpha)^{-1}[(\alpha I - A)^{-1} - (\lambda I - A)^{-1}]y, x^* \rangle = \\ &= -\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \langle (\alpha I - A)^{-1}y, x^* \rangle - \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \langle \alpha(\lambda - \alpha)^{-1}(\alpha I - A)^{-1}y, x^* \rangle + \\ &\quad + \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\lambda - \alpha)^{-1} \langle (\lambda I - A)^{-1}y, x^* \rangle = p(x^*) + \langle (\lambda I - A)^{-1}y, x^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1})^{**}p = p + (\lambda I - A)^{-1}y$.

L_1 can be identified, via the Radon-Nikodym theorem, with $M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$, the subspace of $\text{ba}(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ which consists of all countably additive measures $\ll \mu$. Decompose $p = p_1 + p_2$ with $p_1 \in M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ and p_2 a pure charge (cf. [7]). Using the contraction assumption and the fact that the norm of an element of $\text{ba}(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is the sum of the norms of its parts we obtain the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}\|p_2\| &\geq \|(\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1})^{**}p_2\| = \|-\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}p_1 + p_1 + (\lambda I - A)^{-1}y + p_2\| = \\ &= \|-\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}p_1 + p_1 + (\lambda I - A)^{-1}y\| + \|p_2\|,\end{aligned}$$

which gives that $p_1 = \lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}p_1 - (\lambda I - A)^{-1}y \in D(A)$ and $Ap_1 = y$. \blacksquare

It has been known that in some respects the local weak*-compactness of the dual space X^* makes the dual operator A^* nicer than A . The following theorem is an example.

THEOREM 3. *Let A be a densely defined closed operator such that $0 \in \overline{\rho(A)}$ and $\|\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\| = O(1)$ ($\lambda \rightarrow 0$). The two conditions are equivalent:*

- (1*) $y^* \in R(A^*)$;
- (2*) $\|(\lambda I^* - A^*)^{-1}y^*\| = O(1)$ ($\lambda \rightarrow 0$).

Proof. If (2*) holds, then there exist $x^* \in X^*$ and $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ such that $-(\lambda_n I^* - A^*)^{-1}y^* \rightarrow x^*$ weakly*. For $x \in D(A)$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Ax, x^* \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Ax, -(\lambda_n I^* - A^*)^{-1}y^* \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle -(\lambda_n I - A)^{-1}Ax, y^* \rangle = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x - \lambda_n(\lambda_n I - A)^{-1}x, y^* \rangle = \langle x, y^* \rangle - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x, \lambda_n(\lambda_n I^* - A^*)^{-1}y^* \rangle = \\ &= \langle x, y^* \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $x^* \in D(A^*)$ and $A^*x^* = y^*$. \blacksquare

3. GENERATORS OF SEMIGROUPS AND COSINE FUNCTIONS

In this section we apply the above theorems to special operators, namely the generators of discrete semigroups, continuous semigroups, and cosine operator functions.

Let T be a power bounded operator, i.e. $\|T^n\| \leq M$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Set $A = T - I$. Then $0 \in \overline{\rho(A)}$ and $\sup_{\lambda > 0} \|\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\| = \sup_{\lambda > 1} \|(\lambda - 1)(\lambda I - T)^{-1}\| \leq M$.

Let $S_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j$. If $y = Ax = (T - I)x$, then $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|S_n y\| = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|T^n x - x\| \leqslant (M + 1)\|x\| < \infty$. Next, suppose that $K = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|S_n y\| < \infty$. Then

$$\|(\lambda I - T)^{-1}y\| = \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n-1} T^n y \right\| = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda^{-n} - \lambda^{-n-1}) S_n y \right\| \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda^{-n} - \lambda^{-n-1}) = \frac{K}{\lambda} \leqslant K$$

for all $\lambda > 1$. Combining these facts with Theorems 2 and 3 we obtain the following two results of Lin and Sine [3, Theorems 7 and 5].

COROLLARY 4. *Let T be a contraction on $L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$. Then $f \in L_1$ is of the form $f = (T - I)g$ with $g \in L_1$ if and only if $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|S_n f\| < \infty$.*

COROLLARY 5. *Let T be a power bounded operator on a Banach space X . Then $y^* = T^*x^* - x^*$ for some $x^* \in X^*$ if and only if $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|S_n^* y^*\| < \infty$.*

Next, we consider the case that A is the infinitesimal generator of a (C_0) -semigroup $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ of operators. Assume that $\|T(t)\| \leq M$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then A is a densely defined closed operator such that $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ and $\|\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\| \leq M$ for all $\lambda > 0$, by the Hille-Yosida theorem. It is known that if $T(t)$ is compact for all $t > 0$, then $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ is compact for all $\lambda > 0$ (cf. [1, p. 189]). Hence Theorems 1 and 2 justify the equivalence of (1) and (2) in the next corollary.

COROLLARY 6. *Let A be the generator of a (C_0) -semigroup $T(\cdot)$ with $\|T(t)\| \leq M$, $t \geq 0$. If $T(t)$ is compact for all $t > 0$, or if $X = L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ and $M = 1$, then each of the conditions (1), (2) is equivalent to*

$$(3) \quad \sup_{t > 0} \left\| \int_0^t T(s)y ds \right\| < \infty.$$

Proof. It remains to show " $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ " and " $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ ". If $y = Ax$, then

$$\left\| \int_0^t T(s)y ds \right\| = \left\| \int_0^t T(s)Ax ds \right\| = \|T(t)x - x\| \leq (M + 1)\|x\|$$

and hence (3) holds. If (3) holds with $K = \sup_{t>0} \left\| \int_0^t T(s)y ds \right\|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda I - A)^{-1}y\| &= \left\| \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)y dt \right\| = \left\| \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \left(\int_0^t T(s)y ds \right) dt \right\| \leq \\ &\leq K\lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} dt = K \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. Hence (2) holds. □

In a similar way we easily derive the next corollary from Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 7. *If A is the generator of a uniformly bounded (C_0) -semigroup $T(\cdot)$, then each of the conditions (1*), (2*) is equivalent to*

$$(3^*) \sup_{t>0} \left\| \int_0^t T^*(s)y^* ds \right\| < \infty,$$

where the integral is in the sense of W^* -Riemann integration.

In the rest we give an application to the generator A of a strongly continuous cosine operator function $\{C(t); t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. By definition $C(\cdot)$ is continuous in the strong operator topology and satisfies: $C(0) = I$, $C(s+t) + C(s-t) = 2C(s)C(t)$, $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $A := C''(0)$. Assume that $\|C(t)\| \leq M$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It is known from the generation theorem (cf. [4]) that A is a densely defined closed operator such that

$$\lambda \in \rho(A) \text{ and } \lambda(\lambda^2 I - A)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} C(t)x dt \text{ for all } \lambda > 0. \text{ Clearly, } \|\lambda(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\| \leq M$$

for all $\lambda > 0$.

The associated sine function $S(\cdot)$ is defined by $S(t)x := \int_0^t C(s)x ds$ ($x \in X$).

It is uniformly continuous. $S(t)$ is compact on an interval of positive length if and only if $S(t)$ is compact for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and also iff $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ is compact for some (and hence every) λ in $\rho(A)$ (see [5]). Now, we can apply Theorems 1 and 2 to justify the equivalence of (1) and (2) in the following corollary.

COROLLARY 8. *Let A be the generator of a strongly continuous cosine operator function $C(\cdot)$, with $\|C(t)\| \leq M$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. If $S(t)$ is compact for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, or if*

$X = L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ and $M = 1$, then each of conditions (1), (2) is equivalent to

$$(4) \sup_{t>0} \left\| \int_0^t S(s)yds \right\| < \infty.$$

Proof. It remains to show “(1) \Rightarrow (4)” and “(4) \Rightarrow (2)”. If $y = Ax$, then

$$\left\| \int_0^t S(s)yds \right\| = \left\| \int_0^t S(s)Axds \right\| = \|C(t)x - x\| \leq (M + 1)\|x\| \text{ and hence (4) holds.}$$

Finally, we use integration by parts to write

$$(\lambda^2 I - A)^{-1}y = \lambda^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} C(t)ydt = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)ydt = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \left(\int_0^t S(s)yds \right) dt,$$

from which it is easy to see that (4) implies (2). \blacksquare

COROLLARY 9. If A is the generator of a uniformly bounded, strongly continuous cosine operator function $C(\cdot)$, then each of the conditions (1*), (2*) is equivalent to

$$(4^*) \sup_{t>0} \left\| \int_0^t S^*(s)y^*ds \right\| < \infty.$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 3, we only have to show “(1*) \Rightarrow (4*)” and “(4*) \Rightarrow (2*)”. If $y^* = A^*x^*$, then for all $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle x, \int_0^t S^*(s)y^*ds \right\rangle \right| &= \left| \left\langle \int_0^t S(s)xds, y^* \right\rangle \right| = \left| \left\langle A \int_0^t S(s)xds, x^* \right\rangle \right| = \\ &= |\langle C(t)x - x, x^* \rangle| \leq (M + 1)\|x\|\|x^*\|, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\left\| \int_0^t S^*(s)y^*ds \right\| \leq (M + 1)\|x^*\|$ for all $t > 0$.

Finally, since for all $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, (\lambda^2 I^* - A^*)^{-1}y^* \rangle &= \langle (\lambda^2 I - A)^{-1}x, y^* \rangle = \left\langle \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \left(\int_0^t S(s)xds \right) dt, y^* \right\rangle = \\ &= \left\langle x, \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \left(\int_0^t S^*(s)y^*ds \right) dt \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for all $\lambda > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda^2 I^* - A^*)^{-1}y^*\| &= \left\| \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \left(\int_0^t S^*(s)y^* ds \right) dt \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{t>0} \left\| \int_0^t S^*(s)y^* ds \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

That is, (4*) implies (2*). □

CONCLUDING REMARK. Krengel and Lin [2] have given direct proofs for the equivalence of (1) and (3) in Corollary 6, and the equivalence of (1*) and (3*) in Corollary 7. By similar arguments, one can also work out direct proofs for the equivalence of (1) and (4) in Corollary 8, and the equivalence of (1*) and (4*) in Corollary 9. An advantage of Theorems 1, 2, and 3 is that they serve to provide a unified treatment for all these similar results (Corollaries 4—9).

REFERENCES

1. BALAKRISHNAN, A. V., *Applied functional analysis*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
2. KRENGEL, U.; LIN, M., On the range of the generator of a Markovian semigroup, *Math. Z.* **185**(1984), 553—565.
3. LIN, M.; SINE, R., Ergodic theory and the functional equation $(I-T)x = y$, *J. Operator Theory*, **10**(1983), 153—166.
4. SOVA, M., Cosine operator functions, *Rozprawy Mat.*, **49**(1966), 3—46.
5. TRAVIS, C. C.; WEBB, G. F., Compactness, regularity, and uniform continuity properties of strongly continuous cosine families, *Houston J. Math.*, **3**(1977), 555—567.
6. YOSIDA, K., *Functional analysis*, Ed. 5, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
7. YOSIDA, K.; HEWITT, E., Finitely additive measures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72**(1952), 46—66.

SEN-YEN SHAW
Department of Mathematics,
National Central University,
Chung-Li,
Taiwan 320.

Received October 30, 1988.