## QUASISIMILARITY OF RATIONALLY CYCLIC SUBNORMAL OPERATORS

JOHN E. McCARTHY

## INTRODUCTION

Two bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space, S and T, are said to be quasisimilar if there exist quasi-affinities X and Y (i.e. bounded operators with dense ranges and no kernels) such that SX = XT and YS = TY. Quasisimilarity is an interesting equivalence relation for subnormal operators, because, on the one hand, it preserves such properties as the spectrum [4] and the essential spectrum [14], and on the other, it provides a large class of equivalent operators which, in certain cases, are amenable to a nice classification.

If K is a compact subset of C, Rat(K) will denote the rational functions with poles off K, and an operator S on  $\mathcal{H}$  is called Rat(K)-cyclic if the spectrum of S is contained in K, and there is some vector  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that  $\{r(S)\xi \mid r \in \text{Rat}(K)\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Any Rat(K)-cyclic subnormal operator is unitarily equivalent to multiplication by the independent variable on  $R^2(K, \mu)$ , the closure of Rat(K) in  $L^2(\mu)$ , for some finite, positive Borel measure supported on K [6, p. 146]. We shall call this latter operator  $R_{K,\mu}$ , and  $\mu$  will be called the symbol of  $R_{K,\mu}$ .

If f is in  $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu)$ ,  $M_f$ , the operator of multiplication by f on  $R^2(K, \mu)$ , is clearly in the commutant of  $R_{K,\mu}$ , and, by a theorem of Yoshino [15], anything in the commutant is of this form. In [12], Raphael proved that the commutants of quasisimilar rationally cyclic (i.e. Rat(K)-cyclic for some K) subnormal operators are isometrically isomorphic and weak-star homeomorphic. In Section 1 we give a new proof of this theorem, which is shorter, and, we think, simpler. We would like to thank John Conway for bringing [12] to our attention.

In [3], Clary classified all subnormal operators quasisimilar to the unilateral shift. This was extended by Hastings [10] to isometries of finite cyclic multiplicity. In Section 2 we extend this in a different direction, namely to classifying all subnormal operators quasisimilar to  $R_{K,\mu}$ , when R(K) is a hypo-Dirichlet algebra, and  $\mu$  is supported on the boundary of K. R(K) denotes the uniform closure of Rat(K) in C(K), and R(K) is called a hypo-Dirichlet algebra if

106 John e. mccarthy

(i) the uniform closure of  $\Re(R(K))$  is of finite codimension in  $\Re(C(\partial K))$ , and

(ii) the linear span of  $\{\log |r| : r, r^{-1} \in R(K)\}$  is dense in  $\Re(C(\widehat{c}K))$ .

If  $\Re(R(K))$  is actually dense in  $\Re(C(\partial K))$ , R(K) is called a Dirichlet algebra. If K is finitely connected then R(K) is hypo-Dirichlet [2], but this is not necessary (although the interior of K must always be finitely connected).

By "measure" we shall always mean a compactly supported finite Borel measure on C. Two measures will be called *equivalent* if they are mutually absolutely continuous. If K is polynomially convex,  $R^2(K, \mu)$  is just the closure of the polynomials in  $L^2(\mu)$ , which we shall write as  $P^2(\mu)$ , and in this case we shall write  $S_\mu$  for  $R_{K,\mu}$ . The (bounded linear) operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathscr H$  will be written  $B(\mathscr H)$ . The weak-star closure of Rat(K) in  $L^\infty(\mu)$  will so written  $R^\infty(K, \mu)$ . Note that  $R^\infty(K, \mu)$  is contained in  $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$ .

§ 1

We first need a structure theorem to translate from operator theory to function theory. The result we need is from [5], where it is proved for the polynomial case, but the rational case works exactly the same way.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Suppose  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are rationally cyclic operators. Then they are quasisimilar if and only if there exist measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , a compact set K an  $R_{K,\mu_1}$ -cyclic vector  $\varphi$  in  $R^2(K, \mu_1) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu_1)$ , and constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , such that  $R_i$  is unitarily equivalent to  $R_{K,\mu_2}$ , (i = 1, 2), and

$$\int |r\phi|^2\mathrm{d}\mu_1\leqslant C_1\int |r|^2\mathrm{d}\mu_2\leqslant |C_2\int |r|^2\mathrm{d}\mu_1$$

for all r in Rat(K).

We next prove two lemmata, which are just special cases of Theorem 1.4. The first says that if  $R_{K,\nu}$  and  $R_{K,\nu}$  are actually similar, and  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , then their commutants are naturally isomorphic.

LEMMA 1.2. Suppose  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are measures supported on K,  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , and

$$\int |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \leqslant C_1 \int |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\nu \leqslant C_2 \int |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu$$

for all r in Rat(K). Then the identity map on Rat(K) extends to an isometric isomorphism and weak-star homeomorphism from  $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu)$  onto  $R^2(K, \nu) \cap L^{\infty}(\nu)$ .

*Proof.* By continuity, the map  $X: r \mapsto r$ , for r in Rat(K), extends to a bounded invertible operator from  $R^2(K, \mu)$  to  $R^2(K, \nu)$ , which intertwines  $R_{K,\mu}$  and  $R_{K,\nu}$ . Let f be in  $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu)$ . Then there is a sequence  $r_n$  in Rat(K) which converges to f in  $L^2(\mu)$ , and, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume  $r_n$  tends to f  $\mu$ -almost everywhere. Xf is the  $L^2(\nu)$  limit of  $Xr_n = r_n$ , and because  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ ,  $r_n$  converges  $\nu$ -almost everywhere, so Xf = f,  $\nu$ -almost everywhere. Therefore

$$||Xf||_{L^{\infty}(\nu)} \leqslant ||f||_{L^{\infty}(\mu)}.$$

Moreover  $XM_fX^{-1} = M_{Xf}$ , so

$$||f||_{L^{\infty}(\mu)} = ||M_f||_{B(R^2(K,\mu))} = ||X^{-1}M_{Xf}X||_{B(R^2(K,\mu))} \le$$

$$\leq \|X\| \|X^{-1}\| \|M_{Xf}\|_{B(R^{2}(K,\nu))} \leq \|X\| \|X^{-1}\| \|Xf\|_{L^{\infty}(\nu)}.$$

Therefore  $\tilde{X}: R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu) \to R^2(K, \nu) \cap L^{\infty}(\nu)$ , the restriction of X, is bounded below in the  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ - $L^{\infty}(\nu)$  norms, and is multiplicative (since it is just a restriction map), so it must be an isometry.  $\tilde{X}$  is surjective because X is invertible. Finally,  $\tilde{X}$  is weak-star continuous, since  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , and so by the Krein-Smulian theorem and the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, it is a weak-star homeomorphism.

The second lemma requires only that  $R_{K,\mu}$  and  $R_{K,\nu}$  be quasisimilar, but adds the hypothesis that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be mutually absolutely continuous.

LEMMA 1.3. Suppose  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are mutually absolutely continuous measures,  $\phi$  is an essentially bounded Rat(K)-cyclic vector for  $R_{K,\mu}$ , and that for some constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ,

$$\int |r\varphi|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \leqslant C_1 \int |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\nu \leqslant C_2 \int |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu$$

for all r in Rat(K). Then the identity map on Rat(K) extends to an isometric isomorphism and weak-star homeomorphism from  $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu)$  onto  $R^2(K, \nu) \cap L^{\infty}(\nu)$ .

*Proof.* As in the previous lemma, the map X from  $R^2(K, \mu)$  to  $R^2(K, \nu)$ , which leaves Rat(K) fixed, restricts to a map  $\tilde{X}$  from  $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu)$  to  $R^2(K, \nu) \cap L^{\infty}(\nu)$  which is the identity map (point-wise) on functions, and therefore is isometric, multiplicative and weak-star continuous. It only remains to show that it is surjective.

108 JOHN I: McCARTHY

To this end, define two auxiliary maps  $W: R^2(K, \nu) \to R^2(K, [\varphi]^2\mu)$ , and  $U: R^2(K, [\varphi]^2\mu) \to R^2(K, \mu)$ , by W(r) = r and  $U(r) = \varphi r$  for all r in Rat(K), and extending them by continuity. Again,  $\tilde{WX}$  maps  $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu)$  into  $R^2(K, [\varphi]^2\mu) \cap L^{\infty}([\varphi]^2\mu)$  by the identity map on functions, and if  $\tilde{WX}$  is surjective, so is  $\tilde{X}$ . But U is invertible, and  $UR_{K, |\varphi|^2\mu}U^{-1} = R_{K,\mu}$ , so if g is in  $R^2(K, |\varphi|^2\mu) \cap L^{\infty}(|\varphi|^2\mu)$ , then  $UM_gU^{-1} = M_g$  is in the commutant of  $R_{K,\mu}$  so g is in  $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu)$ , and hence in the range of  $\tilde{WX}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{X}$  is surjective, as desired.

The general case of the theorem now follows easily.

Theorem 1.4. Suppose  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are quasisimilar rationally cyclic subnormal operators. Then their commutants are naturally isometrically isomorphic and weak-star homeomorphic.

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.1, we can find measures  $\mu_1$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_1$ ,  $\mu_2$ , and constant  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ , such that  $R_1$  is unitarily equivalent to both  $R_{K,\mu_1}$  and  $R_{K,\tilde{\mu}_1}$ ,  $R_2$  is unitarily equivalent to  $R_{K,\mu_0}$ , and

$$\int |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}_1 \leqslant C_1 \int |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_2 \leqslant C_2 \int |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_1$$

for all r in Rat(K).

Let  $\sigma = (\mu_1 + \mu_2)$ , and  $\tau = (\tilde{\mu}_1 + \mu_2)$ . Then

$$\int |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\sigma \leqslant \left(1 + \frac{C_2}{C_1}\right) \int |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_1 \leqslant \left(1 + \frac{C_2}{C_1}\right) \int |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\sigma,$$

so  $R_{K,\sigma}$  is similar to  $R_{K,\mu_1}$ , and, by Lemma 1.2, the identity map on Rat(K) extends to an isometric isomorphism and weak-star homeomorphism from  $R^2(K,\sigma) \cap L^{\infty}(\sigma)$  onto  $R^2(K,\mu_1) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu_1)$ . Likewise,  $R_{K,\tau}$  is similar to  $R_{K,\mu_2}$ , and so  $R^2(K,\tau) \cap L^{\infty}(\tau)$  is mapped isometrically and weak-star homeomorphically onto  $R^2(K,\mu_2) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu_2)$ .  $R_{K,\mu_1}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $R_{K,\mu_1}$  so  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_1$  are equivalent [6, p. 217], so  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are equivalent. Because quasisimilarity is clearly preserved under similarity transformations,  $R_{K,\sigma}$  is quasisimilar to  $R_{K,\tau}$ . Applying Proposition 1.1 to  $R_{K,\sigma}$  and  $R_{K,\tau}$ , we get unitarily equivalent  $R_{K,\sigma}$  and  $R_{K,\tau}$  satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 1.3, and so we can finally conclude that all of the six operators  $R_{K,\mu_2}$   $R_{K,\tau}$ ,  $R_{K,\sigma}$ ,  $R_{K,\sigma}$ ,  $R_{K,\sigma}$ ,  $R_{K,\sigma}$ ,  $R_{K,\mu_1}$  have isometrically isomorphic and weak-star homeomorphic commutants, under maps that leave  $R^{\infty}$  fixed.

To show both steps are needed in the above proof, here is a simple example of two similar, cyclic subnormal operators with mutually singular symbols: Let

 $\lambda_n$  be Lebesgue measure on the circle of centre 0 and radius  $1-2^{-n}$ , normalized to have total mass  $2^{-n}$ . Let  $\mu_1$  be  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{2m+1}$ , and  $\mu_2$  be  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{2m+2}$ . Then for any polynomial p,

$$\int |p|^2 \mathrm{d} \mu_1 \, \leqslant \, 2 \int |p|^2 \mathrm{d} \mu_2 \, \leqslant \, 4 \int |p|^2 \mathrm{d} \mu_1 \, .$$

So  $S_{\mu_1}$  is similar to  $S_{\mu_2}$ , and  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are mutually singular.

§ 2

Harmonic measure for a connected open set U is defined in the following way: pick a point a in U. For any continuous real-valued function f on the boundary of U, define a function  $\hat{f}$  on U by

$$\hat{f}(z) = \sup \big\{ g(z) \colon g \text{ is subharmonic on } U, \text{ and } \limsup_{z \to \zeta} g(z) \leqslant f(\zeta), \ \forall \zeta \in \partial U \big\}.$$

The functional  $f \mapsto \hat{J}(a)$  is continuous on  $C_{\mathbf{R}}(\partial U)$ , and therefore comes from a measure,  $\omega_a$ , which is called harmonic measure for U at a. Whilst a different choice of a will yield a different measure, the two measures will be boundedly mutually absolutely continuous, so, by an abuse of language, we refer to harmonic measure for U without specifying a point a. Harmonic measure for a compact set K, with interior components  $U_n$ , is  $\omega = \sum 2^{-n}\omega_n$ , where  $\omega_n$  is harmonic measure for  $U_n$ . For a fixed compact set K,  $\mu_a$  and  $\mu_s$  will mean, respectively, the absolutely continuous and singular parts of  $\mu$  with respect to  $\omega_n$  and  $\mu_{sn}$  will mean the absolutely continuous and singular parts with respect to  $\omega_n$ .

A point  $\zeta$  is called a bounded point evaluation for  $R^2(K, \mu)$  if there exists a constant  $C_{\zeta}$  satisfying

$$|r(\zeta)| \leq C_{\varepsilon} ||r||_{2,\eta}$$

for every r in Rat(K). If  $\zeta$  is a bounded point evaluation, it makes sense to talk about the value of  $f(\zeta)$  for any function f in  $R^2(K, \mu)$ , because evaluation at  $\zeta$ , defined a priori only for rational functions, extends by continuity to all of  $R^2(K, \mu)$ . The point  $\zeta$  is called an *analytic bounded point evaluation* if it is in the interior of the bounded point evaluations, and if, for every  $f \in R^2(K, \mu)$ , the function  $z \mapsto f(z)$  is analytic in a neighbourhood of  $\zeta$ .

A (Gleason) part of R(K) is a maximal set P in C such that for x and y in P, if  $e_x$  and  $e_y$  denote the functionals of evaluation at x and y respectively, then

JOHN E. Mo. CARTHY

 $||e_x - e_y||_{R(K)^*} < 2$ . By [7, p. 146] representing measures for points in different parts are mutually singular.

Let us recall some facts about hypo-Dirichlet algebras. These facts, and their proofs, all come from [1].

If the codimension of  $\mathfrak{R}(R(K))$  in  $\mathfrak{R}(C(\partial K))$  is t, then there are t measures  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_t$  which annihilate  $\mathfrak{R}(R(K))$  and are absolutely continuous with respect to harmonic measure for K. There are t invertible functions  $F_1, \ldots, F_t$  in R(K) such that  $\int \log |F_j| d\eta_k = \delta_{jk}$ . A function h in  $R^2(K, \omega)$  is called *inner* if, for some real numbers  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t, |h| = |F_1|^{\alpha_1} \ldots |F_t|^{\alpha_t} \omega$ -almost everywhere. If v in  $L^2(\mu)$  satisfies  $\int \log |v_j| d\omega > -\infty$ , then there exists an inner function h and a function g that is a Rat(K)-cyclic vector for  $R_{K,\omega}$ , with  $|v| = |g| |h| \omega$ -almost everywhere.

We are now able to prove our main theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. Let K be a compact set, such that R(K) is a hypo-Dirichlet algebra. Let  $\mu$  be a measure supported on the boundary of K, and let  $\nu$  be a measure supported on K. Then  $R_{K,\nu}$  is quasisimilar to  $R_{K,\mu}$  if and only if

- (a)  $(v \ \hat{o}K)_s$  is mutually absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu_s$ ;
- (b) *If*

$$\int_{\partial U_n} \log \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}\omega_n} \, \mathrm{d}\omega_n = -\infty$$

then

$$\int_{\partial U_n} \log \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}\omega_n} \, \mathrm{d}\omega_n = -\infty,$$

 $v_{an}$  is equivalent to  $\mu_{an}$ , and  $v(U_n) = 0$ ;

(c) If

(1) 
$$\int_{\partial U_n} \log \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}\omega_n} \, \mathrm{d}\omega_n > -\infty$$

then

(2) 
$$\int_{\partial U_n} \log \frac{\mathrm{d} v}{\mathrm{d} \omega_n} \, \mathrm{d} \omega_n > -\infty.$$

Proof. (i) Necessity.

By hypothesis, there exists a Rat(K)-cyclic vector  $\varphi$  for  $R_{K,\mu}$ , and a Rat(K)-cyclic vector  $\psi$  for  $R_{K,\nu}$ , such that for all r in Rat(K)

(3) 
$$\int |r\varphi|^2 d\mu \leqslant \int |r|^2 d\nu$$
$$\int |r\psi|^2 d\nu \leqslant \int |r|^2 d\mu.$$

Fix some interior component  $U_n$ . Every representing measure for a point of  $U_n$  that is supported on  $\partial K$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\omega_n$  [1, 3.1], so we can apply Forelli's lemma [7, p. 42], which guarantees the existence of a sequence  $r_k$  in Rat(K), with  $||r_k||_{\infty} \leq 1$ , and with  $r_k$  tending to 1  $\omega_n$ -almost everywhere, and to zero  $(\mu + \nu \mid \partial K + \omega)_{sn}$ -almost everywhere. Therefore  $r_k$  converges  $\omega$  weak-star to the characteristic function of  $\operatorname{cl}(U_n)$ , so on the interior of K,  $r_k$  converges pointwise to this characteristic function. Thus we can conclude that  $r_k$  actually converges  $(\mu + \nu + \omega)$  weak-star to the characteristic function  $\chi_{E_n}$  of a set  $E_n$ , where  $\chi_{E_n} = 1$   $(\omega_n + \nu \mid U_n)$  a.e., and  $\chi_{E_n} = 0$   $(\mu + \nu \mid C \setminus U_n + \omega)_{sn}$  a.e. Multiplying r by  $\chi_{E_n}$  in inequalities (3), they become

$$\int_{\operatorname{cl}(U_n)} |r\varphi|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_n \leqslant \int_{\operatorname{cl}(U_n)} |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\nu_n$$

$$\int_{\operatorname{cl}(U_n)} |r\psi|^2 \mathrm{d}\nu_n \leqslant \int_{\operatorname{cl}(U_n)} |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_n ,$$

where  $\mu_n = \mu_{an}$  and  $\nu_n = \nu_{an} + \nu \mid U_n$ .

Let  $v \mid \partial K$  be called  $\sigma$ . As  $1 - \chi_{(UE_n)}$  is also in the  $(\mu + \nu + \omega)$  weak-star closure of the rationals, inequalities (3) also restrict to the singular parts of the measures on the boundary:

$$\int_{\partial K} |r\varphi|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{s}} \leqslant \int_{\partial K} |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\sigma$$

$$\int_{\partial K} |r\psi|^2 \mathrm{d}\sigma \, \leqslant \, \int_{\partial K} |r|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{s}} \, .$$

JOHN E. McCARTHY

By the Kolmogoroff-Krein theorem [7, p. 135], no point of the interior of K is a bounded point evaluation for  $R^2(K, \mu_s)$ . But bounded point evaluations are preserved under quasisimilarity [11], so no point in the interior of K is a bounded point evaluation for  $R^2(K, \sigma)$  either. But if a point  $\zeta$  is a positive distance from the support of a measure  $\mu$ , it is a bounded point evaluation for  $R^2(K, \mu)$  if and only if  $\frac{1}{z-\zeta}$  is not in  $R^2(K, \mu)$ . Therefore  $R^2(K, \mu_s) = R^2(\partial K, \mu_s)$ , and  $R^2(K, \sigma) = R^2(\partial K, \sigma)$ .

But by [1, 3.1] every point in  $\partial K$  has a unique representing measure for R(K), and hence for  $R(\partial K)$ , so every point in  $\partial K$  is a peak point for  $R(\partial K)$ , and thus by Bishop's peak point criterion [7, p. 54],  $R(\partial K) = C(\partial K)$ . Therefore  $R^2(K, \mu_s) = L^2(\mu_s)$ ,  $R^2(K, \sigma) = L^2(\sigma)$ , and inequalities (3") imply that the normal operators  $N_{\mu_s}$  (multiplication by the independent variable on  $L^2(\mu_s)$ ) and  $N_{\sigma}$  are quasisimilar. This forces them to be unitarily equivalent [6, p. 82], and so  $\mu_s$  and  $\sigma$  are mutually absolutely continuous. Thus (a) holds.

The Szegö theorem for hypo-Dirichlet algebras [1, 10.1] proves that in case (b), no point of  $U_n$  is a bounded point evaluation for  $R^2(K, \mu_n)$ , so by the above argument,  $\mu_n$  and  $\nu_n$  are equivalent. Moreover, since points of  $U_n$  are not bounded point evaluations for  $R^2(K, \nu_n)$ ,

$$\int_{\partial U_n} \log \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}\omega_n} \, \mathrm{d}\omega_n = -\infty,$$

and so (b) holds.

Part (c) would follow from the Szegö theorem and the preservation under quasisimilarity of bounded point evaluations if v had no mass on  $U_n$ . Since it may, however, have mass on the interior, we use Riemann maps to localise it to the boundary of each component of the complement of  $\operatorname{cl}(U_n)$ . We must be somewhat careful, though, as these boundaries need not be Jordan curves, so there are technical difficulties in extending the map to the boundary.

Assume  $U_n$  satisfies (1). Let V be a component of  $C \setminus cl(U_n)$ . Let W be the complement of cl(V). Then W is simply connected (in the Riemann sphere), contains  $U_n$ , and  $\partial W := \partial V \subseteq \partial U_n$ . By inverting, if necessary, we can assume without loss of generality that V is the unbounded component of  $C \setminus cl(U_n)$ , and hence that cl(W) is compact in C. (This assumption is purely for convenience, allowing us to quote results directly. The same conclusions will hold for bounded V.)

Let g be the Riemann map from W onto the unit disk, and let m be harmonic measure for W. Since cl(W) is simply connected, R(cl(W)) is a Dirichlet algebra, so by [6, p. 356] there is a function G in  $R^2(cl(W), m)$  with |G| = 1 m-almost everywhere, and  $\int Gdm_b = g(b)$  for all b in W (i.e. G is the extension of g to the boundary).

Since G is bounded, by [1, 4.1] there exist rational functions  $\rho_k$  in Rat(cl(W)), with  $\|\rho_k\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ , which tend to G m-almost everywhere, and so, by the dominated convergence theorem,  $\rho_k(b)$  tends to g(b) for all b in W. So if  $\hat{G}$  is the function that equals G on  $\partial W$  and g on W, then, because  $\omega_n \mid \partial W$  is absolutely continuous with respect to m [9],  $\rho_k$  tends to  $\hat{G}$  in  $L^1(\omega_n)$ . Therefore  $\hat{G}$  is in  $R^1(\text{cl}(W), \omega_n) \cap L^{\infty}(\omega_n)$ , which, by [1, 4.1], is just  $R^{\infty}(\text{cl}(W), \omega_n)$ . Since  $\mu_n$  is equivalent to  $\omega_n$ , G is in  $R^{\infty}(\text{cl}(W), \mu_n)$ , and hence, by Theorem 1.4, in  $R^{\infty}(\text{cl}(W), \nu_n)$ .

Therefore, inequalities (3') remain true if r is replaced by  $r(G)^k$ . Letting k tend to infinity kills off the interior contribution, because  $|\hat{G}|$  is less than one on the interior, so

$$\int_{\partial V} |r\varphi|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_n \leqslant \int_{\partial V} |r|^2 \mathrm{d}v_n \quad \text{and} \quad$$

**(**4)

$$\int_{\partial V} |r\psi|^2 \mathrm{d} v_n \leqslant \int_{\partial V} |r|^2 \mathrm{d} \mu_n.$$

Summing inequalities (4) over all V in the complement of  $U_n$ , we finally get that  $R_{K,\mu_n}$  is quasisimilar to  $R_{K,\nu|\partial U_n}$ , so preservation of bounded point evaluations implies that (2) holds.

## (ii) Sufficiency.

We must show that the normal parts of  $R_{K,\mu}$  and  $R_{K,\nu}$  are unitarily equivalent, and that the pure parts are quasisimilar [6, p. 223]. Note first that for different components  $U_n$  and  $U_m$ , the harmonic measures  $\omega_n$  and  $\omega_m$  are singular. This is because, by [8, 8.3] (applicable because of [1]), the parts of R(K) are connected, and, as remarked above, any point in  $\partial K$  has a unique representing measure, so lies in a part of its own. Therefore each  $U_n$  constitutes a part, and so  $\omega_n$  and  $\omega_m$  are singular.

Now the normal parts correspond to the measures in cases (a) and (b), and by hypothesis these are mutually absolutely continuous, so the normal parts are unitarily equivalent. By applying Forelli's lemma again, it is therefore sufficient to prove  $R_{K,\nu_n}$  is quasisimilar to  $R_{K,\mu_n}$  in case (c). We shall do this by showing that if  $\nu$  is a measure on  $\operatorname{cl}(U_n)$ , and  $\nu \mid \partial U_n$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\omega_n$  and satisfies (2), then  $R_{K,\nu}$  is quasisimilar to  $R_{K,\omega_n}$ .

By the remarks preceding the theorem, there is an inner function h and a generator g so that  $\frac{\mathrm{d} v}{\mathrm{d} \omega_n} = |gh| \omega_n$ -almost everywhere. Let  $A: R^2(K, \omega_n) \to hR^2(K, \omega_n)$ 

be given by Ar = hr. Because h is inner, its modulus on  $\partial K$  is essentially bounded away from zero, and is also bounded above. Therefore A is invertible.

114 JOHN E. McCARTHY

Define B:  $R^2(K, v) \rightarrow hR^2(K, \omega_n)$  by Br = ghr. B has dense range, and because

$$\int |ghr|^2 \mathrm{d}\omega_n = \int _{\partial U_n} |r|^2 \mathrm{d}v \leqslant \int _{\mathrm{cl}\langle U_n\rangle} |r|^2 \mathrm{d}v,$$

B is bounded. Let  $X = A^{-1}B$ . Then X is a quasi-affinity satisfying  $R_{K,\phi}X = XR_{K,\psi}$ .

To get the other intertwining operator, let v be the sweep of v, i.e. that measure on  $\partial U_n$  satisfying

$$\int_{\operatorname{cl}(U_n)} \widehat{f} \mathrm{d} v = \int_{\partial U_n} f \mathrm{d} \widehat{v}$$

(where  $\hat{f}$  is as defined at the beginning of Section 2). Then  $\hat{v}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\omega_n$  [6, p. 335], and

$$\int_{\partial U_n} \log \frac{d\hat{v}}{d\omega_n} d\omega_n \ge \int_{\partial U_n} \log \frac{dv}{d\omega_n} d\omega_n > -\infty,$$

so there exists an inner function  $\tilde{h}$  and a generator  $\tilde{g}$  satisfying  $\frac{d\hat{v}}{d\omega_n} = |\tilde{h}\tilde{g}|$   $\omega_n$ -almost everywhere.

Define  $C: R^2(K, [\tilde{g}]^2 d\omega_n) \rightarrow R^2(K, \omega_n)$  by  $Cr = \tilde{g}r$ . C is unitary.

Define  $D: R^2(K, [\tilde{g}]^2 d\omega_n) \to \tilde{h} R^2(K, [\tilde{g}]^2 d\omega_n)$  by  $Dr = \tilde{h}r$ . D is invertible.

Define  $E: R^2(K, \hat{v}) \to \tilde{h}R^2(K, [\tilde{g}]^2 d\omega_a)$  by  $Er = \tilde{h}r$ . E is unitary.

Define  $F: R^2(K, \hat{v}) \rightarrow R^2(K, v)$  by Fr = r. F is a quasi-affinity.

Now, put  $Y = FE^{-1}DC^{-1}$ . Y is a quasi-affinity, and  $YR_{K,\omega_n} = R_{K,\nu}Y$ . Therefore  $R_{K,\nu}$  is quasisimilar to  $R_{K,\omega_n}$ , as required.

It is a result Trent [13] that the set of analytic bounded point evaluations of  $R^2(K, \mu)$  is precisely the spectrum of  $R_{K,\mu}$  less the essential spectrum. Thus, Theorem 2.1 could be restated:

Suppose R(K) is a hypo-Dirichlet algebra, and  $\mu$  is supported on  $\hat{c}K$ . Then  $R_{K,\nu}$  is quasisimilar to  $R_{K,\mu}$  if and only if the two operators have the same spectrum and essential spectrum, and their normal parts are unitarily equivalent.

This is not true in general, however. Here is an example of a family of pure cyclic subnormal operators, which have the same spectral pictures, but are not quasisimilar.

EXAMPLE 2.2. For  $\alpha$  a positive real number, let  $\mu_{\alpha}$  be the weighted area measure  $(1-r)^{\alpha}r dr d\theta$  on the unit disk. Suppose that for two numbers  $\alpha < \gamma$ ,  $S_{\mu_{\alpha}}$  were quasisimilar to  $S_{\mu_{\gamma}}$ , so that there were a quasi-affinity X intertwining them. If X1 were  $\varphi$ , then we would have

(5) 
$$\int |p|^2 |\varphi|^2 (1-r)^{\alpha} r dr d\theta \leqslant C \int |p|^2 (1-r)^{\gamma} r dr d\theta.$$

Suppose  $\varphi(z) = \sum a_k z^k$ . Then letting  $p(z) = z^n$  in (5), we would get, in terms of the beta function,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \beta(2n+2k+2, \alpha+1) \leqslant C\beta(2n+2, \gamma+1).$$

But this is impossible, since the first non-zero element on the left-hand side behaves asymptotically like  $n^{-(\alpha+1)}$ , and the right-hand side is  $O(n^{-(\gamma+1)})$ .

Acknowledgements. This work was done while the author was a graduate student at the University of California at Berkeley. The author would like to thank his advisor, Professor Donald Sarason, for his patience and support.

## REFERENCES

- AHERN, P.; SARASON, D., The H<sup>p</sup> spaces of a class of function algebras, Acta Math., 117(1967), 123-163.
- AHERN, P.; SARASON, D., On some hypo-Dirichlet algebras of analytic functions, Amer. J. Math., 89(1967), 932-941.
- CLARY, W S., Quasi-similarity and subnormal operators, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Michigan, 1973.
- CLARY, W. S., Equality of spectra of quasi-similar hyponormal operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 53(1975), 88-90.
- CONWAY, J. B., On quasisimilarity for subnormal operators, Illinois J. Math., 24(1980), 689-702.
- 6. Conway, J. B., Subnormal operators, Pitman, Boston, 1981.
- 7. GAMELIN, T, W., Un form algebras, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1969.
- 8. GAMELIN, T. W., Embedding Riemann surfaces in maximal ideal spaces, J. Funct. Anal., 2(1968), 123-146.
- 9. GARNETT, J. B., Applications of harmonic measure, University of Arkansas Lecture Notes in the Mathematical Sciences, John Wiley, New York, 1986.
- 10. Hastings, W. W., Subnormal operators quasisimilar to an isometry, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 256(1979), 145-161.
- 11. RAPHAEL, M., Quasisimilarity and essential spectra for subnormal operators, *Indiana Univ.*Math. J., 31(1982), 243-246.

116 JOHN E. McCARTHY

12. RAPHAEL, M., Commutants of quasisimilar subnormal operators, *Pacific J. Math.*, 122(1986), 449-454.

- 13. TRENT, T. T.,  $H^2(\mu)$  spaces and bounded point evaluations, *Pacific J. Math.*, **80**(1979), 279-292.
- 14. YANG LIMING, Equality of essential spectra of quasisimilar subnormal operators, preprint.
- 15. YOSHINO, T., Subnormal operators with a cyclic vector, Tohôku Math. J., 21(1969), 47-55.

JOHN E. McCARTHY
Department of Mathematics,
Indiana University,
Bloomington, IN 47405,
U.S.A.

Received May 1, 1989; revised September 5, 1989.