

TOEPLITZ C^* -ALGEBRAS AND NONCOMMUTATIVE DUALITY

HARALD UPMEIER

Dedicated to the memory of John Bunce

In this paper we establish a close relationship between multi-variable Toeplitz operators and co-crossed product C^* -algebras (in the sense of non-commutative duality theory [12]), and use this connection to give a more conceptual proof of the basic structure theorem for Toeplitz C^* -algebras over symmetric domains of arbitrary rank [17]. In the special case of unitary matrices this approach has been outlined in [24]. The more general framework of K -circular domains introduced in this paper allows one to study also non-symmetric domains (say, of Reinhardt type) and in particular, to obtain many examples of non-type I Toeplitz C^* -algebras [14*, 15, 16]. An interesting class of “rank 2” domains (non-commutative Hartog’s wedge) is studied in detail in [16], see [14*] for the commutative (action) case. The co-action approach applies also to Toeplitz operators on Bergman type spaces, which have recently attracted much interest in quantization theory [1, 22], and may also be useful in the q-deformation theory [9] where the co-acting Hopf C^* -algebra should be replaced by its q-analogue [26]. The forthcoming book [20] gives a systematic account of this rapidly expanding theory.

The author would like to thank P. Szeptycki for his helpful remarks concerning the proof of Lemma 2.27.

1. TOEPLITZ OPERATORS OVER K -CIRCULAR DOMAINS

In the following let K be a connected compact Lie group. Let K^\sharp denote the spectrum of K , i.e., the set of all (classes of) continuous irreducible representations

$$\alpha : K \longrightarrow U(H_\alpha) \quad (\text{unitary group})$$

acting on a Hilbert space H_α of dimension $d_\alpha < +\infty$. Let $\mathcal{L}^2(H_\alpha)$ be the Hilbert space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators on H_α , with inner product

$$(A|B) := \text{trace } A^* B.$$

Consider the Lebesgue space $L^2(K)$ of K , with respect to normalized Haar measure ds , endowed with the inner product

$$(h|k) := \int_K \overline{h(s)} k(s) ds.$$

By Fourier theory [7], there is a Hilbert space isomorphism

$$(1.1) \quad L^2(K) \xrightarrow{\approx} \sum_{\alpha \in K^\#}^{\oplus} \mathcal{L}^2(H_\alpha) \quad (\text{Hilbert sum})$$

sending $h \in L^2(K)$ to the family $(\sqrt{d_\alpha} h_\alpha^\#)$, where

$$(1.2) \quad h_\alpha^\# := \int_K \alpha(s)^* h(s) ds$$

is the α -th “Fourier coefficient”. Now let $L \subset K$ be a closed subgroup such that

$$S = L \setminus K$$

is a *symmetric space* [6]. Then K acts by the right translations on S , and we consider the K -invariant probability measure on S , i.e., the image of the Haar measure of K under the natural projection. The associated Lebesgue space $L^2(S)$ may be identified with the closed subspace of all left L -invariant functions in $L^2(K)$. Let $S^\#$ denote the set of all $\alpha \in K^\#$ such that H_α contains an L -invariant unit vector ε_α (which is unique up to a constant). It is known [7] that there is a Hilbert space isomorphism

$$(1.3) \quad L^2(S) \xrightarrow{\approx} \sum_{\alpha \in S^\#}^{\oplus} (H_\alpha) \quad (\text{Hilbert sum})$$

sending $h \in L^2(S) \subset L^2(K)$ to the family $(\sqrt{d_\alpha} h_\alpha^\# \varepsilon_\alpha)$ over $S^\#$. For each $\alpha \in S^\#$, the left L -invariant function

$$(1.4) \quad \alpha_\#(s) := (\varepsilon_\alpha | \alpha(s) \varepsilon_\alpha)$$

is called the *spherical function* of type α on S .

Consider the complexification $S^\mathbf{C} = L^\mathbf{C} \setminus K^\mathbf{C}$ of S (a homogeneous complex manifold), endowed with the complexified right action of K . A domain $\Omega \in S^\mathbf{C}$ is called K -circular if it is K -invariant. For $K = \mathbb{T}^r$ (r -torus) and $L = \{1\}$, we have

$S^{\mathbb{C}} = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^r$ and the K -circular domains are precisely the Reinhardt domains (restricted to $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^r$). The basic geometric property of K -circular domains is the *polar decomposition* [9; p.316]

$$(1.5) \quad \Omega = e \exp(\Lambda) K$$

where $e := \{L\}$ is the base point of S , and Λ is a subset of the complexified Lie algebra $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of K . More precisely, one considers the Cartan decomposition $\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \text{im}$ and chooses a maximal abelian subspace \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{m} . Then Λ becomes an open subset of the “Weyl chamber” \mathfrak{a}_+ . By [9; Théorème C], Ω is pseudoconvex (i.e., a domain of holomorphy) if and only if the “radial part” Λ of Ω is convex. We assume in the following that Λ is a convex cone in \mathfrak{a}_+ . Then S can be identified with the “Shilov boundary” of Ω . The *Hardy space* $H^2(S)$ of holomorphic functions on Ω can be realized as a closed subspace of $L^2(S)$ by taking the boundary values [a.e.]

$$h(e \cdot s) = \lim_{\substack{a \in \Lambda \\ a \rightarrow 0}} h(e \exp(a)s) \quad (s \in K).$$

Note that $H^2(S)$ depends on Λ and thus on Ω . The orthogonal projection $E : L^2(S) \rightarrow H^2(S)$ is an integral operator

$$(1.6) \quad (Eh)(z) = \int_S E(z, w)h(w)d\omega \quad (z \in \Omega)$$

with Szegö kernel $E(z, w)$. The *Fourier characterization* of $H^2(S)$ uses the theory of highest weights [6; section V.1], by which the subset $S^\sharp \subset K^\sharp$ can be realized as a discrete subset of \mathfrak{a}^\sharp , the space of real-valued linear functionals on \mathfrak{a} . Since Λ is a cone in \mathfrak{a} , we may define the *polar cone*

$$(1.7) \quad \Lambda^\sharp := \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^\sharp : \alpha \cdot \Lambda \leqslant 0\}$$

and obtain [9; Théorème 3].

1.8. PROPOSITION. *Under the Hilbert space isomorphism (1.3), we have*

$$(1.9) \quad H^2(S) \xrightarrow{\approx} \sum_{\alpha \in S^\sharp \cap \Lambda^\sharp} {}^\oplus H_\alpha.$$

As a consequence of (1.9), the Szegö kernel $E(z, w)$ satisfies

$$(1.10) \quad E_e(z) := E(z, e) = \sum_{\alpha \in S^\sharp \cap \Lambda^\sharp} d_\alpha \alpha_\sharp(z)$$

for all $z \in S$. Here α_1 is the spherical function (1.4) and the series converges in the sense of distribution s on S . Now consider the *left convolution operator*

$$(1.11) \quad (u^\sharp h)(t) := \int_K h(s^{-1}t)u(s)ds$$

on $L^2(K)$ induced by $u \in L^1(K)$ (or, more generally, by a bounded measure $u \in \mathcal{M}(K)$). Define the *spatial group C^* -algebra*

$$C^*(K) := C^*(u^\sharp : u \in L^1(K))$$

and the *spatial group von Neumann algebra*

$$W^*(K) := W^*(u^\sharp : u \in \mathcal{M}(K)).$$

Since $(u^\sharp h)_\alpha^\sharp = h_\alpha^\sharp u_\alpha^\sharp$ for all $u \in \mathcal{M}(K)$, we have (anti-) isomorphisms

$$(1.12) \quad W^*(K) \approx \{(A_\alpha)_{\alpha \in K^1} : A_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha), \sup \|A_\alpha\| < \infty\}$$

and

$$(1.13) \quad C^*(K) \approx \{(A_\alpha) : \alpha \mapsto \|A_\alpha\| \text{ vanishing at } \infty\}.$$

Note that (1.11) makes sense for distributions u , when restricted to smooth functions h .

1.14. PROPOSITION. *The Szegő projection (1.6) coincides with the convolution operator E_e^\sharp induced by (1.10).*

Proof. Since right K -translations are isometries of $H^2(S)$, we have $E(zs, ws) = E(z, w)$ for all $s \in K$ and hence

$$(Eh)(z) = \int_K E(z, es)h(es)ds = \int_K E_e(zs^{-1})ds$$

for all $z \in \Omega$ and $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$. By (1.5), we may write $z = e \exp(a)t$ ($a \in \Lambda$, $t \in K$) and obtain, for $a \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (Eh)(et) &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_K E_e(e \exp(a)ts^{-1})h(es)ds = \\ &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_K E_e(e \exp(a)x)h(ex^{-1}t)dx = \\ &= \int_K h(ex^{-1}t)dE_e(x) = (E_e^\sharp h)(et). \end{aligned}$$

Here $dE_e(x)$ denotes the limit distribution (1.10) on S (or K). Since E is a bounded operator, these identities hold [a.e.] for $h \in L^2(S)$. \blacksquare

For any $f \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ define the *Hardy-Toeplitz operator* on $H^2(S)$ as the compression

$$(1.15) \quad T_S(f) := EfE$$

of the corresponding multiplication operator on $L^2(S)$. Thus $T_S(f)h = E(fh)$ for all $h \in H^2(S)$. Let

$$(1.16) \quad \mathcal{T}(S) := C^*(T_S(f) : f \in \mathcal{C}(S))$$

be the *Hardy-Toeplitz C^* -algebra*. We will realize $\mathcal{T}(S)$ as a C^* -subalgebra of a “co-crossed product” relative to a co-action of K on a C^* -subalgebra $\mathcal{D}(K)$ of $W^*(K)$ [24, 12]. In order to define $\mathcal{D}(K)$ consider the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $A(K) \subset \mathcal{C}(K)$ [3], identified with the predual of $W^*(K)$ via the pairing

$$(1.17) \quad \langle u^\sharp, f \rangle := \int_K f(s)u(ds)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{M}(K)$ and $f \in A(K)$. Let $\langle u^\sharp \rtimes g, f \rangle := \langle u^\sharp, gf \rangle$ define the right action of $A(K)$ on $W^*(K)$, and put

$$(1.18) \quad \mathcal{D}(K) := C^*(E_e^\sharp \rtimes g : g \in A(K)).$$

This C^* -algebra is also generated by $E_e^\sharp \rtimes g$, where $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(K)$. Via the (anti-)isomorphism (1.12), $\mathcal{D}(K)$ is generated by all “block-diagonal” operators

$$(1.19) \quad (E_e g)_\alpha^\sharp = \int_K \alpha(s)^* g(s) dE_e(s) \in \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha)$$

for all $\alpha \in K^\sharp$. Now consider the W^* -monomorphism

$$(1.20) \quad \delta_K : W^*(K) \longrightarrow W^*(K) \bar{\otimes} W^*(K) \quad (W^*-tensor product)$$

determined by $\delta_K(s^\sharp) = s^\sharp \otimes s^\sharp$ for all $s \in K$. Here

$$(1.21) \quad (s^\sharp h)(t) := h(s^{-1}t)$$

is the left translation operator on $L^2(K)$. Let \otimes denote the spatial C^* -tensor product and consider the subalgebra

$$\overleftarrow{C^*(K) \otimes \mathcal{D}(K)} :=$$

$$:= \{x \in M(C^*(K) \otimes \mathcal{D}(K)) : x(i_H \otimes \mathcal{D}(K)) + (i_H \otimes \mathcal{D}(K))x \subset C^*(K) \otimes \mathcal{D}(K)\}$$

of the multiplier algebra $M(C^*(K) \otimes \mathcal{D}(K))$ (cf. [22; Définition 0.2.13]).

1.23. PROPOSITION. *The coproduct (1.20) on $W^*(K)$ maps $\mathcal{D}(K)$ into $\overleftarrow{C^*(K) \otimes \mathcal{D}(K)}$ and thus defines a co-action of K on $\mathcal{D}(K)$.*

Proof. Since (1.12) implies $(W^*(K) \bar{\otimes} W^*(K))(\mathcal{L}(H_\alpha) \otimes W^*(K)) \subset \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha) \otimes \otimes W^*(K)$ for each $\alpha \in K^\sharp$, (1.13) shows

$$(1.24) \quad (W^*(K) \bar{\otimes} W^*(K))(C^*(K) \otimes W^*(K)) \subset C^*(K) \otimes W^*(K).$$

Every $f \in A(K)$ defines a “slice map”

$$(1.25) \quad S_f : W^*(K) \otimes W^*(K) \longrightarrow W^*(K)$$

satisfying $S_f(a \otimes b) = \langle a|f \rangle b$. The product in $A(K)$ is related to (1.20) by the formula

$$(1.26) \quad \langle \delta_K a | f \otimes g \rangle = \langle a | fg \rangle$$

for all $a \in W^*(K)$ and $f, g \in A(K)$. Using wo-continuity of S_f , one proves the identities [12; Lemma 1.5]

$$(1.27) \quad \langle S_f(\delta_K a) | g \rangle = \langle a | fg \rangle$$

and

$$(1.28) \quad S_f((\delta_K a)b \otimes c) = [S_{b \ltimes f}(\delta_K a)]c$$

for all $a, b, c \in W^*(K)$ and $f, g \in A(K)$. Here $b \ltimes f \in A(K)$ is defined by $\langle a, b \ltimes f \rangle := \langle ab, f \rangle$. Now let $a \in \mathcal{D}(K)$, $b \in C^*(K)$, and $c \in \mathcal{D}(K)^\sim$ (unitization). Then (1.24) implies $m := (\delta_K a)(b \otimes c) \in C^*(K) \otimes W^*(K)$ and (1.27), (1.28) imply

$$S_f(m) = (a \rtimes (b \ltimes f)) \cdot c.$$

Since $b \ltimes f \in A(K)$, (1.18) implies $a \rtimes (b \ltimes f) \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ showing that $S_f(m) \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ for all $f \in A(K)$. Thus $m \in C^*(K) \otimes \mathcal{D}(K)$ by the slice map property [25; Proposition 10]. Taking adjoints, the assertion $\delta_K a \in \overleftarrow{C^*(K) \otimes \mathcal{D}(K)}$ follows. ■

By the general theory of co-actions [12; 24], one defines the *co-crossed product*

$$(1.29) \quad \mathcal{C}(K) \otimes_{\delta_K} \mathcal{D}(K) := C^*((\delta_K a)(f \otimes i) : a \in \mathcal{D}(K), f \in \mathcal{C}(K))$$

acting on $L^2(K \times K)$. Here i denotes the identity. There exists a C^* -embedding

$$(1.30) \quad \nu : \mathcal{C}(K) \otimes_{\delta_K} \mathcal{D}(K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(K))$$

determined by

$$(1.31) \quad \nu((\delta_K a)f \otimes i) := af$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(K)$. In fact, (1.30) is the restriction of the canonical W^* -isomorphism

$$(1.32) \quad L^\infty(K) \bar{\otimes}_{\delta_K} W^*(K) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{L}(L^2(K))$$

for the W^* -co-crossed product, obtained via duality theory [13] starting from the trivial action of K on \mathbf{C} . Let

$$(1.33) \quad (\rho_s h)(t) := h(ts)$$

denote the right translation action of K on $L^2(K)$. The dual action

$$(1.34) \quad d_s := \text{Ad}(\rho_s \otimes i) \quad (s \in K)$$

of K on (1.29) satisfies the commuting diagram

$$(1.35) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(K) \otimes_{\delta_K} \mathcal{D}(K) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathcal{L}(L^2(K)) \\ d_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Ad}(\rho_s) \\ \mathcal{C}(K) \otimes_{\delta_K} \mathcal{D}(K) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathcal{L}(L^2(K)) \end{array}$$

since for each $a \in \mathcal{D}(K)$, $\delta_K a$ commutes with $\rho_s \otimes i$ which implies $\nu(d_s((\delta_K a)f \otimes i)) = \nu((\delta_K a)\rho_s f \otimes i) = a(\rho_s f) = \text{Ad}(\rho_s)(af) = \text{Ad}(\rho_s)((\delta_K a)f \otimes i)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{C}(K)$.

1.36. PROPOSITION. *Realized on $L^2(K)$, the co-crossed product (1.29) contains $T(S)$ as a C^* -subalgebra, and*

$$(1.37) \quad T(S) = E_e^! (\mathcal{C}(K) \otimes_{\delta_K} \mathcal{D}(K)) E_e^!.$$

Proof. For $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $f_j \in A(K)$, $g_j \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ and put

$$T := (E_e f_1)^! g_1 \cdots (E_e f_n)^! g_n \in \mathcal{C}(K) \otimes_{\delta_K} \mathcal{D}(K).$$

Since $\mathcal{C}(K \times \cdots \times K) \approx \mathcal{C}(K) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(K)$ is a C^* -tensor product, we may assume that there exist $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^n f_j(t_{j-1}t_j^{-1})g_j(t_j) = \prod_{i=0}^n \varphi_i(t_i)$$

for all $t_0, \dots, t_n \in K$. A calculation using Proposition 1.14 shows

$$\begin{aligned} & (k|T_S(\varphi_0) \cdots T_S(\varphi_n)h)_S = \\ &= \int_K \cdots \int_K \overline{k(t_0)}\varphi_0(t_0)\varphi_1(s_1^{-1}t_0) \cdots \varphi_n(s_n^{-1} \cdots s_1^{-1}t_0) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot h(s_n^{-1} \cdots s_1^{-1}t_0) dE_e(s_1) \cdots dE_e(s_n) dt_0 = \\ &= \int_K \cdots \int_K \overline{k(t_0)}g_1(s_1^{-1}t_0)g_2(s_2^{-1}s_1^{-1}t_0) \cdots g_n(s_n^{-1} \cdots s_1^{-1}t_0) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot h(s_n^{-1} \cdots s_1^{-1}t_0)f_1(s_1) \cdots f_n(s_n) dE_e(s_1) \cdots dE_e(s_n) dt_0 = \\ &= (k|E_e^\sharp TE_e^\sharp h)_S \end{aligned}$$

for all $h, k \in H^2(S)$ showing that

$$(1.38) \quad E_e^\sharp TE_e^\sharp = T_S(\varphi_0) \cdots T_S(\varphi_n).$$

This proves that $T(S)$ contains the right hand side of (1.36). The converse is trivial by Proposition 1.14. \blacksquare

We will also consider the abelian C^* -algebra

$$(1.39) \quad \mathcal{D}^\circ(S) := C^*(E_e^\sharp \rtimes g : g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S) \text{ } L\text{-invariant})$$

which is generated by the functions

$$(1.40) \quad (E_e^\sharp g)(\alpha) = \int_S \overline{\alpha_\sharp(s)}g(s) dE_e(s)$$

on S^\sharp , with $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$ L -invariant. We have

$$(1.41) \quad P\mathcal{D}(K)P = \mathcal{D}^\circ(S)$$

where $P : L^2(K) \rightarrow L^2(S)$ is the canonical projection.

2. COMPOSITION SERIES FOR TOEPLITZ C^* -ALGEBRAS

By Proposition 1.36, the representations of $T(S)$ are related to the representations of the co-crossed product $\mathcal{C}(K) \otimes_{\delta_K} \mathcal{D}(K)$ and thus to “compatible” pairs of

representations of $A(K)$ and $\mathcal{D}(K)$, respectively [12]. In this section we will use this scheme to determine the full spectrum and thus the composition series for $T(S)$ in the special case of bounded symmetric domains Ω , thereby giving a new, more conceptual, proof of the results of [17]. The advantage of this method is that it can be generalized to more general K -circular domains [20, 16] and applies also to Toeplitz operators on “weighted” Bergman spaces which have recently attracted much interest in quantization theory [22, 1].

In the following let Ω be an (irreducible) bounded symmetric domain [6; Chapter VIII, §7] of tube type, realized as the open unit ball (under the so-called spectral norm)

$$(2.1) \quad \Omega = \{z \in Z : \|z\| < 1\}$$

in a vector space $Z \approx \mathbb{C}^n$. An example is the matrix ball

$$(2.2) \quad \Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r} : I_r - zz^* > 0\}$$

in the space $Z = \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ of complex $(r \times r)$ -matrices. Here $\|z\|$ is the usual operator norm. For $r = 1$, (2.2) is the unit disk. The compact linear group

$$(2.3) \quad K := \mathrm{GL}(\Omega) := \{s \in \mathrm{GL}(Z) : \Omega \cdot s = \Omega\}$$

(acting from the right) is transitive on the Shilov boundary S of Ω , and $S = L \setminus K$ is a symmetric space for $L := \{s \in K : es = e\}$, with $e \in S$ an arbitrary base point. Thus Ω (more precisely, its intersection with the open dense subset $S^\mathbb{C} \subset Z$) becomes a K -circular domain in the sense of Section 1.

One can show [10, 21] that Z has the structure of a *Jordan algebra* with product $z \circ w$, involution z^* and unit element e such that L consists of all *-automorphisms of Z and the Lie algebra \mathcal{K} of K has the Cartan decomposition $\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathrm{im}$, where \mathfrak{l} is the Lie algebra of L and m consists of all *multiplication operators*

$$(2.4) \quad M_{xy} := x \circ y \quad (y \in Z)$$

with $x = x^* \in Z$. As in associative algebras, one can write $e = e_1 + \dots + e_r$ as an orthogonal sum of minimal idempotents $e_i = e_i^*$, with r denoting the *rank* of Ω . The subspace

$$(2.5) \quad \mathfrak{a} := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r t_i M_{e_i} : t_1, \dots, t_r \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

of m is maximal abelian, and Ω has a polar decomposition (1.5) with radial part

$$(2.6) \quad A := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r t_i M_{e_i} : t_1 < \dots < t_r < 0 \right\}.$$

The dual space \mathfrak{a}^\sharp has the dual basis

$$(2.7) \quad M_{e_i}^\sharp(M_{e_j}) := \delta_{i,j} \quad \text{Kronecker symbol}$$

and it is known [14] that S^\sharp has the highest weight realization

$$(2.8) \quad S^\sharp = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i M_{e_i}^\sharp : (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}^r \right\},$$

where $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}^r := \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r : \alpha_1 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_r\}$. Since

$$(2.9) \quad A^\sharp = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \xi_i M_{e_i}^\sharp : \xi_1 \geq \dots \geq \xi_r \geq 0 \text{ real} \right\}$$

we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad S^\sharp \cap A^\sharp \approx \bar{\mathbb{N}}^r := \{\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}^r : \alpha_r \geq 0\}.$$

For each $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}^r$, the corresponding K -module $\mathcal{P}^\alpha(Z)$ consists of all polynomials of “type” α on Z and has the highest weight vector

$$(2.11) \quad N^\alpha(z) := N_1(z)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2} N_2(z)^{\alpha_2-\alpha_3} \dots N_r(z)^{\alpha_r}.$$

Here N_1, \dots, N_r are polynomials on Z called the *principal minors* with respect to e_1, \dots, e_r ; N_r is the *Jordan algebra determinant* [10, 21]. Thus Proposition 1.8 specializes to

$$(2.12) \quad H^2(S) \cong \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}^r}^{\oplus} \mathcal{P}^\alpha(Z) \quad (\text{Hilbert sum}).$$

Here $\mathcal{P}^\alpha(Z)$ is realized as a subspace of $L^2(S)$ via the restriction mapping. One can show [18, 4] that the induced inner product $(\varphi|\psi)_S$ is related to the “Fischer” inner product

$$(2.13) \quad (\varphi|\psi)_Z := (\partial_\varphi \psi)(0)$$

by the formula

$$(2.14) \quad (\varphi|\psi)_Z = \left(\frac{n}{r} \right)_\alpha \cdot (\varphi|\psi)_S$$

for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}^\alpha(Z)$. Here, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the *multi-Pochhammer symbol*

$$(2.15) \quad (\lambda)_\alpha := \prod_{1 \leq j \leq r} \prod_{0 \leq i < \alpha_j} \left(\lambda - \frac{a}{2}(j-1) + i \right),$$

where a is the numerical invariant determined by $n = r + \frac{a}{2}r(r-1)$. For $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^r \setminus \tilde{\mathbf{N}}^r$, the function (2.11) still makes sense on S , since $|N_r(z)| = 1$ for $z \in S$, and generates the associated K -submodule of $L^2(S)$. The reproducing Szegö kernel E of $H^2(S)$ has the form

$$(2.16) \quad E(z, w) = \Delta(z, w)^{-n/r}$$

where $\Delta : Z \times Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a “sesqui-polynomial” mapping called the *Jordan triple determinant* [10, 21]. In the Example 2.2 we have $\Delta(z, w) = \text{Det}(I - zw^*)$ for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$. For each $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{N}}^r$ the spherical function α_{\parallel} (cf. (1.4)) is the restriction to S of the polynomial

$$(2.17) \quad \alpha_{\parallel}(z) = \int_L N^\alpha(z \cdot l) dl$$

in $\mathcal{P}^\alpha(Z)$. For $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^r \setminus \tilde{\mathbf{N}}^r$, $\alpha_{\parallel}(z)$ is still given by (2.17) when $z \in S$.

As in Section 1 we define the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{D}(K) \subset W^*(K)$ and its commutative C^* -subalgebra $\mathcal{D}^\circ(S) \subset L^\infty(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^r)$, generated by the functions

$$(2.18) \quad (E_e g)^\parallel(\alpha) = \int_K \overline{\alpha_{\parallel}(s)} g(s) dE_e(s) = \int_S \overline{N^\alpha(z)} g(z) dE_e(z)$$

on $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^r$, where $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$ is L -biinvariant. We are interested in the representations of $\mathcal{D}^\circ(S)$.

2.19. PROPOSITION. *We have $C^*(K) \subset \mathcal{D}(K)$ and $\mathcal{C}_0(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^r) \subset \mathcal{D}^\circ(S)$.*

Proof. By (2.16), the K -invariant measure on S satisfies

$$dz = \Delta(z, e)^{n/r} dE_e(z)$$

where $\Delta(z, e)^{n/r} = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - z_i)^{n/r}$ is smooth on S . Here $z_i \in \mathbb{T}$ are eigenvalues of $z \in S$, so that $\text{Re}(1 - z_i) \geq 0$. Since $C^*(K)$ is generated by convolutions with $g(s)ds$, where $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(K)$, the first assertion follows from the Definition 1.18 of $\mathcal{D}(K)$. For the second assertion, consider only L -biinvariant functions $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$. ■

The boundary structure of Ω is best described in Jordan theoretic terms [10]. The Jordan $*$ -algebra Z gives rise to the “triple product”

$$(2.20) \quad \{uv^*w\} = (u \circ v^*) \circ w + (w \circ v^*) \circ u - (u \circ w) \circ v^*$$

for all $u, v, w \in Z$. In the matrix case $Z = \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$, this specializes to $\{uv^*w\} = (uv^*w + wv^*u)/2$. An element $c \in Z$ is called a “tripotent” if $\{cc^*c\} = c$. By [10; Theorem 3.13] there is a Peirce decomposition

$$(2.21) \quad Z = Z_1(c) \oplus Z_{\frac{1}{2}}(c) \oplus Z_0(c)$$

where $Z_\lambda(c) := \{z \in Z : \{cc^*z\} = \lambda c\}$. It is shown in [10; Theorem 6.3] that the faces of $\bar{\Omega}$ have the form $c + \bar{\Omega}_c$, where c is a tripotent and

$$(2.22) \quad \bar{\Omega}_c := \{w \in Z_0(c) : \|w\| \leq 1\} = \bar{\Omega} \cap Z_0(c).$$

For $1 \leq k \leq r$, let S_k denote the compact manifold of all tripotents of rank k . For each $c \in S_k$, $\Omega_c := \Omega \cap Z_0(c)$ is a bounded symmetric domain of rank $r - k$. Let $S_c = Z_0(c) \cap S_{r-k}$ denote its Shilov boundary. Then $c + S_c \in S$ and $S_c = L_c \setminus K_c$ where $K_c = \text{GL}(\Omega_c)$ can be naturally embedded in K . We will now specialize to

$$(2.23) \quad c = e_1 + \cdots + e_k.$$

The symmetric space S has a polar decomposition $S = e \exp(i\mathfrak{a}_+^0)L$, where

$$(2.24) \quad \mathfrak{a}_+^0 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r x_i M_{e_i} : x_1 < \cdots < x_r \right\}$$

is the Weyl chamber of the symmetric pair (K, L) . Passing to the dual \mathfrak{a}^\sharp , we consider the face

$$(2.25) \quad F := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i M_{e_i}^\sharp : \xi_1 \geq \cdots \geq \xi_k \geq 0 \right\}$$

of A^\sharp . Then the centralizer of F in K , denoted by K_F , is generated by $A := \exp(\mathfrak{a})$, K_c and the centralizer of A in L . It follows that

$$(2.26) \quad S_F := e \cdot K_F = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k u_i e_i + w : u_i \in \mathbb{T}, w \in S_c \right\} \approx \mathbb{T}^k \times S_c.$$

In the special case (2.2), S_F consists of all matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & & 0 & \\ & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & & u_k & \\ & 0 & & w \end{pmatrix}$$

where $u_i \in \mathbb{T}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $w \in U(r - k)$.

2.27. LEMMA. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$ vanish on S_F . Then*

$$\lim_{\alpha_k \rightarrow \infty} \int_S \overline{N^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0}(z)} \varphi(z) d\mu(z) = 0$$

for every distribution μ on S defining a bounded convolution operator.

Proof. Let $E \subset L^2(S)$ denote the closed linear span of N^α , $\alpha \in \vec{\mathbb{N}}^r$. Let f be a smooth function on the face F which is homogeneous of degree 0 and has support contained in the complement of a neighborhood of ∂F (relative to the span of F). Define a bounded linear operator $\pi_f : L^2(S) \rightarrow E$ by putting

$$\pi_f N^\beta := f(\beta) N^\beta$$

for all $\beta \in \vec{\mathbb{N}}^r = \vec{\mathbb{N}}^r \cap F$, and $\pi_f := 0$ on the orthocomplement of $(N^\beta : \beta \in \vec{\mathbb{N}}^k)$. By [5; Theorem 8.10], π_f is a “Hermite operator” with symbol $H^0(S \times S, \Sigma_F^D)$, where $\Sigma_F^D \subset T^*S \times (-T^*S)$ (opposite symplectic structure) projects onto $S_F \times S_F$. Suppose first that $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(S \setminus S_F)$. Then $\varphi \pi_f$ is a smoothing operator, and the same holds for $P_l := \varphi \pi_f \Delta^l$, where $l \geq 0$ and Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on S . Applying [6; Proposition II.3.8] we have for $z \in S$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0)$

$$\begin{aligned} (P_l N^\alpha)(z) &= (\varphi \pi_f \Delta^l N^\alpha)(z) = \\ &= \varphi(z) (|\alpha + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2)^l (\pi_f N^\alpha)(z) = \\ &= \varphi(z) (|\alpha + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2)^l f(\alpha) N^\alpha(z) = \\ &= \varphi(z) (|\alpha + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2)^l N^\alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

Here ρ is the half-sum of positive roots of the Riemannian symmetric pair (K, L) , and we may choose f so that $f(\alpha) = 1$ for almost all α since α tends to infinity in the interior of F relative to its affine span. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (|\alpha + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2)^l \int_S \overline{N^\alpha(z)} \varphi(z) d\mu(z) &= \\ &= \int_S \overline{(P_l N^\alpha)(z)} d\mu(z) = \int_S \overline{N^\alpha(z)} (P_l^* \mu(z)) dz \end{aligned}$$

where P_l^* is the adjoint. Since $|N^\alpha(z)| \leq 1$ on S and $P_l^* \mu$ is smooth (hence bounded) it follows that

$$\int_S \overline{N^\alpha(z)} \varphi(z) d\mu(z) \lesssim (|\alpha + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2)^{-l} \rightarrow 0$$

as $\alpha_k \rightarrow \infty$. This proves the assertion in case $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(S \setminus S_F)$. Now assume only $\varphi|_{S_F} = 0$. We will show that there exists a sequence $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(S \setminus S_F)$ such that

$$(2.28) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi} \quad \text{in } A(K)$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}(s) := \varphi(e \cdot s)$ for all $s \in K$. In order to prove (2.28) let W be a 0-neighborhood in im and let $Z \mapsto \gamma(Z)$ be a diffeomorphism from W onto a neighborhood of $e \in S$. Then there exists a continuous function δ on W such that

$$\int_S f(z) dz = \int_W f(\gamma(Z)) \delta(Z) dZ$$

for all f with support in $\gamma(W)$. Since $A(K)$ is invariant under translations (by elements in K_F) we may assume that $\text{Supp } \varphi \subset\subset \gamma(W)$. Since S_F is a submanifold of S , we may choose γ such that $W = U \times V$, where U and V are bounded convex 0-neighborhoods in complementary vector subspaces of im , and

$$(2.29) \quad S_F \cap \gamma(W) = \gamma(\{0\} \times V).$$

Now choose $\chi \in C_0^\infty(W)$ such that $\chi = 1$ on $(\{0\} \times V) \cap \text{Supp}(\varphi \circ \gamma)$. Define $\chi_j \in C_0^\infty(\gamma(W))$, for $j \geq 1$, by putting

$$(2.30) \quad (\chi_j \circ \gamma)(X, Y) := \chi(jX, Y)$$

for all $(X, Y) \in \frac{1}{j}U \times V \subset W$. Since $k < r$ by assumption, we have $m := \dim V = \dim S - \dim S_F > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S |\chi_j(z)\varphi(z)|^2 = \int_W |(\chi_j \circ \gamma)(Z)|^2 |\varphi(\gamma(Z))|^2 \delta(Z) dZ = \\ = \int_V \int_U |\chi(jX, Y)|^2 |\varphi(\gamma(X, Y))|^2 \delta(X, Y) dXdY = \\ = j^{-m} \int_V \int_U |\chi(\xi, Y)|^2 \left| \varphi \left(\gamma \left(\frac{\xi}{j}, Y \right) \right) \right|^2 \delta \left(\frac{\xi}{j}, Y \right) d\xi dY \lesssim \\ \lesssim j^{-m} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

since χ has compact support in W and $|\varphi \circ \gamma|^2 \cdot \delta$ is bounded in W . Thus $\|\chi_j \varphi\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and Plancherel's Theorem yields

$$(2.31) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in K^\sharp} \|(\chi_j \varphi)_\alpha\|_{HS}^2 \rightarrow 0$$

where HS is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Every A in the Lie algebra \mathcal{K} of K induces a vector field on S such that

$$(A\chi_j)(z) = d\chi_j(z)A_z$$

for every $z \in S$, where $d\chi_j$ is the derivative and $A_z \in T_z(S)$ is the tangent vector. Using the chain rule it follows that

$$(2.32) \quad (A\chi_j)\gamma(Z) = d(\chi_j \circ \gamma)(Z)d\gamma(Z)^{-1}A_{\gamma(Z)}.$$

Write

$$d\gamma(X, Y)^{-1}A_{\gamma(X, Y)} = (B(X, Y), C(X, Y))$$

as a tangent vector on $U \times V$. Then (2.32) implies

$$\begin{aligned} d(\chi_j \circ \gamma)(X, Y) d\gamma(X, Y)^{-1} A_{\gamma(X, Y)} &= \\ &= j(D_1 \chi)(jX, Y) B(X, Y) + (D_2 \chi)(jX, Y) C(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

where $D_1 \chi$ and $D_2 \chi$ denote the partial derivatives. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S |(A\chi_j)(z)|^2 |\varphi(z)|^2 dz &= \int_W |(A\chi_j)(\gamma(Z))|^2 |\varphi(\gamma(Z))|^2 \delta(Z) dZ = \\ &= \int_V \int_U |j(D_1 \chi)(jX, Y) B(X, Y) + (D_2 \chi)(jX, Y) C(X, Y)|^2 |\varphi(\gamma(X, Y))|^2 \delta(X, Y) dXdY = \\ &= j^{-m} \int_V \int_U \left| j(D_1 \chi)(\xi, Y) B\left(\frac{\xi}{j}, Y\right) + (D_2 \chi)(\xi, Y) C\left(\frac{\xi}{j}, Y\right) \right|^2 \\ &\quad \cdot \left| \varphi\left(\gamma\left(\frac{\xi}{j}, Y\right)\right) \right|^2 \delta\left(\frac{\xi}{j}, Y\right) d\xi dY. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi \circ \gamma$ is smooth and vanishes on $\{0\} \times V$ we have

$$\left| \varphi\left(\gamma\left(\frac{\xi}{j}, Y\right)\right) \right| \lesssim j^{-1}$$

by Taylor's formula, so that the above integral is again dominated by j^{-m} and we obtain $\|A\chi_j\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $A(\chi_j \varphi) = (A\chi_j) \cdot \varphi + \chi_j \cdot A\varphi$ and $\text{Supp}(A\varphi) \subset \subset \gamma(W)$, this implies $\|A(\chi_j \varphi)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. For every $\alpha \in K^\sharp$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A(\chi_j \varphi))_\alpha^\sharp &= \int_K \alpha(s)^* A(\chi_j \varphi)(s) ds = \\ &= \int_K \alpha(s)^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \Big|_{\vartheta=0} (\chi_j \varphi)(s \exp(\vartheta A)) ds = \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \int_K \alpha(t \exp(-\vartheta A))^* (\chi_j \varphi)(t) dt = \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \alpha(\exp(\vartheta A)) \cdot \int_K \alpha(t)^* (\chi_j \varphi)(t) dt = \\ &= d\alpha(A) \cdot (\chi_j \varphi)_\alpha^\sharp, \end{aligned}$$

where $d\alpha : \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{u}(H_\alpha)$ (skew-adjoint operators) is the infinitesimal generator of α . Again by Plancherel's Theorem,

$$(2.33) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in K^\sharp} \left\| d\alpha(A) \cdot (\chi_j \varphi)_\alpha^\sharp \right\|_{HS}^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Now consider the strictly positive K -invariant operator

$$(2.34) \quad B_\alpha := I + \sum_i d\alpha(A_i)^* d\alpha(A_i)$$

on H_α , where (A_i) is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{K} . For the trace-norm on $\mathcal{L}(H_\alpha)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in K^\sharp} \left\| (\chi_j \varphi)_\alpha^{\sharp} \right\|_{\text{tr}} &= \sum_{\alpha \in K^\sharp} \left\| B_\alpha^{-1/2} B_\alpha^{1/2} (\chi_j \varphi)_\alpha^{\sharp} \right\|_{\text{tr}} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \left[\sum_{\alpha \in K^\sharp} \left\| B_\alpha^{-1/2} \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{\alpha \in K^\sharp} \left\| B_\alpha^{1/2} (\chi_j \varphi)_\alpha^{\sharp} \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right]^{1/2} = \\ &= \left[\sum_{\alpha \in K^\sharp} \text{tr} (B_\alpha^{-1}) \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{\alpha \in H^\sharp} \text{tr} ((\chi_j \varphi)_\alpha^{\sharp})^* B_\alpha (\chi_j \varphi)_\alpha^{\sharp} \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The first factor is finite since $\sum^\oplus B_\alpha^{-1}$ is of trace-class. The second factor gives

$$\sum_{\alpha \in K^\sharp} \left(\left\| (\chi_j \varphi)_\alpha^{\sharp} \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2 + \sum_i \left\| [A_i (\chi_j \varphi)]_\alpha^{\sharp} \right\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right)$$

which tends to zero by (2.32) and (2.33). It follows that $\tilde{\chi}_j \tilde{\varphi} \rightarrow 0$ in $A(K)$, so that $(1 - \tilde{\chi}_j)\tilde{\varphi} \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$ in $A(K)$. Since $1 - \chi_j$ vanishes in a neighborhood of $\gamma(W) \cap S_F$, the assertion (2.28) follows. Writing

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \overline{N^\alpha \varphi} d\mu &= \int_K \overline{N^\alpha (\varphi - \varphi_j)} d\mu + \int_K \overline{N^\alpha \varphi_j} d\mu = \\ &= (N^\alpha (\varphi - \varphi_j), \mu^\sharp) + \int_K \overline{N^\alpha \varphi_j} d\mu \end{aligned}$$

we have, noting that $|N^\alpha| \leqslant 1$ on S ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_S \overline{N^\alpha \varphi} d\mu \right| &\leqslant \|N^\alpha (\varphi - \varphi_j)\|_{A(K)} \cdot \|\mu^\sharp\|_{W^*(K)} + \left| \int_K \overline{N^\alpha \varphi_j} d\mu \right| \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \|\varphi - \varphi_j\|_{A(K)} \cdot \|\mu^\sharp\|_{W^*(K)} + \left| \int_K \overline{N^\alpha \varphi_j} d\mu \right| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\alpha_k \rightarrow \infty$, by applying the first part of the proof to φ_j with j large enough. ■

2.35. LEMMA. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(Z_1(c))$ and let $q \in \mathcal{P}^\beta(Z_0(c))$ for some signature*

$$\beta = (\beta_{k+1}, \dots, \beta_r) \in \bar{\mathbf{N}}^{r-k}.$$

Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ be fixed. Consider a sequence in \vec{N}^k of signatures of the form $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0)$ with $\alpha_k \rightarrow +\infty$. Then there exists $\varphi_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$ having type $(\vec{N}^k, \beta - l)$ such that

$$(2.36) \quad \int_S N^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0}(z) p(z) q(z) N_r(z)^{-l} d\mu(z) \sim \int_S \varphi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(z) d\mu(z)$$

for every distribution μ on S . Here \sim means that the difference tends to 0 as $\alpha_k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We may assume $q(w) = N_c^\beta(w\sigma)$ for all $w \in Z_0(c)$, where $\sigma \in K'_c$ (commutator subgroup) and N_c^β is the conical polynomial on $Z_0(c)$ of type β . Choose $s \in K'$ such that $s|_{Z_0(c)} = \sigma$ and $s|_{Z_1(c)} = \text{id}$. Then

$$\rho_s [N^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0} N_r^{-l} p N_c^\beta] = N^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0} N_r^{-l} pq.$$

Since the assertion is invariant under ρ_s , we may assume $\sigma = \text{id}$ and $q = N_c^\beta$. Let

$$N^\beta(z) := N_{k+1}(z)^{\beta_{k+1}-\beta_{k+2}} \dots N_r(z)^{\beta_r}$$

be the conical polynomial on Z of signature $(\beta_{k+1}, \dots, \beta_{k+1}, \beta) \in \vec{N}^r$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N^\beta(u+w) &= N_{k+1}(u+w)^{\beta_{k+1}-\beta_{k+2}} \dots N_r(u+w)^{\beta_r} = \\ (2.37) \quad &= N_k(u)^{\beta_{k+1}-\beta_{k+2}} N_k(u)^{\beta_{k+2}-\beta_{k+3}} \dots N_k(u)^{\beta_r} N_c^\beta(w) = \\ &= N_k(u)^{\beta_{k+1}} N_c^\beta(w) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in Z_1(c)$, $w \in Z_0(c)$. Put

$$\gamma := (\alpha_1 - \beta_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_k - \beta_{k+1}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Putting $z = u + v + w \in Z_1(c) \oplus Z_{\frac{1}{2}}(c) \oplus Z_0(c)$ it is clear that $N^\beta(z) - N^\beta(u+w)$ vanishes on S_F . By Lemma 2.27, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S N^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0}(z) N_c^\beta(w) d\mu(z) &= \\ &= \int_S N^\gamma(z) N_k(u)^{\beta_{k+1}} N_c^\beta(w) d\mu(z) = \\ &= \int_S N^\gamma(z) N^\beta(u+w) d\mu(z) \sim \int_S N^\gamma(z) N^\beta(z) d\mu(z) \end{aligned}$$

as $\alpha_k \rightarrow \infty$. Assuming $\alpha_k \geq |l|$, we define

$$\varphi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(z) := p(u) N^\gamma(u) N^\beta(u) N_r(z)^{-l}.$$

Since $p \cdot N^\gamma$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}(Z_1(c))$ we may assume

$$(p \cdot N^\gamma)(u) = \left(N_1^{l_1-l_2} N_2^{l_2-l_3} \dots N_k^{l_k} \right) (u\tau)$$

for all $u \in Z_1(c)$, where $l_1 \geq \dots \geq l_k \geq |l| - \beta_{k+1}$ and $\tau \in \text{Aut}(Z_1(c))'$ (commutator subgroup). Choose $t \in K$ such that $t|_{Z_1(c)} = \tau$ and ρ_t leaves N_{k+1}, \dots, N_r invariant. Then

$$\rho_t^{-1} \varphi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} = \rho_t^{-1}(pN^\gamma) \cdot N_r^\beta N_r^{-l} = N_1^{l_1-l_2} \cdots N_k^{l_k} N_r^\beta N_r^{-l}$$

has signature $(l_1 + \beta_{k+1} - l, \dots, l_k + \beta_{k+1} - l, \beta_{k+1} - l, \dots, \beta_r - l)$ with $l_k + \beta_{k+1} - l \geq 0$. \blacksquare

2.38. PROPOSITION. For every $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$ we have

$$(2.39) \quad \int_S \overline{N^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0}(z)} f(z) dE_c(z) \rightarrow \int_{S_c} f_c(w) dE_c(w)$$

whenever $\alpha_k \rightarrow +\infty$. Here E_c is the characteristic convolutor of the rank K_c -circular domain $\Omega_c := \Omega \cap Z_0(c)$ of rank $r-k$, with Shilov boundary S_c , and $f_c(w) := f(c+w)$ is the restriction of f to S_c .

Proof. Using density arguments we may assume that there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$N(z)^l \cdot \overline{f(z)} \in \mathcal{P}(Z).$$

Write $z = u + v + w$ with $u \in Z_1(c)$, $v \in Z_{\frac{1}{2}}(c)$ and $w \in Z_0(c)$. Since

$$\mathcal{P}(Z) = \mathcal{P}(Z_1(c)) \otimes \mathcal{P}(Z_{\frac{1}{2}}(c)) \otimes \mathcal{P}(Z_0(c))$$

we may assume

$$\overline{f(z)} = N(z)^{-l} p(u) g(v) q(w)$$

where $p \in \mathcal{P}(Z_1(c))$, $g \in \mathcal{P}(Z_{\frac{1}{2}}(c))$ and $q \in \mathcal{P}(Z_0(c))$. Assume first that $g(v)$ has no constant term, i.e., $g(0) = 0$. Since $v = 0$ for all $z = u + v + w \in S_F$, it follows that f vanishes on S_F . By Lemma 2.27

$$\int_S \overline{N^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0}(z)} f(z) dE_c(z) \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand we have for all $w \in S_c$

$$\overline{f_c(w)} = \overline{f(c+w)} = N_c(w)^{-l} p(c) g(0) q(w) = 0$$

showing that $\int_{S_c} f_c(w) dE_c(w) = 0$. Hence the assertion is true in case $g(0) = 0$. Now assume that $g(v)$ is constant, say, $g(v) = 1$. Then $\overline{f(z)} = p(u) q(w) N(z)^{-l}$. We may assume that $q \in \mathcal{P}^\beta(Z_0(c))$ for $\beta = (\beta_{k+1}, \dots, \beta_r) \in \mathbb{N}^{r-k}$. Then

$$\overline{f_c(w)} = N_c(w)^{-l} p(c) q(w)$$

is pure of type $\beta - l$. Put $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0)$. By Lemma 2.35 there exists $\varphi_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$ of type $(\vec{\mathbf{N}}^k, \beta - l)$ such that

$$(2.40) \quad \int_S (N^\alpha(z) \cdot \overline{f(z)} - \varphi_\alpha(z)) d\mu(z) \rightarrow 0$$

for every distribution μ on S . Putting $\mu = \delta_e$, (2.40) implies $\varphi_\alpha(e) \rightarrow \overline{f(e)}$ since $N^\alpha(e) = 1$. Putting $\mu = E_e$ we obtain

$$\int_S \overline{N^\alpha(z)} f(z) dE_e(z) \sim \int_S \varphi_\alpha(z) dE_e(z) = \overline{E_e^\sharp(\varphi_\alpha)(e)}$$

where \sim means that the difference tends to 0 as $\alpha_k \rightarrow \infty$. In case $\beta_r \geq l$, $\varphi_\alpha \in H^2(S)$ and $\bar{f}_c \in H^2(S_c)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_c} f_c(w) dE_c(w) &= \overline{E_c^\sharp(\bar{f}_c)(e - c)} = f_c(e - c) = f(e) = \\ &= \lim \overline{\varphi_\alpha(e)} = \lim E_e^\sharp(\varphi_\alpha)(e) = \lim \int_S \overline{N^\alpha(z)} f(z) dE_e(z). \end{aligned}$$

In case $\beta_r < l$, $\varphi_\alpha \in H^2(S)^\perp$ and $\bar{f}_c \in H^2(S_c)^\perp$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_c} f_c(w) dE_c(w) &= \overline{E_c^\sharp(\bar{f}_c)(e - c)} = 0 = \\ &= \lim E_e^\sharp(\varphi_\alpha)(e) = \lim \int_S \overline{N^\alpha(z)} f(z) dE_e(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the assertion holds in both cases. \blacksquare

2.41. COROLLARY. *For every L -biinvariant function $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$ and each $\beta = (\beta_{k+1}, \dots, \beta_r) \in \vec{\mathbb{Z}}^{r-k}$, we have*

$$(2.42) \quad \lim_\alpha (E_e g)^\sharp(\alpha) = (E_c g_c)^\sharp(\beta)$$

whenever $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \vec{\mathbb{Z}}^r$ satisfies $\alpha_k \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\alpha_{k+1} \rightarrow \beta_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_r \rightarrow \beta_r$.

Proof. We may assume $\alpha_{k+1} = \beta_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_r = \beta_r$. By integrating (2.39) over L , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (E_e g)^\sharp(\alpha) &= \int_S \overline{\alpha_\sharp(z)} g(z) dE_e(z) = \int_S N^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r}(z) g(z) dE_e(z) = \\ &= \int_S \overline{N^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0}(z)} \overline{N_k(z)^{-\beta_{k+1}}} \overline{N_{k+1}(z)^{\beta_{k+1} - \beta_{k+2}}} \cdots \overline{N_r(z)^{\beta_r}} g(z) dE_e(z) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \int_{S_c} \overline{N_c^\beta(w)} g_c(w) dE_c(w) = \int_{S_c} \overline{\beta_\sharp(w)} g_c(w) dE_c(w) = (E_c g_c)^\sharp(\beta). \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

As a consequence of Corollary 2.41, there exists a C^* -representation

$$(2.43) \quad \pi_c^\diamond : \mathcal{D}^\diamond(S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^\diamond(S_c) \subset \mathcal{L}(L^2(S_c))$$

such that

$$(2.44) \quad \pi_c^\diamond((E_e g)^\sharp) = (E_c g_c)^\sharp$$

for every L -biinvariant $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$. Here we use the fact that $\mathcal{D}^\diamond(S)$ is abelian. Using K -covariance, we may define (2.44) for every tripotent $c \in S_k$, not just for $c = e_1 + \cdots + e_k$. By [17], the sequence of holomorphic functions

$$(2.45) \quad h_c^n(z) := [\exp(z|c)]^n / \left(\int_S |\exp(z|c)|^{2n} dz \right)^{1/2}$$

is peaking on the subset $c + S_c \subset S$, since $\operatorname{Re}(z|c) < \operatorname{Re}(c|c)$ for all $z \in S \setminus (c + S_c)$ [10; lemma 6.2]. This implies [18; Theorem 3.8] that there exists a C^* -representation

$$(2.46) \quad \sigma_c : T(S) \longrightarrow T(S_c)$$

satisfying

$$\sigma_c(T_S(f)) = T_{S_c}(f_c)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, with $f_c(w) := f(c + w)$ for all $w \in S_c$. In terms of (2.45), (2.46) is characterized by

$$(2.47) \quad \|A(h_c^n \cdot q) - h_c^n \cdot \sigma_c(A)q\|_{H^2(S)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $q \in \mathcal{P}(Z_c)$ and A belongs to a “smooth” *-subalgebra of $T(S)$. Putting

$$(2.48) \quad \mathcal{I}_k := \bigcap_{c \in S_k} \operatorname{Ker}(\sigma_c)$$

we obtain a C^* -filtration

$$(2.49) \quad \mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{I}_r \subset T(S).$$

2.50. PROPOSITION. $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{K}(H^2(S))$ (compact operators).

Proof. By Proposition 2.19, we have

$$E_e^\sharp C^*(K) E_e^\sharp \subset E_e^\sharp \mathcal{D}(K) E_e^\sharp \subset E_e^\sharp (\mathcal{C}(K) \otimes_{\delta_K} \mathcal{D}(K)) E_e^\sharp = T(S).$$

It follows that $\mathcal{T}(S)$ contains a non-zero compact operator. Since $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is irreducible on $H^2(S)$ it follows [2] that $\mathcal{K}(H^2(S)) \subset \mathcal{T}(S)$. Now let $c \in Z$ be a non-zero tripotent, and let $A \in \mathcal{K}(H^2(S))$. Since the peaking sequence (h_c^n) defined in (2.45) satisfies $h_c^n \rightarrow 0$ (weakly) it follows that

$$\|A(h_c^n q)\|_S \rightarrow 0$$

for every $q \in \mathcal{P}(Z_c)$ which, by (2.47), implies $\sigma_c(A) = 0$. Thus

$$(2.51) \quad \mathcal{K}(H^2(S)) \subset \mathcal{I}_1 := \bigcap_{c \in S_1} \text{Ker } \sigma_c = \bigcap_{c \neq 0} \text{Ker } \sigma_c.$$

Conversely, let $A \in \mathcal{I}_1$. In order to show that A is compact we may assume that $A \geq 0$. Consider the action $(\rho_s h) := h(zs)$ of K on $H^2(S)$, and the associated adjoint action $\text{Ad}(\rho_s)$ of K on $\mathcal{T}(S)$. Then

$$(2.52) \quad B := \int_K \text{Ad}(\rho_s) A ds \in \mathcal{T}(S)$$

is positive and K -invariant. Since

$$\sigma_c(\text{Ad}(\rho_s)A) = \sigma_{cs}(A)$$

for $c \in S_1$ and $s \in K$ it follows that \mathcal{I}_1 is invariant under the action of K so that $B \in \mathcal{I}_1$. Since $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is a (non-unital) C^* -subalgebra of the co-crossed product $\mathcal{C}(K) \otimes_{\delta_K} \mathcal{D}(K)$ (Proposition 1.36) it follows [2] that there exist a Hilbert space $H_c \supset H^2(S_c)$ and an irreducible representation

$$(2.53) \quad \nu_c : \mathcal{C}(K) \otimes_{\delta_K} \mathcal{D}(K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(H_c)$$

such that

$$(2.54) \quad \nu_c(T_S(f)) = \begin{pmatrix} T_{S_c}(f_c) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(S)$. According to [12; Theorem 3.7], there exists a C^* -representation

$$(2.55) \quad \pi_c : \mathcal{D}(K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(H_c)$$

and a Banach algebra representation

$$(2.56) \quad \mu_c : A(K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(H_c)$$

satisfying

$$(2.57) \quad \nu_c((E_e f)^\# g) = \pi_c((E_e f)^\#) \mu_c(g)$$

for all $f, g \in A(K)$. Now consider the representation

$$\pi_c^\diamond : \mathcal{D}^\diamond(S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(H^2(S_c))$$

of the abelian subalgebra $\mathcal{D}(S) \subset \mathcal{D}(K)$ constructed in (2.43). We claim that

$$(2.58) \quad \pi_c(E_e^\dagger B E_e^\dagger) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_c^\diamond(E_e^\dagger B E_e^\dagger) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $B \in \mathcal{D}^\diamond(S)$. To prove (2.58), we may assume that $B = (E_e f)^\dagger$ where $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)$ is L -biinvariant. Write

$$(2.59) \quad f(st^{-1}) = \lim \sum_i \varphi^i(s)\psi^i(t)$$

for all $s, t \in K$, where $\varphi^i, \psi^i \in \mathcal{C}(K)$. Then (1.38) implies

$$(2.60) \quad E_e^\dagger (E_e f)^\dagger E_e^\dagger = \lim \sum_i T_S(\varphi^i) T_S(\psi^i).$$

Consider the embedding $x \mapsto 1 \oplus x$ from K_c into K satisfying $e(1 \oplus x) = (c + c^\perp)(1 \oplus x) = c \oplus c^\perp x$. Then we have

$$f_c(x) \equiv f_c(c^\perp x) = f(c + c^\perp x) = f(e(1 \oplus x)) \equiv f(1 \oplus x)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(K)$, with corresponding restriction $f_c \in \mathcal{C}(K_c)$. Using (2.59), this implies for all $x, y \in K_c$

$$f_c(xy^{-1}) = f(1 \oplus xy^{-1}) = f((1 \oplus x)(1 \oplus y)^{-1}) =$$

$$\lim \sum_i \varphi^i(1 \oplus x)\psi^i(1 \oplus y) = \lim \sum_i \varphi_c^i(x)\psi_c^i(y).$$

Applying (2.60) to $S_c = L_c \setminus K_c$ we obtain

$$E_c^\dagger (E_c f_c)^\dagger E_c^\dagger = \lim \sum_i T_{S_c}(\varphi_c^i) T_{S_c}(\psi_c^i).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_c(E_e^\dagger (E_e f)^\dagger E_e^\dagger) = \nu_c(E_e^\dagger (E_e f)^\dagger E_e^\dagger) = \\ &= \lim \sum_i \nu_c(T_S(\varphi^i) T_S(\psi^i)) = \lim \sum_i \begin{pmatrix} T_{S_c}(\varphi_c^i) T_{S_c}(\psi_c^i) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} E_c^\dagger (E_c f_c)^\dagger E_c^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_c^\diamond(E_e^\dagger (E_e f)^\dagger E_e^\dagger) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.58). The element $B \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ defined in (2.52) belongs to the fixed point algebra

$$B \in \mathcal{T}(S)^K \subset (\mathcal{C}(K) \otimes_{\delta_K} \mathcal{D}(K))^K = \mathcal{D}(K)$$

(cf. [11]). Since $B \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ we have

$$B = E_e^\dagger B E_e^\dagger \in E_e^\dagger \mathcal{D}(K) E_e^\dagger = \mathcal{D}^\diamond(S)$$

(cf. (1.41)). therefore (2.58) implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} \pi_c^\diamond(B) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \pi_c(B) = \nu_c(B) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_c(B) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $c \in S_1$ since $B \in \mathcal{I}_1$. Applying Proposition 2.38, it follows that

$$B = \bigcap_{c \in S_1} \text{Ker } \pi_c^\diamond = C^*(K)$$

is compact on $L^2(K)$ and thus on $H^2(S)$. Since $\text{Ad}(\rho_s)A$ is positive for every $s \in K$, it follows that $\text{Ad}(\rho_s)A$ is compact. In particular, A is compact showing that $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{K}(H^2(S))$. \blacksquare

For any fixed $1 \leq j \leq r$, consider the bundle of Hilbert spaces

$$(2.61) \quad \mathcal{H}_j = (H^2(S_c))_{c \in S_j}$$

defined by the continuous cross-sections $(p_c)_{c \in S_j}$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}(Z)$. Here $p_c(w) := p(c+w)$ for all $w \in S_c$. We have $\dim H^2(S_c) = \infty$ if $j < r$ whereas $H^2(S_c) \approx \mathbb{C}$ if $j = r$. Let

$$(2.62) \quad \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_j) = (\mathcal{K}(H^2(S_c)))_{c \in S_j}$$

denote the corresponding C^* -bundle of elementary C^* -algebras. Since \mathcal{H}_j is a trivial bundle of Hilbert spaces [2], it follows that

$$\mathcal{K}_j \approx \mathcal{C}(S_j) \otimes \mathcal{K} \quad (j < r)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_r \approx \mathcal{C}(S).$$

For $A \in \mathcal{T}(S)$, put

$$\sigma_j(A) := (\sigma_c(A))_{c \in S_j}$$

as a field of operators acting on \mathcal{H}_j . Then $\mathcal{I}_j = \text{Ker } \sigma_j$.

2.63. THEOREM. *We have*

$$(2.64) \quad \sigma_j : \mathcal{I}_{j+1}/\mathcal{I}_j \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{K}_j.$$

Proof. For every $A \in \mathcal{I}_{j+1}$ and $c \in S_j$, the operator $\sigma_c(A)$ belongs to the Hardy-Toeplitz C^* -algebra $T(S_c)$ over S_c . Now let $d \in Z_c$ be a rank 1 tripotent. Then $c + d \in S_{j+1}$ and

$$\sigma_d(\sigma_c(A)) = \sigma_{d+c}(A) = 0$$

since $A \in \mathcal{I}_{j+1}$. Since d is arbitrary, it follows from Proposition 2.50, applied to S_c , that $\sigma_c(A) \in \mathcal{K}(H^2(S_c))$. Since $\sigma_c(A)$ depends continuously on $c \in S_j$, we have $\sigma_j(A) \in \mathcal{K}_j$. Thus (2.64) is a well-defined C^* -homomorphism which is injective. Let

$$E_j : H^2(S) \longrightarrow \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^j}^{\oplus} \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}(Z) \quad \text{Hilbert sum}$$

denote the orthogonal projection. Then $E_j \in \mathcal{I}_{j+1}$ [17; Theorem 1.4] and we have

$$\sigma_c(T_S(p)E_jT_S(q)^*) = p_c \otimes q_c$$

for all $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(Z)$. Therefore

$$\sigma_c(\mathcal{I}_{j+1}) = \mathcal{K}(H^2(S_c)).$$

Now suppose $a \in S_j$ is different from c . Then $c + S_c$ and $a + S_a$ are different subsets of S . By Urysohn's Theorem, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ vanishing on $c + S_c$ but not on $a + S_a$. Hence

$$h := T_{S_a}(f_a)p \neq 0$$

for a suitable $p \in \mathcal{P}(Z_a) \subset \mathcal{P}(Z)$. Therefore $A := T_S(f)T_S(p)E_j \in \mathcal{I}_{j+1}$ satisfies

$$\sigma_c(A) = T_{S_c}(f_c)T_{S_c}(p_c)1_c \otimes 1_c = 0$$

whereas

$$\sigma_a(A) = T_{S_a}(f_a)T_{S_a}(p)1_a \otimes 1_a = h \otimes 1_a \neq 0.$$

Thus the C^* -ideal $\sigma_a(\mathcal{I}_{j+1} \cap \text{Ker } \sigma_c)$ coincides with the simple C^* -algebra \mathcal{K}_a . Now [2; Lemma 10.5.3] implies $\sigma_j(\mathcal{I}_{j+1}) = \mathcal{K}_j$. ■

Supported in part by NSF-grant DMS 870-2371.

REFERENCES

1. BORTHWIK, D.; LESNIEWSKI, A.; UPMEIER, H., Non-perturbative deformation quantization of Cartan domains, *J. Funct. Anal.*, to appear.
2. DIXMIER, J., C^* -algebras, North-Holland, 1977.
3. EYMARD, P., L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **92**(1964), 181–236.
4. FARAUT, J.; KORÁNYI, A., Function spaces and reproducing kernels on bounded symmetric domains, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **88**(1990), 64–89.
5. GUILLEMIN, V., Some micro-local aspects of analysis on compact symmetric spaces, *Ann. of Math. Studies*, **93**(1979), 79–111.
6. HELGASON, S., Differential groups and geometric analysis, Academic Press, 1984.
7. HIRSCHMAN, JR., I. I.; LIANG, D. S.; WILSON, E. N., Szegő limit theorems for Toeplitz operators on compact homogeneous spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **270**(1982), 351–376.
8. KLIMEK, S.; LESNIEWSKI, A., A two-parameter quantum deformation of the unit disc, *J. Funct. Anal.*, to appear.
9. LASSALLE, M., L'espace de Hardy d'un domaine de Reinhardt généralisé, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **60**(1985), 309–340.
10. LOOS, O., Bounded symmetric domains and Jordan pairs, Univ. of California, Irvine, 1979.
11. LANDSTAD, M., Duality for dual C^* -covariance algebras over compact groups, preprint.
12. LANDSTAD, M. B.; PHILLIPS, J.; RAEBURN, I.; SUTHERLAND, C. E., Representations of crossed products by coactions and principal bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **299**(1987), 747–784.
13. NAKAGAMI, Y.; TAKESAKI, M., Duality for crossed products of von Neumann algebras, *Lect. Notes in Math.*, **731**, Springer, 1979.
14. SCHMID, W., Die Randwerte holomorpher Funktionen auf hermitesch symmetrischen Räumen, *Invent. Math.*, **9**(1969), 61–80.
- 14*. SALINAS, N., Toeplitz operators and weighted Wiener-Hopf operators, pseudo-convex Reinhardt and tube domains, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
15. SALINAS, N.; SHEU, A.; UPMEIER, H., Toeplitz operators on pseudoconvex domains and foliation C^* -algebras, *Ann. of Math.*, **130**(1989), 531–565.
16. SALINAS, N.; UPMEIER, H., Toeplitz operators and holomorphic foliations, in preparation.
17. UPMEIER, H., Toeplitz C^* -algebras on bounded symmetric domains, *Ann. of Math.*, **119**(1984), 549–576.
18. UPMEIER, H., Toeplitz operators on bounded symmetric domains, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **280**(1983), 221–237.
19. UPMEIER, H., Jordan algebras and harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, **108**(1986), 1–25.
20. UPMEIER, H., Multivariable Toeplitz operators and index theory, Birkhäuser-Verlag, to appear.
21. UPMEIER, H., Jordan algebras in analysis, operator theory and quantum mechanics, CBMS, **67**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987.
22. UNTERBERGER, A.; UPMEIER, H., The Berezin transform and invariant differential operators, preprint.
23. VALLIN, J. M., C^* -algèbres de Hopf et C^* -algèbres de Kac, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **50**(1985), 131–174.
24. WASSERMANN, A., Algèbres d'opérateurs de Toeplitz sur les groupes unitaires, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **299**(1984), 871–874.

25. WASSERMANN, S., A pathology in the ideal space of $L(H) \otimes L(H)$, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 27(1978), 1011–1020.
26. WORONOWICZ, S. L., Compact matrix pseudogroups, *Comm. math. Phys.*, 111(1987), 613–665.

HARALD UPMEIER
Department of Mathematics,
The University of Kansas,
Lawrence, KS 66045,
U.S.A.

Received May 15, 1992; revised August 20, 1992.