

THE CENTRAL HAAGERUP TENSOR PRODUCT OF A C^* -ALGEBRA

D.W.B. SOMERSET

Communicated by Șerban Strătilă

ABSTRACT. Let A be a C^* -algebra with an identity and let θ_Z be the canonical map from $A \otimes_Z A$, the central Haagerup tensor product of A , to $CB(A)$, the algebra of completely bounded operators on A . It is shown that if every Glimm ideal of A is primal then θ_Z is an isometry. This covers unital quasi-standard C^* -algebras and quotients of AW^* -algebras.

KEYWORDS: C^* -algebra, Haagerup tensor product, primal ideal.

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: Primary 46L05; Secondary 46H10, 46M05.

1. INTRODUCTION

If A is a C^* -algebra the *Haagerup norm* $\|\cdot\|_h$ is defined on an element x in the algebraic tensor product $A \otimes A$ by

$$\|x\|_h = \inf \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_i^* \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^* b_i \right\|^{1/2} \right\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible representations of x as a finite sum $x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i$, $a_i, b_i \in A$. The completion of $A \otimes A$ in this norm is called the *Haagerup tensor product* of A with itself. There is a natural contraction $\theta : A \otimes_h A \rightarrow CB(A)$ (where $CB(A)$ is the algebra of completely bounded operators on A with the completely bounded norm $\|\cdot\|_{cb}$) given by $\theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i\right)(c) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i c b_i$, $c \in A$. It is clear that θ is not injective if A is not a prime C^* -algebra, but if A is prime then θ is an isometry ([3], 3.9).

Suppose that A is unital and that $z \in Z(A)$, the centre of A . Then it is easy to see that the element $az \otimes b - a \otimes zb$, $a, b \in A$, belongs to $\ker \theta$. Thus if J_A is the closed ideal of $A \otimes_h A$ generated by such elements, one can consider the induced map $\theta_Z : A \otimes_h A / J_A \rightarrow CB(A)$, and ask whether it is injective or isometric. The Banach algebra $A \otimes_h A / J_A$, with the quotient norm $\|\cdot\|_Z$, is called the *central Haagerup tensor product* of A , and denoted $A \otimes_Z A$. It is known that θ_Z is isometric if A is a von Neumann algebra or if A has Hausdorff primitive ideal space ([10]), or if A is boundedly centrally closed ([3]). On the other hand, if $Z(A) \cong \mathbf{C}$ then θ_Z is θ , so θ_Z is not injective in this case, unless A is prime. One could try factoring by $\ker \theta$, but an example in [10] shows that even this can fail to produce an isometry.

Von Neumann algebras and C^* -algebras with Hausdorff primitive ideal space and boundedly centrally closed C^* -algebras are all prominent examples of *quasi-standard* C^* -algebras, that is, C^* -algebras A for which $\text{Glimm}(A)$ and $\text{MinPrimal}(A)$ (defined below) coincide as topological spaces. This makes it natural to wonder if θ_Z is isometric whenever A is a unital quasi-standard C^* -algebra. The main result of this paper is that this is indeed so, and in fact we only require that $\text{Glimm}(A)$ and $\text{MinPrimal}(A)$ should coincide as sets. This weaker condition is always satisfied by quotients of von Neumann algebras, which need not necessarily be quasi-standard.

We also characterize the injectivity of θ_Z (every Glimm ideal of A must be 2-primal), and show that a necessary condition for θ_Z to be an isometry is that every Glimm ideal of A should be 3-primal. Thus the exact characterization of θ_Z being an isometry lies somewhere between the conditions that every Glimm ideal be 3-primal, and that every Glimm ideal be primal.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let A be a C^* -algebra and let $\text{Id}(A)$ denote the set of all ideals of A (ideal means closed, two-sided ideal in this paper). Then $\text{Id}(A)$ has a natural topology τ_w obtained by taking as a sub-base all sets of the form $\{I \in \text{Id}(A) : I \not\supseteq J\}$, where J is allowed to vary through $\text{Id}(A)$. When restricted to $\text{Prim}(A)$, the set of primitive ideals of A , τ_w is simply the hull-kernel topology. A second topology τ_s is defined on $\text{Id}(A)$ as the weakest topology making the functions $I \rightarrow \|a + I\|$, $I \in \text{Id}(A)$, continuous for all $a \in A$. This topology is stronger than τ_w , and $(\text{Id}(A), \tau_s)$ is a compact, Hausdorff space (see [4] for a discussion of the history and properties of τ_w and τ_s).

Recall from [8], p. 351 that if A is a unital C^* -algebra then the *Glimm* ideals are the closed ideals of A generated by the maximal ideals of the centre of A . The set of Glimm ideals of A is denoted $\text{Glimm}(A)$, and is equipped with the topology from the maximal ideal space of the centre of A , so that $\text{Glimm}(A)$ is a compact, Hausdorff space, homeomorphic to the maximal ideal space of the centre of A . Thus we can identify the centre of A with the algebra of continuous complex-valued functions on $\text{Glimm}(A)$. Furthermore, for each $a \in A$ the map $G \rightarrow \|a+G\|$ ($G \in \text{Glimm}(A)$) is upper semi-continuous on $\text{Glimm}(A)$ ([15], Theorem 1; [12], Lemma 9).

Let us say that an ideal I of A is *n-primal* ($n \geq 2$) if whenever J_1, \dots, J_n are n ideals of A with $J_1 \cdots J_n = 0$ then $J_i \subseteq I$ for at least one value of i . If I is n -primal for all n then I is *primal*. Note that prime (and hence primitive) ideals are primal. Let $n\text{-Primal}(A)$, respectively $\text{Primal}(A)$, denote the set of n -primal, respectively primal ideals of A . It is not difficult to see, using [5], 3.2, that a 2-primal ideal must contain a unique Glimm ideal. An ideal is n -primal if and only if the intersection of any n primitive ideals containing it is primal ([7], 1.3). It is shown in [5], p. 59 that for any n there is a C^* -algebra with an n -primal ideal which is not primal. An argument involving Zorn's Lemma shows that every primal ideal contains a minimal primal ideal. Let $\text{MinPrimal}(A)$ denote the set of minimal closed primal ideals. $\text{Primal}(A)$ is a τ_w -closed subset of $\text{Id}(A)$, hence a compact Hausdorff space in the τ_s -topology, and the topologies τ_s and τ_w coincide on $\text{MinPrimal}(A)$ ([4]).

A C^* -algebra A is said to be *quasi-standard* if $\text{MinPrimal}(A)$ and $\text{Glimm}(A)$ coincide, both as sets and as topological spaces. This is equivalent, for separable C^* -algebras, to A being isomorphic to a continuous field of C^* -algebras in which the set of primitive fibres is dense ([8], 3.5). Examples include AW^* -algebras and C^* -algebras with Hausdorff primitive ideal space ([8]), boundedly centrally closed C^* -algebras ([19]), and the C^* -algebras of various groups, such as discrete amenable groups, see [13]. If A is a quotient of an AW^* -algebra then $\text{MinPrimal}(A)$ and $\text{Glimm}(A)$ coincide as sets, but not necessarily as topological spaces ([18], 2.8).

3. RESULTS

We begin with a description of the central Haagerup norm, along the lines of [18], 2.3. For an ideal I in a C^* -algebra A , and for $u \in A \otimes_h A$, we shall use u^I to denote the image of u in the quotient algebra $A \otimes_h A / (I \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h I)$ (which is isometrically isomorphic to $A/I \otimes_h A/I$ by [2], 2.6).

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with an identity and let $u \in A \otimes_h A$. Then*

$$\|u\|_Z = \sup\{\|u^G\|_h : G \in \text{Glimm}(A)\}.$$

Hence $J_A = \bigcap\{G \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h G : G \in \text{Glimm}(A)\}$.

Proof. It is enough to prove equality when u has the form $u = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i$, with $a_i, b_i \in A$. Set $\alpha = \sup\{\|u^G\|_h : G \in \text{Glimm}(A)\}$. Since $J_A \subseteq G \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h G$ for all $G \in \text{Glimm}(A)$ it is clear that $\|u\|_Z \geq \alpha$. Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$ is given. For each $G \in \text{Glimm}(A)$ there exists, by [10], Lemma 2.3, an invertible $n \times n$ matrix S such that if $(a'_i) = (a_i)S^{-1}$ and $(b'_i) = S(b_i)$ then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (a'_i a'_i{}^* + G) \right\|, \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i{}^* b'_i + G) \right\| < \alpha + \varepsilon.$$

By the upper semi-continuity of the norm functions on $\text{Glimm}(A)$ there is a neighbourhood N of G such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (a'_i a'_i{}^* + G') \right\|, \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i{}^* b'_i + G') \right\| < \alpha + \varepsilon$$

for all $G' \in N$. Thus by the compactness of $\text{Glimm}(A)$ there exist open subsets $\{N_j\}_{j=1}^m$ of $\text{Glimm}(A)$ and invertible $n \times n$ matrices $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^m$ such that the N_j 's cover $\text{Glimm}(A)$ and such that if $G \in N_j$ then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^j a_i^j{}^* + G) \right\|, \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i^j{}^* b_i^j + G) \right\| < \alpha + \varepsilon,$$

where $(a_i^j) = (a_i)S_j^{-1}$ and $(b_i^j) = S_j(b_i)$. Let $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^m$ be a partition of the identity on $\text{Glimm}(A)$ subordinate to the cover $\{N_j\}_{j=1}^m$, and set

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^j z_j^{1/2} \otimes z_j^{1/2} b_i^j.$$

Then

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^j \otimes b_i^j \right) (z_j^{1/2} \otimes z_j^{1/2}) = \sum_{j=1}^m u(z_j^{1/2} \otimes z_j^{1/2}),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} u - v &= u \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^m (z_j^{1/2} \otimes z_j^{1/2}) \right) = u \left(\sum_{j=1}^m z_j \otimes 1 - z_j^{1/2} \otimes z_j^{1/2} \right) \\ &= u \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (z_j^{1/2} \otimes 1) (z_j^{1/2} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes z_j^{1/2}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $u - v \in J_A$. But for $G \in \text{Glimm}(A)$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n z_j a_i^j a_i^{j*} + G \right\| = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m (z_j + G) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^j a_i^{j*} + G \right) \right\| < \alpha + \varepsilon,$$

and similarly for $G' \in \text{Glimm}(A)$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n z_j b_i^{j*} b_i^j + G' \right\| < \alpha + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\bigcap \{G : G \in \text{Glimm}(A)\} = \{0\}$ it follows that

$$\|u\|_Z \leq \|v\|_h \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n z_j a_i^j a_i^{j*} \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n z_j b_i^{j*} b_i^j \right\|^{1/2} < \alpha + \varepsilon,$$

as required. \blacksquare

REMARKS. (i) A subspace X of a Banach space Y is said to be *proximal* if every element of Y attains its distance to X . Ideals in C^* -algebras are proximal ([1], 4.3), and so too is the centre of a unital C^* -algebra ([20]). This makes it natural to wonder if J_A is proximal in $A \otimes_h A$.

(ii) An ideal in $A \otimes_h A$ is said to be *upper*, see [2], 6.7 (ii), if it is the intersection of the primitive ideals containing it. If J is a proper ideal of A then $J \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h J$ is upper; in fact $J \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h J = \bigcap \{P \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h Q : P, Q \in \text{Prim}(A/J)\}$ ([6], 1.3). Thus Theorem 1 shows that J_A is an upper ideal, or in other words, that $A \otimes_Z A$ is a semisimple Banach algebra.

An ideal in $A \otimes_h A$ is *lower* ([2], Section 6) if it is generated by the elementary tensors that it contains, and J_A looks a good candidate, being generated by differences of elementary tensors. Since J_A is generated by elements of the form $z \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes z$, $z \in Z(A)$, it is enough to consider the case when A is an abelian C^* -algebra, but even here the answer seems to be unknown.

(iii) Let $I(A, A)$ denote the ideal in $A \otimes A$ (the algebraic tensor product) generated by elements of the form $az \otimes b - a \otimes zb$, $a, b \in A$, $z \in Z(A)$, and let $J(A, A)$ be the ideal $\bigcap \{G \otimes A + A \otimes G : G \in \text{Glimm}(A)\} \subseteq A \otimes A$. Clearly $I(A, A) \subseteq J(A, A)$. It is known that $I(A, A) = J(A, A)$ if A is a continuous field of C^* -algebras over $\text{Glimm}(A)$, see [9]. Theorem 1 implies that for any unital C^* -algebra $I(A, A)$ and $J(A, A)$ have the same closure, namely J_A , in $A \otimes_h A$ (and hence the same closure, namely the closure of J_A , in $A \otimes_{\min} A$, the minimal C^* -tensor product).

The next result is a combination of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.4 of [6].

PROPOSITION 2. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. For each $u \in A \otimes_h A$ the map*

$$(I, J) \rightarrow \|u + (I \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h J)\|_h, \quad (I, J) \in \text{Id}(A) \times \text{Id}(A),$$

is continuous for the product τ_s -topology on $\text{Id}(A) \times \text{Id}(A)$.

The next result generalizes [18], 2.6, using the same method of proof.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let A be a C^* -algebra and let $u \in A \otimes_h A$. Then*

$$\|\theta(u)\|_{\text{cb}} = \sup\{\|u^P\|_h : P \in \text{MinPrimal}(A)\}.$$

Proof. Let D denote the diagonal of $\text{Primal}(A) \times \text{Primal}(A)$, in the product τ_s -topology. Then D is a compact set, and the norm function $(P, P) \rightarrow \|u^P\|_h$ ($(P, P) \in D$) is continuous on D , by Proposition 2, so it attains its supremum, clearly at some (R, R) with $R \in \text{MinPrimal}(A)$. But R is in the τ_s -closure of $\text{Prim}(A)$ ([4], 4.3), so $\|u^R\|_h = \sup\{\|u^P\|_h : P \in \text{Prim}(A)\}$. But $\|\theta(u)\|_{\text{cb}} = \sup\{\|u^P\|_h : P \in \text{Prim}(A)\}$, by [3], 3.6, and the result follows. ■

For $a \in A$, let D_a denote the inner derivation induced by a . Then $D_a = \theta(a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a)$, and $\|D_a\| = \|D_a\|_{\text{cb}}$, see [11], 4.1. Now $\|a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a\|_h$ is equal to twice the distance from a to the scalars [14], 3.3, so it follows from Theorem 1 and [18], 2.3 that $\|a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a\|_Z = 2 d(a, Z(A))$, where $d(a, Z(A))$ is the distance from a to the centre of A . But it was shown in [18], 3.2, 3.3 that a necessary and sufficient condition for $\|D_a\|$ to equal $2 d(a, Z(A))$ for all $a \in A$ is that every Glimm ideal of A should be 3-primal. Thus a necessary condition for θ_Z to be an isometry is that every Glimm ideal of A should be 3-primal. Whether this is also a sufficient condition, we do not know. Our main result, however, is a partial converse. It follows from Theorem 1 and Proposition 3.

THEOREM 4. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with an identity. If every Glimm ideal of A is primal then the map $\theta_Z : A \otimes_Z A \rightarrow CB(A)$ is an isometry.*

Thus θ_Z is an isometry if A is a unital quasi-standard C^* -algebra, or a quotient of an AW*-algebra. It seems worth remarking that is very easy to show that every Glimm ideal of a von Neumann algebra is primal ([5], 4.1).

Since $A \otimes_Z A$ and $CB(A)$ are both not only Banach spaces but operator spaces, it would be interesting to know whether θ_Z is, in fact, a complete isometry.

Finally we show that the injectivity of θ_Z has a simple characterization in terms of Glimm and 2-primal ideals.

LEMMA 5. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, and let $R \in \text{Id}(A)$. Then R is 2-primal if and only if $R \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h R \supseteq \ker \theta$.*

Proof. Suppose that R is not 2-primal. Then there exist orthogonal ideals I and J with $I, J \not\subseteq R$. If $a \in I \setminus R$ and $b \in J \setminus R$ then $a \otimes b \notin R \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h R$ but $\theta(a \otimes b) = 0$. Hence $R \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h R \not\supseteq \ker \theta$.

Conversely, suppose that R is 2-primal, and that $c \in A \otimes_h A$ with $c \notin R \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h R$. Then by [6], 1.3 there exist $P, Q \in \text{Prim}(A/R)$ such that $c \notin P \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h Q$. But $S = P \cap Q$ is primal, since R is 2-primal, and $c \notin S \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h S$. This means, by Proposition 3, that $\theta(c)$ is non-zero. Hence $R \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h R \supseteq \ker \theta$. ■

COROLLARY 6. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Then*

- (i) $\ker \theta = \bigcap \{R \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h R : R \in \text{2-Primal}(A)\}$;
- (ii) θ_Z is injective if and only if every Glimm ideal of A is 2-primal.

Proof. Set $I = \bigcap \{R \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h R : R \in \text{2-Primal}(A)\}$.

(i) It is clear from Lemma 5 that $\ker \theta \subseteq I$. On the other hand, if $c \in I$ then $\theta(c) = 0$, by Proposition 3. Thus $I = \ker \theta$.

(ii) If every Glimm ideal of A is 2-primal then $I = J_A$, by Theorem 1, so θ_Z is injective. Conversely, if G is a Glimm ideal of A which is not 2-primal then $G \otimes_h A + A \otimes_h G \not\supseteq \ker \theta$ by Lemma 5, so θ_Z is not injective. ■

The condition of every Glimm ideal being 2-primal has a number of equivalent formulations. For $P, Q \in \text{Prim}(A)$, let $P \sim Q$ if P and Q cannot be separated by disjoint open sets, and $P \approx Q$ if P and Q cannot be separated by continuous, complex functions on $\text{Prim}(A)$. Define a graph structure on $\text{Prim}(A)$ by saying that P and Q are adjacent if $P \sim Q$, and let $\text{Orc}(A)$ be the supremum of the diameters of the connected components of $\text{Prim}(A)$ in this graph structure (with the convention that a singleton has diameter 1). The work in [17] shows that for a unital C^* -algebra A the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\text{Orc}(A) = 1$;
- (ii) \sim is an equivalence relation on $\text{Prim}(A)$;
- (iii) the relations \sim and \approx coincide on $\text{Prim}(A)$;
- (iv) every Glimm ideal of A is 2-primal.

One of the main results of [17] is that $\text{Orc}(A) = 1$ if and only if $\|D_a\| = 2d(a, Z(A))$ for all self-adjoint $a \in A$.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Rob Archbold, Eberhard Kaniuth, Günter Schlichting, and Roger Smith for their help with this paper.

REFERENCES

1. C.A. AKEMANN, G.K. PEDERSEN, TOMIYAMA, Multipliers of C^* -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **13**(1973), 277–301.
2. S.D. ALLEN, A.M. SINCLAIR, R.R. SMITH, The ideal structure of the Haagerup tensor product of C^* -algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **442**(1993), 111–148.
3. P. ARA, M. MATHIEU, On the central Haagerup tensor product, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)* **37**(1994), 161–174.
4. R.J. ARCHBOLD, Topologies for primal ideals, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **36**(1987), 524–542.
5. R.J. ARCHBOLD, C.J.K. BATTY, On factorial states of operator algebras. III, *J. Operator Theory* **15**(1986), 53–81.
6. R.J. ARCHBOLD, E. KANIUTH, G. SCHLICHTING, D.W.B. SOMERSET, Ideal spaces of the Haagerup tensor product of C^* -algebras, *Internat. J. Math.* **8**(1997), 1–29.
7. R.J. ARCHBOLD, E. KANIUTH, G. SCHLICHTING, D.W.B. SOMERSET, On the topology of the dual of a nilpotent Lie group, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, to appear.
8. R.J. ARCHBOLD, D.W.B. SOMERSET, Quasi-standard C^* -algebras, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **107**(1990), 349–360.
9. E. BLANCHARD, Tensor products of $C(X)$ -algebras over $C(X)$, in *Recent Advances in Operator Theory*, Astérisque, vol. 232, 1995, pp. 81–92.
10. A. CHATTERJEE, R.R. SMITH, The central Haagerup tensor product and maps between von Neumann algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **112**(1993), 97–120.
11. G.A. ELLIOTT, On lifting and extending derivations of approximately finite-dimensional C^* -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **17**(1974), 395–408.
12. J.G. GLIMM, A Stone-Weierstrass theorem for C^* -algebras, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **72**(1960), 216–244.
13. E. KANIUTH, G. SCHLICHTING, K.F. TAYLOR, Minimal primal and Glimm ideal spaces of group C^* -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **130**(1995), 43–76.
14. M. MATHIEU, The cb -norm of a derivation, in *Algebraic Methods in Operator Theory*, Birkhäuser, Basel – Boston 1994, pp. 144–152.
15. Y. MISONOU, On a weakly central operator algebra, *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)* **4**(1952), 194–202.

16. G.K. PEDERSEN, *C^* -Algebras and their Automorphism Groups*, Academic Press, London 1979.
17. D.W.B. SOMERSET, The inner derivations and the primitive ideal space of a C^* -algebra, *J. Operator Theory* **29**(1993), 307–321.
18. D.W.B. SOMERSET, Inner derivations and primal ideals of C^* -algebras, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **50**(1994), 568–580.
19. D.W.B. SOMERSET, The local multiplier algebra of a C^* -algebra, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **47**(1996), 123–132.
20. D.W.B. SOMERSET, The proximality of the centre of a C^* -algebra, *J. Approx. Theory* **89**(1997), 114–117.

D.W.B. SOMERSET
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Aberdeen
AB24 3UE
U.K.

E-mail: ds@maths.abdr.ac.uk

Received July 10, 1996.