

K-THEORY OF C^* -ALGEBRAS FROM ONE-DIMENSIONAL GENERALIZED SOLENOIDS

INHYEOP YI

Communicated by Norberto Salinas

ABSTRACT. We compute the K-groups of C^* -algebras from one-dimensional generalized solenoids. The results show that Ruelle algebras from one-dimensional generalized solenoids are one-dimensional generalizations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras.

KEYWORDS: *One-dimensional generalized solenoid, Smale space, Ruelle algebra.*

MSC (2000): 46L55, 46L80, 19Kxx, 37D20, 54H20.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ian Putnam and David Ruelle have developed a theory of C^* -algebras for certain hyperbolic dynamical systems ([10], [11], [12], and [15]). These systems include Anosov diffeomorphisms, topological Markov chains and some examples of substitution tiling systems. The corresponding C^* -algebras are modelled as reduced groupoid C^* -algebras for various equivalence relations.

This paper is concerned with C^* -algebras of an orientable one-dimensional generalized solenoid $(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$. Naïvely speaking, orientable generalized solenoids are higher dimensional analogues of topological Markov chains ([17]). We consider the principal groupoids of stable and unstable equivalence on $(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$, denoted $G_s(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ and $G_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$, respectively, with topologies and Haar systems as in [10] and [11]. Then we build their reduced groupoid C^* -algebras $S(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ and $U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$, respectively, as in [13]. The homeomorphism $\overline{f} : \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ induces automorphisms of $G_s(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ and $G_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$, and we form semi-direct products $G_s \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_u \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Their groupoid C^* -algebras are denoted $R_s(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ and $R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$, respectively, and are called the *Ruelle algebras* ([11], [12]). In the case of topological Markov chains, the Ruelle algebras are the Cuntz-Krieger algebras, and the stable and unstable

equivalence algebras are the corresponding AF -subalgebras of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras.

In this paper, we compute the K -groups of the unstable equivalence algebras and the Ruelle algebras of 1-solenoids to answer the questions posed in Section 4 of [11]. We show that the unstable equivalence algebra of a 1-solenoid (\bar{X}, \bar{f}) with an adjacency matrix M is strongly Morita equivalent to the crossed product of a natural Cantor system of (\bar{X}, \bar{f}) by \mathbb{Z} so that its K_0 -group is order isomorphic to the dimension group of M and its K_1 -group is \mathbb{Z} . Then we show that the K_0 -groups of Ruelle algebras are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \{\Delta_M / \text{Im}(\text{Id} - \delta_M)\}$ and the K_1 -groups are $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Ker}(\text{Id} - \delta_M)$. Thus C^* -algebras from one-dimensional generalized solenoids are one-dimensional analogues of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras.

The outline of the paper is as follow: In Section 2, we recall the axioms of one-dimensional generalized solenoids and their ordered group invariants. In Section 3, we review the definitions of Smale spaces, and show that orientable one-dimensional solenoids are Smale spaces. Then we observe that the K -theory of the unstable equivalence algebras are determined by the adjacency matrices of one-dimensional generalized solenoids. In Section 4, we compute K -groups of unstable and stable Ruelle algebras, and show that they are $*$ -isomorphic to each other by the classification theorem of Kirchberg-Phillips.

2. ONE-DIMENSIONAL SOLENOIDS

We review the properties of one-dimensional generalized solenoids of Williams which will be used in later sections. As general references for the notions of one-dimensional generalized solenoids and their ordered group invariants we refer to [17], [18], and [19].

ONE-DIMENSIONAL GENERALIZED SOLENOIDS. Let X be a finite directed graph with vertex set \mathcal{V} and edge set \mathcal{E} , and $f : X \rightarrow X$ a continuous map. We define some axioms which might be satisfied by (X, f) ([18]).

AXIOM 0. (*Indecomposability*) (X, f) is indecomposable.

AXIOM 1. (*Nonwandering*) All points of X are nonwandering under f .

AXIOM 2. (*Flattening*) There is $k \geq 1$ such that for all $x \in X$ there is an open neighborhood U of x such that $f^k(U)$ is homeomorphic to $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$.

AXIOM 3. (*Expansion*) There are a metric d compatible with the topology and positive constants C and λ with $\lambda > 1$ such that for all $n > 0$ and all points x, y on a common edge of X , if f^n maps the interval $[x, y]$ into an edge, then $d(f^n x, f^n y) \geq C\lambda^n d(x, y)$.

AXIOM 4. (*Nonfolding*) $f^n|_{X - \mathcal{V}}$ is locally one-to-one for every positive integer n .

AXIOM 5. (*Markov*) $f(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

Let \bar{X} be the inverse limit space

$$\bar{X} = X \xleftarrow{f} X \xleftarrow{f} \cdots = \left\{ (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \prod_0^\infty X : f(x_{n+1}) = x_n \right\},$$

and $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ the induced homeomorphism defined by

$$(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (f(x_0), f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots) = (f(x_0), x_0, x_1, \dots).$$

REMARK 2.1. Williams' construction (6.2, [17]) gives a (unique) measure μ_0 for which there is a constant $\lambda > 1$ such that $\mu_0(X) = 1$ and $\mu_0(f(I)) = \lambda\mu_0(I)$ for every small interval $I \subset X$. Define $d_0(x_0, y_0)$ to be the measure of the smallest interval from x_0 to y_0 in X , and

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda^{-i} d_0(x_i, y_i)$$

for $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ and $y = (y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots)$ in \bar{X} . Then (\bar{X}, d) is a compact metric space.

Let Y be a topological space and $g : Y \rightarrow Y$ a homeomorphism. We call Y a *one-dimensional generalized solenoid* or *1-solenoid* and g a *solenoid map* if there exist a directed graph X and a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow X$ such that (X, f) satisfies all six axioms and (\bar{X}, \bar{f}) is topologically conjugate to (Y, g) . We call a point $x \in X$ a *non-branch point* if x has an open neighborhood which is homeomorphic to an open interval, and *branch point* otherwise. An *elementary presentation* (X, f) of a 1-solenoid is such that X is a wedge of circles and f leaves the unique branch point of X fixed.

Recall that a continuous map $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$, a directed graph, is *orientation preserving* if $e^{-1} \circ \gamma : I \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is increasing for every interval $I \subset [0, 1]$ such that $\gamma(I)$ is a subset of a directed edge e . A continuous map $\phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ between two directed graphs is *orientation preserving* if, for every orientation preserving map $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow G_1$, the map $\phi \circ p : [0, 1] \rightarrow G_2$ is orientation preserving ([1]).

When we can give a direction to each edge of X so that the connection map $f : X \rightarrow X$ is orientation preserving, we call (X, f) an *orientable presentation*. For a 1-solenoid Y with a solenoid map g , if there exists an orientable presentation (X, f) then Y is called an *orientable 1-solenoid*.

PROPOSITION 2.2. ([1], [17]) *Suppose that (X, f) is a presentation of a 1-solenoid.*

(i) *The inverse limit spaces of (X, f) and (X, f^n) are homeomorphic for every positive integer n .*

(ii) *There exists an integer m such that (\bar{X}, \bar{f}^m) has an elementary presentation.*

Thus, for the purpose of computing invariants of the space \bar{X} , there is no loss of generality in replacing (X, f) with (X, f^n) where $n = m \cdot k$ is a positive integer such that (\bar{X}, \bar{f}^m) has an elementary presentation (Z, h) and for every $z \in Z$ there is an open set U_z such that $h^k(U_z)$ is an open interval by the Flattening Axiom. Hence we can assume that every point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood U_x such that $f(U_x)$ is an interval.

STANDING ASSUMPTION. In this paper, we always assume that (X, f) is an orientable elementary presentation such that every point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood U_x such that $f(U_x)$ is an interval.

NOTATION 2.3. Suppose that (X, f) is a presentation of a 1-solenoid, and that $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the edge set of the directed graph X . For each edge $e_i \in \mathcal{E}$, we can give e_i the partition $\{I_{i,j}\}$, $1 \leq j \leq l(i)$, such that:

- (i) the initial point of $I_{i,1}$ is the initial point of e_i ;
- (ii) the terminal point of $I_{i,j}$ is the initial point of $I_{i,j+1}$ for $1 \leq j < l(i)$;
- (iii) the terminal point of $I_{i,l(i)}$ is the terminal point of e_i ;
- (iv) $f|_{\text{Int}I_{i,j}}$ is injective;
- (v) $f(I_{i,j}) = e_{i,j}^{s(i,j)}$ where $e_{i,j} \in \mathcal{E}$, $s(i,j) = 1$ if the direction of $f(I_{i,j})$ agree with that of $e_{i,j}$, and $s(i,j) = -1$ if the direction of $f(I_{i,j})$ is reverse to that of $e_{i,j}$.

The wrapping rule $\check{f} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*$ associated with f is given by

$$\check{f} : e_i \mapsto e_{i,1}^{s(i,1)} \cdots e_{i,l(i)}^{s(i,l(i))},$$

and the adjacency matrix M of (\mathcal{E}, \check{f}) is given by

$$M(i, k) = \#\{I_{i,j} : f(I_{i,j}) = e_k^{\pm 1}\}.$$

REMARK 2.4. (6.2, [17]) The measure μ_0 in Remark 2.1 is given as follows: Suppose that λ is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix M and that $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ is the corresponding Perron eigenvector such that $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i = 1$. For edges e_i, e_j of X and an interval I of e_i such that $f^n(I) = e_j$ and $f^n|_{\text{Int}I}$ is injective, let

$$\mu_0(e_i) = v_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_0(I) = \lambda^{-n} v_j.$$

Then μ_0 is extended to a regular Borel measure on X by the standard procedure.

EXAMPLES 2.5. (i) Suppose that X is the unit circle and that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is given by $z \mapsto z^n$. Then the adjacency matrix is (n) .

(ii) Suppose that Y is a wedge of two circles a and b and that $g : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map such that its corresponding wrapping rule \check{g} is given by

$$a \mapsto aab \quad \text{and} \quad b \mapsto ab.$$

Then (Y, g) is an elementary presentation of a solenoid, and the adjacency matrix is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of M is $\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, and the corresponding Perron eigenvector is

$$\mathbf{v} = \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{3 + \sqrt{5}}, \frac{2}{3 + \sqrt{5}} \right).$$

Hence the measure μ_0 on Y is given by

$$\mu_0(a) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{3 + \sqrt{5}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_0(b) = \frac{2}{3 + \sqrt{5}}.$$

NOTATION 2.6. Given an $n \times n$ nonnegative integer matrix A we denote the *dimension group* of A ,

$$\varinjlim (\mathbb{Z}^n, A) = \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{A} \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{A} \dots,$$

by (Δ_A, Δ_A^+) .

THEOREM 2.7. ([6], [20]) *Suppose that (\bar{X}, \bar{f}) is a 1-solenoid. Then there exists a uniquely ergodic flow ϕ whose phase space is \bar{X} .*

Suppose that (X, f) is a presentation of a 1-solenoid and that μ_0 is the measure given on X as in Remark 2.4. For a measurable set I in X , we let $U_n(I) = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \bar{X} : x_n \in I\}$, and define a measure μ on \bar{X} by

$$\mu(U_n(I)) = \mu_0(I).$$

Then μ is extended to a regular Borel measure on \bar{X} in the standard way. It is not difficult to verify that μ is the unique ϕ -invariant measure on \bar{X} where ϕ is the flow on \bar{X} given in Theorem 2.7.

A closed subset K of a phase space Y of a flow ψ is called a *cross section* if the mapping $\psi : K \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y$ defined by $(p, t) \mapsto p \cdot t$ is a local homeomorphism onto Y . The *return time map* $r_K : K \rightarrow K$ of a cross section K is defined by $x \mapsto y = x \cdot t_x$ where $x \in K$ and t_x is the smallest positive number such that $x \cdot t_x = y \in K$. It is a crucial fact that the return time map r_K of a cross section K is a homeomorphism, and Y is the standard suspension space of (K, r_K) .

PROPOSITION 2.8. ([19], [20]) *Suppose that (\bar{X}, \bar{f}) is a 1-solenoid with the corresponding adjacency matrix M . Then there is a cross section with the return time map (K, r_K) of \bar{X} such that:*

- (i) $K_1(C(K) \times_{r_K} \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$;
- (ii) $K_0(C(K) \times_{r_K} \mathbb{Z})$ is order isomorphic to Δ_M .

3. SMALE SPACES AND C^* -ALGEBRAS FROM SOLENOIDS

SMALE SPACES ([10], [15]). Suppose that (Y, d) is a compact metric space and φ is a homeomorphism of Y . Assume that we have constants $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a continuous map $(x, y) \in \{(x, y) \in Y \times Y : d(x, y) \leq 2\varepsilon_0\} \mapsto [x, y] \in Y$ satisfying the following:

$$[x, x] = x, \quad [[x, y], z] = [x, z], \quad [x, [y, z]] = [x, z], \quad [\varphi(x), \varphi(y)] = \varphi([x, y])$$

for $x, y, z \in Y$ whenever both sides of the equation are defined. For every $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ let

$$V^s(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in Y : [x, y] = y \text{ and } d(x, y) < \varepsilon\},$$

$$V^u(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in Y : [y, x] = y \text{ and } d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}.$$

We assume that

$$d(\varphi(y), \varphi(z)) \leq \lambda_0 d(y, z) \quad y, z \in V^s(x, \varepsilon),$$

$$d(\varphi^{-1}(y), \varphi^{-1}(z)) \leq \lambda_0 d(y, z) \quad y, z \in V^u(x, \varepsilon).$$

Then (Y, d, φ) is called a *Smale space*.

Suppose that (\bar{X}, \bar{f}) is a 1-solenoid with the metric d given in Remark 2.1. Let $\lambda_0 = \varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ and define $[\cdot, \cdot] : \bar{X} \times \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ by $[x, y] \mapsto z = (z_0, \dots, z_n, \dots)$ where $z_0 = x_0$ and z_n is the unique element contained in the λ_0^{n+1} -neighborhood of y_n such that $f^n(z_n) = x_0$. Then it is not difficult to show that (\bar{X}, \bar{f}, d) satisfies the above conditions. Therefore we have the following:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *One-dimensional generalized solenoids are Smale spaces.*

GROUPOIDS. ([11], [13]) For a Smale space (Y, d, φ) , define

$$G_{s,0} = \{(x, y) \in Y \times Y : y \in V^s(x, \varepsilon_0)\} \quad G_{u,0} = \{(x, y) \in Y \times Y : y \in V^u(x, \varepsilon_0)\}$$

and let

$$G_s = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (\varphi \times \varphi)^{-n} (G_{s,0}), \quad G_u = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (\varphi \times \varphi)^n (G_{u,0}).$$

Then G_s and G_u are equivalence relations on Y , called *stable* and *unstable* equivalence. Each $(\varphi \times \varphi)^{-n} (G_{s,0})$, $(\varphi \times \varphi)^{-n} (G_{u,0})$ is given the relative topology of $Y \times Y$, and G_s and G_u are given the inductive limit topology. It is not difficult to verify that G_s and G_u are locally compact Hausdorff principal groupoids. The Haar systems $\{\mu_s^x : x \in Y\}$ and $\{\mu_u^x : x \in Y\}$ for G_s and G_u , respectively, are described in 3.c of [11]. The groupoid C^* -algebras of G_s and G_u are denoted $S(Y, \varphi)$ and $U(Y, \varphi)$, respectively.

The map $\varphi \times \varphi$ acts as an automorphism of G_s and G_u . We form the semi-direct products

$$G_s \rtimes \mathbb{Z} = \{(x, n, y) : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } (\bar{f}^n(x), y) \in G_s\}$$

$$G_u \rtimes \mathbb{Z} = \{(x, n, y) : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } (\bar{f}^n(x), y) \in G_u\}$$

with groupoid operations

$$(x, n, y) \cdot (u, m, v) = (x, n + m, v) \text{ if } y = u, \quad \text{and} \quad (x, n, y)^{-1} = (y, -n, x).$$

The product topology of $G_* \times \mathbb{Z}$ is transferred to $G_* \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ by the bijective map $\eta : (x, y, n) \mapsto (x, n, \varphi(y))$. And a Haar system on $G_* \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is given by $\mu_*^x \circ \eta^{-1}$ where μ_*^x is the Haar system on G_* . The groupoid C^* -algebras $C^*(G_s \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ and $C^*(G_u \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ are denoted $R_s(Y, \varphi)$ and $R_u(Y, \varphi)$ and are called the *Ruelle algebras*.

For general properties of these C^* -algebras, we refer to [3], [10], [11], and [12].

UNSTABLE EQUIVALENCE ALGEBRAS. Suppose that $(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ is an orientable solenoid and that ϕ is the flow on \overline{X} given in Theorem 2.7. Then there exists a cross section with return time map (K, r) such that \overline{X} is the suspension space of (K, r) by Proposition 2.8.

SUBLEMMA 3.2. ([13]) (i) $(\overline{X}, \mathbb{R}, \phi)$ and (K, \mathbb{Z}, r) are groupoids;
 (ii) the groupoid algebras of $(\overline{X}, \mathbb{R}, \phi)$ and (K, \mathbb{Z}, r) are isomorphic to $C(\overline{X}) \times_\phi \mathbb{R}$ and $C(K) \times_r \mathbb{Z}$, respectively.

LEMMA 3.3. ([2], [11]) Suppose that $(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ is an orientable solenoid, and that (K, r) is a cross section with the return time map of the flow ϕ . Then:

- (i) $U(\overline{X}, \overline{f}) \simeq C(\overline{X}) \times_\phi \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) $C(\overline{X}) \times_\phi \mathbb{R}$ is strongly Morita equivalent to $C(K) \times_r \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. (i) Suppose $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots), y = (y_0, y_1, \dots) \in \overline{X}$ and $(x, y) \in G_u$. Then $d(\overline{f}^n(x), \overline{f}^n(y)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow -\infty$ implies $d_0(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that there exists a $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $y = \phi_t(x)$. Let $\alpha : (\overline{X}, \mathbb{R}, \phi) \rightarrow G_u$ be given by $(x, t) \mapsto (x, \phi_t(x))$. Then it is not difficult to see that α is an isomorphism. Therefore $U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ is isomorphic to $C(\overline{X}) \times_\phi \mathbb{R}$ by Sublemma 3.2.

(ii) Since \overline{X} is the suspension of (K, r) , for every $x \in \overline{X}$ there exist unique $z_x \in K$ and $\tau_x \in [0, 1)$ such that $x = \phi_{\tau_x}(z_x)$. Define

$$I = \{(x, n - \tau_x) : x \in \overline{X}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and let $\mathcal{C}(I)$ be the completion of $C_c(I)$. Then, by the Theorem in Section 4 of [11], $\mathcal{C}(I)$ is a $C(\overline{X}) \times_\phi \mathbb{R}$ - $C(K) \times_r \mathbb{Z}$ imprimitivity bimodule. For completeness, we write down the module structures and the inner products. ■

MODULE STRUCTURES. Suppose that $\alpha \in C_c(I)$, $g \in C_c(\overline{X}, \mathbb{R}, \phi)$ and $h \in C_c(K, \mathbb{Z}, r)$. Then

$$(g \cdot \alpha)(x, n - \tau_x) = \int g(x, t) \cdot \alpha(\phi_t(x), n - \tau_x - t) d\mu^{[x]}(t)$$

and

$$(\alpha \cdot h)(x, n - \tau_x) = \sum_m \alpha(x, m - \tau_x) \cdot h(r^m(z_x), n - m)$$

give that $\mathcal{C}(I)$ is a left $C(\overline{X}) \times_\phi \mathbb{R}$ and right $C(K) \times_r \mathbb{Z}$ bimodule with $(\tilde{g} \cdot \tilde{\alpha}) \cdot \tilde{h} = \tilde{g} \cdot (\tilde{\alpha} \cdot \tilde{h})$ for every $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}(I)$, $\tilde{g} \in C(\overline{X}) \times_\phi \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{h} \in C(K) \times_r \mathbb{Z}$.

INNER PRODUCTS. Define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_L : C_c(I) \times C_c(I) \rightarrow C_c(\overline{X}, \mathbb{R}, \phi)$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_R : C(I) \times C(I) \rightarrow C_c(K, \mathbb{Z}, r)$ by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_L(x, t) = \sum \alpha(x, m - \tau_x) \cdot \overline{\beta(x, m - \tau_x)}$$

and

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_R(z, k) = \int \overline{\alpha(\phi_t(z), k - t)} \cdot \beta(\phi_t(z), k - t) \, d\mu^{[\phi_t(z)]}(t). \quad \blacksquare$$

Therefore we have the following proposition from Proposition 2.8 and the above lemma.

PROPOSITION 3.4. (i) $K_1(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) = \mathbb{Z}$;

(ii) $K_0(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f}))$ is order isomorphic to Δ_M where M is the adjacency matrix of $(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$.

Recall that the flow ϕ on \overline{X} is uniquely ergodic without rest point (Theorem 2.7). So $C(\overline{X}) \times_{\phi} \mathbb{R}$ has the unique trace τ_{μ} induced by the unique ϕ -invariant measure μ (3.3.10, [16]). Thus τ_{μ}^* , the induced state on $K_0(C(\overline{X}) \times_{\phi} \mathbb{R})$, is the unique state.

PROPOSITION 3.5. If $(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ is a 1-solenoid and M is the corresponding adjacency matrix with the normalized Perron eigenvector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$, then

$$\tau_{\mu}^*(K_0(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f}), K_0(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f}))_+) = \langle (\Delta_M, \Delta_M^+), \mathbf{v} \rangle.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}$ is the edge set of the k th coordinate space of \overline{X} . Then by Proposition 2.8

$$(K_0(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})), K_0(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f}))_+) \cong (\varinjlim C(\mathcal{E}_k, \mathbb{Z}), \varinjlim C_+(\mathcal{E}_k, \mathbb{Z})) \cong (\Delta_M, \Delta_M^+).$$

For $g \in C(\mathcal{E}_k, \mathbb{Z})$, $x = (x_0, \dots, x_k, \dots) \in \overline{X}$ with $x_k = e^{2\pi i s} \in e_i \in \mathcal{E}_k$ and the canonical projection to the k th coordinate space $\pi_k : \overline{X} \rightarrow X$, define $g_k \in C(X_k, S^1)$ and $\tilde{g} \in C(\overline{X}, S^1)$ by

$$g_k : x_k \mapsto \exp(2\pi i g(e_i)s) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{g} : x \mapsto g_k \circ \pi_k(x).$$

Then every \tilde{g} is a unitary element in $C(\overline{X})$, and $K_0(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \cong K_1(C(\overline{X}))$ is generated by \tilde{g} . If we denote g as $(g(e_1), \dots, g(e_n))$, then by Theorem 2.2 of [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mu}^*(\tilde{g}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\overline{X}} \frac{\tilde{g}'}{\tilde{g}} \, d\mu = \int_{X_k} g' \, d\mu_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n g(e_i) \mu_0(e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(e_i) v_i \\ &= \langle (g(e_1), \dots, g(e_n)), \mathbf{v} \rangle. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

4. RUELLE ALGEBRAS FOR SOLENOIDS

We compute K-groups of Ruelle algebras for 1-solenoids to show that they are $*$ -isomorphic.

UNSTABLE EQUIVALENCE RUELLE ALGEBRAS. Suppose that $(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ is an oriented 1-solenoid and that $G_u \simeq (\overline{X}, \mathbb{R}, \phi)$ is the unstable equivalence groupoid on \overline{X} . Recall that for $x, y \in \overline{X}$ such that $y = \phi_t(x)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\overline{f}^{-1}(y) = \phi_{t\lambda^{-1}} \circ \overline{f}^{-1}(x)$.

DEFINITION 4.1. (Section 4, [11]) Let α_u be an automorphism on $U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ defined by

$$\alpha_u(g)(x, t) = \lambda^{-1}g(\overline{f}^{-1}(x), t\lambda^{-1}) \quad \text{for } g \in C_c(\overline{X}, \mathbb{R}, \phi) \text{ and } (x, t) \in (\overline{X}, \mathbb{R}).$$

The *unstable equivalence Ruelle algebra* $R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ is the crossed product

$$R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f}) = U(\overline{X}, \overline{f}) \times_{\alpha_u} \mathbb{Z} = (C(\overline{X}) \times_{\phi} \mathbb{R}) \times_{\alpha_u} \mathbb{Z}.$$

REMARKS 4.2. (i) Let A be an $n \times n$ integer matrix and Δ_A the dimension group of A . The *dimension group automorphism* δ_A of A is the restriction of A to Δ_A so that $\delta_A(\mathbf{v}) = A\mathbf{v}$ (7.5.1, [5]). Then $\Delta_A/\text{Im}(\text{Id} - \delta_A)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^n/(\text{Id} - A)\mathbb{Z}^n$.

(ii) For $g \in C(\mathcal{E}_k, \mathbb{Z})$, let $g_k \in C(X_k, S^1)$ be as in the proof of Proposition 3.5. The wrapping rule $\check{f} : \mathcal{E}_{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_k$ induces a map $f^* : C(\mathcal{E}_k, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{E}_{k+1}, \mathbb{Z})$ by $g \mapsto g \circ \check{f}$ where $(g \circ \check{f})(e) = \sum_{i=1}^j g(e_i)$ such that $\check{f}(e) = e_1 \cdots e_j$. Then $g_k \circ f \circ \pi_k$ is homotopic to $(g \circ f^*)_{k+1} \circ \pi_{k+1}$ (3.6, [19]).

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Suppose that $(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ is a 1-solenoid with the adjacency matrix M and corresponding dimension group automorphism δ_M . Then*

$$K_0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \{\Delta_M/\text{Im}(\text{Id} - \delta_M)\} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Ker}(\text{Id} - \delta_M).$$

Proof. We have the following Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) & \xrightarrow{1-\alpha_{u*}} & K_0(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & K_0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) & \xleftarrow{\iota_*} & K_1(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) & \xleftarrow{1-\alpha_{u*}} & K_1(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \end{array}$$

We consider $\alpha_{u*} : K_0(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) = K_0(C(\overline{X}) \times_{\phi} \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow K_0(C(\overline{X}) \times_{\phi} \mathbb{R})$ as the automorphism $\hat{\alpha}_{u*} : K_1(C(\overline{X})) \rightarrow K_1(C(\overline{X}))$ given by the Thom isomorphism of Connes. Define $\beta : C(\overline{X}) \rightarrow C(\overline{X})$ by $h \mapsto h \circ \overline{f}^{-1}$ for $h \in C(\overline{X})$. Then the induced automorphism $\beta_* : K_1(C(\overline{X})) \rightarrow K_1(C(\overline{X}))$ is the required isomorphism.

For $g \in C(\mathcal{E}_k, \mathbb{Z})$, let $\tilde{g} \in C(\overline{X}, S^1)$ be the induced unitary element as in the proof of Proposition 3.5. Then $\beta^{-1}(\tilde{g}) = \tilde{g} \circ \overline{f} = g_k \circ \pi_k \circ \overline{f} = g_k \circ f \circ \pi_k$ is homotopic

to $(g \circ f^*)_{k+1} \circ \pi_{k+1}$. Hence if we denote g as $(g(e_1), \dots, g(e_n)) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, then $g \circ f^*$ is given by Mg and the induced automorphism $\beta_*^{-1} : K_1(C(\overline{X})) \rightarrow K_1(C(\overline{X}))$ is the dimension group automorphism δ_M of the adjacency matrix M . Therefore β_* is the inverse of δ_M , and $1 - \alpha_{u*} : K_0(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \rightarrow K_0(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f}))$ is the same as $\text{Id} - \delta_M^{-1} : \Delta_M \rightarrow \Delta_M$.

Since $K_1(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f}))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , $\alpha_{u*} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is trivially the identity map. Thus the six-term exact sequence is divided into the following two short exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \Delta_M / \text{Im}(\text{Id} - \delta_M^{-1}) &\rightarrow K_0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K_1(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) &\rightarrow \text{Ker}(\text{Id} - \delta_M^{-1}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because \mathbb{Z} and $\text{Ker}(\text{Id} - \delta_M^{-1})$ are free groups, these sequences split. Therefore we conclude that

$$K_0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \{\Delta_M / \text{Im}(\text{Id} - \delta_M^{-1})\} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \{\Delta_M / \text{Im}(\text{Id} - \delta_M)\}$$

and

$$K_1(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Ker}(\text{Id} - \delta_M^{-1}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Ker}(\text{Id} - \delta_M). \quad \blacksquare$$

REMARK 4.4. Although the above short exact sequences are *natural*, they split *unnaturally*. Hence the isomorphisms of Proposition 4.3 are *unnatural*.

STABLE EQUIVALENCE RUELLE ALGEBRAS. We use K-theoretic duality of the Ruelle algebras and the Universal Coefficient Theorem to compute K-groups of $R_s(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$.

LEMMA 4.5. ([11], [14]) *Suppose that $(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ is a 1-solenoid. Then:*

- (i) $K_*(R_s(\overline{X}, \overline{f}))$ is isomorphic to $K^{*+1}(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f}))$;
- (ii) there are short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(K_0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})), \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow K^1(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_1(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(K_1(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})), \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow K^0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore K-groups of the stable equivalence Ruelle algebra are determined by Ext- and Hom-groups of $K_*(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f}))$.

PROPOSITION 4.6. *Suppose that $(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ is a 1-solenoid. Then*

$$K_0(R_s(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \{\Delta_M / \text{Im}(\text{Id} - \delta_M)\} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(R_s(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Ker}(\text{Id} - \delta_M).$$

Proof. Transform $\text{Id} - M$ to the Smith form

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & & \\ & d_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & d_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $d_i \geq 0$ and d_i divides d_{i+1} (Section 7.4, [5]). Then $\Delta_M/\text{Im}(\text{Id} - \delta_M)$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z}$, and the dimension of $\text{Ker}(\text{Id} - \delta_M)$ is equal to the number of zeros in the diagonal of the Smith form. Suppose $d_1 = \dots = d_m = 0$ and $d_{m+1} \neq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\text{K}_0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})), \mathbb{Z}) &= \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}/d_{m+1}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d_n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \mathbb{Z}/d_{m+1}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d_n\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{Hom}(\text{K}_1(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})), \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} K^1(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) &\cong \text{Hom}(\text{K}_1(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})), \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\text{K}_0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})), \mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d_{m+1}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d_n\mathbb{Z} \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \{\Delta_M/\text{Im}(\text{Id} - \delta_M)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\text{Ker}(\text{Id} - \delta_M)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^m so that

$$\text{K}_1(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Ker}(\text{Id} - \delta_M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}.$$

Thus we have $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\text{K}_1(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and

$$K^0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{K}_0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then $\text{K}_0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) \cong \mathbb{Z} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\text{K}_0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})), \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \text{Hom}\left(\mathbb{Z} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{Z} \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Ker}(\text{Id} - \delta_M). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 4.7. The isomorphisms in Proposition 4.6 are *unnatural* as the short exact sequences in the Universal Coefficient Theorem split unnaturally.

Recall that the unstable and stable equivalence Ruelle algebras of a 1-solenoid are nuclear, purely infinite, separable, simple and stable C^* -algebras ([3]). Then the classification theorem of Kirchberg-Phillips ([4], [9]) implies the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.8. $R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$ is $*$ -isomorphic to $R_s(\overline{X}, \overline{f})$.

EXAMPLES 4.9. (i) Suppose that X is the unit circle and that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is given by $z \mapsto z^n$, $n \geq 2$. Then the adjacency matrix is (n) , $\text{K}_0(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$ and $\text{K}_1(U(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) = \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\delta_{(n)}^{-1}$ is multiplication by $\frac{1}{n}$, we have

$$\text{K}_0(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) = \text{K}_0(R_s(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \{\mathbb{Z}/(n-1)\mathbb{Z}\}$$

and

$$\text{K}_1(R_u(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) = \text{K}_1(R_s(\overline{X}, \overline{f})) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

(ii) Suppose that Y is a wedge of two circles a and b and that $g : Y \rightarrow Y$ is given by $a \mapsto aab$ and $b \mapsto ab$. Then the adjacency matrix is $M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since M is an invertible matrix, we have $K_0(U(\bar{Y}, \bar{g})) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, $K_1(U(\bar{Y}, \bar{g})) = \mathbb{Z}$ and that $1 - \alpha_{u*} : \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism. Hence we obtain

$$K_0(R_u(\bar{Y}, \bar{g})) = K_1(R_u(\bar{Y}, \bar{g})) = K_0(R_s(\bar{Y}, \bar{g})) = K_1(R_s(\bar{Y}, \bar{g})) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Acknowledgements. I express my deep gratitude to Dr. M. Boyle and Dr. J. Rosenberg at UMCP and Dr. I. Putnam at University of Victoria for their encouragement and useful discussions. And I would like to thank the referee for several helpful suggestions. The $[\cdot, \cdot]$ -function for 1-solenoids was suggested by Dr. Putnam. By kind permission, I presented his definition.

REFERENCES

1. A. FORREST, Cohomology of ordered Bratteli diagrams, *Pacific J. Math.*, to appear.
2. M. HILSUM, G. SKANDALIS, Stabilité des C^* -algèbres de feuilletages, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **33**(1983), 201–208.
3. J. KAMINKER, I. PUTNAM, J. SPIELBERG, Operator algebras and hyperbolic dynamics, in *Operator Algebras and Quantum Field Theory (Rome, 1996)*, S. Doplicher, R. Longo, J.E. Roberts and L. Zsidó (eds.), International Press, 1997, pp. 525–532.
4. E. KIRCHBERG, The classification of purely infinite C^* -algebras using Kasparov's theory, preprint, 1994.
5. D. LIND, B. MARCUS, *An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1995.
6. B. MARCUS, Unique ergodicity of some flows related to Axiom A diffeomorphisms, *Israel J. Math.* **21**(1975), 111–132.
7. P. MUHLY, J. RENAULT, D. WILLIAMS, Equivalence and isomorphism for groupoid C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **17**(1987), 3–22.
8. J.A. PACKER, K -theoretic invariants for C^* -algebras associated to transformations and induced flows, *J. Funct. Anal.* **67**(1986), 25–59.
9. N.C. PHILLIPS, A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebras, *Doc. Math.* **5**(2000), 49–114.
10. I. PUTNAM, C^* -algebras from Smale spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* **48**(1996), 175–195.
11. I. PUTNAM, *Hyperbolic Systems and Generalized Cuntz-Krieger Algebras*, Lecture notes from Summer school in Operator algebras, Odense, Denmark, 1996.
12. I. PUTNAM, J. SPIELBERG, The structure of C^* -algebras associated with hyperbolic dynamical systems, *J. Funct. Anal.* **163**(1999), 279–299.
13. J. RENAULT, *A Groupoid Approach to C^* -Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 793, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
14. J. ROSENBERG, C. SCHOCHET, The Künneth theorem and the universal coefficient theorem for Kasparov's generalized K -functor, *Duke Math. J.* **55**(1987), 431–474.
15. D. RUELLE, Noncommutative algebras for hyperbolic diffeomorphisms, *Invent. Math.* **93**(1988), 1–13.
16. J. TOMIYAMA, *Invitation to C^* -Algebras and Topological Dynamics*, World Scientific Publ. Co. 1987.

17. R.F. WILLIAMS, Classification of 1-dimensional attractors, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, vol. 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1970, pp. 341–361.
18. I. YI, Canonical symbolic dynamics for one-dimensional generalized solenoids, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353**(2001), 3741–3767.
19. I. YI, Ordered group invariants for one-dimensional spaces, *Fund. Math.* **170**(2001), 267–286.
20. I. YI, Bratteli-Vershik systems for one-dimensional generalized solenoids, submitted for publication, *Houston J. Math.*, to appear.

INHYEOP YI
Department of Mathematics
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742
USA

E-mail: inhyeop@math.umd.edu

Current address:

Department of Mathematics
and Statistics
University of Victoria
Victoria, BC, V8W 3P4
CANADA

E-mail: yih@math.uvic.ca

Received May 31, 2001.