

## THE GEOMETRIC MEANS IN BANACH \*-ALGEBRAS

BAO QI FENG

*Dedicated to Professor Joe Diestel for his 60<sup>th</sup> birthday*

*Communicated by Şerban Strătilă*

ABSTRACT. The arithmetic-geometric-harmonic inequality has played a special role in elementary mathematics. During the past twenty five years (see [1], [2] and [8] etc.) a great many mathematicians have researched on various kinds of matrix versions of the arithmetic-geometric-harmonic inequality. It is interesting to see whether the arithmetic-geometric-harmonic inequality can be extended to the context of Banach \*-algebras. In this article we will define the geometric means of positive elements in Banach \*-algebras and prove that the arithmetic-geometric-harmonic inequality does hold in Banach \*-algebras.

KEYWORDS: *Arithmetic mean, geometric mean, harmonic mean, Banach \*-algebra.*

MSC (2000): 47A63, 47A64.

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  be a Banach \*-algebra. An element  $a \in A$  is called *self-adjoint* if  $a^* = a$ .  $A$  is *Hermitian* if every self-adjoint element  $a$  of  $A$  has real spectrum:  $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\sigma(a)$  denotes the spectrum of  $a$ . We assume in what follows that a Banach \*-algebra  $A$  is Hermitian. Also we assume that  $A$  is unital with unit 1. Saying an element  $a \geq 0$  means that  $a = a^*$  and  $\sigma(a) \subset [0, \infty)$ ;  $a > 0$  means that  $a \geq 0$  and  $0 \notin \sigma(a)$ . Thus,  $a > 0$  implies its inverse  $a^{-1}$  exists. Denote the set of all invertible elements in  $A$  by  $\text{Inv}(A)$ . If  $a, b \in A$ , then  $a, b \in \text{Inv}(A)$  imply  $ab \in \text{Inv}(A)$ , and  $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$ . Saying  $a \geq b$  means  $a - b \geq 0$ , and  $a > b$  means  $a - b > 0$ . The Shirali-Ford Theorem ([6] or [3], Theorem 41.5) asserted that  $a^*a \geq 0$  for every  $a \in A$ . Based on the Shirali-Ford Theorem, Okayasu [5], Tanahashi and Uchiyama [7] proved the following inequalities:

- (i) If  $a, b \in A$ , then  $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$  imply  $a + b \geq 0$ , with  $\alpha \geq 0$  implies  $\alpha a \geq 0$ .
- (ii) If  $a, b \in A$ , then  $a > 0, b \geq 0$  imply  $a + b > 0$ .
- (iii) If  $a, b \in A$ , then either  $a \geq b > 0$ , or  $a > b \geq 0$  imply  $a > 0$ .
- (iv) If  $a > 0$ , then  $a^{-1} > 0$ .

(v) If  $c > 0$ , then  $0 < b < a$  if and only if  $cbc < cac$ ; also  $0 < b \leq a$  if and only if  $cbc \leq cac$ .

(vi) If  $0 < a < 1$ , then  $1 < a^{-1}$ .

(vii) If  $0 < b < a$ , then  $0 < a^{-1} < b^{-1}$ ; also if  $0 < b \leq a$ , then  $0 < a^{-1} \leq b^{-1}$ .

Also, Okayasu [5] showed that the following Löwner-Heinz inequality still holds in Banach  $*$ -algebras:

**THEOREM 0.1.** *Let  $A$  be a unital Hermitian Banach  $*$ -algebra with continuous involution. Let  $a, b \in A$  and  $p \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $a^p > b^p$  if  $a > b$ , and  $a^p \geq b^p$  if  $a \geq b$ .*

It is natural to ask if there is an arithmetic-geometric-harmonic means inequality in Banach  $*$ -algebras. In this paper, we will address this problem.

1. THE LAWS OF EXPONENTS

Let  $a \in A$  and  $a > 0$ , then  $0 \notin \sigma(a)$  and the fact of  $\sigma(a)$  being nonempty compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  implies that

$$\inf\{z : z \in \sigma(a)\} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup\{z : z \in \sigma(a)\} < \infty.$$

Choose  $\gamma$  to be a closed rectifiable curve in  $\{\text{Re}z > 0\}$ , the right half open plane of the complex plane, such that  $\sigma(a) \subset \text{ins}\gamma$ , the inside of  $\gamma$ . Let  $G$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\sigma(a) \subset G$ . If  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is analytic, we define an element  $f(a)$  in  $A$  by

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z)(z - a)^{-1} dz.$$

It is known (see pp. 201–204 in [4]) that  $f(a)$  does not depend on the choice of  $\gamma$  and the Spectral Mapping Theorem:

$$\sigma(f(a)) = f(\sigma(a))$$

holds.

For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define

$$a^\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} z^\alpha (z - a)^{-1} dz$$

where  $z^\alpha$  is the principal  $\alpha$ -power of  $z$ . Since  $A$  is a Banach  $*$ -algebra,  $a^\alpha \in A$ . Since  $z^\alpha$  is analytic in  $\{\text{Re}z > 0\}$ , by the Spectral Mapping Theorem

$$\sigma(a^\alpha) = (\sigma(a))^\alpha = \{z^\alpha : z \in \sigma(a)\} \subset (0, \infty).$$

Thus, we have

**LEMMA 1.1.** *If  $0 < a \in A$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $a^\alpha \in A$  with  $a^\alpha > 0$ .*

Moreover, one of the laws of exponents holds in Banach  $*$ -algebras.

**LEMMA 1.2.** *If  $0 < a \in A$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $a^\alpha a^\beta = a^{\alpha+\beta}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma$  be defined as in the discussion preceding Lemma 1.1. It is known that ([4], VII. 4.7, Riesz Functional Calculus) that the map

$$f \mapsto f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z)(z - a)^{-1} dz$$

of  $\text{Hol}(a) \rightarrow A$  is an algebra homomorphism, where  $\text{Hol}(a)$  = all of the functions that are analytic in a neighborhood of  $\sigma(a)$ . That is,  $f(a)g(a) = (fg)(a)$ . Moreover,  $z^{\alpha}z^{\beta} = z^{\alpha+\beta}$  holds for principal powers of  $z$  implies that

$$a^{\alpha}a^{\beta} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} z^{\alpha}z^{\beta}(z - a)^{-1} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} z^{\alpha+\beta}(z - a)^{-1} dz = a^{\alpha+\beta}. \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 1.3. *If  $0 < a \in A$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $(a^{\alpha})^{-1} = (a^{-1})^{\alpha} = a^{-\alpha}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $a^0 = 1$  ([3], Lemma 1, p. 31), and from Lemma 1.2 we have

$$a^{\alpha}a^{-\alpha} = a^{\alpha+(-\alpha)} = a^0 = 1.$$

By the uniqueness of the inverse of an element in  $A$ ,  $(a^{\alpha})^{-1} = a^{-\alpha}$ .

Next we want to verify that  $(a^{-1})^{\alpha} = a^{-\alpha}$ . We know that  $a > 0$  implies that

$$\inf\{z : z \in \sigma(a)\} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup\{z : z \in \sigma(a)\} < \infty.$$

Choose positive real numbers  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  such that:

$$0 < r_1 < \inf\{z : z \in \sigma(a)\}, \quad r_2 > \sup\{z : z \in \sigma(a)\}$$

$$\frac{1}{r_1} > \sup\{z : z \in \sigma(a)\}, \quad 0 < \frac{1}{r_2} < \inf\{z : z \in \sigma(a)\}.$$

Let  $\gamma$  be a closed rectifiable curve in  $\{\text{Re}z > 0\}$ , which passes  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  and such that  $\sigma(a) \subset \text{ins}\gamma$ . Then the curve  $1/\gamma = \{1/z : z \in \gamma\}$  is also a closed rectifiable with  $\sigma(a) \subset \text{ins}(1/\gamma)$  and  $1/\gamma \subset \{\text{Re}z > 0\}$ . Thus,

$$(a^{-1})^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} z^{\alpha}(z - a^{-1})^{-1} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} z^{\alpha} \left(a - \frac{1}{z}\right)^{-1} \frac{a}{z} dz$$

$$= \frac{a}{2\pi i} \int_{1/\gamma} \lambda^{-\alpha-1}(\lambda - a)^{-1} d\lambda \quad (\text{substituting } : \lambda = 1/z)$$

$$= aa^{-\alpha-1} = a^{-\alpha} \quad (\text{Lemma 1.2}). \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 1.4. *If  $0 < a \in A, 0 < b \in A, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $ab = ba$ , then  $a^{\alpha}b^{\beta} = b^{\beta}a^{\alpha}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $z \notin \sigma(a)$ , then  $ab = ba \implies (z - a)b = b(z - a) \implies b(z - a)^{-1} = (z - a)^{-1}b$ . Let  $\gamma$  be defined as in the discussion preceding Lemma 1.1.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a^\alpha b &= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma z^\alpha (z - a)^{-1} dz \right) b = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma z^\alpha (z - a)^{-1} b dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma z^\alpha b (z - a)^{-1} dz = b \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma z^\alpha (z - a)^{-1} dz \right) = b a^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$ab = ba \implies a^\alpha b = b a^\alpha \implies a^\alpha b^\beta = b^\beta a^\alpha. \quad \blacksquare$$

2. THE ARITHMETIC MEAN, GEOMETRIC MEAN AND HARMONIC MEAN

Naturally, for  $a, b \in A$ , and  $w_1, w_2$  are positive numbers summing to 1, their *weighted arithmetic mean* can be defined as

$$A_w(a, b) := w_1 a + w_2 b.$$

If  $a > 0, b > 0$ , their *weighted harmonic mean* can be defined as

$$H_w(a, b) := (w_1 a^{-1} + w_2 b^{-1})^{-1}.$$

From the point view of matrix analysis (see [1]), if  $a > 0, b > 0$ , and  $w_1, w_2$  are positive numbers summing to 1, their *weighted geometric mean* can be defined as

$$G_w(a, b) := b^{1/2} (b^{-1/2} a b^{-1/2})^{w_1} b^{1/2}.$$

Denote  $A_w(a, b), G_w(a, b)$  and  $H_w(a, b)$  by  $A(a, b), G(a, b)$  and  $H(a, b)$  respectively if  $w_1 = w_2 = 1/2$ . It is clear that  $A_w(a, b), G_w(a, b), H_w(a, b) \in A$  and  $H_w(a, b) > 0$  and  $G_w(a, b) > 0$  by inequalities (ii), (iv), (v) and Lemma 1.1 above. Does the following arithmetic-geometric-harmonic inequalities hold

$$H_w(a, b) \leq G_w(a, b) \leq A_w(a, b)$$

in Banach  $*$ -algebras?

Based on the lemmas above we can prove some properties of arithmetic mean, geometric mean and harmonic mean mentioned by Ando [1].

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Suppose that  $a, b \in A$  with  $a > 0, b > 0$ , then*

$$H(a, b) = H(b, a) \quad \text{and} \quad G(a, b) = G(b, a).$$

*Proof.*  $H(a, b) = H(b, a)$  follows the definition of the harmonic mean and the fact that  $A$  is an Abelian group.

Observe that  $G(a, b) = G(b, a)$  is equivalent to

$$a^{-1/2} b^{1/2} (b^{-1/2} a b^{-1/2})^{1/2} b^{1/2} a^{-1/2} = (a^{-1/2} b a^{-1/2})^{1/2}.$$

Since positive elements are equal if and only if their squares are equal (see Lemma 6 of [7]), using Lemma 1.2 this is in turn equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} a^{-1/2}b^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{1/2}[b^{1/2}a^{-1}b^{1/2}](b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{1/2}b^{1/2}a^{-1/2} \\ = a^{-1/2}ba^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the term in square brackets is just  $(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{-1}$  by Lemma 1.3, the left hand side of the expression above does indeed reduce to the right hand side when we use Lemma 1.2 again. ■

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Suppose that  $a, b, c \in A$  with  $a > 0, b > 0$  and  $c \in \text{Inv}(A)$ , then*

$$c^*H(a, b)c = H(c^*ac, c^*bc) \quad \text{and} \quad c^*G(a, b)c = G(c^*ac, c^*bc).$$

*Proof.* Since  $c \in \text{Inv}(A)$ ,  $c^{-1}$  exists. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} c^*H(a, b)c &= c^*\left(\frac{1}{2}a^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}b^{-1}\right)^{-1}c = \left(c^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}a^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}b^{-1}\right)(c^*)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}c^{-1}a^{-1}(c^*)^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}c^{-1}b^{-1}(c^*)^{-1}\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{2}(c^*ac)^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}(c^*bc)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \\ &= H(c^*ac, c^*bc). \end{aligned}$$

It is analogous with the proof of Theorem 2.1, we now verify the second equality:

$$\begin{aligned} c^*G(a, b)c &= G(c^*ac, c^*bc) \\ &\iff c^*b^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{1/2}b^{1/2}c \\ &= (c^*bc)^{1/2}((c^*bc)^{-1/2}(c^*ac)(c^*bc)^{-1/2})^{1/2}(c^*bc)^{1/2} \\ &\iff (c^*bc)^{-1/2}c^*b^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{1/2}b^{1/2}c(c^*bc)^{-1/2} \\ &= ((c^*bc)^{-1/2}(c^*ac)(c^*bc)^{-1/2})^{1/2} \\ &\iff ((c^*bc)^{-1/2}c^*b^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{1/2}b^{1/2}c(c^*bc)^{-1/2})^2 \\ &= (c^*bc)^{-1/2}(c^*ac)(c^*bc)^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is true, since by Lemma 1.2

$$\begin{aligned} &((c^*bc)^{-1/2}c^*b^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{1/2}b^{1/2}c(c^*bc)^{-1/2})^2 \\ &= ((c^*bc)^{-1/2}c^*b^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{1/2}b^{1/2}c(c^*bc)^{-1/2}) \\ &\quad ((c^*bc)^{-1/2}c^*b^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{1/2}b^{1/2}c(c^*bc)^{-1/2}) \\ &= (c^*bc)^{-1/2}c^*b^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{1/2}b^{1/2}c(c^*bc)^{-1}c^*b^{1/2} \\ &\quad (b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{1/2}b^{1/2}c(c^*bc)^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (c^*bc)^{-1/2}c^*b^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{1/2}b^{1/2}c(c^*bc)^{-1/2} \\
 &= (c^*bc)^{-1/2}c^*b^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})b^{1/2}c(c^*bc)^{-1/2} \\
 &= (c^*bc)^{-1/2}c^*ac(c^*bc)^{-1/2}. \quad \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Suppose that  $a, b \in A$  with  $a > 0, b > 0$ . Then*

$$H_w(a, b)^{-1} = A_w(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad G_w(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) = G_w(a, b)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* The first equality is obvious from its definitions. Using Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_w(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) &= (b^{-1})^{1/2}((b^{-1})^{-1/2}a^{-1}(b^{-1})^{-1/2})^{w_1}(b^{-1})^{1/2} \\
 &= (b^{1/2})^{-1}((b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{-1})^{w_1}(b^{1/2})^{-1} \\
 &= (b^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{w_1}b^{1/2})^{-1} = G_w(a, b)^{-1}. \quad \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Suppose that  $a, b \in A$  with  $a > 0, b > 0$ , and  $w_1, w_2$  are positive numbers summing to 1, then*

$$H_w(a, b) \leq G_w(a, b) \leq A_w(a, b).$$

*Proof.* Firstly we verify the arithmetic-geometric means inequality:  $G_w(a, b) \leq A_w(a, b)$ . With the help of inequality (v),

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_w(a, b) &\leq A_w(a, b) \\
 &\iff b^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{w_1}b^{1/2} \leq w_1a + w_2b \\
 &\iff b^{1/2}(b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{w_1}b^{1/2} \leq b^{1/2}(w_1b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2} + w_2)b^{1/2} \\
 &\iff (b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2})^{w_1} \leq w_1b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2} + w_2 \\
 &\iff w_1n + w_2 - n^{w_1} \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $n := b^{-1/2}ab^{-1/2}$ . Lemma 1.1 and inequality (v) imply  $n > 0$ , and hence  $\sigma(n) \subset (0, \infty)$ .

Let  $f(z) = w_1z + w_2 - z^{w_1}$ , where  $z^{w_1}$  is the principal of the power function. Then  $f(z)$  is analytic in the right half open plane  $\{\text{Re}z > 0\}$  of the complex plane. Next we claim that  $f(z) \geq 0$  on the positive real line. In fact, let  $x = z - 1$  in the Bernoulli inequality:

$$(1 + x)^{w_1} \leq 1 + w_1x, \quad \text{if } 0 < w_1 < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad -1 < x.$$

We have

$$z^{w_1} \leq w_1z + (1 - w_1), \quad \text{if } 0 < w_1 < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < z,$$

that is,

$$f(z) \geq 0, \quad \text{if } 0 < w_1 < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < z.$$

The Spectral Mapping Theorem implies

$$\sigma(f(n)) = f(\sigma(n)) \subset [0, \infty).$$

So

$$f(n) = w_1 n + w_2 - n^{w_1} \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$G_w(a, b) \leq A_w(a, b).$$

Replacing  $a$  and  $b$  by  $a^{-1}$  and  $b^{-1}$  respectively in the arithmetic-geometric means inequality, Theorem 2.3 and inequality (vii) guarantee that

$$H_w(a, b) \leq G_w(a, b). \quad \blacksquare$$

In general, for  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ , and an  $n$ -tuple of positive numbers  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  summing to 1, their weighted arithmetic mean in  $A$  can be defined as

$$A_w(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) := w_1 a_1 + w_2 a_2 + \dots + w_n a_n.$$

If  $a_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , their weighted harmonic mean in  $A$  can be defined as

$$H_w(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) := (w_1 a_1^{-1} + w_2 a_2^{-1} + \dots + w_n a_n^{-1})^{-1}.$$

From the point of view of matrix analysis (see [8]), if  $a_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  are positive numbers summing to 1, their weighted geometric mean in  $A$  can be defined as

$$G_w(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) := a_n^{1/2} (a_n^{-1/2} a_{n-1}^{1/2} \dots (a_3^{-1/2} a_2^{1/2} (a_2^{-1/2} a_1 a_2^{-1/2})^{\alpha_1} a_2^{1/2} a_3^{-1/2})^{\alpha_2} \dots a_{n-1}^{1/2} a_n^{-1/2})^{\alpha_{n-1}} a_n^{1/2},$$

where  $\alpha_i = 1 - \left( w_{i+1} / \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} w_j \right)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Note that this geometric mean is just the inductive generalization of  $n = 2$  case, which was discussed in Theorem 2.3 and 2.4.

Based on Theorem 2.4 with the same inductive proof as in [8], we have

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Suppose that  $a_i \in A, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , with  $a_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  are positive numbers summing to 1, then*

$$H_w(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq G_w(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq A_w(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

*Acknowledgements.* Many thanks to the editor Ş. Strătilă for his help.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. ANDO, Concavity of certain maps on positive definite matrices and applications to Hadamard products, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **26**(1979), 203–241.
- [2] R. BHATIA, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1997.
- [3] F.F. BONSAALL, J. DUNCAN, *Complete Normed Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1973.

- [4] J.B. CONWAY, *A Course in Functional Analysis. Second Edition*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1990.
- [5] T. OKAYASU, The Löwner-Heinz inequality in Banach  $*$ -algebra, *Glasgow Math. J.* **42**(2000), 243–246.
- [6] M. SAGAE, K. TANABE, Upper and lower bounds for the arithmetic-geometric-harmonic means of positive definite matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **37**(1994), 279–282.
- [7] S. SHIRALI, J.W.M. FORD, Symmetry in complex involutory Banach algebras. II, *Duke Math. J.* **37**(1970), 275–280.
- [8] K. TANAHASHI, A. UCHIYAMA, The Furuta inequality in Banach  $*$ -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128**(2000), 1691–1695.

BAO QI FENG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KENT STATE UNIVERSITY, TUSCARAWAS CAMPUS, NEW PHILADELPHIA, OH 44663, USA  
*E-mail address:* bfeng@kent.edu

Received February 27, 2004.