

COMPACT TRIPOTENTS AND THE STONE-WEIERSTRASS THEOREM FOR C^* -ALGEBRAS AND JB^* -TRIPLES

FRANCISCO J. FERNÁNDEZ-POLO and ANTONIO M. PERALTA

Communicated by William B. Arveson

ABSTRACT. We establish some generalizations of Urysohn lemma for the *hull-kernel structure* in the setting of JB^* -triples. These results are the natural extensions of those obtained by C.A. Akemann in the setting of C^* -algebras. We also develop some connections with the classical Stone-Weierstrass problem for C^* -algebras and JB^* -triples.

KEYWORDS: *Compact tripotents, compact projections, C^* -algebras, JB^* -triples, Urysohn's lemma, Stone-Weierstrass Theorem.*

MSC (2000): 17C65, 46B04, 46B22, 46L05, 46L70.

INTRODUCTION

Let K be a topological compact Hausdorff space and let $C(K)$ denote the Banach space of all complex-valued continuous functions on K . The classical Urysohn lemma allows us to describe the open subsets of K in the following way: a subset $A \subseteq K$ is open if and only if there is an increasing net (x_α) in $C(K)$ satisfying that $0 \leq x_\alpha(t) \nearrow 1$, for each $t \in A$, and $0 = x_\alpha(t)$ for each $t \in K \setminus A$. Clearly, a subset $C \subseteq K$ is closed (equivalently, compact) if and only if $K \setminus C$ is open. We can see the characteristic functions χ_A as projections in the bidual of $C(K)$.

In the more general setting of non-necessarily abelian C^* -algebras the notions of open and compact projections in the bidual of a C^* -algebra are mainly due to C.A. Akemann ([1], [3], see also [5], [33]). Let A be a C^* -algebra. A projection p in A^{**} is said to be *open* if p is the weak*-limit of a increasing net of positive elements in A , equivalently, $pA^{**}p \cap A$ is weak*-dense in $pA^{**}p$ (compare Proposition 3.11.9 of [33]). We say that p is *closed* whenever $1 - p$ is open. Finally, a projection p is said to be *compact* if, and only if, p is closed and there exists a positive element $a \in A$ such that $p \leq a \leq 1$, equivalently, there is a monotone decreasing net (a_λ) in A_+ with $p \leq a_\lambda \leq 1$, converging strongly to p (see for example [1] or Definition-Lemma 2.47 of [11]). If A is unital then every closed

projection in A^{**} is compact. Akemann called this collection of open projections in A^{**} the *hull-kernel structure (HKS)* of A . In the HKS of a C^* -algebra, the following generalization of Urysohn lemma was obtained by Akemann in Theorem I.1 of [2]:

THEOREM 0.1. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and let p and q be two closed projections in A^{**} with $pq = 0$. Then there exists a in A with $0 \leq a \leq 1$, $ap = 0$ and $aq = q$.*

The generalizations of Urysohn lemma to the setting of non-commutative C^* -algebras are closely related with the general Stone-Weierstrass problem for non-commutative C^* -algebras. This tool has been intensively developed since 1969 by C.A. Akemann [1], [2], [3], L.G. Brown [11], C.A. Akemann, J. Anderson and G. Pedersen [4] and C.A. Akemann and G. Pedersen [5], among others.

C^* -algebras belong to the more general class of complex Banach spaces known as JB^* -triples (see definition below). In this setting the role of projections is played by those elements called tripotents. Moreover, in [20] and [22] the notions of open, compact and closed tripotents in the bidual of a JB^* -triple are introduced and developed. The aim of this paper is the study of the *hull-kernel structure* in a JB^* -triple. In Section 2 we prove some generalizations of Urysohn lemma for this HKS. Theorem 1.4 assures that whenever e and f are two orthogonal tripotents in the bidual of a JB^* -triple E , with e compact and f minimal, then there exist two orthogonal norm-one elements a_1 and a_2 in E such that $e \leq a_1$ and $f \leq a_2$. The second Urysohn lemma type result is Theorem 1.10, where we establish the following: Let E be a JB^* -triple, x a norm-one element in E and u a compact tripotent in E^{**} relative to E satisfying that $u \leq r(x)$. Then there exists a norm-one element y in the inner ideal of E generated by x , such that $u \leq y \leq r(x)$.

In the last section we find some connections between the generalizations of Urysohn lemma to the HKS of a C^* -algebra or a JB^* -triple with the Stone-Weierstrass problem. As main result (see Theorem 2.5) we prove that whenever B is a JB^* -subtriple of a JB^* -triple E such that for every couple of orthogonal tripotents u, v in E^{**} with v minimal and u minimal or zero, there exist orthogonal elements x, y in B such that $\|y\| = 1$, $\|x\| \in \{0, 1\}$ and $u \leq x$ and $v \leq y$ (when $u = 0$, then we mean $x = 0$), then B separates the extreme points of the closed unit ball of E^* and zero. This result combined with those obtained by C.A. Akemann [2] and B. Sheppard [39], on the Stone-Weierstrass theorem for C^* -algebras and JB^* -triples, respectively, allow us to establish some new versions of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem in the setting of C^* -algebras and JB^* -triples.

We recall (c.f. [31]) that a JB^* -triple is a complex Banach space E together with a continuous triple product $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\} : E \times E \times E \rightarrow E$, which is conjugate linear in the middle variable and symmetric bilinear in the outer variables satisfying that:

(a) $L(a, b)L(x, y) = L(x, y)L(a, b) + L(L(a, b)x, y) - L(x, L(b, a)y)$, where $L(a, b)$ is the operator on E given by $L(a, b)x = \{a, b, x\}$;

- (b) $L(a, a)$ is an hermitian operator with non-negative spectrum;
- (c) $\|L(a, a)\| = \|a\|^2$.

Every C^* -algebra is a JB^* -triple via the triple product given by

$$2\{x, y, z\} = xy^*z + zy^*x,$$

and every JB^* -algebra is a JB^* -triple under the triple product

$$\{x, y, z\} = (x \circ y^*) \circ z + (z \circ y^*) \circ x - (x \circ z) \circ y^*.$$

A JBW^* -triple is a JB^* -triple which is also a dual Banach space (with a unique predual [9]). The second dual of a JB^* -triple is a JBW^* -triple [17]. Elements a, b in a JB^* -triple, E , are *orthogonal* if $L(a, b) = 0$. With each tripotent u (i.e. $u = \{u, u, u\}$) in E is associated the *Peirce decomposition*

$$E = E_2(u) \oplus E_1(u) \oplus E_0(u),$$

where for $i = 0, 1, 2$, $E_i(u)$ is the $i/2$ eigenspace of $L(u, u)$. The Peirce rules are that $\{E_i(u), E_j(u), E_k(u)\}$ is contained in $E_{i-j+k}(u)$ if $i - j + k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and is zero otherwise. In addition,

$$\{E_2(u), E_0(u), E\} = \{E_0(u), E_2(u), E\} = 0.$$

The corresponding *Peirce projections*, $P_i(u) : E \rightarrow E_i(u)$, ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are contractive and satisfy

$$P_2(u) = D(2D - I), \quad P_1(u) = 4D(I - D), \quad \text{and} \quad P_0(u) = (I - D)(I - 2D),$$

where D is the operator $L(u, u)$ and I is the identity map on E (compare [23]). A non-zero tripotent $u \in E$ is called *minimal* if and only if $E_2(u) = \mathbb{C}u$.

Let e and x be two norm-one elements in a JB^* -triple, E , with e tripotent. We shall say that $e \leq x$ (respectively, $x \leq e$) whenever $L(e, e)x = e$ (respectively, x is a positive element in the JB^* -algebra $E_2(e)$).

The strong*-topology in a JBW^* -triple was introduced by T.J. Barton and Y. Friedman in [8]. This strong*-topology can be defined in the following way: Given a JBW^* -triple W , a norm-one element φ in W_* and a norm-one element z in W such that $\varphi(z) = 1$, it follows from Proposition 1.2 of [8] that the assignment

$$(x, y) \mapsto \varphi\{x, y, z\}$$

defines a positive sesquilinear form on W . Moreover, for every norm-one element w in W $\varphi(w) = 1$, we have $\varphi\{x, y, z\} = \varphi\{x, y, w\}$, for all $x, y \in W$. The law $x \mapsto \|x\|_\varphi := (\varphi\{x, x, z\})^{1/2}$, defines a prehilbertian seminorm on W . The strong*-topology (noted by $S^*(W, W_*)$) is the topology on W generated by the family $\{\|\cdot\|_\varphi : \varphi \in W_*, \|\varphi\| = 1\}$.

The strong*-topology is compatible with the duality (W, W_*) (see Theorem 3.2 of [8]). The strong*-topology was further developed in [36], [34]. In particular, the triple product is jointly strong*-continuous on bounded sets (see [36], [34]).

Let W be a JBW^* -triple and let a be a norm-one element in W . The sequence (a^{2n-1}) defined by $a^1 = a, a^{2n+1} = \{a, a^{2n-1}, a\}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) converges in the strong*-topology (and hence in the weak*-topology) of W to a tripotent $u(a)$ in W (compare Lemma 3.3 of [20]). This tripotent will be called the *support tripotent* of a . There exists a smallest tripotent $r(a) \in W$ satisfying that a is positive in the JBW^* -algebra $W_2(r(a))$, and $u(a) \leq a^{2n-1} \leq a \leq r(a)$. This tripotent $r(a)$ will be called the *range tripotent* of a . (Beware that in [20], $r(a)$ is called the support tripotent of a).

In [20], C.M. Edwards and G.T. Rüttimann introduced the concepts of *open* and *compact* tripotents in the bidual of a JB^* -triple. In [22], the authors of the present paper studied the notions of open and compact tripotents in a JBW^* -triple with respect to a weak*-dense subtriple. Concretely, given a JBW^* -triple W and a weak*-dense JB^* -subtriple E of W , a tripotent u in W is said to be *compact- G_δ relative to E* if u is the support tripotent of a norm one element in E . The tripotent u is said to be *compact relative to E* if $u = 0$ or there exist a decreasing net, $(u_\lambda) \subseteq W$, of compact- G_δ tripotents relative to E converging, in the strong*-topology of W , to the element u (compare Section 4 of [20]). A tripotent u in W is said to be *open relative to E* if $E \cap W_2(u)$ is weak*-dense in $W_2(u)$. When E is a JB^* -triple, the range (respectively, the support) tripotent of every norm-one element in E is always an open (respectively, compact) tripotent in E^{**} relative to E .

NOTATION 0.2. Given a Banach space X , we denote by X_1, S_X , and X^* the closed unit ball, the unit sphere, and the dual space of X , respectively. If K is any convex subset of X , then we write $\partial_e(K)$ for the set of extreme points of K .

1. THE NON-COMMUTATIVE URYSOHN LEMMA FOR JB^* -TRIPLES

This section is mainly devoted to obtain some Urysohn lemma type results for the HKS of a JB^* -triple. We begin by developing some new properties of compact tripotents in the bidual of a JB^* -triple.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let W and V be JBW^* -triples, E a weak*-dense JB^* -subtriple of W and $T : W \rightarrow V$ a surjective weak*-continuous triple homomorphism such that $\|T(x)\| = \|x\|$, for all x in E . Suppose that e is a tripotent in W , then $T(e)$ is compact relative to $T(E)$ in V whenever e is compact relative to E . Moreover, if T is a triple isomorphism, then e is compact relative to E in W if and only if $T(e)$ is compact relative to $T(E)$ in V .*

Proof. Suppose that $e \in W$ is compact relative to E . If $T(e) = 0$, then there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $T(e)$ is a non-zero tripotent in V . By definition, there exists a decreasing net $(u_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subset W$, of compact- G_δ tripotents relative to E (i.e., $\forall \lambda$ there exists $a_\lambda \in S_E$ such that $u_\lambda = u(a_\lambda)$), converging to e in the strong*-topology of W .

From the hypothesis we know that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\|T(a_\lambda)\| = \|a_\lambda\| = 1$. Since, for each λ , $u(T(a_\lambda))$ coincides with the limit, in the weak*-topology of V , of the sequence $(T(a_\lambda)^{2n-1}) = (T(a_\lambda^{2n-1}))$, and T is weak*-continuous, we have $u(T(a_\lambda)) = T(u(a_\lambda))$. The conditions (u_λ) decreasing and T triple homomorphism imply that $u(T(a_\lambda)) = T(u(a_\lambda))$ is also a decreasing net in V . Since T is weak*-continuous, we deduce, from Corollary 3 in [36], that T is $S^*(W, W_*) - S^*(V, V_*)$ -continuous. Therefore, $u(T(a_\lambda)) = T(u(a_\lambda))$ tends to $T(e)$ in the $S^*(V, V_*)$ -topology. This shows that $T(e)$ is compact relative to $T(E)$ in V . ■

REMARK 1.2. Note that under the assumptions of the previous proposition there is a relationship between compact- G_δ tripotents in W (respectively, range tripotents in W) relative to E and compact- G_δ tripotents in V (respectively, range tripotents in V) relative to $T(E)$. Indeed, let $x \in E$ be a norm-one element. The sequence x^{2n-1} (respectively, $x^{1/(2n-1)}$) tends to $u(x)$ (respectively, $r(x)$) in the weak*-topology of W . Since T is a weak*-continuous triple homomorphism isometric on E , it follows that $T(u(x)) = u(T(x))$ (respectively, $T(r(x)) = r(T(x))$). Moreover, since every compact- G_δ (respectively, range) tripotent in V relative to $T(E)$ is of the form $u(T(x))$ (respectively, $r(T(x))$) for a suitable norm-one element $x \in E$, it is clear that T maps the set of compact- G_δ (respectively, range) tripotents in W relative to E onto the set of compact- G_δ (respectively, range) tripotents in V relative to $T(E)$.

In Theorem 3.4 of [16] it is proved that every minimal tripotent in the bidual of a JB*-triple, E , is compact relative to E . The next corollary shows that this result remains true for every minimal tripotent in a JBW*-triple W and for any weak*-dense JB*-subtriple of W .

Let E be a JB*-triple. A subtriple I of E is said to be an *ideal* of E if $\{E, E, I\} + \{E, I, E\} \subseteq I$. We shall say that I is an *inner ideal* of E whenever $\{I, E, I\} \subseteq I$.

If E and F are two JB*-triples, a representation $\pi : E \rightarrow F$ is any triple homomorphism from E to F . Let $j : E \rightarrow E^{**}$ be the canonical inclusion of E into its bidual. Each weak*-closed ideal I of E^{**} is an M-summand (see [27]). Therefore there exists a weak*-continuous contractive projection $\pi : E^{**} \rightarrow I$. The representation $E \rightarrow I$ given by $x \mapsto \pi j(x)$ is called the *canonical representation* of E corresponding to I . Suppose that E is a weak*-dense JB*-subtriple of a JBW*-triple W and let $\lambda : E \rightarrow W$ be the natural inclusion. From Proposition 6 of [7], there exists a weak*-closed triple ideal M of E^{**} and a triple isomorphism $\Psi : W \rightarrow M$ satisfying that $\Psi\lambda$ is the canonical representation of E corresponding to M .

COROLLARY 1.3. *Let E be a weak*-dense JB*-subtriple of a JBW*-triple W . Let M be the weak*-closed triple ideal of E^{**} and let $\Psi : W \rightarrow M$ the triple isomorphism described in the above paragraph, satisfying that $\Psi\lambda$ is the canonical representation of E corresponding to M . Let e be a tripotent in W . Then e is compact relative to E in W*

whenever $\Psi(e)$ is compact relative to E in E^{**} . In particular, every minimal tripotent in W is compact relative to E .

Proof. Let $\pi : E^{**} \rightarrow M$ denote the canonical projection of E^{**} onto M . Clearly, π is a surjective weak*-continuous triple homomorphism and if $\lambda : E \rightarrow W$ and $j : E \rightarrow E^{**}$ denote the canonical inclusions of E into W and E^{**} , respectively, we have $\Psi \circ \lambda = \pi \circ j$.

Let $e \in W$ be a tripotent in W such that $\Psi(e)$ is compact relative to E in E^{**} . Proposition 1.1 applied to $\pi : E^{**} \rightarrow M, E^{**}$ and E , gives $\Psi(e)$ compact relative to $\pi(E)$ in M . Again, Proposition 1.1 assures that e is compact relative to E in W .

Finally, if e is minimal in W , that is, $W_2(e) = \mathbb{C}e$, it is not hard to see that $M_2(\Psi(e)) = E_2^{**}(\Psi(e)) = \mathbb{C}\Psi(e)$, and hence $\Psi(e)$ is a minimal tripotent in E^{**} . Therefore, from Theorem 3.4 of [16], it follows that $\Psi(e)$ is compact relative to E in E^{**} , which implies that e is compact relative to E in W . ■

Let x be a norm-one element in a JB*-triple E . Throughout the paper, E_x will denote the norm-closed JB*-subtriple of E generated by x . It is known that E_x is JB*-triple isomorphic (and hence isometric) to $C_0(\Omega)$ for some locally compact Hausdorff space Ω contained in $[0, 1]$, such that $\Omega \cup \{0\}$ is compact and $C_0(\Omega)$ denotes the Banach space of all complex-valued continuous functions vanishing at 0. Moreover, if we denote by Ψ the triple isomorphism from E_x onto $C_0(\Omega)$, then $\Psi(x)(t) = t$ ($t \in \Omega$) (cf. 4.8 in [30], 1.15 in [31] and [23]).

The following result is a first generalization of Urysohn lemma to the setting of JB*-triples.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let E be a weak*-dense JB*-subtriple of a JBW*-triple W . Let u, v be two orthogonal tripotents in W with u compact relative to E and v minimal. Then there exist two orthogonal elements a_1 and a_2 in E such that $\|a_2\| = 1, \|a_1\| \in \{0, 1\}, u \leq a_1$ and $v \leq a_2$.*

Proof. When $u = 0$, we take $a_1 = 0$ and the existence of a_2 follows from the last statement in Corollary 1.3 (see also [16]). We may therefore assume $u \neq 0$.

Since v is a minimal tripotent in W , from Proposition 4 of [23] it follows that there exists $\varphi \in \partial_e((W_*)_1)$ satisfying $\varphi(v) = 1$.

Corollary 1.3 implies v compact relative to E . Now, Proposition 2.3 of [22] assures that v and u are closed tripotents relative to E , that is, $W_0(u) \cap E$ and $W_0(v) \cap E$ are subtriples of W which are weak*-dense in $W_0(u)$ and $W_0(v)$, respectively. From the orthogonality of u and v we have $u \in W_0(v)$ and $v \in W_0(u)$.

Let us denote $F = W_0(u) \cap E$. Since Theorem 2.8 of [16] remains true when E^{**} is replaced with any JBW*-triple W such that E is weak*-dense in W , then applying this result to F and $W_0(u)$, it follows that for every $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, there exist $y \in F$ and a tripotent $e \in W_0(u)$ such that $e \leq v, P_i(e)(v - y) = 0$, for $i = 1, 2, \|y\| \leq (1 + \delta)\|(P_2(e) + P_1(e))(v)\|$ and $|\varphi(v - e)| < \varepsilon$. Since ε can be chosen arbitrary small and v is a minimal tripotent in $W_0(u)$, we have $e = v$. The same

arguments given in Lemma 3.1 of [16] assure the existence of a norm-one element $b_2 \in F$ such that $v \leq b_2$.

Let F_{b_2} denote the JB^* -subtriple of F generated by b_2 . As we have commented above, there exists a locally compact Hausdorff space $L \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $L \cup \{0\}$ compact such that F_{b_2} is isometrically isomorphic to $C_0(L)$ under some surjective isometry denoted by ψ and $\psi(b_2)(t) = t$, for any $t \in L$. Let a_2 and $\tilde{a}_2 \in F_{b_2}$ the norm-one elements given by the expressions

$$\psi(a_2)(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 3/4, \\ \text{affine} & \text{if } 3/4 \leq t \leq 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } t = 1; \end{cases} \quad \psi(\tilde{a}_2)(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ \text{affine} & \text{if } 1/2 \leq t \leq 3/4, \\ 1 & \text{if } t \geq 3/4. \end{cases}$$

Clearly $v \leq u(b_2) \leq u(a_2) \leq a_2 \leq r(a_2) \leq \tilde{a}_2$.

Now, Theorem 2.6 in [22] assures the existence of a norm-one element x in E such that $u \leq x$. We define

$$c_1 = P_0(\tilde{a}_2)(x) := x - 2L(z, z)x + Q(z)^2(x) \in E,$$

where z is the element in $F_{\tilde{a}_2} = E_{\tilde{a}_2}$ satisfying $\{z, r(\tilde{a}_2), z\} = \tilde{a}_2$ (compare Section 2 of [22]). From Lemma 2.5 of [22], we have $c_1 \in E \cap W_0(r(a_2))$, which, in particular, implies that c_1 and a_2 are orthogonal. We claim that

$$L(u, u) c_1 = u.$$

Indeed, since $x \geq u$, then $x = u + P_0(u)(x)$. Moreover, since $z \in F_{\tilde{a}_2} = E_{\tilde{a}_2} \subseteq W_0(u)$, it follows, from Peirce rules, that

$$\begin{aligned} L(u, u)c_1 &= \{u, u, x - 2L(z, z)x + Q(z)^2(x)\} \\ &= \{u, u, u + P_0(u)(x) - 2L(z, z)(u + P_0(u)(x)) + Q(z)^2(u + P_0(u)(x))\} \\ &= \{u, u, u\} + \{u, u, P_0(u)(x) - 2L(z, z)(P_0(u)(x)) + Q(z)^2(P_0(u)(x))\} = u. \end{aligned}$$

Again, the same arguments given in Lemma 3.1 of [16] imply the existence of a norm-one element $a_1 \in E_{c_1}$ such that $u \leq a_1$. ■

In the case of von Neumann algebras the above theorem generalizes Theorem II.19 in [1] from the setting of biduals of C^* -algebras to the more general setting of von Neumann algebras.

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let A be a weak*-dense C^* -subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra W . Let p, q be two orthogonal projections in W with p compact relative to A and q minimal. Then there exist two orthogonal positive elements a_1 and a_2 in A such that $\|a_2\| = 1$, $\|a_1\| \in \{0, 1\}$, $p \leq a_1$ and $q \leq a_2$.*

In some particular triple representations the results stated in Proposition 1.1 and Remark 1.2 can be improved. This is the case of the canonical representation of a JB^* -triple into the atomic part of its bidual. We recall that, given a JB^* -triple E , then E^{**} decomposes into an orthogonal direct sum of two weak*-closed triple ideals A and N , where A (called *the atomic part of E^{**}*) coincides with the weak*-closure of the linear span of all minimal tripotents in E^{**} , $E^* = A_* \oplus^{\ell_1} N_*$ and the

closed unit ball of N_* has no extreme points, which implies that $\partial_e(E_1^*) = \partial_e(A_{*,1})$ (compare Theorems 1 and 2 of [23]). If π denotes the natural weak*-continuous projection of E^{**} onto A and $j : E \rightarrow E^{**}$ is the canonical inclusion, then the mapping $\pi \circ j : E \rightarrow A$ is an isometric triple embedding called the canonical embedding of E into the atomic part of its bidual (see proof of Proposition 1 in [24]).

We recall some notation needed in what follows. Let X be a Banach space. For each pair of subsets G, F in the unit ball of X and X^* , respectively, let the subsets G' and F , be defined by

$$G' = \{f \in B_{X^*} : f(x) = 1, \forall x \in G\} \quad \text{and} \quad F = \{x \in B_X : f(x) = 1, \forall f \in F\},$$

respectively.

PROPOSITION 1.6. *Let E be a JB^* -triple, let π denote the canonical projection of E^{**} onto its atomic part and let $i : E \rightarrow E^{**}$ be the canonical embedding of E into its bidual. The following assertions hold:*

(i) *Let u and v be two compact tripotents in E^{**} relative to E . Then $u \leq v$ if and only if $\pi(u) \leq \pi(v)$.*

(ii) *For each compact tripotent u in $\pi(E^{**})$ relative to $\pi(E)$ there exists a unique compact tripotent e in E^{**} relative to E such that $\pi(e) = u$.*

Proof. (i) Let us denote $A := \pi(E^{**})$. If $u \leq v$ in E^{**} , then $\pi(u) \leq \pi(v)$, since π is a triple homomorphism. Suppose now that $\pi(u) \leq \pi(v)$. From Theorem 4.4 of [18], we have

$$(1.1) \quad \{\pi(u)\}_{A_*} \subseteq \{\pi(v)\}_{A_*}$$

By Theorem 4.5 of [20] together with the comments preceding Corollary 3.5 in [16], every non-zero compact tripotent in E^{**} relative to E majorises a minimal tripotent of E^{**} . In particular, if e is a compact tripotent in E^{**} with $\pi(e) = 0$, then $e = 0$. We may therefore assume that $\pi(u)$ and hence $\pi(v)$ are not zero.

From Theorem 4.2 of [20], it follows that the sets $\{u\}_{E^*}$ and $\{v\}_{E^*}$ are non-empty $\sigma(E^*, E)$ -compact and convex subsets of E_1^* . By the Krein-Milman theorem we have

$$(1.2) \quad \{u\}_{E^*} = \overline{\text{co}}^{\sigma(E^*, E)}(\partial_e(\{u\}_{E^*})),$$

$$(1.3) \quad \{v\}_{E^*} = \overline{\text{co}}^{\sigma(E^*, E)}(\partial_e(\{v\}_{E^*})).$$

Since $\partial_e(E_1^*) = \partial_e(A_{*,1})$, we have

$$\{\pi(u)\}_{A_*} \cap \partial_e(A_{*,1}) = \{\pi(u)\}_{E^*} \cap \partial_e(E_1^*) = \{u\}_{E^*} \cap \partial_e(E_1^*) = \partial_e(\{u\}_{E^*}).$$

Similarly,

$$\{\pi(v)\}_{A_*} \cap \partial_e(A_{*,1}) = \partial_e(\{v\}_{E^*}).$$

Finally, we deduce, from (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and the last two expressions, that

$$\{u\}_{E^*} \subseteq \{v\}_{E^*}$$

which shows that $u \leq v$ (compare Theorem 4.4 of [18]).

(ii) Let u be a non-zero compact tripotent in $A = \pi(E^{**})$ relative to $\pi(E)$. Then there exists a decreasing net (u_λ) of compact- G_δ tripotents in A relative to $\pi(E)$ converging in the strong*-topology of A to u . By Remark 1.2, for each λ , there is a norm-one element $x_\lambda \in E$ such that

$$u_\lambda = u(\pi(x_\lambda)) = \pi(u(x_\lambda)).$$

Since $\pi(u(x_\lambda))$ is a decreasing net of compact- G_δ tripotents, then (i) implies that $(u(x_\lambda))$ is a decreasing net in E^{**} . By Theorem 4.5 of [20] there exists a non-zero compact tripotent $e \in E^{**}$ relative to E such that e coincides with the infimum of the family $(u(x_\lambda))$. Since π is weak*-continuous and $(u(x_\lambda))$ tends to e in the weak*-topology of E^{**} , we have that $\pi((u(x_\lambda))) \rightarrow \pi(e)$ in the $\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)$ -topology, and hence $\pi(e) = u$. Finally, the uniqueness of e follows from (i). ■

The above result is a partial generalization of Theorem II.17 in [1]. In the more particular setting of JB^* -algebras we have:

COROLLARY 1.7. *Let A be a JB^* -algebra, let π denote the canonical projection of A^{**} onto its atomic part and let $j : A \rightarrow A^{**}$ be the canonical embedding of A into its bidual. The following assertions hold:*

(i) *Let p and q be two compact projections in A^{**} relative to A . Then $p \leq q$ if and only if $\pi(p) \leq \pi(q)$.*

(ii) *For each compact projection p in $\pi(A^{**})$ relative to $\pi(A)$ there exists a unique compact projection q in A^{**} relative to A such that $\pi(q) = p$.*

Given a JB^* -algebra A , the cone of all positive elements in A will be denoted by A_+ , while A_+^* will denote the set of positive elements in A^* . Let W be a JBW^* -algebra. The symbol $Q_*(W)$ will denote the set of all positive elements in W_* with norm less or equal to one. $Q_*(W)$ will be called the *normal quasi-state space* of W . The *normal state space*, $S_*(W)$, is the set of all elements in $Q_*(W)$ with norm equal to one. Given a projection p in W we shall denote $F(p) = F_W(p) := \{\varphi \in Q_*(W) : \varphi(p) = \|\varphi\|\}$. If A is a JB^* -algebra, then the set $Q(A)$ (respectively, $S(A)$) of quasi-states (respectively, states) of A is defined as $Q_*(A^{**})$ (respectively, $S_*(A^{**})$).

The following result was proved by M. Neal in Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 5.2 of [32].

PROPOSITION 1.8. *Let A be a JB^* -algebra and let p be a projection in A^{**} . Then we have:*

(i) *p is open relative to A if and only if there exists an increasing net (a_λ) in $A_{1,+}$ with least upper bound p .*

(ii) *p is closed relative to A if and only if $F(p)$ is $\sigma(A^*, A)$ -closed in $Q(A)$.*

The next result gives a characterization of compact projections in JB*-algebra biduals. A similar result was obtained by C.A. Akemann, J. Anderson and G.K. Pedersen in the setting of C*-algebra biduals (see Lemma 2.4 of [4]).

Given a JB*-algebra A , $\tilde{A} = A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ will stand for the result of adjoining a unit to A (compare Section 3.3 of [26]). \tilde{A} is also called the *unitization* of A .

PROPOSITION 1.9. *Let A be a JB*-algebra and let p be a projection in A^{**} . Then p is compact relative to A if and only if $F(p) \cap S(A)$ is $\sigma(A^*, A)$ -closed in $Q(A)$.*

Proof. The proof given in Lemma 2.4 of [4] can be literally adapted to the present setting. We include here a sketch of the proof for completeness reasons. Suppose first that p is a non-zero compact projection in A^{**} . From Theorem 4.2 of [20] we have $F(p) \cap S(A) = \{p\}$, is $\sigma(A^*, A)$ -closed in $Q(A)$.

Let \tilde{A} be the unitization of A . Each element $\phi \in Q(\tilde{A})$ can be written in the form $\phi = \psi + \alpha\phi_0$, with $\psi \in Q(A)$, $\|\phi\| = \|\psi\| + |\alpha|$, where ϕ_0 is the unique state of \tilde{A} satisfying $\phi_0(A) = 0$ (compare Lemma 3.6.6 of [26]). Since $p \in A^{**}$ and hence $\phi_0(p) = 0$, we easily check that

$$F_{A^*}(p) \cap S(A) = F_{\tilde{A}^*}(p) \cap S(\tilde{A}).$$

Therefore, $F_{A^*}(p) \cap S(A)$ is $\sigma(A^*, A)$ -closed in $Q(A)$ if and only if $F_{\tilde{A}^*}(p) \cap S(\tilde{A})$ is $\sigma(\tilde{A}^*, \tilde{A})$ -closed in $Q(\tilde{A})$. By Proposition 1.8, it follows that p is closed in $(\tilde{A})^{**}$ and in A^{**} . Since clearly $p \leq 1_{\tilde{A}}$, we deduce from Theorem 2.6 of [22] that p is compact in $(\tilde{A})^{**}$ relative to \tilde{A} . Let p_0 be the minimal projection in $(\tilde{A})^{**}$ satisfying $\phi_0(p_0) = 1$. Theorem 1.4 implies the existence of a norm-one element $x \in \tilde{A}$ such that p_0 and x are orthogonal and $L(p, p)x = x \circ p = p$. In particular $x \in A$, which gives p compact in A^{**} relative to A (compare Theorem 2.6 of [22]). ■

Let B be a JB*-subtriple of a JB*-triple E . Throughout the paper, we shall identify the weak*-closure of B in E^{**} with B^{**} . Let x be a norm-one element and let $E(x)$ denote the norm closure of $\{x, E, x\}$ in E . It was proved by L.J. Bunce, Ch.-H. Chu and B. Zalar in [14], [15], that $E(x)$ coincides with the norm-closed inner ideal of E generated by x , $E(x)$ is a JB*-subalgebra of the JBW*-algebra $E(x)^{**} = E_2^{**}(r(x))$, where $r(x)$ is the range tripotent of x in E^{**} . Moreover, $x \in E(x)_+$.

We can now state the following version of Urysohn lemma which is a partial generalization of the result obtained by C.A. Akemann, J. Anderson and G.K. Pedersen in Lemma 2.5 of [4] (see also Lemma III.1 of [3], Corollary 2.48 of [11], Lemma 2.7 of [5]).

THEOREM 1.10. *Let E be a JB*-triple, x a norm-one element in E and u a compact tripotent in E^{**} relative to E satisfying that $u \leq r(x)$. Then there exists a norm-one element y in $E(x)$ such that $u \leq y \leq r(x)$. Moreover, u is a compact tripotent in $E_2^{**}(r(x)) = (E(x))^{**}$ relative to $E(x)$.*

Proof. We may assume that $0 \neq u \leq r(x)$. From Theorem 4.2 of [20], there exists a set of norm-one elements $\{a_\lambda\} \subset E$ satisfying that

$$(1.4) \quad \{u\}_{E^*} = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \{u(a_\lambda)\}_{E^*} = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \{a_\lambda\}'.$$

Since $u \leq r(x)$, then u is a projection in $E(x)^{**} = E_2^{**}(r(x))$.

Since $E(x)$ is a norm-closed inner ideal of E , it follows from Theorem 2.6 of [19] that every element $\phi \in E(x)^*$ has a unique norm-preserving linear extension to E . The restriction mapping $\Psi : E_1^* \rightarrow E(x)_1^*$, $\phi \mapsto \phi|_{E(x)}$, is $\sigma(E^*, E) - \sigma(E(x)^*, E(x))$ -continuous. Let $\phi \in \{u\}_{E^*}$. Since u is a projection in $E_2^{**}(r(x))$ and $\phi(u) = 1 = \|\phi|_{E_2^{**}(r(x))}\|$, we deduce that $\phi|_{E_2^{**}(r(x))}$ belongs to $S_*(E_2^{**}(r(x))) = S(E(x))$, and hence $\|\phi|_{E(x)}\| = 1$. Again, the unique extension property (see Theorem 2.6 of [19]) assures that

$$F_{E(x)^*}(u) \cap S(E(x)) = \{u\}_{E(x)^*} = \Psi(\{u\}_{E^*}).$$

If we show that $F_{E(x)^*}(u) \cap S(E(x))$ is $\sigma(E(x)^*, E(x))$ -closed in $Q(E(x))$, the thesis of the theorem will follow from Proposition 1.9 and Theorem 2.6 of [22]. To see this, let (ϕ_μ) be a net in $F_{E(x)^*}(u) \cap S(E(x))$ converging to some ϕ in $F_{E(x)^*}(u) \cap S(E(x))$ in the $\sigma(E(x)^*, E(x))$ -topology. Since Ψ is surjective, there exist a net (ϕ_μ) in $\{u\}_{E^*}$ and $\phi \in E_1^*$ such that $\Psi(\phi_\mu) = \phi_\mu$ and $\Psi(\phi) = \phi$. Since E_1^* is $\sigma(E^*, E)$ -compact, there exists a subnet (ϕ_δ) converging to some ϕ' in the $\sigma(E^*, E)$ -topology. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we have $\phi_\delta(a_\lambda) \rightarrow \phi'(a_\lambda)$. In particular, since $(\phi_\delta) \subset \{u\}_{E^*}$, we have, by (1.4), $\phi_\delta(a_\lambda) = 1$ for all δ, λ , which implies $\phi' \in \{u\}_{E^*}$. Finally, $\Psi(\phi_\delta) = \phi_\delta$ tends to $\Psi(\phi')$ in the $\sigma(E(x)^*, E(x))$ -topology, thus

$$\phi = \Psi(\phi) = \Psi(\phi') \in \Psi(\{u\}_{E^*}) = F_{E(x)^*}(u) \cap S(E(x)),$$

which finishes the proof. ■

Theorem 1.10 allows us to get the following generalization of Theorem II.17 of [1] and [3].

PROPOSITION 1.11. *Let E be a JB^* -triple, let π denote the canonical projection of E^{**} onto its atomic part and let $j : E \rightarrow E^{**}$ be the canonical embedding of E into its bidual. Then, for each range tripotent e in $\pi(E^{**})$ relative to $\pi(E)$ there exists a unique range tripotent r in E^{**} relative to E such that $\pi(r) = e$.*

Proof. Remark 1.2 assures the existence of such a tripotent, so the proof ends by proving the uniqueness. Suppose that there exist norm-one elements $x, y \in E$ such that $\pi(r(x)) = \pi(r(y)) = e$. By [31], there exists a locally compact Hausdorff space $L \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $L \cup \{0\}$ compact such that E_x is isometrically isomorphic to $C_0(L)$. Let us define $u_n = \chi_{L \cap [1/n, 1]}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, u_n is a compact tripotent in E^{**} relative to E and u_n is an increasing sequence converging to $r(x)$ in the weak*-topology of E^{**} . $\pi(u_n) \leq \pi(r(x)) = e = \pi(r(y))$ and by Proposition 1.6

and Theorem 1.10 there is a sequence of norm-one positive elements $(z_n) \subset E(y)$ satisfying that $\pi(u_n) \leq u(\pi(z_n)) \leq \pi(z_n) \leq \pi(r(y))$. Again, Proposition 1.6 gives $u_n \leq u(z_n) \leq r(y)$. Finally, since $E_2^{**}(r(y))$ is weak*-closed and (u_n) tends to $r(x)$ in the weak*-topology we have $r(x) \leq r(y)$. Symmetrically, we get $r(y) \leq r(x)$. ■

In the setting of C^* -algebras, C.A. Akemann, J. Anderson and G.K. Pedersen proved, in Proposition 2.6 of [4], the following stronger version of the Urysohn lemma. Let A be a C^* -algebra and let p and q be two closed orthogonal projections in A^{**} with p compact and $\|ap\| < \varepsilon$ for some a in A . Then there are orthogonal open projections $r, s \in A^{**}$ such that $p \leq r, q \leq s$ and $\|ar\| < \varepsilon$. We do not know if we can obtain a similar result in the setting of JB^* -triples.

PROBLEM 1.12. Let E be a JB^* -triple and let e, f be two non-zero orthogonal compact tripotents in E^{**} relative to E . Do there exist orthogonal norm-one elements x, y in E such that $e \leq x$ and $f \leq y$?

PROBLEM 1.13. Can one replace in Theorem 1.10 the range tripotent, $r(x)$, with any open tripotent in E^{**} relative to E ?

2. CONNECTIONS WITH THE STONE-WEIERSTRASS THEOREM FOR C^* -ALGEBRAS AND JB^* -TRIPLES

As we have commented in the introduction, the generalizations of Urysohn lemma to the setting of non-commutative C^* -algebras are closely related with the general Stone-Weierstrass problem for non-commutative C^* -algebras. This tool has been intensively developed and applied to the Stone-Weierstrass problem in papers like [1], [2], [3], [4], [5] and [11].

The Stone-Weierstrass problem for C^* -algebras can be concretely stated as follows:

Let B be a C^* -subalgebra of a C^* -algebra A . Suppose that B separates the pure states of A and zero. Is B equal to A ?

I. Kaplansky gave a positive answer to the above problem for the special class of type I C^* -algebras in [29]. For general C^* -algebras, many authors gave partial answer to the Stone-Weierstrass problem by including various additional conditions (see for example [29], [28], [25], [1], [2], [37], [21], [12], [6] and [10] among others).

We are particularly interested in the following Stone-Weierstrass type Theorem proved by C.A. Akemann in Theorem II.7 of [2].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let B be a C^* -subalgebra of a unital C^* -algebra A such that B separates the pure states of A and zero. Suppose that for every pair of orthogonal projections p, q in A^{**} with q minimal and p compact relative to A , there exist orthogonal (positive) elements x, y in B such that $\|y\| = 1, \|x\| \in \{0, 1\}, p \leq x$ and $q \leq y$. Then $B = A$.*

In the statement of Theorem II.7 in [2] it is not explicitly included in the hypothesis that B separates the pure states of A and zero. However, the proof uses the results in Section 3 of [1], where this condition is assumed (see page 285 of [1] and page 305 of [2]).

In the setting of JB-algebras and JB*-triples an intensive study of the Stone-Weierstrass problem was developed by B. Sheppard [38], [39]. Among other results, B. Sheppard generalizes the result obtained by Kaplansky for postliminal JB*-algebras and JB*-triples in the following result.

THEOREM 2.2 ([39], Theorem 5.7). *Let B be a JB*-subtriple of a JB*-triple E such that B separates the extreme points of the closed unit ball of E^* . Then, if E or B is postliminal, $E = B$.*

The aim of this section is an analysis of the connections between the Stone-Weierstrass theorem and the Urysohn lemma type results for JB*-triples, analogous to that made by C.A. Akemann in the setting of C^* -algebras.

The following definition is inspired by Urysohn lemma for JB*-triples proved in Theorem 1.4. We introduce this property just to simplify the notation in this paper.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let B be a JB*-subtriple of a JB*-triple E . We say that B satisfies the *SW-property* with respect to E if and only if for every couple of orthogonal tripotents u, v in E^{**} with v minimal and u compact relative to E , there exist orthogonal elements $x, y \in B$ such that $\|y\| = 1, \|x\| \in \{0, 1\}, u \leq x$ and $v \leq y$. When $u = 0$, we mean $x = 0$ in $u \leq x$.

Theorem 1.4 shows that every JB*-triple has the SW-property with respect to itself.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let A be a JBW*-algebra and let p, q be minimal projections in A . Suppose that $q = q_2 + q_1 + q_0$ is the Peirce decomposition of q with respect to p and φ_q in $\partial_e(A_{*,1})$ such that $\varphi_q(q) = 1$. Then, either $p = q$ or $\varphi_q(q_0) \neq 0$.*

Proof. By 2.4.16 and 2.4.21 of [26] we have

$$P_2(p) = U_p^2 \circ * = U_{p^2} \circ *, \quad P_0(p) = U_{1-p} \circ *,$$

where $U_p(x) := \{p, x^*, p\}$ and $*$ denotes the canonical involution of A . Suppose that $\varphi_q(q_0) = 0$. We claim that $q = p$. Indeed, by Proposition 1 of [23] and the hypothesis we have

$$0 = \varphi_q(q_0) = \varphi_q(U_{1-p}(q)) = \varphi_q(U_q U_{1-p}(q)).$$

Since q is minimal and φ_q is faithful in $A_2(q) = \mathbb{C}q$, we have

$$U_q U_{1-p}(q) = 0.$$

Now by 2.4.18 of [26] it follows that

$$U_q U_{1-p}(q) = U_q U_{1-p} U_q(q) = U_{\{q, 1-p, q\}}(q) = 0.$$

However, since $1 - p \geq 0$, by 3.3.6 of [26], we have $\{q, 1 - p, q\}$ is a positive element in $A_2(q)$. Moreover, since q is the unit element in $A_2(q)$ and $U_{\{q, 1-p, q\}}(q) = 0$, it follows that $\{q, 1 - p, q\} = q - P_2(q)p = 0$. Finally, the equality $p = q$ can be derived from the minimality of p , since $q - P_2(q)p = 0$ and Lemma 1.6 of [23] imply that $p = q + P_0(q)p$. ■

Let E be a JB*-triple. Throughout the paper $\text{MinTri}(E)$ will stand for the set of all minimal tripotents in E .

THEOREM 2.5. *Let B be a JB*-subtriple of a JB*-triple E . Suppose that for every $u \neq v$ in $\text{MinTri}(E) \cup \{0\}$, with u and v orthogonal, there exist orthogonal elements $x, y \in B$ such that $\|y\|, \|x\| \in \{0, 1\}$ and $u \leq x$ and $v \leq y$ (if $u = 0$ or $v = 0$, we mean $x = 0$ or $y = 0$, respectively). Then B separates $\partial_e(E_1^*) \cup \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2$ in $\partial_e(E_1^*) \cup \{0\}$. If $\varphi_1 = 0$, then there is a minimal tripotent u_2 in E^{**} such that $\varphi_2(u_2) = 1$ (compare Proposition 4 of [23]). Now, the hypothesis on B applied to 0 and u_2 , assure the existence of orthogonal elements $x, y \in B$ such that $\|y\|, \|x\| \in \{0, 1\}$ and $0 \leq x$ and $u_2 \leq y$. In particular $0 = \varphi_1(y) \neq \varphi_2(y) = 1$. We may therefore assume $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \neq 0$.

Take $u_1 \neq u_2$ minimal tripotents in E^{**} , such that $\varphi_i(u_i) = 1$, for $i = 1, 2$. As we have commented in the previous paragraph, the hypothesis implies the existence of a norm-one element $a \in B$, such that $u_1 \leq a$ and hence $\varphi_1(a) = 1$. If $\varphi_2(a) \neq 1$, then B separates φ_1, φ_2 and we finish. We may therefore assume that $\varphi_2(a) = 1$. In this case, by Propositions 1, 2 and Lemma 1.6 of [23] $u_2 \leq a$. Therefore, $u_1, u_2 \leq a \leq r(a)$, which implies that u_1 and u_2 are minimal projections in the JBW*-algebra $E_2^{**}(r(a))$. From Lemma 2.4 and the hypothesis, we have $\varphi_2(P_0(u_1)(u_2)) \neq 0$. Moreover, from page 258 of [8], it follows that $0 < |(P_0(u_1)(u_2))| \leq \|\varphi_2(P_0(u_1)(u_2))\|_{\varphi_2}$.

Let A denote the atomic part of E^{**} . Clearly, $P_0(u_1)(A) \subset A$ and hence $P_0(u_1)(A)$ coincides with the weak*-closure of the linear span of $\text{MinTri}(E^{**}) \cap E_0^{**}(u_1)$ (compare [23]). Since

$$0 < |\varphi_2(P_0(u_1)(u_2))|$$

we have $\varphi_2|_{P_0(u_1)(A)} \neq 0$, and hence there exists a minimal tripotent $w \in \text{MinTri}(E^{**}) \cap E_0^{**}(u_1)$, such that $0 < \varphi_2(w) \leq \|w\|_{\varphi_2}$.

Finally, by hypothesis, there are two orthogonal norm-one elements x, y in B such that $u_1 \leq x$ and $w \leq y$. In particular $0 < \|w\|_{\varphi_2} \leq \|y\|_{\varphi_2}$ and $\varphi_1(x) = 1$. Therefore,

$$|\varphi_2(x)|^2 \leq \|x\|_{\varphi_2}^2 < \|x\|_{\varphi_2}^2 + \|y\|_{\varphi_2}^2 = \|x + y\|_{\varphi_2}^2 \leq \|x + y\|^2 = 1,$$

which proves the desired statements. ■

Since every minimal tripotent in the bidual of a JB*-triple is compact (see Theorem 3.4 of [16]) we have:

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let B be a JB^* -subtriple of a JB^* -triple E . Suppose that B has the SW-property with respect to E . Then B separates $\partial_e(E_1^*) \cup \{0\}$.*

The significant results obtained by B. Sheppard on the Stone-Weierstrass theorem for JB^* -triples in [39] allow us to get the following result connecting the SW-property and the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem for postliminal JB^* -triples.

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let B a JB^* -subtriple of a JB^* -triple E . Suppose that B has the SW-property with respect to E , and E or B is postliminal. Then $B = E$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorems 2.5 and 2.2 (see Theorem 5.7 of [39]). ■

REMARK 2.8. Let A be a C^* -algebra regarded as a JB^* -triple and let p be a projection in A^{**} . Let \circ denote the Jordan product on A . Suppose that x is a norm-one element in A such that $L(p, p)x = p$ (that is, $p \leq x$ in A^{**} regarded the latter as a JB^* -triple), and hence $x = p + P_0(p)(x)$. In this case $L(p, p)(x \circ x^*) = p$. This shows that $p \leq x \circ x^*$.

Now, the proof given in Theorem 2.5 can be literally adapted, via Remark 2.8, to show that the assumption of B separating the pure states of A and zero can be dropped in Theorem 2.1 (see also Theorem II.7 of [2]).

COROLLARY 2.9. *Let B be a C^* -subalgebra of a C^* -algebra A . Suppose that for every pair of orthogonal projections p, q in A^{**} with q minimal and p compact relative to A , there exists orthogonal (positive) elements x, y in B such that $\|y\| = 1$, $\|x\| \in \{0, 1\}$, $p \leq x$ and $q \leq y$. Then $B = A$.*

Proof. The proof of Theorem 2.5 can be literally followed up to its last part. To finish, in this case, we note that the element w can be chosen as a minimal projection, for example ww^* or w^*w . ■

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank L.J. Bunce for fruitful comments and discussions during the preparation of this paper. This research was partially supported by M.E.C., D.G.I. project no. MTM2005-02541, and Junta de Andalucía grants FQM 0199, 1215.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.A. AKEMANN, The general Stone-Weierstrass problem, *J. Funct. Anal.* **4**(1969), 277–294.
- [2] C.A. AKEMANN, Left ideal structure of C^* -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **6**(1970), 305–317.
- [3] C.A. AKEMANN, A Gelfand representation theory for C^* -algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **39**(1971), 1–11.
- [4] C.A. AKEMANN, J. ANDERSON, G.K. PEDERSEN, Approaching infinity in C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **21**(1989), 255–271.

- [5] C.A. AKEMANN, G.K. PEDERSEN, Facial structure in operator algebra theory, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **64**(1992), 418–448.
- [6] J. ANDERSON, J.W. BUNCE, Stone-Weierstrass theorems for separable C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **6**(1981), 363–374.
- [7] T.J. BARTON, T. DANG, G. HORN, Normal representations of Banach Jordan triple systems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **102**(1988), 551–555.
- [8] T.J. BARTON, Y. FRIEDMAN, Bounded derivations of JB^* -triples, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **41**(1990), no. 163, 255–268.
- [9] T.J. BARTON, R.M. TIMONEY, Weak*-continuity of Jordan triple products and its applications, *Math. Scand.* **59**(1986), 177–191.
- [10] C.J.K. BATTY, Semiperfect C^* -algebras and the Stone-Weierstrass problem, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **34**(1986), 97–110.
- [11] L.G. BROWN, Semicontinuity and multipliers of C^* -algebras, *Canad. J. Math.* **40**(1988), 865–988.
- [12] J.W. BUNCE, Approximating maps and a Stone-Weierstrass theorem for C^* -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79**(1980), 559–563.
- [13] L.J. BUNCE, Norm preserving extensions in JBW^* -triple preduals, *Quart. J. Math.* **52**(2001), 133–136.
- [14] L.J. BUNCE, C.H. CHU, B. ZALAR, Classification of sequentially weakly continuous JB^* -triples, *Math. Z.* **234**(2000), 191–208.
- [15] L.J. BUNCE, C.H. CHU, B. ZALAR, Structure spaces and decomposition in JB^* -triples, *Math. Scand.* **86**(2000), 17–35.
- [16] L.J. BUNCE, F.J. FERNÁNDEZ-POLO, J. MARTÍNEZ-MORENO, A.M. PERALTA, A Saitô-Tomita-Lusin Theorem for JB^* -triple and applications, *Quart. J. Math.* **57**(2006), 37–48.
- [17] S. DINEEN, The second dual of a JB^* -triple system, in *Complex Analysis, Functional Analysis and Approximation Theory*, North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 125, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York 1986, pp. 67–69.
- [18] C.M. EDWARDS, G.T. RÜTTIMANN, On the facial structure of the unit balls in a JBW^* -triple and its predual, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **38**(1988), 317–332.
- [19] C.M. EDWARDS, G.T. RÜTTIMANN, A characterization of inner ideals in JB^* -triples, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **116**(1992), 1049–1057.
- [20] C.M. EDWARDS, G.T. RÜTTIMANN, Compact tripotents in bi-dual JB^* -triples, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **120**(1996), 155–173.
- [21] G.A. ELLIOTT, Another weak Stone-Weierstrass theorem for C^* -algebras, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **15**(1972), 355–357.
- [22] F.J. FERNÁNDEZ-POLO, A.M. PERALTA, Closed tripotents and weak compactness in the dual space of a JB^* -triple, *J. London Math. Soc.* **74**(2006), 75–92.
- [23] Y. FRIEDMAN, B. RUSSO, Structure of the predual of a JBW^* -triple, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **356**(1985), 67–89.
- [24] Y. FRIEDMAN, B. RUSSO, The Gelfand-Naimark theorem for JB^* -triples, *Duke Math. J.* **53**(1986), 139–148.

- [25] J. GLIMM, A Stone-Weierstrass theorem for C^* -algebras, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **72**(1960), 216–244.
- [26] H. HANCHE-OLSEN, E. STØRMER, *Jordan Operator Algebras*, Monographs Stud. Math., vol. 21, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA 1984.
- [27] G. HORN, Characterization of the predual and ideals structure of a JBW^* -triple, *Math. Scand.* **61**(1987), 117–133.
- [28] R.V. KADISON, Irreducible operator algebras, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **43**(1957), 273–276.
- [29] I. KAPLANSKY, The structure of certain operator algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **70**(1951), 219–255.
- [30] W. KAUP, Algebraic characterization of symmetric complex Banach manifolds, *Math. Ann.* **228**(1977), 39–64.
- [31] W. KAUP, A Riemann Mapping Theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces, *Math. Z.* **183**(1983), 503–529.
- [32] M. NEAL, Inner ideals and facial structure of the quasi-state space of a JB-algebra, *J. Funct. Anal.* **173**(2000), 284–307.
- [33] G.K. PEDERSEN, *C^* -Algebras and their Automorphism Groups*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, vol. 14, Academic Press, London-New York 1979.
- [34] A.M. PERALTA, A. RODRÍGUEZ PALACIOS, Grothendieck’s inequalities for real and complex JBW^* -triples, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **83**(2001), 605–625.
- [35] S. POPA, Semiregular maximal abelian $*$ -subalgebras and the solution to the factor state Stone-Weierstrass problem, *Invent. Math.* **76**(1984), 157–161.
- [36] A. RODRÍGUEZ, On the strong $*$ -topology of a JBW^* -triple, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)* **42**(1989), 99–103.
- [37] S. SAKAI, On the Stone-Weierstrass theorem of C^* -algebras, *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)* **22**(1970), 191–199.
- [38] B. SHEPPARD, A Stone-Weierstrass theorem for postliminal JB-algebras, *Quart. J. Math.* **52**(2001), 507–518.
- [39] B. SHEPPARD, A Stone-Weierstrass theorem for JB^* -triples, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **65**(2002), 381–396.
- [40] A. STEPTOE, Extreme functionals and inner ideals in JB^* -triples, preprint 2005.

FRANCISCO J. FERNÁNDEZ-POLO, DEPART. DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, GRANADA, 18071, SPAIN.

E-mail address: pacopolo@ugr.es

ANTONIO M. PERALTA, DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, GRANADA, 18071, SPAIN.

E-mail address: aperalta@ugr.es

Received June 28, 2005.