ON FLUCTUATIONS OF TRACES OF LARGE MATRICES OVER A NON-COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA

YONG JIAO and MIHAI POPA

Communicated by Şerban Strătilă

ABSTRACT. The paper investigates the asymptotic behavior of (non-normalized) traces of certain classes of matrices with non-commutative random variables as entries. We show that, unlike in the commutative framework, the asymptotic behavior of matrices with free circular, respectively with Bernoulli distributed Boolean independent entries is described in terms of free, respectively Boolean cumulants. We also present an example of relation of monotone independence arising from the study of Boolean independence.

KEYWORDS: Non-commutative random variables, random matrices, fluctuation moments, free independence, Boolean independence, monotone independence.

MSC (2010): 46L53, 46L54, 15B52.

1. INTRODUCTION

The fluctuations of traces of various classes of random matrices have been studied in the last two decades in both physics (see, for example [1], [4], [5]) and mathematics (see [7], [8] [9]) literature. Extensive works (such as [18], [19]) indicate that free independence is best suited to describe the interaction of important classes of independent ensembles of random matrices with respect to normalized traces. It was shown that free independence and the corresponding Central Limit Theorem laws (centered semicircular distributions) behave in a very regular manner when tensoring with algebras of complex matrices ([17]). In order to address interactions of independent ensembles of random matrices with respect to unnormalized traces (fluctuation moments, higher order trace-moments), recent works, such as [7] and [8], introduced the notion of second order freeness or the more refined real second order freeness ([9]). The present paper comes as an addendum to these works, more in the spirit of [15]. More precisely, while [7], [8] and [9] study the behavior of important classes of random matrices with entries in a commutative algebra, we present some similar results for the case when the entries are not commuting.

The results presented here bring contributing evidence to the special nature of second order independence relations. We show that although ensembles of self-adjoint Gaussian random matrices can be well approximated at first order level by ensembles of matrices with free semicircular entries, the second order behavior of these two classes is different. Also, classical cumulants are well-suited to describe higher order independence relations of ensembles of random matrices with commuting, independent entries; the results from Section 3, respectively Section 4, seem to indicate that free, respectively Boolean cumulants are appropriate to describe higher order independence relations of ensembles of random matrices with free, respectively Boolean independent entries.

In what follows, the paper is organized in 3 sections. Section 2 contains some preliminary notions and results on permutations and partitions of an ordered set and non-commutative notions of independence.

Section 3 presents some results in the study of higher order behavior of ensembles of self-adjoint matrices with free circular entries. We first show (see Theorem 3.1) that the free cumulants of unnormalized traces of such ensembles have a very similar behavior to the results presented in [8] concerning classical cumulants of ensembles of random matrices with independent Gaussian entries. We also show that, in this framework, a substitute for second order freeness from [7] is Property (*) that we define in Section 3.2.

The shorter Section 4 presents some results concerning Boolean independence. This non-unital notion of non-commutative independence ([16]) is by far less studied than freeness, but it was shown to be of relevance in some problems from Theoretical Physics ([20]), Free Probability ([13]), completely positive maps ([14]) or Real Analysis ([2]). We show that the Boolean cumulants of traces of ensembles of self-adjoint matrices with Bernoulli distributed boolean independent entries and constant matrices have a similar behavior to the classical cumulants of traces of Gaussian ensembles, as presented in [8], respectively to the free cumulants of traces of semicircular ensembles, as presented in Section 3. In addition, Theorem 4.3 presents a new example of monotone independence relation, here arising from the relations between constant matrices and matrices with Bernoulli distributed Boolean independent entries.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Partitions on an ordered set. For a positive integer n, we will denote by [n] the ordered set $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. By a partition π on [n] we will understand a family $B_1,B_2,\ldots,B_{q(\pi)}$ of pairwise disjoint nonvoid subsets of [n], called *blocks* of

 π , such that $\bigcup_{l=1}^{q(\pi)} B_l = [n]$. If each block of π has exactly 2 elements, then π will be called a *pairing*. The set of all partitions, respectively pairings on [n] will be denoted by P(n), respectively by $P_2(n)$.

The set P(n) is a lattice under the partial order relation <, given by $\sigma < \pi$ if any block of σ is contained in some block of π . The maximal element of the lattice is 1_n , the partition with a single block. For $\pi, \sigma \in P(n)$, define

$$\sigma \vee \pi = \inf\{\tau : \tau > \pi, \tau > \sigma\}.$$

A partition $\pi \in P(n)$ will be called *non-crossing* if for any B, D disjoint blocks of π , there exists no 4-tuple i < j < k < l from [n] such that $i, k \in B$ and $j, l \in D$. The sets of all non-crossing partitions, respectively non-crossing pair-partitions of [n] will be denoted by NC(n), respectively $NC_2(n)$.

A partition $\pi \in P(n)$ will be called *interval partition* if each block of π contains only consecutive elements from [n]. We will denote the set of all interval partitions, respectively pairings of [n] by I(n), respectively $I_2(n)$. Note that if n is odd, then $I_2(n) = \emptyset$; if n is even then $I_2(n)$ has only one element, namely the partition of blocks $\{(2k-1,2k): 1 \le k \le \frac{n}{2}\}$.

A permutation $\gamma \in S_n$ (the Symmetric group of order n) will be uniquely identified with a partition on [n] by taking the blocks to equal (as sets) the cycles. A pair partition $\pi \in P_2(n)$ can be uniquely identified with a permutation from S_n by taking the cycles to equal the blocks of π . The following result connecting partitions and permutations was proved in [6] (see [6], relation 2.9):

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If* τ , $\sigma \in S_n$, then

$$\#(\tau) + \#(\tau^{-1}\sigma) + \#(\sigma) \le n + 2\#(\tau \lor \sigma)$$

where in the left hand side of the equation τ , σ are seen as permutations and in the right hand side as partitions.

For $\sigma \in S_m$ and A_1, \ldots, A_m some $N \times N$ complex matrices, we will define $\operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma}(A_1, \ldots, A_m)$ as follows. If σ has the cycle decomposition

$$\sigma = \prod_{q=1}^{n} (i(q,1), i(q,2), \dots, i(q,l(q))),$$

then we define

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma}(A_1,\ldots,A_m)=\prod_{q=1}^n\operatorname{Tr}(A_{i(q,1)}\cdot A_{i(q,2)}\cdots A_{i(q,l(q))}.$$

If $\overrightarrow{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ is a multiindex and $\sigma \in S_m$ is a permutation, we will write that $\overrightarrow{j} = \overrightarrow{j} \circ \sigma$ if $\sigma(k) = l$ implies $j_k = j_l$.

We will use the following version of the Lemma 5 from [9]:

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose that A_k are $N \times N$ complex matrices with entries $a_{i,j}^{(k)}$, where $1 \le k \le m$. If $\pi \in P_2(m)$ and $\sigma \in S_m$ are such that $k + \pi \sigma(k) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, then there exists some $\tau \in S_m$ such that

$$\sum_{\overrightarrow{j}=\overrightarrow{j}\circ\pi\sigma}a^{(1)}_{j_{\sigma(1)}j_{\sigma(2)}}\cdots a^{(m)}_{j_{\sigma(2m-1)}j_{\sigma(2m)}}=\operatorname{Tr}_{\tau}(A_1,\ldots,A_m),$$

and if (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_q) is a cycle of τ , then $\pi \sigma(i_v + 1) = i_{v+1}$.

2.2. Non-commutative probability spaces and relations of indepen-DENCE. Following [18], by a non-commutative C^* -probability space we will understand a couple (\mathcal{A}, ϕ) , where \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra and $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a positive, linear, unital map. The elements of A will be called *non-commutative* random variables.

For $n \ge 1$, the *n*-th classical, free, respectively Boolean cumulant are the *n*-multilinear maps from A^n to $\mathbb C$ denoted by k_n , κ_n , respectively \mathfrak{b}_n and given by the following recurrence relations:

(2.1)
$$\phi(X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n) = \sum_{\pi \in P(n)} \prod_{\substack{B \in \pi \\ B = \{b(1), b(2), \dots, b(s)\}}} k_s(X_{b(1)}, X_{b(2)}, \dots, B_{b(s)})$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in NC(n)} \prod_{\substack{B \in \sigma \\ B=J_b(1), b(2) \\ b(s)}} \kappa_s(X_{b(1)}, X_{b(2)}, \dots, B_{b(s)})$$

(2.1)
$$\phi(X_{1}X_{2}\cdots X_{n}) = \sum_{\pi \in P(n)} \prod_{\substack{B \in \{b(1),b(2),\dots,b(s)\}\\B = \{b(1),b(2),\dots,b(s)\}}} k_{s}(X_{b(1)},X_{b(2)},\dots,B_{b(s)})$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in NC(n)} \prod_{\substack{B \in \sigma\\B = \{b(1),b(2),\dots,b(s)\}}} \kappa_{s}(X_{b(1)},X_{b(2)},\dots,B_{b(s)})$$

$$= \sum_{\tau \in I(n)} \prod_{\substack{B \in \tau\\B = \{b(1),b(2),\dots,b(s)\}}} \mathfrak{b}_{s}(X_{b(1)},X_{b(2)},\dots,B_{b(s)}).$$

Two unital subalgebras A_1 , A_2 of A are said to be *free independent* if

$$\phi(a_1a_2\cdots a_n)=0$$

whenever a_i (1 \leq $i \leq$ n) are such that $\phi(a_i) = 0$, $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon(i)}$ with $\varepsilon(i) \in \{1,2\}$, $\varepsilon(i) \neq \varepsilon(i+1)$. An equivalent condition (see [18]) is that $\kappa_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0$ whenever $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon(i)}$ such that not all $\varepsilon(1), \varepsilon(2), \dots, \varepsilon(n)$ are equal.

Two subalgebras A_1 , A_2 of A are said to be Boolean independent (see [20], [12]) if

$$\phi(a_1a_2\cdots a_n)=\phi(a_1)\phi(a_2)\cdots\phi(a_n)$$

whenever $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon(i)}$ with $\varepsilon(i) \neq \varepsilon(i+1)$. An equivalent condition (see [12]) is that $\mathfrak{b}_n(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=0$ whenever $a_i\in\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon(i)}$ such that not all $\varepsilon(1),\varepsilon(2),\ldots,\varepsilon(n)$ are equal.

We will say that a subalgebra A_1 of A is monotone independent (see [10], [11], [16]) from A_2 , another subalgebra of A if, for all $x_1, x_2 \in A$, $b_1, b_2 \in A_2$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_1$ we have that

$$\phi(x_1b_1a) = \phi(x_1b_1)\phi(a)$$

$$\phi(ab_2x_2) = \phi(a)\phi(b_2x_2)$$

$$\phi(x_1b_1ab_2x_2) = \phi(x_1b_1b_2x_2)\phi(a).$$

A selfadjoint element $x \in A$ is said to be semicircular, respectively Bernoulli distributed of mean 0 and variance $\sigma > 0$ if $\kappa_n(x, x, ..., x) = \delta_{n,2}\sigma^2$, respectively if $\mathfrak{b}_n(x, x, \ldots, x) = \delta_{n,2}\sigma^2$.

The following result is known as the free Wick theorem (see [3]):

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let H be a real Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ and $\varphi: H\otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a linear map such that $\{\varphi(e_i)\}_{i\in I}$ is a free family of semicircular elements of mean 0 and variance 1. Then, for any $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in H\otimes \mathbb{C}$ we have that

$$\phi(\varphi(f_1)\varphi(f_2)\cdots\varphi(f_n))=\sum_{\pi\in NC_2(n)}\prod_{(i,j)\in\pi}\langle f_i,f_j\rangle.$$

A similar result holds true for the Boolean framework. More precisely, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let H be a real Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ and $\varphi: H\otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a linear map such that $\{\varphi(e_i)\}_{i\in I}$ is a Boolean independent family of Bernoulli distributed elements of mean 0 and variance 1. Then, for any $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in H\otimes \mathbb{C}$ we have that

(2.4)
$$\phi(\varphi(f_1)\varphi(f_2)\cdots\varphi(f_n)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ \prod\limits_{i=1}^{n/2} \langle f_{2i-1}, f_{2i} \rangle & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Equivalently,

$$\phi(\varphi(f_1)\varphi(f_2)\cdots\varphi(f_n))=\langle f_1,f_2\rangle\phi(\varphi(f_3)\cdots\varphi(f_n))=\sum_{\pi\in I_2(n)}\prod_{(k,l)\in\pi}\langle f_k,f_l\rangle.$$

Proof. Since both sides of the equation are multilinear in f_1, \ldots, f_n , it suffices to prove the result for all f_k from the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$. For $n\leqslant 2$, the equality follows from (2.2) and the fact that $\phi(\varphi(e_i))=0$.

For n > 2, let $m = \max\{p : f_k = f_1, 1 \le k \le p\}$. From equation (2.2) we have that

$$\phi(\varphi(f_1)\cdots\varphi(f_n))=\phi(\varphi(f_1)\cdots\varphi(f_m))\cdot\phi(\varphi(f_{m+1})\cdots\varphi(f_n)).$$

If m is odd then $\phi(\varphi(f_1)^m) = 0$; also, the right hand side of (2.4) will contain the factor $\langle f_m, f_{m+1} \rangle$ which cancels, hence in this case the equality holds. Suppose than m is even. Equation (2.2) gives

$$\phi(\varphi(f_1)^m) = \sum_{\tau \in I(n)} \prod_{B = \text{block of } \tau} \mathfrak{b}_{|B|}(\varphi(f_1), \dots, \varphi(f_1)).$$

Since $\varphi(f_1)$ is Bernoulli distributed of mean 0 and variance 1, all its Boolean cumulants cancel, except the ones of order 2, which equal 1, therefore

$$\phi(\varphi(f_1)\cdots\varphi(f_m)) = \phi(\varphi(f_1)^m) = 1 = [\phi(\varphi(f_1)^2)]^{m/2} = \langle f_1, f_1 \rangle^{m/2} = \prod_{i=1}^{m/2} \langle f_{2i-1}, f_{2i} \rangle,$$

and the conclusion follows by induction.

2.3. Ensembles of Random Matrices. Throughout the paper, $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ will denote the C^* -algebra of $N \times N$ square matrices with complex entries and $M_N(\mathcal{A})$ the C^* -algebra $M_N(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$; by a random matrix with entries in \mathcal{A} we will understand an element of $M_N(\mathcal{A})$. Throughout the paper, by an *ensemble of random matrices* with entries in \mathcal{A} we will understand a set $\{A_{i,N}\}_{i\in I,N\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ such that $A_{i,N}\in M_N(\mathcal{A})$ for all i,N. The ensemble $\{A_{i,N}\}_{i\in I,N\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is said to have *limit distribution* if for any $i_1,\ldots,i_n\in I$, the limit

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\operatorname{tr}(A_{i_1,N}\cdot A_{i_2,N}\cdots A_{i_n,N})$$

exists and it is finite.

3. RANDOM MATRICES WITH FREE CIRCULAR ENTRIES

- 3.1. SEMICIRCULAR RANDOM MATRICES. Let H be a real Hilbert space and $\mathcal{H} = H \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be its complexification. Let $\{S_N(f)\}_{N \in \mathbb{Z}_+, f \in \mathcal{H}}$ be an ensemble of random matrices such that $S_N(f) = [c_{i,j}(f)]_{i,j=1}^N$ with $c_{i,j}(f) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that:
 - (i) $\phi(c_{i,j}(f)c_{k,l}(g)) = \frac{1}{N}\delta_{i,k}\delta_{j,l}\langle f, g \rangle;$
 - (ii) $S_N(f)^* = S_N(f)$;
- (iii) $\{\Re c_{i,j}(f), \Im c_{i,j}(f)\}_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N}$ form a free family of semicircular elements of mean 0.

Let $l_1, ..., l_r > 0$ and put $l_0 = n_0 = 0$, and, for $1 \le k \le r$, put $n_k = n_{k-1} + l_k$. Let $m = n_r = l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_r$; take $f_1, f_2, ..., f_m \in \mathcal{H}$ and let

$$Y_k^{(N)} = X_N(f_{n_{k-1}+1})X_N(f_{n_{k-1}+2})\cdots X_N(f_{n_k}).$$

THEOREM 3.1. With the notations above, we have that

$$\kappa_r(\text{Tr}(Y_1^{(N)}), \text{Tr}(Y_2^{(N)}), \dots, \text{Tr}(Y_r^{(N)})) = O(N^{2-r}).$$

Proof. Let $\gamma \in S_m$ with cycle decomposition $\prod_{k=1}^r (n_{k-1}+1, n_{k-1}+2, \dots, n_k)$. Then the free Wick theorem gives:

$$\begin{split} \phi(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1) \cdots \operatorname{Tr}(Y_r)) &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m = 1}^N \phi(c_{i_1 i_{\gamma(1)}}(f_1) \cdots c_{i_m i_{\gamma(m)}}(f_m)) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m = 1}^N N^{-m/2} \sum_{\sigma \in NC_2(m)} \prod_{(k,l) \in \sigma} \langle f_k, f_l \rangle \delta_{i_k, i_{\gamma(l)}} \delta_{i_l, i_{\gamma(k)}} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in NC_2(m)} N^{\#(\gamma\sigma) - (m/2)} \prod_{(k,l) \in \sigma} \langle f_k, f_l \rangle \delta_{i_k, i_{\gamma(l)}} \delta_{i_l, i_{\gamma(k)}}. \end{split}$$

The blocks of $\gamma \vee \sigma$ are unions of blocks of γ . Suppose that $\gamma \vee \sigma \notin NC(m)$, that is there exit B_1 , B_2 , B_3 , B_4 blocks of γ in this lexicographical order such that B_1 , $B_3 \in D_1$ and B_2 , $B_4 \in D_2$ with D_1 , D_2 distinct blocks of $\gamma \vee \sigma$. Hence there

exist $b_k \in B_k$ ($1 \le k \le 4$ such that $\sigma(b_1) = b_3$ and $\sigma(b_2) = b_4$, which implies that $\sigma \notin NC_2(m)$. We have then tha $\gamma \vee \sigma \in NC(m)$ and an inductive argument on r gives us that

(3.1)
$$\kappa_r(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1^{(N)}), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}(Y_r^{(N)})) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in NC_2(m) \\ \gamma \vee \sigma = 1_m}} N^{\#(\gamma \sigma) - (m/2)} \prod_{(k,l) \in \sigma} \langle f_k, f_l \rangle \delta_{i_k, i_{\gamma(l)}} \delta_{i_l, i_{\gamma(k)}}$$

Since the number of cycles is the same in a conjugacy class of permutations and σ^2 is the identity permutation, we have that $\#(\gamma\sigma) = \#(\sigma\gamma\sigma^2) = \#(\sigma^{-1}\gamma)$. Also, $\#(\sigma) = m/2$ and $\#(\gamma) = r$, hence, for $\gamma \vee \sigma = 1_m$, Proposition 2.1 gives

$$r + \#(\gamma\sigma) + \frac{m}{2} \leqslant m + 2.$$

DEFINITION 3.2. Let $\{A_{i,N}\}_{i\in I,N\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ be an ensemble of random matrices with entries in \mathcal{A} . We will say that the ensemble has *second order free limit distribution* if it has limit distribution and, for all $i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n\in I$, and collection $\{p_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ of non-commutative polynomials in n variables, with the notation $Y_k=p_k(A_{i_1,N},\ldots,A_{i_n,N})$, we have that:

- (i) $\lim_{N\to\infty} \kappa_2(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1),\operatorname{Tr}(Y_2))$ exists and it is finite;
- (ii) $\lim_{N\to\infty} \kappa_r(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}(Y_r)) = 0$ for all $r \geqslant 3$.

Ensembles of matrices from $\coprod_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(\mathbb{C})$ with limit distribution have second order free distribution, since free cumulants with constant entries cancel (see, for example [18]); an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 is that ensembles of semicircular random matrices also have second order free limit distribution.

3.2. The next notion can be seen as an analogue, in our framework, of the notion of second order free independence from [6].

DEFINITION 3.3. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+$ and for each $k \in K$ let $E_k = \{A_{i,N}^{(k)}\}_{i \in I, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be an ensemble of random matrices that has limit distribution. We will say that the family $\{E_k\}_k$ has *Property* (*) if the following hold true:

- (i) $\{E_k\}_k$ is an asymptotically free family with respect to the map $\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi$.
- (ii) Suppose that $\{P_k\}_{k\in K}$ are non-commutative polynomials in p variables and that $k_1,\ldots k_{s+t}\in K$ with $k_j\neq k_{j+1}$ for $j\in [s+t]\setminus \{s,s+t\}$. Suppose also that $\{A_{1,N}^{(k)},\ldots,A_{p,N}^{(k)}\}_N$ are subensembles of E_k with limit distribution such that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \operatorname{tr}(P_k(A_{1,N}^{(k)}, \dots, A_{p,N}^{(k)})) = 0$$

and denote by $\alpha_j^{(N)} = P_{k_j}(A_{1,N}^{(k_j)},\ldots,A_{p,N}^{(k_j)}).$ Then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \kappa_2(\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha_1^{(N)}\cdots\alpha_s^{(N)}),\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha_{s+1}^{(N)}\cdots\alpha_{s+t}^{(N)})) = \delta_{s,t} \prod_{j=1}^s \lim_{N\to\infty} \operatorname{tr}(\alpha_j^{(N)}\alpha_{s+t+1-j}^{(N)})$$

(iii) Suppose that $r \ge 3$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, that $\{Q_l\}_{l=1}^r$ are non-commutative polynomi-

als in m variables and that $k_1, \ldots, k_m \in K$. Suppose also that $\{A_{1,N}^{(k)}, \ldots, A_{p,N}^{(k)}\}_N$ are subensembles of E_k with limit distribution and denote

$$\beta_l^{(N)} = \text{Tr}(Q_l(A_{1,N}^{(k_1)}, \dots, A_{p,N}^{(k_1)}, \dots, A_{1,N}^{(k_m)}, \dots, A_{p,N}^{(k_m)})).$$

Then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\kappa_r(\beta_1^{(N)},\ldots,\beta_r^{(N)})=0.$$

In the next two sections, that is Section 3.3 and Section 3.4, we will prove the following result.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $\{f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ be an orthonormal set from $\mathcal H$ and let $\mathcal E$ be an ensemble of constant matrices with limit distribution. The family of ensembles ${\cal E}$ and $\{S_N(f_k)\}_N$, $(k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$, has Property (*).

Note first that property (1) from Definition 3.3 is satisfied, since semicircular matrices are free from matrices with constant coefficients (see, for example, [18]).

3.3. Let $s_1, ..., s_n, j_1, ..., j_m$ be positive integers and let $A_1^{(N)}, ..., A_n^{(N)}, B_1^{(N)}, ...,$ $B_m^{(N)}$ be constant matrices that are either centered or identity, such that if $s_k =$ s_{k+1} , respectively if $j_k = j_{k+1}$, then $A_k^{(N)} \neq I$, respectively $B_k^{(N)} \neq I$. Let $k_1, \ldots, k_n, l_1, \ldots, l_m$ be positive integers and

$$P_s^{(N)} = S_N(f_{i_s})^{k_s} - \operatorname{tr}(S_N(f_{i_s})^{k_s})I, \quad Q_s^{(N)} = S_N(f_{j_s})^{l_s} - \operatorname{tr}(S_N(f_{j_s})^{l_s})I.$$

In order to show Property (ii) from Definition 3.3, it suffices to prove the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.5. With the notations above,

(3.2)
$$\kappa_2(\operatorname{Tr}(A_n^{(N)}P_n^{(N)}\cdots A_1^{(N)}P_1^{(N)}), \operatorname{Tr}(Q_1^{(N)}B_1^{(N)}\cdots Q_m^{(N)}B_m^{(N)}))$$

$$= \delta_{n,m} \prod_{k=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(A_k^{(N)}B_k^{(N)}) \cdot \operatorname{tr}(P_k^{(N)}Q_k^{(N)}).$$

We will prove (3.2) in several steps. First, to simplify the notations, we will omit the index N, with the convention that only matrices of the same dimension are multiplied.

Let us focus first to

$$E_{m,n} = \phi(\operatorname{Tr}(A_n S(f_{s_n})^{k_n} \cdots A_1 S(f_{s_1})^{k_1}) \operatorname{Tr}(S(f_{j_1})^{l_1} B_1 \cdots S(f_{j_m})^{l_m} B_m)).$$

Let $N_1 = n + k_1 + \cdots + k_n$, $N_2 = m + l_1 + \cdots + l_m$ and $M = M_1 + M_2$, $M_0 = m + l_1 + \cdots + l_m$ M-m-n. Denoting by $a_{i,j}^{(p)}$, $b_{i,j}^{(p)}$, respectively $c_{i,j}^{(p)}$ the (i,j)-entries of A_p , B_p , respectively $S(f_p)$, and denoting by \overrightarrow{i} the multiindex $(i_1, i_2, \dots i_M)$, we have that

$$(3.3) E_{m,n} = \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}} \phi(a_{i_1,i_2}^{(n)} c_{i_2,i_3}^{(s_n)} c_{i_3,i_4}^{(s_n)} \cdots c_{i_{M_1},i_1}^{(s_1)} c_{i_{M_1+1},i_{M_1+2}}^{(j_1)} \cdots c_{i_{M}-1,i_M}^{(j_m)} b_{i_M,i_{M_1+1}}^{(m)})$$

From the free Wick theorem, the expression above is computed as a sum over all non-crossing pair partitions acting on the factors of the type $c_{i,j}^{(k)}$, more precisely we can write

$$E_{m,n} = \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(M_0)} \sum_{\overrightarrow{i} \sim \pi} v(\pi)$$

where we write $\overrightarrow{i} \sim \pi$ if whenever π is pairing $c_{i_s,i_t}^{(k)}$ to $c_{i_u,i_v}^{(l)}$ we have that $i_s = i_v$ and $i_t = i_u$, and we denote $v(\pi)$ for the expression (depending also on $A_1, \ldots, A_n, B_1, \ldots, B_m$) that results by pairing the $c_{i,i}^{(k)}$'s according to π .

Denote $P_s' = S(f_{i_s})^{k_s}$ and $Q_s' = S(f_{j_s})^{l_s}$. Suppose that π pairs two consecutive entries of the type $c_{l,k}^r$ from the same P_i' or Q_j' . Without affecting the generality, we can suppose, to simplify the notations, that the development of P_i' contains the sequence $\cdots c_{i_{v-1},i_v}^{(s_i)} c_{i_v,i_{v+1}}^{(s_i)} c_{i_{v+1},i_{v+2}}^{(s_i)} c_{i_{v+2},i_{v+3}}^{(s_i)} \cdots$ and that π pairs $c_{i_v,i_{v+1}}^{(s_i)}$ with $c_{i_v+1,i_v+2}^{(s_i)}$. If \overrightarrow{i} is such that $\overrightarrow{i} \sim \pi$, then $i_v = i_{v+2}$, hence, eliminating $c_{i_v,i_{v+1}}^{(s_i)} c_{i_{v+1},i_{v+2}}^{(s_i)}$ we will obtain a summand from a development as in equation (3.3) but k_i is now replaced by $k_i - 2$. Same argument works if the sequence $c_{i_v,i_{v+1}}^{(s_i)} c_{i_{v+1},i_{v+2}}^{(s_i)}$ is preceded or succeded by entries of constant matrices.

Denote by $NC_2^v(M_0)$ the set of all pair partitions acting on $c_{i,j}^{(k)}$'s that are pairing $c_{i_v,i_{v+1}}^{(s_i)}$ and $c_{i_{v+1},i_{v+2}}^{(s_i)}$, denote by \overrightarrow{i}^v the set of indices \overrightarrow{i} without i_v and by $NC_2^v(M_0-2)$ the set of pair-partitions acting on $c_{i,j}^{(k)}$'s without $\{c_{i_v,i_{v+1}}^{(s_i)},c_{i_{v+1},i_{v+2}}^{(s_i)}\}$. Since $\phi(c_{i_v,i_{v+1}}^{(s_i)},c_{i_{v+1},i_{v+2}}^{(s_i)})=(1/N)\|f_{s_i}\|^2=1/N$, we have that

(3.4)
$$\sum_{\pi \in NC_{2}^{v}(M_{0})} \sum_{\overrightarrow{i} \sim \pi} v(\pi) = \sum_{\sigma \in NC_{2}^{v}(M_{0}-2)} \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}^{v} \sim \sigma} [v(\sigma) \cdot \sum_{i_{v}=1}^{N} \phi(c_{i_{v},i_{v+1}}^{(s_{i})} c_{i_{v+1},i_{v+2}}^{(s_{i})})]$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in NC_{2}^{v}(M_{0}-2)} \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}^{v} \sim \sigma} v(\sigma).$$

Consider now $NC_2^{[t]}(M_0)$ the set of all π as above such that P_t' is invariant under π (that is all $c_{i,j}^{(s_t)}$ are paired only among themselves; in particular, k_t must be even). The restriction of π to P_t' is then again a non-crossing pairing; since any non-crossing partition has at least one interval block, iterating (3.4) we obtain

(3.5)
$$\sum_{\pi \in NC_2^{[t]}(M_0)} \sum_{\overrightarrow{i} \sim \pi} v(\pi) = \operatorname{tr}(P_t) \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in NC_2^{[t]}(M_0 - k_t + 2)} \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}'^{[t]} \sim \sigma} v(\sigma)$$

where $\overrightarrow{i}^{[t]}$ is the multiindex formed by the set of all indices from \overrightarrow{i} that are not contained only in the factors from P'_t and where $NC_2^{[t]}(M_0 - k_t + 2)$ denotes the set of all non-crossing pairings acting on all $c_{i,i}^{(k)}$ except the ones in P'_t .

Let us now go back to the computation of the second order free cumulant $\kappa_2(\operatorname{Tr}(A_nP_n\cdots A_1P_1),\operatorname{Tr}(Q_1B_1\cdots Q_mB_m))$. As seen in the proof of Theorem 3.1, it develops (following the free Wick theorem) as a sum over pair partitions action on the factors of the type $c_{i,j}^{(k)}$ and connecting $P_n\cdots P_1$ with $Q_1\cdots Q_m$. Note that here the partitions are acting on sets of different lengths, due to the presence of terms of type $\operatorname{tr}(S(f_{i_s})^{k_s})I$ in the expressions of P_s 's (and the analogues for Q_s 's). But, according to (3.5), the factors of the type $\operatorname{tr}(S(f_{i_s})^{k_s})$ and the partitions leaving invariant P_s cancel each other, hence, with the notations from (3.3)

$$\kappa_2(\operatorname{Tr}(A_n P_n \cdots A_1 P_1), \operatorname{Tr}(Q_1 B_1 \cdots Q_m B_m)) = \sum_{\pi \in NC_2^{\sim}(M_0)} \nu(\pi)$$

where $v(\pi) = \sum_{\overrightarrow{i} \sim \pi} v(\pi)$ and $NC_2^{\sim}(M_0)$ is the set of all non-crossing pairings π acting on the factors of type $c_{i,i}^{(k)}$ such that:

- (i) π connects $P'_n \cdots P'_1$ with $Q'_1 \cdots Q'_m$;
- (ii) no P'_k or Q'_k is left invariant by π .

Suppose $\pi \in NC_2^{\sim}(M_0)$ is such that $\nu(\pi) \neq 0$. First note that, by equation (3.4), we can suppose that π does not connect elements from the same P_k' or Q_k' . Second, note that if π connects two P_k'' s, then, from the non-crossing property, it may also connects two consecutive ones, say P_t' and P_{t+1}' . Using again the fact the π is non-crossing, the last factor of P_t' must be connected to the first factor of P_{t+1}' . Let $c_{i_{v-1}i_v}^{(s_t)}$ be the last factor of P_t' . Then the development of E_{mn} contains the sequence

$$\cdots c_{i_{v-1}i_v}^{(s_t)} a_{i_v i_{v+1}}^{(t)} c_{i_{v+1}i_{v+2}}^{(s_{t+1})} \cdots$$

Since $c_{i_{v-1}i_v}^{(s_t)}$ and $c_{i_{v+1}i_{v+2}}^{(s_{t+1})}$ are connected by π , it follows that $i_v=i_{v+1}$ hence $v(\pi)$ contains the factor $\sum\limits_{i_v=1}^N a_{i_vi_v}^{(t)}=\operatorname{Tr}(A_t)=0$, therefore $v(\pi)$ cancels. It follows that π connects only P_k' 's with Q_l' 's.

We will show next that each P'_k can be connected to exactly one Q'_l . Suppose that P'_t is connected to more than one Q'_l . Since π is non-crossing and does not connect two different Q'_l 's, it follows that P'_t is connected to two consecutive Q'_l 's, say with Q'_r and Q'_{r+1} . Since we can suppose, by (3.4) that π does not connect factors of P'_t among themselves, it follows that two consecutive factors of P'_t must be connected to the last fact of Q'_w and to the first factor of Q'_{w+1} . Let $c^{s_t}_{i_v-1i_v}, c^{s_t}_{i_vi_{v+1}}$ be the two consecutive factors of P'_t connected to the last factor of Q'_w , respectively to the first factor of Q'_{w+1} If $B_w = I$, then $f_{j_w} \neq f_{j_{w+1}}$, therefore f_{i_t} is orthogonal to at least one of the vectors $f_{j_w}, f_{j_{w+1}}$, hence $v(\pi) = 0$. Therefore we must have

 $\operatorname{tr}(B_w)=0$ and the sequence $c_{i_{u-1}i_u}^{(l_w)}b_{i_ui_{u+1}}^{(w)}c_{i_{u+1}i_{u+2}}^{(l_{w+1})}$ appearing in the development of E_{mn} contains the last, respectively the first factors of Q_w' , Q_{w+1}' . Then $i_u=i_v=i_{u+1}$ and $v(\pi)$ will contain the factor $\sum\limits_{i_v=1}^Nb_{i_vi_v}^{(w)}=\operatorname{Tr}(B_w)=0$, therefore $v(\pi)$ must cancel.

We proved that π must connect each P'_t to exactly one Q'_l and no P's and Q's among themselves, particularly that n=m. Moreover, since π is noncrossing and does not connect two different P's or Q's , it follows that P'_k is connected to Q'_k for all $k=1,\ldots,n$.

We will now finish the proof for equation (3.2). From the argument above, the relation hold true if $n \neq m$ and if n = m, then

$$\kappa_2(\operatorname{Tr}(A_m P_m \cdots A_1 P_1), \operatorname{Tr}(Q_1 B_1 \cdots Q_m B_m)) = \sum_{\pi \in NC_2^{\sim}(M_0)} \nu(\pi)$$

Fix $\pi \in NC_2^{\sim}(M_0)$, and let us denote by $a_{j_t,j_{-t}}^{(k)}$, respectively by $b_{u_-tu_t}^{(k)}$ the entries of A_1,\ldots,A_m , respectively B_1,\ldots,B_m that appear as factors in the corresponding development. We will show that $j_t=u_t$ and $j_{-t}=u_{-t}$, therefore, from Lemma 2.2, the factors from $v(\pi)$ concerning the constant matrices will be $\prod_{k=1}^m \operatorname{Tr}(A_k B_k)$. Since the summands in E_{mn} have trace-type developments, the indices of j_t, j_{-t}, u_t, u_{-t} from above are determined by the indices of the first and last factors of P'_t, P'_{t+1} and Q'_t, Q'_{t+1} . From the argument in equation (3.4), we can suppose, in what concerns indices, that π does not connect factors from the same P'_k or Q'_k , hence it may suppose that each factor from P'_k is connected to a factor from Q'_k . Since π is non-crossing, the last, respectively first, element from P'_k must be connected to the first, respectively last, factor from Q'_k , and $\overrightarrow{i} \sim \pi$ gives the result.

Fix not $t \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. From the argument above, if $\pi \in NC_2^{\sim}(M_0)$ such that $\nu(\pi) \neq 0$, then the set $C_t = \{c_{i,j}^{(k)} : c_{i,j}^{(k)} \text{ is a factor in } P_t' \text{ or in } Q_t' \}$ is invariant under π . Hence, denoting by π_t the restriction of π to C_t , the free Wick theorem implies that $v(\pi_t)$, respectively $v(\pi_t)$ factors in $v(\pi)$, respectively in $v(\pi)$. Let us also denote by $\overrightarrow{i}(t)$ the set of indices from \overrightarrow{i} that appear as lower indices for elements of C_t . Let us write

$$P_t' = c_{i_v i_{v+1}}^{(s_t)} c_{i_{v+1} i_{v+2}}^{(s_t)} \cdots c_{i_{v+k_t-1} i_{v+k_t}}^{(s_t)}, \quad Q_t' = \qquad c_{i_w i_{w+1}}^{(j_t)} c_{i_{w+1} i_{w+2}}^{(j_t)} \cdots c_{i_{w+l_t-1} i_{w+l_t}}^{(j_t)}.$$

Then, the previous argument gives that $i_v = i_{w+l_t}$ and $i_{v+k_t} = i_w$. Since π_t connects P'_t to Q'_t , equation (3.3) gives that

$$\nu(\pi_t) = \phi(\operatorname{Tr}(P_t'Q_t')) - \phi(\operatorname{Tr}(P_t'))\phi(\operatorname{Tr}(Q_t')) = \phi(\operatorname{Tr}(P_tQ_t)).$$

Remark now that the indices i_w and respectively i_v are counted both in $\text{Tr}(P_tQ_t)$ and in $\text{Tr}(A_tB_t)$, respectively $\text{Tr}(A_{t+1}B_{t+1})$, henceforth

$$\sum_{\pi \in NC_2^{\sim}(M_0)} \nu(\pi) = \prod_{t=1}^m \frac{1}{N^2} \operatorname{Tr}(A_t B_t) \operatorname{Tr}(P_t Q_t) = \prod_{t=1}^m \operatorname{tr}(A_t B_t) \operatorname{tr}(P_t Q_t),$$

hence the proof of (3.2) is concluded.

REMARK 3.6. Lemma 3.5 can be seen as a free analogue of Theorem 5.3 from [7]; yet, the results are different in nature, Theorem 5.3 from [7] is an asymptotical result, more in the spirit of part (ii) from Property (*).

3.4. Vanishing of Higher order free cumulants. Suppose that $\{f_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is an orthonormal system in \mathcal{H} , let $i_1,\ldots,i_m\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ and let $S_N(f_i)$ be as defined in Section 3.1.

Let $l_1, ..., l_r > 0$ and put M(0) = 0, $M(k) = M(k-1) + l_k$, for $k \in \{1, ..., r-1\}$, and M = M(r).

Suppose that $\{A_1^{(N)}, \dots, A_M^{(N)}\}_N$ is an ensemble of constant matrices with limit distribution (some of them may be identity matrices) and, for $k = 1, \dots, r$, define

$$Y_k^{(N)} = S_N(f_{i_{M(k-1)}+1})A_{M(k-1)+1}^{(N)} \cdots S_N(f_{i_{M(k)}})A_{M(k)}^{(N)}.$$

THEOREM 3.7. With the notations from above, if $r \ge 3$, we have that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \kappa_r(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1^{(N)}), \operatorname{Tr}(Y_2^{(N)}), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}(Y_r^{(N)})).$$

Proof. As before, we will omit the index N, with the convention that only matrices of the same size are multiplied. Also, we will denote by $a_{i,j}^{(k)}$, respectively $c_{i,j}^{(k)}$ the (i,j) entry of A_k , respectively $S_N(f_k)$.

Let γ be the permutation with r cycles $(M(k-1)+1,M(k-1)+2,\ldots,M(k))$ and $\widehat{\gamma}$ be the permutation with r cycles $(2M(k-1)+1,2M(k-1)+2,\ldots,2M(k))$ for $1\leqslant k\leqslant r$. Denote $\overrightarrow{i}=(i_1,\ldots,i_m)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^M$ and by $\overrightarrow{j}=(j_1,\ldots,j_m)\in[M]^N$. Then

$$\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1)\cdots\operatorname{Tr}(Y_r) = \sum_{\overrightarrow{j}} (c_{j_1j_2}^{(i_1)}\cdots c_{j_{2M-1}j_{2M}}^{(i_M)}) \cdot (a_{j_2j_{\widehat{\gamma}(2)}}^{(1)}\cdots a_{j_{2M}j_{\widehat{\gamma}(2M)}}^{(M)}).$$

Let $\pi \in NC_2(M)$. We will put $\delta \frac{\pi}{i}$ to be 1 if $\pi(l) = k$ implies $i_l = i_k$ and 0 otherwise. The pairing π induces a pairing $\widehat{\pi} \in NC_2(2M)$ as follows: if $\pi(l) = k$, then put $\widehat{\pi}(2l-1) = 2k$ and $\widehat{\pi}(2l) = 2k-1$.

Since $\phi(c_{ij}^{(a)}c_{kl}^{(b)})=\delta_{ab}\delta_{jk}\delta_{il}$, the free Wick theorem implies that

$$\phi(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1)\cdots\operatorname{Tr}(Y_r))=\sum_{\pi\in NC_2(M)}\delta_{\overrightarrow{i}}^{\pi}N^{-(M/2)}\sum_{\overrightarrow{j}=\overrightarrow{j}\circ\widehat{\pi}}a_{j_2j_{\widehat{\gamma}(2)}}^{(1)}\cdots a_{j_{2M}j_{\widehat{\gamma}(2M)}}^{(M)}.$$

Denoting by $\mu(\pi) = \delta^{\pi}_{\overrightarrow{j}} N^{-(M/2)} \sum_{\overrightarrow{j} = \overrightarrow{j} \circ \widehat{\pi}} a^{(1)}_{j_2 j_{\widehat{\gamma}(2)}} \cdots a^{(M)}_{j_{2M} j_{\widehat{\gamma}(2M)}}$, an inductive argument on r gives that

$$\kappa_r(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}(Y_r)) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in NC_2(M) \\ \pi \vee \gamma = 1_M}} \mu(\pi).$$

We will show Theorem 3.7 by proving that if $\pi \in NC_2(M)$ is such that $\pi \vee \gamma = 1_M$, then $\mu(\pi) = O(N^{-1})$.

Fix π as above. Applying Lemma 2.2 to $\widehat{\pi} \in NC_2(2M)$ and $\sigma \in S_{2M}$ given by $\sigma(2k) = \widehat{\gamma}(2k-1)$ and $\sigma(2k+1) = 2k+2$, we have that there exist some $\tau \in S_M$ such that

$$\sum_{\overrightarrow{j}=\overrightarrow{j}\circ\widehat{\pi}}a^{(1)}_{j_2j_{\widehat{\gamma}(2)}}\cdots a^{(M)}_{j_{2M}j_{\widehat{\gamma}(2M)}}=\mathrm{Tr}_{\tau}(A_1,\ldots,A_M).$$

Since lower indices of factor of type $a_{ij}^{(k)}$ are from the same block of $\widehat{\gamma}$, we have that (k) is a singleton of τ only if $\pi(k)=k+1$ and both k and k+1 are from the same block of γ . As seen in Section 3.3, in this situation we can simply remove $S_N(f_{i_k})A_k^{(N)}S_N(f_{i_{k+1}})$ from the product without affecting the order of magnitude of the product. Henceforth, we can suppose that τ does not have singletons and that π does not connect elements from the same block of γ .

Since the ensemble $\{A_1^{(N)},\ldots,A_M^{(N)}\}_N$ has limit distribution, we have that

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\tau}(A_1,\ldots,A_M)=O(N^{\#(\tau)})$$

so Theorem 3.7 is proved if we show that, under the asumptions above, τ has a cycle with at least 3 elements.

Let B_1, \ldots, B_r be the blocks of γ . Since $\pi \vee \gamma = 1_M$, there is at least one block of γ connected by π with more than one other block. Suppose that $B_k = (M(K-1)+1,\ldots,M(k))$ is such a block and that $\pi(M(k)) \in B_l$. If $\pi(M(k-1)+1) \in B_l$, since π is non-crossing, we would have that $\pi(B_k) \subset B_l$, therefore $\pi(M(k-1)+1) \notin B_l$.

Let $v=-1+\inf\{t:\ t\in B_k, \pi(t)\in B_l\}$, let $\pi(v+1)=w\in B_l$ and take u,s such that $\pi(v)=u\in B_s\neq B_l$. If $\overrightarrow{j}=\overrightarrow{j}\circ\widehat{\pi}$, then $j_{2v}=j_{2u+1}$ and $j_{2v+1}=j_{2w}$, which implies that $A_uA_vA_w$ are in the same cycle of τ , hence the conclusion.

4. RANDOM MATRICES WITH BOOLEAN INDEPENDENT BERNOULLI-DISTRIBUTED ENTRIES

4.1. As in Section 3, we will consider H to be a real Hilbert space, $\mathcal{H} = H \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Let $\{B_N(f)\}_{N \in \mathbb{Z}_+, f \in \mathcal{H}}$ be an ensemble of random matrices such that $B_N(f) = [b_{i,j}^{(N)}(f)]_{i,j=1}^N$ with $b_{i,j}(f) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that:

(i)
$$\phi(b_{i,j}^{(N)}(f)b_{k,l}^{(N)}(g)) = (1/N)\langle f, g \rangle \delta_{i,k}\delta_{j,l};$$

(ii) $B_N(f)^* = B_N(f)$;

(iii) $\{\Re b_{i,j}^{(N)}(f), \Im b_{i,j}^{(N)}(f)\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$ form a Boolean independent family of Bernoulli distributed random variables of mean 0.

REMARK 4.1. (i) $B_N(f)$ is Bernoulli distributed of variance $||f||^2$ (with respect to the functional $\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi$).

(ii) If $\{f_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ is an orthonormal family in \mathcal{H} , then $\{B_N(f_i)\}_i$ form a Boolean independent family.

Proof. Consider $m_1, \ldots, m_p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $m = m_1 + \cdots + m_p$ and the multiindex $\overrightarrow{i} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m) \in [N]^m$.

To simplify the writing we will omit the upper-index (N), with the convention that only matrices of the same size are multiplied.

For part (i), note that

$$\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi(B_N(f)^m) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}} \phi(b_{i_1 i_2} b_{i_2 i_3} \cdots b_{i_m i_1}).$$

If *m* is odd, Proposition 2.4 gives that all summands cancel. If *m* is even, Proposition 2.4 gives that

$$\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi(B_N(f)^m) = \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}} N^{-(m/2)-1} \|f\|^m \delta_{i_1,i_3} \delta_{i_3i_5} \cdots \delta_{i_{m-3}i_{m-1}} \delta_{i_{m-1}i_1} = \|f\|^m.$$

For part (ii), it suffices to prove that, if $j_k \neq j_{k+1}$, then

$$\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi(B_N(f_{j_1})^{m_1} \cdots B_N(f_{j_p})^{m_p})$$

$$= [\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi(B_N(f_{j_1})^{m_1})] \cdot [\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi(B_N(f_{j_2})^{m_2} \cdots B_N(f_{j_n})^{m_p})].$$

On the other hand,

$$\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi(B_N(f_{j_1})^{m_1} \cdots B_N(f_{j_p})^{m_p}) \\ = \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}} \frac{1}{N} \phi(b_{i_1 i_2}(f_{j_1}) b_{i_2 i_3}(f_{j_1}) \cdots b_{i_{m_1} i_{m_1+1}}(f_{j_1}) b_{i_{m_1+1} i_{m_1+1}}(f_{j_2}) \cdots b_{i_m i_1}(f_{j_p})).$$

If m_1 is odd, then, from part (i), $\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi(B_N(f_{j_1})^{m_1}) = 0$; also, applying Proposition 2.4 to the equation above, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \phi(b_{i_{1}i_{2}}(f_{j_{1}}))\cdot(b_{i_{2}i_{3}}(f_{j_{1}})\cdots b_{i_{m_{1}}i_{m_{1}+1}}(f_{j_{1}})b_{i_{m_{1}+1}i_{m_{1}+1}}(f_{j_{2}})\cdots b_{i_{m}i_{1}}(f_{j_{p}}))\\ &=\phi(b_{i_{m_{1}}i_{m_{1}+1}}(f_{j_{1}})b_{i_{m_{1}+1}i_{m_{1}+1}}(f_{j_{2}}))\cdot\prod_{k=1}^{m_{1}-3}\phi(b_{i_{k}i_{k+1}}(f_{j_{1}})b_{i_{k+1}i_{k+2}}(f_{j_{1}}))\\ &\quad \cdot\phi(b_{i_{m_{1}+1}i_{m_{1}+2}}(f_{j_{2}})\cdots b_{i_{m}i_{1}}(f_{j_{p}})). \end{split}$$

Since $f_{j_1} \perp f_{j_2}$, we have that

$$\phi(b_{i_k i_{k+1}}(f_{j_1})b_{i_{k+1} i_{k+2}}(f_{j_1})) = \langle f_{j_1}, f_{j_2} \rangle \delta_{i_k i_{k+2}} = 0$$

hence the equality holds true.

If m_1 is even, Proposition 2.4 gives

$$\phi(b_{i_{1}i_{2}}(f_{j_{1}})) \cdot (b_{i_{2}i_{3}}(f_{j_{1}}) \cdots b_{i_{m_{1}}i_{m_{1}+1}}(f_{j_{1}})b_{i_{m_{1}+1}i_{m_{1}+1}}(f_{j_{2}}) \cdots b_{i_{m}i_{1}}(f_{j_{p}}))$$

$$= \left[\prod_{k=1}^{m_{1}-3} \phi(b_{i_{k}i_{k+1}}(f_{j_{1}})b_{i_{k+1}i_{k+2}}(f_{j_{1}}))\right] \cdot \phi(b_{i_{m_{1}+1}i_{m_{1}+2}}(f_{j_{2}}) \cdots b_{i_{m}i_{1}}(f_{j_{p}})).$$

Since $\phi(b_{i_k i_{k+1}}(f_{j_1})b_{i_{k+1} i_{k+2}}(f_{j_1})) = \|f_{j_1}\|^2 \delta_{i_k i_{k+2}}$, the right-hand side of the equation above cancels unless $i_1 = i_3 = \cdots = i_{m_1+1}$, hence, denoting $\overrightarrow{i}(m_1+1) = (i_{m_1+1}, i_{m_1+2}, \ldots, i_m)$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi(B_{N}(f_{j_{1}})^{m_{1}} \cdots B_{N}(f_{j_{p}})^{m_{p}})$$

$$= \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}} \frac{1}{N} \phi(b_{i_{1}i_{2}}(f_{j_{1}})b_{i_{2}i_{3}}(f_{j_{1}}) \cdots b_{i_{m_{1}}i_{m_{1}+1}}(f_{j_{1}})b_{i_{m_{1}+1}i_{m_{1}+1}}(f_{j_{2}}) \cdots b_{i_{m}i_{1}}(f_{j_{p}}))$$

$$= N^{-(m_{1}/2)-1} \cdot N^{m_{1}/2} \|f_{j_{1}}\|^{m_{1}} \cdot \sum_{\overrightarrow{i} \ (m_{1}+1)} \phi(b_{i_{m_{1}+1}i_{m_{1}+2}}(f_{j_{2}}) \cdots b_{i_{m}i_{m_{1}+1}}(f_{j_{p}}))$$

$$= [\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi(B_{N}(f_{j_{1}})^{m_{1}})] \cdot [\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi(B_{N}(f_{j_{2}})^{m_{2}} \cdots B_{N}(f_{j_{p}})^{m_{p}})]$$

hence the conclusion.

Since the results in the next two sections are not asymptotic in nature, we will omit the index N from the notation $B_N(f)$, with the convention that all matrices involved here are of size N for some $N \ge 2$.

4.2. MONOTONE INDEPENDENCE AND MATRICES WITH BERNOULLI DISTRIBUTED BOOLEAN INDEPENDENT ENTRIES. As presented in [16], the relation of Boolean independence is not unital, that is $\mathbb C$ is not Boolean independent from any algebra. In this section we will show that, when tensoring with matrices, the relation of *monotone independence* appears connecting Boolean independence to the algebra $M_N(\mathbb C)$.

LEMMA 4.2. Let A_1, \ldots, A_m be a set of matrices from $M_N(\mathbb{C})$, $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a set of vectors from \mathcal{H} and $\overrightarrow{i} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{M+1}) \in [N]^{M+1}$. Then

$$(\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi) \Big(\prod_{k=1}^{m} B(f_{2k-1}) A_k B(f_{2k}) \Big) = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \operatorname{tr}(A_k) \langle f_{2k-1}, f_{2k} \rangle$$

$$= \phi \Big(\sum_{\overrightarrow{i}} \prod_{k=1}^{m} b_{i_1 i_{k+1}} (f_{2k-1}) a_{i_{k+1} i_{k+1}}^{(k)} b_{i_{k+1} i_1} (f_{2k}) \Big).$$

$$Proof. \ \, \text{Denote} \ \, X = [x_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^{N} = \prod_{k=2}^{m} B(f_{2k-1}) A_k B(f_{2k}). \ \, \text{Then}$$

$$(\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi) (B(f_1) A_1 B(f_2) X) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i_1 i_2 i_3 = 1}^{N} \phi(b_{i_1 i_2} (f_1) a_{i_2 i_3}^{(1)} b_{i_3 i_4} (f_2) x_{i_4 i_1}).$$

Since for all $1 \le i, j \le N$, x_{ij} is in the unital algebra generated by $b_{k,l}(f_p)$, Proposition 2.4 gives that

hence the conclusion.

THEOREM 4.3. Let \mathcal{B} be the (non-unital) algebra generated by $\{B(f)AB(g): A \in M_N(\mathbb{C}), f,g \in \mathcal{H}\}$. Then \mathcal{B} is monotone independent from $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the functional $\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi$.

Proof. It suffices to show that, if $A,D \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$, $f_1,f_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ and X is in the algebra generated by $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and $\{B(f): f \in \mathcal{H}\}$, then

$$\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi(AB(f_1)DB(f_2)X) = \operatorname{tr}(A)\langle f_1, f_2\rangle[\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi(AX)]$$

and the conclusion follows appling Lemma 4.2.

Denoting $\overrightarrow{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_5)$, we have that

$$\operatorname{tr} \otimes \phi(AB(f_1)DB(f_2)X) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}} \phi(a_{i_1 i_2} b_{i_2 i_3}(f_1) d_{i_3 i_4} b_{i_4 i_5}(f_2) x_{i_5 i_1}).$$

But, since all $x_{i,j}$ are in the unital algebra generated by $\{b_{kl}(f): f \in \mathcal{H}\}$, Proposition 2.4 gives:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}} \phi(a_{i_1 i_2} b_{i_2 i_3}(f_1) d_{i_3 i_4} b_{i_4 i_5}(f_2) x_{i_5 i_1}) \\ &= \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}} \phi(b_{i_2 i_3}(f_1) b_{i_4 i_5}(f_2)) d_{i_3 i_4} \phi(a_{i_1 i_2} x_{i_5 i_1}) \\ &= \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}} \frac{1}{N} \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \delta_{i_3 i_4} \delta_{i_2 i_5} d_{i_3 i_4} \phi(a_{i_1 i_2} x_{i_5 i_1}) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3 = 1}^N \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \frac{1}{N} d_{i_3 i_3} \phi(a_{i_1 i_2} x_{i_2 i_1}) = \operatorname{tr}(A) \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \operatorname{Tr}(AX), \end{split}$$

hence the conclusion.

4.3. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_M \in \mathcal{H}$, let $l_1, \ldots, l_r > 0$ and put M(0) = 0, $M(k) = M(k-1) + l_k$, for $k \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$, and M = M(r).

Suppose that $A_1, \ldots, A_M \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and, for $k = 1, \ldots, r$, define

$$Y_k = B(f_{M(k-1)+1})A_{M(k-1)+1} \cdots B(f_{M(k)})A_{M(k)}.$$

THEOREM 4.4. With the notations above,

$$\mathfrak{b}_r(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1),\ldots,\operatorname{Tr}(Y_r))=O(N^{2-r}).$$

Proof. Let $\overrightarrow{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_{2M}) \in [N]^{2M}$ and $\gamma \in S_{2M}$ be the permutation with r cycles $(2M(k-1)+1, 2M(k-1)+2, \dots, 2M(k))$, for $1 \le k \le r$. Proposition 2.4 gives

$$\phi(\text{Tr}(Y_1)\cdots\text{Tr}(Y_r))
= \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}} \phi\Big(\prod_{k=1}^{M} b_{i_{2k-1}i_{2k}}(f_k) a_{i_{2k}i_{\gamma(2k)}}^{(k)}\Big)
= \sum_{\pi \in I_2(M)} \Big\{ \sum_{\overrightarrow{i}} \Big\{ \Big[\prod_{(k,l) \in \pi} \phi(b_{i_{2k-1}i_{2k}}(f_k) b_{i_{2l-1}i_{2l}}(f_l)) \Big] \cdot \Big[\prod_{s=1}^{M} a_{i_{2s},i_{\gamma(2s)}}^{(s)} \Big] \Big\} \Big\}.$$

Induction on r gives that \mathfrak{b}_r is a sum as above but over $\pi \in I_2(M)$ such that $\pi \vee \gamma' = 1_m$, for $\gamma' \in P(M)$ the partition with r blocks of type $(M(k-1)+1,M(k-1)+2,\ldots,M(k))$, where $1 \leq k \leq r$. In particular, since $I_2(M)$ has at most one element, $\mathfrak{b}_r(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1) \cdots \operatorname{Tr}(Y_r)) = 0$ unless such a pairing exists, that is if r =, then l_1 is odd, and if $r \geq 2$, then l_1 and l_r are odd and l_2,\ldots,l_{r-1} are even.

Let us suppose that there exists $\pi \in I_2(M)$ satisfying the conditions above. In this case, from the expansion (3), we also have that

$$\mathfrak{b}_r(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1)\cdots\operatorname{Tr}(Y_r))=\phi(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1)\cdots\operatorname{Tr}(Y_r)).$$

Suppose now that $l_1 \ge 2$ and let $\alpha = \text{Tr}(Y_2) \cdots \text{Tr}(Y_r)$ (if r = 1, we put $\alpha = I_N$) and $Y_1' = Y \cdot A_2$, where $Y = B(f_3)A_3 \cdots B(f_{M(1)})A_{M(1)} = [y_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^N$ (if $l_1 = 2$, we put $Y = A_{M(1)}$).

Then, for $\overrightarrow{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_5) \in [N]^5$, Proposition 2.4 gives

$$\begin{split} \phi(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1)\cdots\operatorname{Tr}(Y_r)) &= \sum_{\overrightarrow{j}} \phi(b_{j_1j_2}(f_1)a_{j_2j_3}^{(1)}b_{j_3j_4}(f_2)a_{j_4j_5}^{(2)}y_{j_5j_1} \cdot \alpha) \\ &= \sum_{\overrightarrow{j}} \phi(b_{j_1j_2}(f_1)b_{j_3j_4}(f_2))a_{j_2j_3}^{(1)}\phi(y_{j_5j_1}a_{j_4j_5}^{(2)} \cdot \alpha). \end{split}$$

Since $\phi(b_{j_1j_2}(f_1)b_{j_3j_4}(f_2)) = (1/N)\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \delta_{j_1,j_4} \delta_{j_2j_3}$, it follows that

(4.1)
$$\phi(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_{1}) \cdot \alpha) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N} \langle f_{1}, f_{2} \rangle \frac{1}{N} a_{i,i}^{(1)} \phi(y_{jk} a_{kj}^{(2)} \cdot \alpha)$$

$$= \langle f_{1}, f_{2} \rangle \operatorname{tr}(A_{1}) \phi(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_{1}') \cdot \alpha) = O(N^{0}) \phi(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_{1}') \cdot \alpha).$$

If r = 1, iterating equation (4.1), we obtain

(4.2) $\phi(\operatorname{Tr}(B(f_1)A_1\cdots B(f_{2m})A_{2m})) = \left[\prod_{k=1}^m \langle f_{2k-1}, f_{2k}\rangle \operatorname{tr}(A_{2k-1})\right] \cdot \phi\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\prod_{i=1}^m A_{2i}\right)\right)$

which implies the theorem for r = 1.

For $r \geqslant 2$, a similar argument to (4.1) aplied to the last two factors of the type $b_{i,j}(f_k)$ of Y_r (if $l_r > 1$) and to the second and third such factors from Y_k (if $l_k > 2$ for $2 \leqslant k \leqslant r - 1$) gives that it suffices to prove the theorem for $l_1 = l_r = 1$ and $l_2 = \cdots = l_{r-1} = 2$.

Let $Y_1 = B(f_1)A_1$ and let $Y_2 = B(f_2)X$ with $X = A_2$ if r = 2, respectively $X = A_2B(f_3)A_3$ if $r \ge 3$. put $\alpha = I_N$ if r = 2, respectively $\alpha = \text{Tr}(Y_3)\cdots \text{Tr}(Y_r)$ if $r \ge 3$. With these notations, we have that

$$\begin{split} \phi(\text{Tr}(Y_1)\text{Tr}(Y_2) \cdot \alpha) &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \phi(b_{i,j}(f_1)a_{j,i}^{(1)}b_{k,l}(f_2)x_{l,k} \cdot \alpha) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{N} \phi(b_{i,j}(f_1)b_{k,l}(f_2))\phi(x_{l,k}a_{j,i}^{(1)}\alpha). \end{split}$$

Since $\phi(b_{i,j}(f_1)b_{k,l}(f_2)) = (1/N)\langle f_1, f_2\rangle \delta_{i,l}\delta_{j,k}$, it follows that

(4.3)
$$\phi(\operatorname{Tr}(Y_1)\operatorname{Tr}(Y_2) \cdot \alpha) = \frac{\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \phi(x_{i,j} a_{j,i}^{(1)} \cdot \alpha)$$
$$= \frac{\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \phi(\operatorname{Tr}(X A_1) \cdot \alpha).$$

If r = 2, equation (4.3) implies that $\phi(\text{Tr}(Y_1)\text{Tr}(Y_2) = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \text{tr}(A_2A_1) = O(N^0)$, and induction on r, using again equation (4.3) gives the result for $r \geqslant 3$.

Acknowledgements. Yong Jiao was supported by the Research Fund for International Young Scientists of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11150110456) and by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11001273).

Mihai Popa was partially supported by the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS UEFISCDI, Project Number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0119 and was also partially supported by the Research Fund for International Young Scientists of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11150114056).

REFERENCES

- [1] Z.D. BAI, J.W. SILVERSTEIN, CLT for linear spectral statistics of large-dimensional sample covariance matrices, *Ann. Probab.* **32**(2004), 553–605.
- [2] H. BERCOVICI, On boolean convolutions, in *Operator Theory* 20, Theta Ser. Adv. Math., vol. 6, Theta, Bucharest 2006, pp. 7–13.
- [3] E. EFFROS, M. POPA, Feynman diagrams and Wick products associated with q-Fock space, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **100**(2003), 8629–8633.

- [4] J.B. French, P.A. Mello, A. Pandey, Statistical properties of many-particle spectra. II. Two-point correlations and fluctuations, *Ann. Phys.* **113**(1978), 277–293.
- [5] A. KHORUNZHY, B. KHORUZHENKO, L. PASTUR, On the 1/N corrections to the Green functions of random matrices with independent entries, J. Phys. A 28(1995), L31–L35.
- [6] J.A. MINGO, A. NICA, Annular non-crossing permutations and partitions, and second-order asymptotics for random matrices, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 28(2004), 1413– 1460.
- [7] J.A. MINGO, R. SPEICHER, Second order freeness and fluctuations of random matrices: I. Gaussian and Wishart matrices and cyclic Fock spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 235(2006), 226–270.
- [8] J.A. MINGO, P. SNIADY, R. SPEICHER, Second order freeness and fluctuations of random matrices: II. Unitary random matrices, *Adv. in Math.* **209**(2007), 212–240.
- [9] J.A. MINGO, M. POPA, C.E.I. REDELMEIER, Real second order freeness and Haar orthogonal matrices, preprint, arXiv:1210.6097
- [10] N. MURAKI, Noncommutative Brownian motion in monotone Fock space, Comm. Math. Phys. 183(1997), 557–570.
- [11] M. POPA, A combinatorial approach to monotonic independence over a C*-algebra, Pacific J. Math. 237(2008), 299–325.
- [12] M. POPA, A new proof for the multiplicative property of the Boolean cumulants with applications to the operator-valued case, Collog. Math. 117(2009), 81–93.
- [13] M. POPA, J.-C. WANG, On multiplicative conditionally free convolution, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363**(2011), 6309–6335.
- [14] M. POPA, V. VINNIKOV, Non-commutative functions, non-commutative free Levy-Hincin formula, *Adv. in Math.* **236**(2013), 131—157.
- [15] O. RYAN, On the Limit Distributions of Random Matrices with independent or free entries, Comm. Math. Phys. 193(1998), 595–626.
- [16] M. SCHURMANN, A. BEN GHORBAL, Non-commutative notions of stochastic independence, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 133(2002), 531–561.
- [17] R. SPEICHER, Combinatorial theory of the free product with amalgamation and operator-valued free probability theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 132(1998), no. 627.
- [18] R. SPEICHER, A. NICA, *Lectures on Combinatorics of Free Probability*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 335, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 2006.
- [19] D.V. VOICULESCU, K. DYKEMA, A. NICA, *Free Random variables*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1992.

[20] W. VON WALDENFELS, An approach to the theory of pressure broadening of spectral lines, in *Probability and Information Theory*. II, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 296, Springer, Heidelberg 1973, pp. 19–69.

YONG JIAO, Institute of Probability and Statistics, Central South University, Changsha 410075, China

E-mail address: jiaoyong@csu.edu.cn

MIHAI POPA, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT SAN ANTONIO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ONE UTSA CIRCLE, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78249, U.S.A. *and* Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-764, Bucharest, RO-70700, Romania

E-mail address: mihai.popa@utsa.edu

Received September 12, 2013.