

STRICT COMPARISON OF PROJECTIONS AND POSITIVE COMBINATIONS OF PROJECTIONS IN CERTAIN MULTIPLIER ALGEBRAS

VICTOR KAF TAL, PING W. NG and SHUANG ZHANG

Communicated by Șerban Strătilă

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate whether positive elements in the multiplier algebras of certain finite C^* -algebras can be written as finite linear combinations of projections with positive coefficients (PCP). Our focus is on the category of underlying C^* -algebras that are separable, simple, with real rank zero, stable rank one, finitely many extreme traces, and strict comparison of projections by the traces. We prove that the strict comparison of projections holds also in the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Based on this result and under the additional hypothesis that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ has real rank zero, we characterize which positive elements of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ are of PCP.

KEYWORDS: *Finite C^* -algebras, multiplier algebras, strict comparison of projections, positive combinations of projections.*

MSC (2010): Primary 46L05; Secondary 46L35, 46L45.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we focus on three closely related questions for C^* -algebras and in particular for multiplier algebras:

- (A) Which elements are (finite) sums of commutators?
- (B) Is every element a (finite) linear combination of projections?
- (C) Which positive elements are (finite) linear combinations of projections with positive coefficients? (Called positive combinations of projections, or PCP for short.)

During the last several decades much work have been done on these questions for various algebras, in particular for Questions (A) and (B).

In 1954, Halmos proved that every bounded operator on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space H is a sum of two commutators. Then in 1967 Fillmore [8] found that every element of $B(H)$ is a linear combination of 257 projections, eventually reduced to 8 by Goldstein and Paszkiewicz [10]. Fillmore also

observed ([8]) that a positive compact operator of infinite rank cannot be a positive combination of projections; Fong and Murphy proved ([9]) that these are the only exceptions in $B(H)$.

Almost at the same time the same questions were investigated in von Neumann algebras. In 1967 Percy and Topping [29] proved that every element in a properly infinite algebra is a sum of 2 commutators and every self-adjoint element is a linear combination of 8 projections. In 1968 they proved [30] that in every finite type I algebra, self-adjoint elements in the kernel of the central trace are finite sums of commutators. The same result was proven in the more delicate case of a type II_1 von Neumann algebra by Fack and De La Harpe [7]. Building on that, Goldstein and Paszkiewicz showed [10] that every element in a von Neumann algebra is a linear combination of projections if and only if it has no finite type I direct summand with infinite dimensional center.

The investigation of Question (A) for C^* -algebras started in 1982 with the work of Fack [6] who proved that self-adjoint elements in properly infinite unital C^* -algebras or in stable C^* -algebras are the sum of 5 self-commutators. Furthermore, Fack [6] proved also that in a simple unital AF algebra self-adjoint elements in the kernel of all tracial states are sums of 7 commutators. Thomsen extended his work to a large class of AH algebras which includes the irrational rotation algebras and crossed products of Cantor minimal systems ([34]).

An important extension of these results was obtained by Marcoux [25] who proved that in simple C^* -algebras of real rank zero with a unique tracial state which gives the strict comparison of projections, every self-adjoint element in the kernel of that trace is a sum of 2 self-commutators. In [24] he proved that all commutators are linear combinations of projections under mild conditions. This and other work brought an affirmative answer to (B) for all C^* -algebras in the following categories:

- Simple purely infinite C^* -algebras;
- AF-algebras with finitely many extremal tracial states;
- AT-algebra with real rank zero and finitely many extremal tracial states.
- Certain AH-algebras with real rank zero, bounded dimension growth, and finitely many extremal tracial states.

The first definite result about positive combination of projections (Question (C)) was the above mentioned work of Fong and Murphy [9] for $B(H)$. In recent years the authors of the present paper proved in [13] that every positive element in a purely infinite simple σ -unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} or in its multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is a positive combination of projections (a PCP).

For von Neumann algebras the answer to Question (C) presented in [12] mirrors the results in $B(H)$: a positive element in a type II_∞ factor is a PCP if either its range projection is finite or the element does not belong to the ideal generated by finite projections (the Breuer ideal). The non-factor case is similar but the conditions are expressed in terms of central essential spectrum.

The situation is more complex for C^* -algebras of finite type. We proved in [17] that if \mathcal{A} is a simple, separable, unital C^* -algebra with real rank zero, stable rank one, strict comparison of projections (by traces), and finitely many extremal tracial states, then a positive element $a \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is a PCP if and only if $\bar{\tau}(R_a) < \infty$ for every tracial state τ on \mathcal{A} , where $\bar{\tau}$ denotes the extension of $\tau \otimes \text{Tr}$ to a normal semifinite trace on $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})^{**}$ and R_a denotes the range projection of a . Notice that $R_a \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})^{**}$.

The aim of the present paper is to investigate Question (C) for positive elements of the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ for a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} of finite type considered in [17]. Of independent interest we also consider Questions (A) and (B) for in the corners of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$, as necessary steps in investigating Question (C).

A key tool in [17] was the strict comparison of projections in \mathcal{A} and in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. In Section 3 we extend strict comparison of projections in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ (Theorem 3.2) in the sense that if P and Q are projections in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ with $Q \notin \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and if $\bar{\tau}(P) < \bar{\tau}(Q)$ for all tracial states τ on \mathcal{A} for which $\bar{\tau}(Q) < \infty$, then $P \prec Q$.

It follows from the work of Fack and Marcoux that every self-adjoint element $T = T^* \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is a sum of two self-commutators of elements of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. In the case that $T \in P(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}))P$ for some projection P in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$, it is natural to ask whether those self-commutators can be chosen in $P(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}))P$. An obvious necessary condition is that $\bar{\tau}(T) = 0$ for every τ for which $\bar{\tau}(P) < \infty$. One of our main results, Theorem 4.5, is that this condition is also sufficient. As a consequence of this result we then obtain in Theorem 5.1 that every element $P(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}))P$ is a linear combination of projections in $P(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}))P$ with control on the coefficients (see Section 5). The control on the coefficients permits us to prove (see [13] and [17]) that every positive locally invertible element in $P(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}))P$ is PCP (Corollary 6.1).

In the case that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ has real rank zero, by modeling the proof on the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [17] we prove in Theorem 6.4 that a positive element $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is a PCP if and only if $\bar{\tau}(R_T) < \infty$ for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ for which $T \in I_\tau$. Here I_τ is the closed ideal generated by the projections of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ with finite $\bar{\tau}$ values. This result is the natural analog of the Fong and Murphy result in $B(H)$ in view of $B(H) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Indeed the usual trace Tr on $B(H)$ is the extension $\bar{\tau}$ of the unique tracial state τ on \mathbb{C} , $\mathcal{K} = I_\tau$, and $T \in B(H)$ is a PCP if either $T \notin \mathcal{K}$ or if $T \in \mathcal{K}$ with $\text{Tr}(R_T) < \infty$, i.e., T has finite rank ([9]).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Unless otherwise specified, in this paper \mathcal{A} is always assumed to be a unital separable simple non-elementary C^* -algebra with real rank zero stable rank one and has strict comparison of projections by traces. The latter means that the tracial simplex $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} (that is the collection of tracial states on \mathcal{A}) is non-empty

and that if p and q are projections in \mathcal{A} and $\tau(p) < \tau(q)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ then $p \prec q$ (p is subequivalent to q in the Murray–von Neumann sense).

Under these assumptions, we have proven in Theorem 2.9 of [17] that every quasitrace on \mathcal{A} is a trace.

It is well known that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ is a w^* -compact convex set. Denote by $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))$ the extreme boundary of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$, that is the collection of extreme points of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$, or extreme traces for short.

Every tracial state $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ extends uniquely to the faithful, semifinite, normal trace $\tau \otimes \text{Tr}$ on $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$. Notice that $\tau \otimes \text{Tr}(p) > 0$ for any nonzero projection $p \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $(\tau \otimes \text{Tr})(1 \otimes e) = 1$ for any rank-one projection $e \in \mathcal{K}$. Up to scalar multiples, all semifinite, normal traces on $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$ arise in this way. Thus, to simplify notations and without risk of confusion, we will identify $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ with the collection of semifinite, normal traces on $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$, normalized by $\tau(1 \otimes e) = 1$ for any rank one projection $e \in \mathcal{K}$.

Next for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ denote by $\tilde{\tau}$ the natural extension of τ to \mathcal{A}^{**} , then $\tilde{\tau}$ is a normal tracial state on \mathcal{A}^{**} and hence $\bar{\tau} := \tilde{\tau} \otimes \text{Tr}$ is a normal semifinite (not necessarily faithful) trace (also called a tracial weight) on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}^{**} \otimes B(H)$ which we can identify with $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})^{**}$. Thus $\bar{\tau}$ is also a semifinite trace on the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$. Notice that as remarked in 5.3 of [17], by the work of F. Combes ([3], Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.4) and Ortega, Rordam, and Thiel ([28], Proposition 5.2) $\tau \otimes \text{Tr}$ has a unique extension to a lower semicontinuous semifinite trace $\bar{\tau}$ on the enveloping von Neumann algebra $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})^{**}$ and hence this extension is $\bar{\tau}$.

Recall that every open projection $P \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})^{**}$, and in particular every projection $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$, has a decomposition $P = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j$ into a series of strictly converging projections $p_j \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Thus $\bar{\tau}(P) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(p_j)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$.

We have shown in Lemma 2.4 of [17] that strict comparison of projections holds also for $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. One of the goals of this paper will be to show (see Theorem 3.2) that under the additional hypothesis that $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))$ is finite, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ has a form of strict comparison of projections with respect to the traces $\bar{\tau}$ for $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ as described below.

DEFINITION 2.1. If P and Q are projections in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ we say that P is *tracially dominated by* Q if $\bar{\tau}(P) < \bar{\tau}(Q)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ for which $\bar{\tau}(Q) < \infty$.

Thus P is tracially dominated by Q if $\bar{\tau}(P) < \bar{\tau}(Q)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ under the convention that $\infty < \infty$.

Notice that if $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ has only a finite number of extremal traces, that is if the extremal boundary $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\tau_j\}_1^n$, then $\bar{\tau}(P) < \bar{\tau}(Q)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ if and only if $\bar{\tau}_j(P) < \bar{\tau}_j(Q)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Strict comparison of projections within $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ means that if p and q are projections in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and p is tracially dominated by q , then $p \prec q$.

The same cannot hold without further conditions in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ and the obstruction arises from the ideal structure of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Indeed, if $P \lesssim Q$ then P must belong to the same ideal as Q . But it will be easy to show that for every nonzero projection $q \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ there is a projection $P \notin \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ that is tracially dominated by q . Thus before further considering strict comparison of projections in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ we need to recall some facts about ideals in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$.

First of all, there exists a minimal ideal I_{\min} that properly contains $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ ([23], Theorem 1.7). The projections in I_{\min} are characterized by the following property.

DEFINITION 2.2 ([23], Definition 2.1). A sequence of projections $p_j \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is called an ℓ^1 -sequence if for every projection $0 \neq r \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$[p_n] + [p_{n+1}] \cdots [p_m] \leq [r] \quad \forall m > n \geq N.$$

A projection $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is called *thin* if it has a decomposition $P = \bigoplus_1^\infty p_j$ into a strictly converging sum of an ℓ^1 -sequence.

We collect here the following known results.

PROPOSITION 2.3 ([23]). (i) If the projection $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is thin, then for any decomposition $P = \bigoplus_1^\infty p_j$ into a series of strictly converging projections $p_j \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, the sequence $\{p_j\}$ is ℓ^1 .

(ii) Finite sums of thin projections are thin and projections majorized by thin projections are thin.

(iii) There exist thin projections $P \notin \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Every thin projection $P \notin \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ generates the ideal I_{\min} and every projection in I_{\min} is thin.

Furthermore, recall that for every projection $p \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, the evaluation map $\hat{p} : \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}) \ni \tau \rightarrow \tau(p)$ is affine and continuous. Let $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))$ denote the Banach space of real-valued affine continuous functions on $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$. Recall that under the evaluation map, $K_o(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))$ ([1], Theorem 6.9.3) and the dimension semigroup $D(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \setminus \{0\}$ is dense in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))_{++}$, the collection of strictly positive affine continuous functions on $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ (see Remark 2.7 of [17].)

For every projection $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$, the evaluation map

$$\hat{P} : \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}) \ni \tau \rightarrow \bar{\tau}(P)$$

is affine and lower semicontinuous. Indeed if $P = \bigoplus_1^\infty p_j$ is any strictly convergent decomposition of P with $p_i \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, then $\bar{\tau}(P) = \sum_1^\infty \tau(p_i)$, that is, $\hat{P} = \sum_1^\infty \hat{p}_i$, being the pointwise sum of a series of positive continuous affine functions, is affine lower semicontinuous.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ be a projection. Then $P \in I_{\min}$ if and only if the evaluation map $\widehat{P} : \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}) \ni \tau \rightarrow \overline{\tau}(P)$ is continuous. Furthermore, if $P = \bigoplus_1^\infty p_j \in I_{\min}$ is any strictly convergent decomposition of P with $p_i \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, then the series $\sum_1^\infty \widehat{p}_j$ converges uniformly.*

Proof. Assume first that $P \in I_{\min}$. Hence by Proposition 2.3(iii), $P = \bigoplus_1^\infty p_j$ is the sum of an ℓ^1 sequence and it will be enough to prove that the series $\sum_1^\infty \widehat{p}_j$ converges uniformly. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, by Theorem 6.9.3 of [1] choose a nonzero projection $r \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ with $\tau(r) < \varepsilon$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$. Then there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bigoplus_n^m p_j \precsim r$ for all $m > n \geq N$ and hence $\sum_n^m \widehat{p}_j(\tau) < \tau(r) < \varepsilon$. Thus the sequence of partial sums of the continuous functions \widehat{p}_j is uniformly Cauchy and hence its limit \widehat{P} is continuous.

Conversely, assume that \widehat{P} is continuous. Then by Dini's theorem the sequence of partial sums $\sum_1^n \widehat{p}_j$ increases uniformly to \widehat{P} . Let $r \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ be a nonzero projection. Since \widehat{r} is continuous, $\widehat{r}(\tau) > 0$ for all τ , and $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ is compact, then there is some $\alpha > 0$ such that $\widehat{r}(\tau) \geq \alpha > 0$ for all τ . But then there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m > n \geq N$,

$$\tau\left(\bigoplus_n^m p_j\right) = \bigoplus_n^m \widehat{p}_j(\tau) < \alpha \leq \tau(r) \quad \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}).$$

By the strict comparison of projections in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ it follows that $\bigoplus_n^m p_j \precsim r$ for all $m > n \geq N$ and hence P is thin. By Proposition 2.3(iii), $P \in I_{\min}$. ■

Whenever $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ does not reduce to a singleton (a unique trace) there are further proper ideals between I_{\min} and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$.

DEFINITION 2.5. For every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$, let

$$I_\tau := \overline{\{X \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) : \overline{\tau}(X^*X) < \infty\}}$$

where the closure is in the norm topology.

It is immediate to verify that even when \mathcal{A} does not have real rank zero then I_τ is a two-sided closed ideal of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ and

$$(I_\tau)_+ = \overline{\{X \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})_+ : \overline{\tau}(X) < \infty\}}.$$

If \mathcal{A} has real rank zero, then as a consequence of results in [36] and [37] it follows that every projection $P \in I_\tau$ satisfies the condition $\overline{\tau}(P) < \infty$ and that I_τ is generated by all the projections $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ with $\overline{\tau}(P) < \infty$.

In the case when $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))$ is finite, say $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\tau_j\}_1^n$, then there are precisely $2^n - 1$ ideals of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ distinct from $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, which are obtained by all the possible intersections of the maximal ideals I_{τ_j} for $1 \leq j \leq n$. In particular, $I_{\min} = \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq n} I_{\tau_j}$. This was obtained in [20] for AF algebras and in [33] for a more general case.

In the case when $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))$ is infinite, then the ideal structure of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is considerably more complex. Some results in particular when $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ is a Bauer simplex, are obtained in [31].

In Section 5 we will make use of the following simple result which holds for every unital simple C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .

LEMMA 2.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a simple unital C^* -algebra, let $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$ and let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $T \in I_\tau$ if and only if $\bar{\tau}(\chi_{(\delta, \|T\|]}(T)) < \infty$ for every $\delta > 0$.*

Proof. Assume first that $\bar{\tau}(\chi_{(\delta, \|T\|]}(T)) < \infty$ for every $\delta > 0$. Let

$$f_\delta(t) = \begin{cases} t - \delta & \text{if } t > \delta, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq \delta, \end{cases}$$

and let $T_\delta := f_\delta(T)$. Then $R_{T_\delta} = \chi_{(\delta, \|T\|]}(T)$ and hence $\bar{\tau}(T_\delta) \leq \|T_\delta\| \bar{\tau}(R_{T_\delta}) < \infty$. Thus $T_\delta \in I_\tau$ and since $\|T - T_\delta\| \leq \delta$ for every $\delta > 0$ and I_τ is closed, it then follows that $T \in I_\tau$.

Now assume that $T \in I_\tau$. By the definition of I_τ , for every $\delta > 0$ there is a $B \in (I_\tau)_+$ with $\tau(B) < \infty$ and $\|T - B\| < \frac{\delta}{4}$. By basic results about Cuntz subequivalence (see for example Lemma 2.2 of [33]) it follows that $(T - \frac{\delta}{2}1)_+ \precsim B$. But then there is an $x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such $(T - \frac{\delta}{2}1)_+ = x^* B x$. Hence

$$\bar{\tau}((T - \frac{\delta}{2}1)_+) \leq \bar{\tau}(x^* B x) \leq \bar{\tau}(B^{1/2} x^* x B^{1/2}) \leq \|x\|^2 \bar{\tau}(B) < \infty.$$

But then

$$(T - \frac{\delta}{2}1)_+ = (T - \frac{\delta}{2}1) \chi_{(\frac{\delta}{2}, \|T\|]}(T) \geq (T - \frac{\delta}{2}1) \chi_{(\delta, \|T\|]}(T) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \chi_{(\delta, \|T\|]}(T)$$

and hence $\bar{\tau}(\chi_{(\delta, \|T\|]}(T)) < \infty$. ■

3. STRICT COMPARISON OF PROJECTIONS IN $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$

In the following two results (Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2) we do not need the assumption that \mathcal{A} has stable rank one, but that assumption is used in Proposition 3.3 and following which depend on the density of the dimension semigroup $D(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))_{++}$ ([1], Theorem 6.9.3) and the fact that under the hypotheses on \mathcal{A} we have $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ ([17], Theorem 2.9).

We start by considering strict comparison of projections belonging to the ideal I_{\min} .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $P, Q \in I_{\min}$ be projections for which P is tracially dominated by Q and $Q \notin \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then $P \prec Q$.*

Proof. Let $P = \bigoplus_1^\infty p_j$, $Q = \bigoplus_1^\infty q_j$ with p_j, q_j projections in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and the series converging strictly, and furthermore $q_j \neq 0$ for all j by the assumption that $Q \notin \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then by Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 these sequences are ℓ^1 and the series of continuous affine functions $\sum_1^\infty \hat{p}_j$ and $\sum_1^\infty \hat{q}_j$ converge uniformly.

By a routine compactness argument, we can find an index N such that

$$\hat{P}(\tau) < \sum_1^N \hat{q}_j(\tau) \quad \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}).$$

If only finitely many projections p_j are nonzero, then $P \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. But then by the strict comparison of projections in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ it follows that $P \prec \bigoplus_1^N q_j \leq Q$ and we are done. Thus assume that all projections $p_j \neq 0$.

Since the series of continuous functions $\sum_1^\infty \hat{p}_j$ converges uniformly and $q_{N+1} \neq 0$, we can find an index m_1 such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=m_1+1}^\infty \hat{p}_j \right\|_\infty < \min \hat{q}_{N+1}.$$

Thus for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_1^{m_1} \hat{p}_j(\tau) < \hat{P}(\tau) < \sum_1^N \hat{q}_j(\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{m_1+1}^\infty \hat{p}_j(\tau) < \hat{q}_{N+1}(\tau).$$

Since $\lim_m \left\| \sum_{j=m}^\infty \hat{p}_j \right\|_\infty = 0$ and $\min \hat{q}_{N+k} > 0$ for all k , choose an increasing sequence of indices m_k such that for all $k \geq 1$ and all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$

$$\sum_{m_k+1}^{m_{k+1}} \hat{p}_j(\tau) < \hat{q}_{N+k}(\tau).$$

By the strict comparison of projections in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, we obtain that $\bigoplus_1^{m_1} p_j \prec \bigoplus_1^N q_j$ conjugated by a partial isometry $V_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ and $\bigoplus_{m_k+1}^{m_{k+1}} p_j \prec q_{N+k}$, conjugated by a partial isometry $V_k \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ for all $k \geq 1$. By the strict convergence of the series $P = \bigoplus_1^\infty p_j$ and $Q = \bigoplus_1^\infty q_j$, it follows that also the series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty V_k$ converges strictly. Thus its sum $W := \sum_{k=0}^\infty V_k$ is a partial isometry in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$, $WPW^* \leq Q$, and hence $P \prec Q$. ■

To consider comparison of projections not in I_{\min} we will need to further assume that $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))$ is finite. In that case, by the complete characterization of ideals in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ (see [33]) it follows that

$$I_{\min} = \bigcap_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})} I_{\tau} = \bigcap_{\tau \in \partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))} I_{\tau}.$$

THEOREM 3.2. *Assume that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ has finitely many extremal traces, that is $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\tau_j\}_1^n$. Then strict comparison of projections holds for $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ in the sense that if $Q \notin \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\bar{\tau}(P) < \bar{\tau}(Q)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ for which $\bar{\tau}(Q) < \infty$ then $P \prec Q$.*

Proof. Let $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\tau_j\}_1^n$ be the extremal boundary of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ and let

$$S := \{1 \leq j \leq n : \bar{\tau}_j(Q) < \infty\}.$$

If $S = \emptyset$, i.e., $\bar{\tau}_j(Q) = \infty$ for all j , it follows that $\bar{\tau}(Q) = \infty$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $Q \sim 1$ by 2.4 or 3.6 of [36] and thus $P \prec Q$. If $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, i.e., $\bar{\tau}(Q) < \infty$ for all $\tau \in \partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))$, then by the remark preceding this theorem, $Q \in I_{\min}$ and hence $P \prec Q$ by Proposition 3.1. Thus assume henceforth that $\emptyset \subsetneq S \subsetneq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Let $\alpha := \min_{j \in S} (\bar{\tau}_j(Q) - \bar{\tau}_j(P))$. Then $\alpha > 0$. Let $P = \bigoplus_1^{\infty} p_j$, $Q = \bigoplus_1^{\infty} q_j$ with p_j, q_j projections in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, the series converging strictly, and $q_j \neq 0 \forall j$. Since the series $\sum_i \tau_j(q_i)$ converges for all $j \in S$, we can find an integer n_0 such that $\tau_j(q_{n_0}) < \alpha$ for all $j \in S$. Then

$$\sum_1^{\infty} \tau_j(p_i) = \bar{\tau}_j(P) \leq \bar{\tau}_j(Q) - \alpha < \bar{\tau}_j(Q) - \tau_j(q_{n_0}) = \sum_1^{\infty} \tau_j(q_i) - \tau_j(q_{n_0}) \quad \forall j \in S.$$

Thus there is an integer $n' \geq n_0$ for which

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_1^{\infty} \tau_j(p_i) < \sum_1^{n'} \tau_j(q_i) - \tau_j(q_{n_0}) \quad \forall j \in S.$$

By hypothesis, $q_i \neq 0$ for all i and in particular as all the traces are faithful, $\tau_j(q_{n_0}) > 0$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since the series $\sum_i \tau_j(p_i)$ converge for all $j \in S$, we can then find m_1 such that

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{m_1+1}^{\infty} \tau_j(p_i) < \tau_j(q_{n_0}) \quad \forall j \in S.$$

By (3.2),

$$\sum_1^{m_1} \tau_j(p_i) < \sum_1^{n'} \tau_j(q_i) - \tau_j(q_{n_0}) \quad \forall j \in S.$$

By using the divergence of the series $\sum_1^\infty \tau_j(q_i)$ for $j \notin S$, we can also find an $n_1 > n'$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_1^{m_1} \tau_j(p_i) < \sum_1^{n_1-1} \tau_j(q_i) - \tau_j(q_{n_0}) \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

This concludes the initial step. Now choose $m_2 > m_1$ and $n_2 > n_1$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{m_2+1}^\infty \tau_j(p_i) &< \tau_j(q_{n_1}) \quad \forall j \in S && \text{(the left series converges)} \\ \sum_{m_1+1}^{m_2} \tau_j(p_i) &< \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2-1} \tau_j(q_i) + \tau_j(q_{n_0}) \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} && \text{(the right series diverges)}. \end{aligned}$$

Iterating the construction, we can find an increasing sequence of indices m_k and n_k such that

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{m_k+1}^{m_{k+1}} \tau_j(p_i) < \sum_{n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}-1} \tau_j(q_i) + \tau_j(q_{n_{k-1}}) \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Then by the strict comparison of projections in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ we have for all k

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_1^{m_1} p_i &< \bigoplus_1^{n_1-1} q_i - q_{n_0} && \text{(by (3.4))} \\ \bigoplus_{m_k+1}^{m_{k+1}} p_i &< \bigoplus_{n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}-1} q_i + q_{n_{k-1}} && \text{(by (3.6)).} \end{aligned}$$

Reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 we can construct a partial isometry in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ to conjugate P to a subprojection of Q , thus obtaining that $P \precsim Q$. ■

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Assume that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ has finitely many extremal traces, that is $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\tau_j\}_1^n$. Then for every n -tuple of $\alpha_j \in (0, \infty]$, there exists a projection $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $\bar{\tau}_j(P) = \alpha_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$.*

Proof. Let $S := \{1 \leq j \leq n : \alpha_j < \infty\}$. If $S = \emptyset$, it is enough to choose $P = 1$. To simplify notations, assume that $\emptyset \neq S \neq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the proof in the case when $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ being identical.

Let $1 = \bigoplus_1^\infty E_i$ be a strictly converging decomposition of the identity into projections $E_i \sim 1$. Recall that for any infinite collection of nonzero projections $P_j \leq E_j$, the sum $\bigoplus_1^\infty P_i$ converges in the strict topology to a projection $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Recall also that $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n and that the dimension semi-group $\text{D}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is dense in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))_{++}$, the collection of strictly positive continuous affine functions on $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$. Thus there is a projection $p_1 \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such

that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_j - 1 < \tau_j(p_1) < \alpha_j & j \in S, \\ 1 < \tau_j(p_1) < 2 & j \notin S. \end{cases}$$

Since $p_1 \prec 1 \sim E_1$, we can choose $p_1 \leq E_1$. Next, we find a projection $p_2 \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ with $p_2 \leq E_2$ and

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_j - \tau_j(p_1) - \frac{1}{2} < \tau_j(p_2) < \alpha_j - \tau_j(p_1) & j \in S, \\ 1 < \tau_j(p_2) < 2 & j \notin S. \end{cases}$$

Iterating, we find a sequence of projections $p_i \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, with $p_i \leq E_i$ for which

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_j - \frac{1}{m} < \sum_{i=1}^m \tau_j(p_i) < \alpha_j & j \in S, \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \tau_j(p_i) > m & j \notin S. \end{cases}$$

But then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i$ converges to a projection $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\bar{\tau}_j(P) = \alpha_j$ for every $1 \leq j \leq n$. ■

By combining Proposition 3.3 with Theorem 3.2 we thus obtain:

COROLLARY 3.4. *Assume that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ has finiteley many extreme traces, that is $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\tau_j\}_1^n$. For every projection $Q \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and every n -tuple of $\alpha_j \in (0, \infty]$ with $\alpha_j < \bar{\tau}_j(Q)$ for all j for which $\bar{\tau}_j(Q) < \infty$, there is a projection P in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $P \prec Q$ and $\bar{\tau}_j(P) = \alpha_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$.*

4. SUMS OF COMMUTATORS IN IDEALS OF $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$

Fack proved in Theorem 2.1 of [6] that if a unital algebra \mathcal{B} contains two mutually orthogonal projections equivalent to the identity, then every selfadjoint element b is the sum of five selfcommutators,

$$(4.1) \quad b = \sum_{i=1}^5 [x_i, x_i^*] \quad \text{with} \quad \|x_j\| \leq \frac{3}{2} \|b\|^{1/2} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq 5.$$

The bound $\|x_1\| \leq \frac{3}{2} \|b\|^{1/2}$ for the element obtained in the first step of the proof is implicit in Lemma 1.2 of [6], while the bounds $\|x_i\| \leq \|b\|^{1/2}$ for the remaining elements can be seen from the proofs of Lemmas 1.3, 1.4, 2.3 of [6].

Since the identity of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ can be decomposed into the sum of two mutually orthogonal projections equivalent to the identity, it follows that every element of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is the sum of 10 selfcommutators.

In particular, every element in a corner $P \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) P$ for some projection $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is a sum of commutators of elements of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. These elements do not necessarily belong to $P \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) P$. Indeed if $\bar{\tau}(P) < \infty$ for some $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$, then $\bar{\tau}$ is a finite trace on $P \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) P$ and hence vanishes on all the

commutators of elements of $P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$. Thus for $T \in P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ to be a sum of commutators of elements of $P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ it is necessary that $\bar{\tau}(T) = 0$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ for which $\bar{\tau}(P) < \infty$. We shall prove that the condition is also sufficient under the additional hypothesis that $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))$ is finite. Based on the work in [21], [25], and [34], we obtained in a previous paper:

LEMMA 4.1 ([17], Lemma 3.3). *Let \mathcal{B} be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra of real rank zero, stable rank one, and strict comparison of projections. Let $b \in \mathcal{B}$ be a selfadjoint element, let $\eta > 0$, and assume that $|\tau(b)| \leq \eta$ for all $\tau \in T(\mathcal{B})$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist elements $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\|v_i\| \leq \sqrt{2}\|b\|^{1/2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$ and $\left\|b - \sum_{i=1}^4 [v_i, v_i^*]\right\| < \eta + \varepsilon$.*

We start with the following extension of this lemma to the corners of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ by projections of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$.

LEMMA 4.2. *Assume that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ has finite extreme boundary $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\tau_j\}_1^n$, let P be a nonzero projection in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$, and set*

$$S := \{1 \leq j \leq n : \bar{\tau}_j(P) < \infty\} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha := \min_{j \in S} \bar{\tau}_j(P).$$

Let $a \in P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ be a selfadjoint element, let $\eta > 0$, and assume that $|\tau_j(a)| \leq \eta$ for all $j \in S$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist elements $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 \in P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ such that $\|v_i\| \leq \sqrt{2}\|a\|^{1/2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$ and $\left\|a - \sum_{j=1}^5 [v_j, v_j^]\right\| < \frac{\eta}{\alpha} + \varepsilon$.*

Proof. The case when $S = \emptyset$, namely when $\bar{\tau}_j(P) = \infty$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ and hence $\bar{\tau}(P) = \infty$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$, is immediate because then $P \sim 1$ and without loss of generality, $P = 1$. But then by Fack's theorem 1.1 of [6], a is the sum of five selfcommutators. The bounds on the norms are implicit in Fack's proof.

We leave to the reader the case when $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ which is similar but somewhat simpler than the general case. Thus assume that $\emptyset \neq S \neq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. To simplify notation, assume furthermore that $\|a\| = 1$.

Choose $0 < \beta < \alpha$ so that

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\eta}{\beta} < \frac{\eta}{\alpha} + \frac{\varepsilon}{5}.$$

Decompose a into its positive and negative parts $a = a_+ - a_-$. Since $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ has real rank zero, by Lemma 2.3 of [16] we can approximate from underneath a_+ (respectively, a_-) with a positive finite spectrum element $\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \lambda_i p_i \leq a_+$ with mutually orthogonal projections $p_i \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and scalars $\lambda_i > 0$, (respectively, $\sum_{k=1}^{n_2} \mu_k q_k \leq a_-$ with mutually orthogonal projections $q_k \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and scalars $\mu_k > 0$)

so that

$$(4.3) \quad b := a_+ - \sum_i^{n_1} \lambda_i p_i - a_- + \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} \mu_k q_k$$

has norm $\|b\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{5 \max_{j \in S} \tau_j(P)}$. In particular,

$$(4.4) \quad \|b\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}.$$

Set

$$a' := \sum_i^{n_1} \lambda_i p_i - \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} \mu_k q_k.$$

Since $R_b \leq P$, for all $j \in S$ we have $|\tau_j(b)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{5}$. Since $a' = a - b$ and hence $|\tau_j(a')| \leq |\tau_j(a)| + |\tau_j(b)|$, we also have

$$(4.5) \quad |\tau_j(a')| \leq \eta + \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{5} \quad \forall j \in S.$$

Choose an integer $m \geq \frac{5}{\varepsilon}$. By the density of the dimension semigroup $D(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ in the collection $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))_{++}$ of strictly positive continuous affine functions on $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$, choose projections in $\{p_i'', q_k''\}$ in $\mathcal{A} \otimes K$ with

$$\begin{cases} \tau_j(p_i) - \frac{\beta}{(n_1+n_2)(m+1)} < \tau_j(p_i'') < \tau_j(p_i) & j \in S, \\ \tau_j(p_i'') < \min \left\{ \frac{\beta}{(n_1+n_2)(m+1)}, \tau_j(p_i) \right\} & j \notin S; \\ \tau_j(q_k) - \frac{\beta}{(n_1+n_2)(m+1)} < \tau_j(q_k'') < \tau_j(q_k) & j \in S, \\ \tau_j(q_k'') < \min \left\{ \frac{\beta}{(n_1+n_2)(m+1)}, \tau_j(q_k) \right\} & j \notin S. \end{cases}$$

Then by using strict comparison of projections in $\mathcal{A} \otimes K$ (see Corollary 3.4), find projections $p_i' \sim p_i'', q_k' \sim q_k''$ in $\mathcal{A} \otimes K$ with $p_i' \leq p_i, q_k' \leq q_k$ for all i, k . Set

$$\begin{aligned} r &:= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (p_j - p_i') + \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} (q_k - q_k'), & r' &:= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} p_i' + \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} q_k', \\ c &:= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \lambda_i (p_i - p_i') - \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} \mu_k (q_k - q_k'), & c' &:= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \lambda_i p_i' - \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} \mu_k q_k'. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the projections $\{p_i, q_k\}$, and hence the projections $\{p_i', q_k'\}$ are all mutually orthogonal and are majorized by P , hence r and r' are projections in $P(\mathcal{A} \otimes K)P$, and c and c' are selfadjoint elements of $P(\mathcal{A} \otimes K)P$ with range projections $R_c = r$ and $R_{c'} = r'$ respectively. Then

$$a' = c + c', \quad \tau_j(r) < \frac{\beta}{m+1} \quad \text{for } j \in S, \quad \tau_j(r') < \frac{\beta}{m+1} \quad \text{for } j \notin S.$$

Since $R_c = r$ and $\|c\| \leq \|a\| = 1$, $R_{c'} = r'$ and $\|c'\| \leq \|a\| = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_j(c)| &\leq \|c\| \tau_j(r) \leq \frac{\beta}{m+1} < \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{5} \quad \text{for } j \in S, \\ |\tau_j(c')| &\leq \|c'\| \tau_j(r') \leq \frac{\beta}{m+1} < \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{5} \quad \text{for } j \notin S. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.5) and the above inequalities we obtain

$$|\tau_j(c')| \leq \begin{cases} |\tau_j(a')| + |\tau_j(c)| < \eta + \frac{2\varepsilon\beta}{5} & j \in S, \\ \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{5} & j \notin S, \end{cases} \leq \eta + \frac{2\varepsilon\beta}{5} \quad \forall j$$

and hence

$$(4.6) \quad |\tau(c')| < \eta + \frac{2\varepsilon\beta}{5} \quad \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Since by construction $r' \in P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ and hence $r' \neq P$, it follows that $\tau_j(r') < \bar{\tau}_j(P)$ for all j . Invoking again the density of $D(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))_{++}$, choose a projection $s \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ with

$$\max(\tau_j(r'), \beta) < \tau_j(s) < \begin{cases} \bar{\tau}_j(P) & j \in S, \\ \max(\tau_j(r'), \beta) + 1 & j \notin S. \end{cases}$$

Using strict comparison of projections in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$, it is now routine to show that s can be chosen so that $r' \leq s \leq P$. By construction, $\tau(s) > \beta$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$.

Now c' belongs to $s(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})s$ which is a unital separable simple C^* -algebra of real rank zero, stable rank one, and with strict comparison of projections. Every tracial state $\tilde{\tau} \in T(s(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})s)$ is the restriction and the rescaling of a trace in $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$, i.e., $\tilde{\tau}(c') = \frac{\tau(c')}{\tau(s)}$. But then for every $\tilde{\tau} \in T(s(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})s)$ we have by (4.2) and (4.6)

$$|\tilde{\tau}(c')| < \frac{|\tau(c')|}{\beta} < \frac{\eta + 2\varepsilon\beta/5}{\beta} = \frac{\eta}{\beta} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{5} < \frac{\eta}{\alpha} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{5}.$$

Thus by Lemma 3.3 of [17] (see Lemma 4.1), we can find elements v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 in $s(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})s \subset P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ with

$$(4.7) \quad \|v_i\| \leq \sqrt{2}\|c'\|^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{2}\|a\|^{1/2} = \sqrt{2} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq 4,$$

$$(4.8) \quad \left\| c' - \sum_{i=1}^4 [v_i, v_i^*] \right\| < \frac{\eta}{\alpha} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{5}.$$

Now we consider c which has range projection $r \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Since

$$\tau_j(r) < \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{m+1} < \frac{\bar{\tau}_j(P)}{m+1} & j \in S, \\ \infty = \frac{\bar{\tau}_j(P)}{m+1} & j \notin S, \end{cases}$$

by Theorem 3.2 we can find m mutually orthogonal subprojections $\{r_j\}_1^m$ of $P - r$ with $r_j \sim r$. Since $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$, all the projections r_j are also

in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Let $r_j = v_j r v_j^*$ for some partial isometries $v_j \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then we can identify $e := c - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m v_i c v_i^*$ with the diagonal element of $\mathbb{M}_{m+1}(r(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})r)$ given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} c & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{m}c & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\frac{1}{m}c \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Lemma 1.3 of [6], there is an element $v_5 \in \mathbb{M}_{m+1}(r(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})r)$, which in turns we can identify with an element of

$$(\sum_{i=0}^m r_i)(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})(\sum_{i=0}^m r_i) \subset P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P,$$

where $r_0 := r$, such that $e = [v_5, v_5^*]$ and $\|v_5\| \leq \|c\|^{1/2} \leq \|a\|^{1/2} = 1$. But

$$(4.9) \quad \|c - [v_5, v_5^*]\| = \frac{\|c\|}{m} \leq \frac{\|a\|}{m} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{5}.$$

We thus have

$$a - \sum_{i=1}^5 [v_i, v_i^*] = b + c' - \sum_{i=1}^4 [v_i, v_i^*] + c - [v_5, v_5^*],$$

and hence from (4.4), (4.8), and (4.9)

$$\left\| a - \sum_{i=1}^5 [v_i, v_i^*] \right\| \leq \frac{\eta}{\alpha} + \varepsilon. \quad \blacksquare$$

Now we extend Lemma 4.2 to corners of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$.

LEMMA 4.3. *Assume that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ has finite extreme boundary $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\tau_j\}_1^n$, let P be a nonzero projection in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$, and set*

$$S := \{1 \leq j \leq n : \bar{\tau}_j(P) < \infty\}.$$

Let $T \in P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ be a selfadjoint element and assume that $\tau_j(T) = 0$ for all $j \in S$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are 10 elements $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{10} \in P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ such that $\|V_i\| \leq 4\sqrt{2}\|T\|^{1/2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 10$ and

$$\left\| T - \sum_{j=1}^{10} [V_j, V_j^*] \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\pi : P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P \rightarrow P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P/P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ be the canonical quotient map. By Theorem 3.6 of [18] and for a more general case, ([19], Theorem A and 4.5), the corona algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})/\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is purely infinite and hence so is $P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P/P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$. For completeness purpose, we show how this fact follows easily from Theorem 3.2.

By [37] one can write $P = Q \oplus Q'$, where Q and Q' are equivalent projections of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Then $\bar{\tau}(Q) = \bar{\tau}(Q') = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\tau}(P)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$. Write $P = \bigoplus_1^\infty p_j$ as a strictly convergent sum of projections of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then $\bar{\tau}(P) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \tau(p_j)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $s_n = \bigoplus_1^n p_j$ and choose n_0 so that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$\bar{\tau}_j(P - s_n) \begin{cases} < \bar{\tau}_j(Q) < \infty & \forall j \in S, \\ = \bar{\tau}_j(Q) = \infty & \forall j \notin S. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Theorem 3.2 that $P - s_n \lesssim Q$. Since $s_n \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, it follows that $\pi(P) = \pi(P - s_n) \prec \pi(Q)$ as wanted.

Then by Fack's theorem 2.1 of [6] and (4.1), there are five elements

$$\tilde{V}_i \in P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P / P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$$

such that

$$\pi(T) = \sum_{i=1}^5 [\tilde{V}_i, \tilde{V}_i^*] \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{V}_i\| \leq \frac{3}{2} \|\pi(T)\|^{1/2} \leq \frac{3}{2} \|T\|^{1/2} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 5.$$

Now choose liftings $V_i \in P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ of \tilde{V}_i such that $\|V_i\| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \|\tilde{V}_i\|$, hence $\|V_i\| \leq \sqrt{3} \|T\|^{1/2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Let

$$(4.10) \quad a := T - \sum_{i=1}^5 [V_i, V_i^*].$$

Then $a = a^* \in P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$. Notice that

$$-V_i^* V_i \leq V_i V_i^* - V_i^* V_i \leq V_i V_i^*$$

and hence $\|[V_i, V_i^*]\| \leq \|V_i\|^2$. Thus

$$\|a\| \leq \|T\| + \sum_{i=1}^5 \|V_i\|^2 \leq 16\|T\|.$$

Furthermore, $\tau_j(V_i V_i^*) \leq \|V_i\|^2 \bar{\tau}_j(P) < \infty$ for every $j \in S$. Then

$$\tau_j(a) = \tau_j(T) - \sum_{i=1}^5 \tau_j([V_i, V_i^*]) = 0 \quad \forall j \in S.$$

By Lemma 4.2, the selfadjoint element a can be approximated by the sum of five selfcommutators of elements $V_j \in P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ with

$$\|V_j\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|a\|^{1/2} \leq 4\sqrt{2} \|T\|^{1/2} \quad \text{for } 6 \leq i \leq 10, \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| a - \sum_{j=6}^{10} [V_j, V_j^*] \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

This combined with (4.10) concludes the proof. ■

Notice that the bounds are of course far from sharp. By same proof we could replace $4\sqrt{2}$ with any number strictly larger than $3.5\sqrt{2}$.

LEMMA 4.4. Assume that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ has finite extreme boundary $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\tau_j\}_1^n$, let P be a nonzero projection in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, and set

$$S := \{1 \leq j \leq n : \bar{\tau}_j(P) < \infty\}.$$

Then there are three sequences of projections P_n, Q_n, R_n in $P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ with the properties:

- (i) $P_1 + Q_1 + R_1 = P$.
- (ii) The projections $\{R_n\}_1^\infty$ are mutually orthogonal.
- (iii) $P_n + Q_n = R_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 2$.
- (iv) $\begin{cases} P_1 \sim Q_1 \prec R_1 & n = 1, \\ P_n \sim Q_n \sim R_n & n \geq 2. \end{cases}$
- (v) $\tau_j(R_n) = \tau_j(P_n) = \tau_j(Q_n) = \infty$ for every $j \notin S$ and every n .

Proof. By using the fact that every projection in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ can be halved, i.e., decomposed into the sum of two orthogonal equivalent projections ([37], Theorem 1.1) it is routine to find a sequence $\{R_n\}$ of mutually orthogonal subprojections of P for which

$$2[R_n] = \begin{cases} [P] & n = 1, \\ [R_{n-1}] & n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then by halving we find projections $P_n \sim Q_n$ so that

$$P_n + Q_n = \begin{cases} P - R_1 & n = 1, \\ R_{n-1} & n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

For $n = 1$ we have $2[P_1] = [P - R_1] = [R_1]$ hence $P_1 \sim Q_1 \prec R_1$, while for $n \geq 2$ we have $2[P_n] = [R_{n-1}] = 2[R_n]$, hence $P_n \sim Q_n \sim R_n$. (v) is now immediate since $\bar{\tau}_j(P) = \infty$ for all $j \notin S$. ■

THEOREM 4.5. Assume that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ has finitely many extremal traces, that is $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\tau_j\}_1^n$, let P be a nonzero projection in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, and set

$$S := \{1 \leq j \leq n : \bar{\tau}_j(P) < \infty\}.$$

Let $T = T^* \in P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$, and assume that $\tau_j(T) = 0$ for all $j \in S$. Then there are two elements $V_i \in P(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}))P$ such that $T = \sum_{i=1}^2 [V_i, V_i^*]$. Furthermore, the elements V_j can be chosen such that $\|V_j\| \leq c\|T\|^{1/2}$ where c is a constant that does not depend on T , P , or the C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .

Proof. By adapting Fack's proof of Theorem 3.1 in [6] and its modification by Thomsen ([34], Theorem 1.8), Marcoux has shown in Lemma 3.9 of [25] that if \mathcal{B} is a simple, unital C^* -algebra with real rank zero, strict comparison of projections

and a unique tracial state, then every selfadjoint element in the kernel of the trace is the sum of 8 selfcommutators. The two key elements of his proof are in Lemma 3.7 of [6], which state the existence of a sequence of projections satisfying conditions (i)–(iii) and a weaker form of (iv) of Lemma 4.4, and Proposition 3.6 of [6], which states that every selfadjoint element in the kernel of the trace can be approximated arbitrarily well by the sum of 2 selfcommutators (with control on the norms of the operators). If we replace the latter result by Lemma 4.3 which provides an approximation by the sum of 10 selfcommutators (with control on the norms of the operators), we see that Marcoux’s proof holds in our setting and shows that every selfadjoint element $T \in P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ in the kernel of the extremal traces $\{\tau_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{S}}$ is the sum of 16 selfcommutators in $P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ (with control on the norms of the operators).

Moreover, the number of selfcommutators can be reduced to two as in Theorem 3.10 of [25]. The norms of the elements forming the selfcommutators are bounded by a constant multiple c of $\|T\|^{1/2}$, but the estimates of c are very far from sharp as discussed in Remark 5.3 of [25] (see also Proof of Theorem 3.4, Remark 3.5 in [17]). ■

5. LINEAR COMBINATION OF PROJECTIONS IN $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$

Marcoux has shown in Theorem 3.8 of [24] that in every unital C^* -algebra that contains three projections $P_1 + P_1 + P_3 = 1$ with $P_i \precsim 1 - P_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, every commutator $[x, y]$ is a linear combination of 84 projections. Furthermore, in 5.1 of [25], Marcoux notices that the coefficients in this linear combination can be bounded by $8\|x\|\|y\|$.

Since the identity of $1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ can be decomposed into the sum of three mutually orthogonal projections $P_i \sim 1$, and since, as remarked at the beginning of Section 3, every element $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is the sum of 10 commutators (with control on the norms), it follows immediately that every element of $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is a linear combination of 840 projections with control on the norms of the coefficients. However the results in the previous sections permit us to obtain a stronger result, namely that if T is in a corner $P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ then T is a linear combination of projections belonging to the same corner.

THEOREM 5.1. *There are constants N and M such that if \mathcal{A} is a unital separable simple C^* -algebra of real rank zero, stable rank one, strict comparison of projections and has finitely many extreme tracial states and $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is a projection, then every element $T \in P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ is a linear combination of projections $T = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j P_j$ with $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $P_j \leq P$ projections, with $|\lambda_j| < M$ for all j .*

Proof. In the case when $P \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and hence $P \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) P = P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$, the result follows from Theorem 4.4 of [17]. Thus assume henceforth that P does not belong to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Let $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\tau_j\}_1^n$ and let $S := \{j \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq j \leq n, \bar{\tau}_j(P) < \infty\}$. Assume also that $T \geq 0$ and $0 \neq \|T\| < 1$.

By Proposition 3.3 there is a projection $Q \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\bar{\tau}(Q) = \begin{cases} \bar{\tau}(T) & j \in S, \\ 1 & j \notin S. \end{cases}$$

Since $\tau_j(T) < \bar{\tau}_j(P)$ for all $j \in S$, it follows by Theorem 3.2 that $Q \prec P$, so assume without loss of generality that $Q \leq P$. Let $B := T - Q$. Then $B = B^* \in P \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) P$ and $\bar{\tau}_j(B) = 0$ for all $j \in S$. By Theorem 4.5, B is the sum of two selfcommutators (with control on the norms of the elements), and each is the linear combination of 84 projections by Theorem 3.8 of [24] with control of the coefficients (see remarks preceding this theorem), thus T is a linear combination of 169 projections in $P \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) P$, also with control on the coefficients. As a consequence, every $T \in P \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) P$ is a linear combination of 676 projections $P \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$, also with control on the coefficients. ■

We say that an algebra \mathcal{B} is the linear span of its projections *with control on the coefficients* if it has a constant V such that for every $b \in \mathcal{B}$ there are n scalars $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and projections $p_j \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

- (i) $b = \sum_1^n \lambda_j p_j$;
- (ii) $\sum_1^n |\lambda_j| < V \|b\|$.

Thus Theorem 5.1 states that if the extremal boundary $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}))$ is finite, then every hereditary subalgebra $P \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) P$ of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is the linear span of its projections with control on the coefficients.

6. POSITIVE COMBINATION OF PROJECTIONS IN $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$

Now we start investigating linear combinations of projections with positive coefficients, (*positive combinations of projections*, or PCP for short). We are interested in the question of which, necessarily positive, elements are PCP.

We obtained in Proposition 2.7 of [13] extending a $B(H)$ result by Fong and Murphy [9], that if \mathcal{B} is a unital C^* -algebra that is the span of its projections with control on the coefficients and if PCPs are norm dense in \mathcal{B}_+ , then every positive invertible element of \mathcal{B} is a PCP.

Even in the case when $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ does not have real rank zero, PCPs are norm dense in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$ by Theorem 1.1 of [35]. The same holds for all the

corners $P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ for projections $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Thus combining Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 2.7 of [13] we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 6.1. *Assume that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ has finite extreme boundary. Then for every projection $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$, every positive invertible element of $P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ is a PCP.*

As in [13] and [17], the key tool for constructing PCP decompositions in our setting is given by the following result, which is an immediate consequence of Corollary 6.1 and Lemma 2.9 of [13]:

LEMMA 6.2. *Assume that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ has finite extreme boundary. Let P, Q be projections in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ with $PQ = 0$, $Q \preceq P$ and let $B = QB = BQ$ be a positive element of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Then for every scalar $\alpha > \|B\|$, the positive element $T := \alpha P \oplus B$ is a PCP.*

The next step is to prove that if $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ has real rank zero, every positive element in a corner $P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ that has sufficiently large range with respect to P is also PCP (see statement below). The proof is modeled on that of Lemma 6.4 in [17] but with some substantial differences, so for clarity and completeness sake, we present a proof here.

LEMMA 6.3. *Assume that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ has finite extreme boundary and that the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ has real rank zero. Let $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ be a projection and $T \in P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})_+P$ satisfy the conditions:*

- (i) *for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$, $T \in I_\tau$ if and only if $\bar{\tau}(P) < \infty$;*
- (ii) $\begin{cases} \bar{\tau}(R_T) > \frac{1}{2}\bar{\tau}(P) & \text{if } \bar{\tau}(P) < \infty, \\ \bar{\tau}(R_T) = \infty & \text{if } \bar{\tau}(P) = \infty. \end{cases}$

Then T is a PCP.

Proof. The case when $P \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is covered by Lemma 6.4 of [17], thus assume henceforth that $P \notin \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. To simplify notations, we can assume that $\|T\| = 1$. Let $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\tau_i\}_1^n$ and let $S := \{1 \leq j \leq n : \bar{\tau}_j(P) < \infty\}$. Assume furthermore that $\emptyset \neq S \neq \{1 \leq j \leq n\}$, leaving to the reader the simpler cases when $S = \emptyset$ and when $S = \{1 \leq j \leq n\}$.

Consider T as an element of $P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ and denote by $\chi(T)$ its spectral measure with values being projections in $(P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P)^{**}$.

By the w^* -lower semicontinuity of each $\bar{\tau}_i$ and the w^* -continuity of the restriction of $\bar{\tau}_i$ to $P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ for each $i \in S$, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \bar{\tau}_i(\chi_{(\lambda,1]}(T)) = \bar{\tau}_i(R_T) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \bar{\tau}_i(\chi_{(0,\lambda)}(T)) = 0 \quad \forall i \in S.$$

For every $i \notin S$, by hypothesis $T \notin I_{\tau_i}$, hence by Lemma 2.6 there is a $\delta_i > 0$ such that $\bar{\tau}_i(\chi_{(\delta_i,1]}(T)) = \infty$. Let $\gamma_6 := \min_{i \notin S} \delta_i$. Then

$$(6.1) \quad \bar{\tau}_i(\chi_{(\gamma_6,1]}(T)) \geq \chi_{(\delta_i,1]}(T) = \infty \quad \forall i \notin S.$$

For every $i \in S$,

$$\bar{\tau}_i(\chi_{\{0\}}(T)) = \bar{\tau}_i(P) - \bar{\tau}_i(R_T) < \bar{\tau}_i(R_T).$$

Thus we can find $0 < \gamma_4 < \gamma_6$ such that

$$(6.2) \quad \bar{\tau}_i(\chi_{[0, \gamma_4]}(T)) < \bar{\tau}_i(\chi_{[\gamma_6, 1]}(T)) \quad \forall i \in S.$$

Now choose numbers

$$\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_5 \quad \text{so that} \quad 0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \gamma_3 < \gamma_4 < \gamma_5 < \gamma_6 < 1.$$

Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the continuous function defined by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} t & t \in [0, 1] \setminus [\gamma_1, \gamma_3], \\ \gamma_1 & t \in [\gamma_1, \gamma_2], \\ \text{linear} & t \in [\gamma_2, \gamma_3]. \end{cases}$$

Since $RR(P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P) = 0$, by Brown's interpolation property [2], there exist projections $S, R, Q \in P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ such that

$$\chi_{[0, \gamma_1]}(T) \leq S \leq \chi_{[0, \gamma_2]}(T), \quad \chi_{[0, \gamma_3]}(T) \leq Q \leq \chi_{[0, \gamma_4]}(T), \quad \chi_{[\gamma_6, 1]}(T) \leq R \leq \chi_{[\gamma_5, 1]}(T).$$

Then

$$\bar{\tau}_i(Q) \leq \bar{\tau}_i(\chi_{[0, \gamma_4]}(T)) \leq \bar{\tau}_i(\chi_{[\gamma_6, 1]}(T)) \leq \bar{\tau}_i(R) \quad \forall i$$

and by (6.2), the inequality $\bar{\tau}_i(Q) \leq \bar{\tau}_i(R)$ is strict for $i \in S$ while $\bar{\tau}_i(R) = \infty$ for $i \notin S$ by (6.1). Then by Theorem 3.2 we obtain that $Q \precsim R$.

Since $S - \chi_{[0, \gamma_1]}(T) \leq \chi_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(T)$ and the function $f(t)$ is constant on the interval $[\gamma_1, \gamma_2]$, it follows that $S - \chi_{[0, \gamma_1]}(T)$ and hence S commute with $f(T)$. Define:

$$T_1 := f(T) - f(T)S - \gamma_4 R, \quad B := T - f(T) + f(T)S, \quad T_2 := B + \gamma_4 R.$$

By a simple computation,

$$T_1 \geq \min\{\gamma_1, \gamma_5 - \gamma_4\}(P - S)$$

and $R_{T_1} = P - S$. Thus T_1 is positive and invertible in $(P - S)\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})(P - S)$ and hence a PCP by Corollary 6.1.

Since $B = QBQ \geq 0$, $QR = 0$, $Q \precsim R$ and $\|B\| \leq \gamma_2 < \gamma_4$, T_2 is a PCP by Lemma 6.2. Since $T = T_1 + T_2$ this concludes the proof. ■

THEOREM 6.4. *Assume that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ has finite extreme boundary and that the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ has real rank zero. Then $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$ is PCP if and only if either T is full (i.e., belongs to no proper ideal of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$), or $\bar{\tau}(R_T) < \infty$ for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ for which $T \in I_\tau$.*

Proof. Assume that T is PCP, namely $T = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j P_j$ with scalars $\lambda_j > 0$ and projections $P_j \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. If $T \in I_\tau$ for some $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$, then for every $1 \leq j \leq n$ it follows that $P_j \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_j} T$ and hence $P_j \in I_\tau$. But then $\bar{\tau}(P_j) < \infty$. Since

$R_T = \bigvee_1^n P_j$, it follows by standard properties of traces on von Neumann algebras that

$$\bar{\tau}(R_T) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{\tau}(P_j) < \infty.$$

Assume now that $\bar{\tau}(R_T) < \infty$ for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ for which $T \in I_\tau$. Let $\partial_e(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})) = \{\tau_i\}_1^n$ and let $S := \{1 \leq j \leq n : T \in I_{\tau_j}\}$.

In the case when $S = \emptyset$, $\bar{\tau}(R_T) = \infty$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$, hence the result is given by Lemma 6.3 applied to $P = 1$. Thus assume that $S \neq \emptyset$.

By Proposition 3.3, there is a projection $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\tau}_j(R_T) < \bar{\tau}_j(P) < 2\bar{\tau}_j(R_T) < \infty & j \in S, \\ \bar{\tau}_j(P) = \infty & j \notin S. \end{cases}$$

Reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 6.3 in [17] and using the strict comparison of projections in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ (Theorem 3.2), we can find a partial isometry $W \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})^{**}$ such that $WW^* = R_T$, $W^*W \leq P$ and such that the map $\Phi(X) := W^*XW$ is a $*$ -isomorphism between $\text{her } T = \text{her } R_T$ and the hereditary algebra $\text{her}(W^*W) \subset P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$. Since $\bar{\tau}(R_{\Phi(T)}) = \bar{\tau}(R_T)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$, by Lemma 6.3, $\Phi(T)$ is PCP in $P\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})P$ and hence in $\text{her}(W^*W)$. But then T is PCP in $\text{her } T$, which completes the proof. ■

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by the Simons Foundation (grant No 245660 for Victor Kaftal and grant No 281966 for Shuang Zhang).

REFERENCES

- [1] B. BLACKADAR, *K-theory for Operator Algebras*, second edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York 1998.
- [2] L.G. BROWN, Interpolation by projections in C^* -algebras of real rank zero, *J. Operator Theory* **26**(1991), 383–387.
- [3] F. COMBES, Poids sur une C^* -algèbre, *J. Math. Pures Appl. IX* **47**(1968), 57–100.
- [4] K.T. COWARD, G.A. ELLIOTT, C. IVANESCU, The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for C^* -algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **623**(2008), 161–193.
- [5] J. CUNTZ, G.K. PEDERSEN, Equivalences and traces on C^* -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **33**(1979), 135–164.
- [6] T. FACK, Finite sums of commutators in C^* -algebras, *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble* **32**(1982), 129–137.
- [7] T. FACK, P. DE LA HARPE, Sommes de commutateurs dans les algebres de von Neumann finies continues, *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble* **30**(1980), 49–73.
- [8] P.A. FILLMORE, Sums of operators with square zero, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **28**(1967), 285–288.

- [9] C.K. FONG, G.J. MURPHY, Averages of projections, *J. Operator Theory* **13**(1985), 219–225.
- [10] S. GOLDSTEIN, A. PASZKIEWICZ, Linear combinations of projections in von Neumann algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **116**(1992), 175–183.
- [11] P.R. HALMOS, Commutators of operators. II, *Amer. J. Math* **76**(1954), 191–198.
- [12] H. HALPERN, V. KAFTAL, P.W. NG, S. ZHANG, Finite sums of projections in von Neumann algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365**(2013), 2409–2445.
- [13] V. KAFTAL, P.W. NG, S. ZHANG, Positive combinations and sums of projections in purely infinite simple C^* -algebras and their multiplier algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139**(2011), 2735–2746.
- [14] V. KAFTAL, P.W. NG, S. ZHANG, Positive combinations of projections in von Neumann algebras and purely infinite simple C^* -algebras, *Sci. China Math.* **54**(2011), 1–10.
- [15] V. KAFTAL, P.W. NG, S. ZHANG, Finite sums of projections in purely infinite simple C^* -algebras with torsion K_0 , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140**(2012), 3219–3227.
- [16] V. KAFTAL, P.W. NG, S. ZHANG, Projection decomposition in multiplier algebras, *Math. Ann.* **352**(2012), 543–566.
- [17] V. KAFTAL, P.W. NG, S. ZHANG, Commutators and linear spans of projections in certain simple real C^* -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **266**(2014), 1883–1912.
- [18] D. KUCEROVSKY, F. PERERA, Purely infinite corona algebras of simple C^* -algebras with real rank zero, *J. Operator Theory* **65**(2011), 131–144.
- [19] D. KUCEROVSKY, P.W. NG, F. PERERA, Purely infinite corona algebras of simple C^* -algebras, *Math. Ann.* **346**(2010), 23–40.
- [20] H. LIN, Ideals of multiplier algebras of simple AF C^* -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104**(1988), 239–244.
- [21] H. LIN, Embedding an AH-algebra into a simple C^* -algebra with prescribed KK-data, *K-theory* **24**(2001), 135–156.
- [22] H. LIN, Cuntz semigroups of C^* -algebras of stable rank one and projective Hilbert modules, preprint 2010, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1001.4558>.
- [23] H. LIN, S. ZHANG, Certain simple C^* -algebras with nonzero real rank whose corona algebras have real rank zero, *Houston J. Math.* **18**(1992), 57–71.
- [24] L.W. MARCOUX, On the linear span of projections in certain simple C^* -algebras, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **51**(2002), 753–771.
- [25] L.W. MARCOUX, Sums of small number of commutators, *J. Operator Theory* **56**(2006), 111–142.
- [26] L.W. MARCOUX, Projections, commutators and Lie ideals in C^* -algebras, *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* **110A**(2010), 31–55.
- [27] L.W. MARCOUX, G.J. MURPHY, Unitarily-invariant linear spaces in C^* -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126**(1998), 3597–3605.
- [28] E. ORTEGA, M. RØRDAM, H. THIEL, The Cuntz semigroup and comparison of open projections, preprint 2010, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1008.3497>.

- [29] C. PEARCY, D. TOPPING, Sums of small numbers of idempotents, *Michigan Math. J.* **14**(1967), 453–465.
- [30] C. PEARCY, D. TOPPING, Commutators and certain Π_1 -factors, *J. Funct. Anal.* **3**(1969), 69–78.
- [31] F. PERERA, Ideal structure of multiplier algebras of simple C^* -algebras with real rank zero, *Canad. J. Math.* **53**(2001), 592–630.
- [32] C. POP, Finite sums of commutators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130**(2002), 3039–3041.
- [33] M. RØRDAM, Ideals in the multiplier algebra of a stable C^* -algebra, *J. Operator Theory* **25**(1991), 283–298.
- [34] K. THOMSEN, Finite sums and products of commutators in inductive limit C^* -algebras, *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble* **43**(1993), 225–249.
- [35] S. ZHANG, On the structure of projections and ideals of corona algebras, *Canad. J. Math.* **41**(1989), 721–742.
- [36] S. ZHANG, A Riesz decomposition property and ideal structure of multiplier algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **24**(1990), 209–226.
- [37] S. ZHANG, Matricial structure and homotopy type of simple C^* -algebras with real rank zero, *J. Operator Theory* **26**(1991), 283–312.
- [38] S. ZHANG, Certain C^* -algebras with real rank zero and their corona and multiplier algebras. I, *Pacific J. Math.* **155**(1992), 169–197.

VICTOR KAFTAL, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI, P. O. BOX 210025, CINCINNATI, OH, 45221-0025, U.S.A.
E-mail address: victor.kaftal@uc.edu

PING W. NG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA, 217 MAXIM D. DOUCET HALL, P.O. BOX 41010, LAFAYETTE, LOUISIANA, 70504-1010, U.S.A.
E-mail address: png@louisiana.edu

SHUANG ZHANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI, P.O. BOX 210025, CINCINNATI, OH, 45221-0025, U.S.A.
E-mail address: shuang.zhang@uc.edu

Received November 5, 2013.

ADDED IN PROOFS. In our recent paper “Strict comparison of positive elements in multiplier algebras”, arXiv:1501.05463 [math.OA], we have obtained the same result of Theorem 6.4 but without asking that the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ has real rank zero. We proved that strict comparison of positive elements holds in the multiplier algebra and that it can be used in lieu of Brown’s interpolation theorem which is the key tool in the proof of Theorem 6.4.